

Comments for Planning Application BH2020/03713

Application Summary

Application Number: BH2020/03713

Address: Ridgeland House 165-167 Dyke Road Hove BN3 1TL

Proposal: Replacement of 6no existing antennas with 12no new antennas on 5no new 5 metre support tripods. Installation of RRU's, equipment within the existing cabin and ancillary works.

Case Officer: Jack Summers

Customer Details

Name: Not Available

Address: Not Available

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

- Detrimental affect on property value
- Inappropriate Height of Development
- Poor design

Comment:I strongly object installation of these antennae. There are 6 antennae there already.

Putting more antennae is not necessary as good signal should have been achieved by the existing antennae. 12 antennae will be very prominent and unsightly.

In the National Planning Policy Framework Section 112 states: "Policies ...should prioritise full fiber connections to existing and new developments (as these connections will, in almost all cases, provide the optimum solution).

Section 113: "The number of radio and electronic communications masts, and the sites for such installations, should be kept to a minimum consistent with the needs of consumers."

If they are 5G antennae, there are serious concerns about effects of this technology on people and wildlife. There have been no safety studies for long term effects. It's a residential area with houses nearby. People on the higher floors of the building will receive more EMF radiation from the masts.

NPPF, Section 8, paragraph 91 states "Planning policies and decisions should aim to achieve healthy, inclusive and safe places..."Adding 5G antenna is not enabling healthy lifestyles nor providing "safe spaces".