

265 2/1/21

20/01/2021

Application No: 20/01575/FULL - site 363 Ings Rd, HU7 4UY

Dear Mr Miles

My wife and I have looked over the proposal and object, the sheer size of it leans more to a bungalow dwelling (which, could easily be converted) rather than funhouse and garage they are proposing.

The dimensions are ludicrous in proposal to their specific needs for such a building and impinges on not only my rear garden but also that of our neighbours. For example, the height of 4.49m is incorrect because his land is already approximately 1m higher than my land therefore the total height that impinges on my land is 5.49m. This would cause further lack of light to the rear of my garden as long shadows would cut out the light especially later in the day. In my opinion the removal of the APEX roof, replaced by a flat roof maybe a compromise we would consider.

The length is also out of proportion (16.45m) covering almost 4 gardens and for us personally we would be left just staring at a wall and roof leaving us feeling hemmed in completely, making our own leisure time a misery.

We are also concerned about any potential noise pollution the funhouse suggests. We realise they have children and therefore accept some noise will be around us, especially during the summer periods but we live as a community and therefore look at the consequences of our actions on our surrounding neighbour's therefore enabling us to live an equitable life and consider other people's needs.

On a personal note (a point they are aware of) I have been diagnosed with a life limiting cancer (Cholangiocarcinoma), I am on palliative care and the likelihood of me seeing the bungalow completed is low. My intention was to spend as much of the summer sitting in the garden taking in my surrounding's and enjoying the sunshine. Unfortunately, this will not happen as I suspect they will want to start the building during the summer. Therefore I will need to stay indoors due to building activity, noise, builders staring at me due to close proximity, dust and dirt blowing across my property, therefore my demise will be surrounded with misery thanks to this unacceptable dwelling they are proposing. I am already worried and agitated by this proposal, it is effecting me both physically, and mentally as I am experiencing sleepless nights from the first day, we received the proposal.

Finally, we are more than concerned about the effect it will have on the saleability of our property and therefore the devaluing of our property whilst they increase the value of their own property at our expense. This does not fit in with any considerations of their surrounding neighbours. They never approached others or ourselves personally to discuss the proposal as they knew this would cause conflict therefore they are only interested in a favourable outcomes that meets only their needs.

**Stephenson Rachel (Development Management)**

---

278 AM

**From:**  
**Sent:** 24 January 2021 13:33  
**To:** Development Control  
**Subject:** Planning objection

Caution - This email was sent from outside of our organisation. Do not click on any links, preview or open any attachments, or provide any log-in details unless you recognise the sender and know the content is safe.

24th January 2021

Application no - 20/01575/FULL

Site address - 363 Ings Road

We are emailing with regard to the proposed plan for the erection of an outbuilding behind our back garden. Our objection is due to the height of the building as it will block the sunlight, which we get from the south. If this building were positioned on the other side of 363 Ings Road it would not affect us. This building will result in ourselves and several neighbours having gardens that will always be in the shade. It is unfair that one building for one family will mean several families suffer. We feel that 363 has a sufficiently big enough garden to accommodate this in a different location. It is unnecessary for us to lose our sunlight when it could be relocated. We should be able to enjoy the sun in our garden, as should our neighbours, and strongly object to this proposal.