## **Comments for Planning Application 20/01296/OUTMAJ**

## **Application Summary**

Application Number: 20/01296/OUTMAJ

Address: Land Off School Lane (South Of Shireshead Cricket Ground) Forton Preston Lancashire

PR3 0AS

Proposal: Outline application for up to 41 dwellings (30% affordable), public open space and associated infrastructure with access applied for off School Lane (all other matters reserved)

Case Officer: Mr Karl Glover

## **Customer Details**

Name: Not Available Address: Not Available

## **Comment Details**

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: My objection relates principally to the effects on our local school, in particular

- the health, safety and well-being of the children
- the learning environment experienced by the children.

The proposed development is on land close to Forton Primary School and the majority of traffic to and from the school would have to pass directly in front of the outdoor learning areas. This would significantly affect the children's learning environment, their safety, their well-being and their education.

Specifically, I object to the proposal for the following reasons

- 1. There would be a significant increase in the flow of traffic past the school with the associated increase in the risk of harm to the children from traffic accidents
- 2. The increase in traffic and congestion would increase engine emissions in the vicinity of the school which would be harmful to the children
- 3. The increase in traffic noise would be detrimental to the learning environment, particularly when teaching and learning is carried out in the school grounds
- 4. The narrowing of School Lane outside the school grounds would lead to increased congestion from moving traffic and increased congestion from cars parking to drop off or pick up children. This too represents an increase in the risk of injury to the children

- 5. The application appears extremely similar to the previous one (19/01000/OUTMAJ) that was refused by the planning committee. Hence, there is no reason to approve this repeat application
- 6. Earlier application (17/00587/OUTMAJ) was also refused
- 7. The Forton Master Plan has an agreed development proposal that includes sufficient numbers of new properties. This proposal is on land outside that masterplan and would go beyond what has already been agreed
- 8. The principal arguments in support of this application relate to affordable housing and the attraction of moving to Forton to live. These, of course, would be met by the Master Plan rather than needing this additional development.