

Landscape, Arboricultural & Ecological Solutions for the Built Environment

> Arboricultural Impact Assessment

Lathom Pastures Phase 2 Skelmersdale

Ref: P.1280.19

December 2019

Revision	Date	Reason
А	18 th November 2020	BHNW118 Lathom Pastures Phase 2 rev PL01 rev A

Ascerta

Mere One, Mere Grange, Elton Head Road, St Helens WA9 5GG T: 0845 463 4404 F: 0845 463 4405 E: info@landscapetreesecology.com www.landscapetreesecology.com

P.1280.19

Arboricultural Impact Assessment

Lathom Pastures Phase 2 Skelmersdale

For

Bellway Homes Limited (North West) 2 Alderman Road Liverpool L24 9LR

23rd December 2019 (Rev A 18th November 2020)

Field Work by	Helen Millner
Document Author	Helen Millner
Technical Review	Rob Armitage
QA Review & Approval	Danielle Wood - Office Manager

Contents

Page

1.0	Introduction 3 -
2.0	Planning Policy & Relevant Legislation 3 -
3.0	Survey & Survey Methodology 4 -
4.0	Summary of Arboricultural Impacts 5 -
5.0	Tree Protection Measures 8 -
6.0	Conclusions & Recommendations 10 -
7.0	References 11 -

Appendix 1	Tree Data Tables in accordance with Table 1 of BS5837: 2012
Appendix 2	Drawing P. 1280.19.01 <i>Tree Survey and Tree Removal Plan</i> Drawing P. 1280.19.02 <i>Tree Protection Measures</i>

1.0 Introduction

- **1.1** Ascerta has been instructed to carry out a survey of the trees within and immediately adjacent Lathom Pastures (Phase 2), Skelmersdale and to assess the potential impact of the development as proposed on trees within / adjacent the site in accordance with British Standard 5837: 2012 *Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction Recommendations.*
- **1.2** The site was visited on the 11th and 20th December 2019 by Helen Sullivan, a competent and qualified arboriculturist with experience of the UK and European arboricultural and landscape industries within the context of the planning system. During the site visits, a survey was carried out of the trees growing both on and immediately adjacent the site to the standards contained within BS5837: 2012.
- **1.3** This report presents the results of the survey, provides an assessment of the impact of the development and includes recommendations for further actions, where applicable, to mitigate any potentially negative effects of the development on tree cover within the local landscape.
- **1.4** Our client's objective is to develop the site by the construction of 200 residential units.

2.0 Planning Policy & Relevant Legislation

- **2.1** The site lies within the West Lancashire Borough Council administrative area and is subject to the policies contained within its Local Plan. These have been taken into account when writing this report.
- **2.2** Checks made with the Local Planning Authority via email on 23rd December 2019 indicate that the woodland to the north of the site boundary (W1 of our tree survey) is subject to Tree Preservation Order *West Lancashire Borough Council No.31, (2004).* The site is not located within a Conservation Area. In advance of the commencement of any works to trees within or adjacent the site, those instructing and proposing to carry out such works should satisfy themselves that all appropriate consents are in place to prevent potential breach of legislation.
- **2.3** British Standard 5837: 2012 *Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction Recommendations* provides current recommendations and guidance on the relationship between trees and design, demolition and the construction processes. It sets out the principles and procedures to be applied to achieve a harmonious and sustainable relationship between trees and structures.

2.0 Planning Policy & Relevant Legislation (Continued)

- **2.4** Notwithstanding the aforementioned policies and legislation, consideration should also be given to any impacts from the proposed development in respect of the Hedgerow Regulations 1997 and the Forestry Act 1967 (and specifically the potential need for a felling licence), as well as existing UK and European legislation relating to wildlife and nature conservation.
- **2.5** In accordance with the Hedgerow Regulations 1997, 'important' hedgerows (in the context of the Regulations) should not be removed without a Hedgerow Removal Notice issued by the relevant Local Authority, unless that removal is subject to an appropriate consent under the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. Appropriate checks should be made in advance of the commencement of works to hedgerows to establish the importance or otherwise of the hedgerow and whether there is a requirement for a Hedgerow Removal Notice distinct from any formal planning consent to be granted.
- **2.6** The revised National Planning Policy Framework, updated on 19th February 2019, sets out the government's planning policies for England and Wales and how these are expected to be applied and has been considered within this report. This revised Framework replaces the previous National Planning Policy Framework published in March 2012 and revised in July 2018. It provides a Framework within which locally prepared plans for housing and other development can be designed and produced.

3.0 Survey & Survey Methodology

- **3.1** We have been supplied with a digital copy of the topographical map for the site, which satisfies the relevant part of section 4.2 of BS5837: 2012. Features of arboricultural or landscape interest that have been excluded from the original plan (for example trees on or located off site but within a distance from the boundary of the site equal to or less than 12 times the stem diameter of that tree) have been added to the plan manually.
- **3.2** Six individual trees (T1-T6), ten groups of trees (G1-G11), one woodland (W1) and seven hedges (H1-H7) were recorded during our survey, the details of which can be found within Appendix 1 to this report and cross referenced with drawing P.1280.19.01 *Tree Survey and Tree Removal Plan*.
- **3.3** Our survey of the trees within and adjacent the site was carried out by a qualified and competent arboriculturist in accordance with sections 4.4 and 4.5 of BS5837: 2012 on the 11th and 20th December 2019 during rainy weather conditions. Those trees surveyed have been numbered sequentially and the details required by the Standard, including a categorisation in accordance with section 4.5 and Table 1 of the Standard, have been recorded within the Tree Data Tables at Appendix 1.
- **3.4** Where trees are surveyed that require immediate attention, for example to abate a nuisance, prevent a serious hazard to life or property, or are affected by a pathogen or pest that could cause widespread damage unless it is controlled, notification will be issued to the relevant person or organisation such that appropriate action can be taken.

4.0 Potential Arboricultural Impacts

4.1 Table 1 below shows the trees that will need to be removed as part of the development of the site.

Table 1: Trees to be Removed

<u>T. No.</u>	<u>Species</u>	<u>HT (m)</u>	<u>Stem</u> DBH	<u>Cat</u>	<u>Reason</u>
			<u>(mm)</u>	<u>Grade</u>	
H3 (in part)	Hawthorn Ash	2.5	#75- 170	B1	Remove (in part) to facilitate development proposals
H4 (in part)	Beech	2.5-3	#75- 150	B1	Remove small section to accommodate new wall.
Т3	Goat Willow	9.0	<400	C1	Remove to facilitate development proposals
T5	Birch	8.0	230	C1	Remove to facilitate development proposals
G2	Sycamore	10.0	<450	C1	Remove to facilitate development proposals
G3	Birch	10.0	<300	C1	Remove to facilitate development proposals
T6	Birch	10.0	200+ 310	C1	Remove to facilitate development proposals
G4	Oak	8.0	250- 300	C1	Remove to facilitate development proposals
H6 (in part)	Hawthorn Blackthorn	4.0	#50- 150	B2	Remove specified sections to facilitate development proposals
G5	Norway Maple Alder Cypress Sycamore Poplar Hawthorn Elder	15.0	#200- 420	C2	Remove to facilitate development proposals
G6	Sycamore London Plane Elm	13.0	#300- 500	C2	Remove to facilitate development proposals
G7 (in part)	Oak Birch, Sycamore Hawthorn	15	#150-450	A1/2	Remove specified trees to facilitate new footpath and swale.
G8	Goat Willow	9.0	#75- 300	C2	Remove to facilitate development proposals
G9 (in part)	Sycamore	11	#150- 300	C1	Remove specified trees to facilitate development proposals.
H7 (in part)	Hawthorn Blackthorn Elder	3	#50- 200	B2	Remove specified section to accommodate new entrance road and footpath.
G11 (in part)	Goat Willow Grey Willow Oak Birch Field Maple Sycamore Scots Pine Cherry	19.0	<75- 400	A1/2	Remove specified trees to facilitate new footpath and swale.

4.0 Potential Arboricultural Impacts (Continued)

4.2 Table 2 below shows the trees that have the potential to be negatively impacted by the development proposals.

<u>T. No.</u>	<u>Species</u>	<u>HT (m)</u>	<u>Stem</u> DBH (mm)	<u>Cat</u> <u>Grade</u>	Potential Impact
T2	Beech	8.0	300+ 300	B1	Removal of existing hard standing and road improvements / re-surfacing
H4	Beech	2.5-3.0	#75- 150	B1	Proposed foundations of Buildings / Plots adjacent root protection areas
G7 (in part)	Oak Birch, Sycamore Hawthorn	15	#150-450	A1/2	The installation of the new swale and footpaths.
G10	Lime Sycamore	13.0	<370	B2	Proposed new roads within root protection areas. Proposed foundations of Buildings / Plots adjacent and within root protection areas
G11	Goat Willow Grey Willow Oak Birch Field Maple Sycamore Scots Pine Cherry	19.0	<75- 910	A1/2	The installation of the new swale and footpaths. Proposed foundations of Buildings / Plots adjacent and within root protection areas.
H6	Hawthorn Blackthorn	4.0	0.60- 1.80	B2	Proposed Areas of Hard Standing adjacent root protection areas

Table 2: Summary of Potential Impacts to Retained Trees

5.0 Tree Protection Measures

5.1 Based on the proposed layout and those trees proposed for retention, Table 3 below provides suitable protection measures/ mitigation to minimise the potential negative impacts to retained trees as stated at **4.2**.

Table 3 [.] Potential Im	nacts to Retained	Trees & Pronosed	Protection	Measure	/ Mitigation
				ivicasui e i	wiiliyalion

	<u>Potential</u> Impact	<u>Affecting</u>	Protection Measure / <u>Mitigation</u>	Description/ Specification and Procedure
1	Removal of Existing Hard Standing	T2	Shallow excavations	Excavations only permitted to the depth of the existing hard standing sub-base to retain any potential roots beneath this surface.
			Shallow excavations	Excavations only permitted to remove the surface grass / vegetation to create a suitable level for the new paths.
2	Proposed Areas of Hard Standing	G7, G11 & H6	Cell-web to be used	Sections of new footpaths hatched blue to incorporate a suitable plastic permeable paving grid (Cell- web or similar) and be constructed at existing site levels to avoid root severance of adjacent trees.
			Root pruning	Any roots exposed during the installation process to be pruned cleanly back to the soil surface as promptly as possible to avoid prolonged exposure.
3	Proposed Swales	G7 and G11	Root pruning	Any roots exposed during the installation process to be pruned cleanly back to the soil surface as promptly as possible to avoid prolonged exposure.
		H4, G10 & G11	Supervised excavations	Project arboriculturist to attend site prior to the commencement of any excavations to oversee the works to ensure no significant root damage occurs.
4	Proposed Buildings/ Plots	H4, G10 & G11	Root pruning	Project arboriculturist to oversee works and prune roots as necessary.
		H4, G10 & G11	 Fencing attached to scaffolding 	Tree protection fencing to be attached to elevation scaffolding where specified to prevent vehicular/ plant access within the root protection areas.
5	Shading and Nuisance	G11	Production of a thorough pruning schedule	Detailed pruning schedule for individual trees to be provided to alleviate shading/ nuisance.

5.2 On the basis of the above and the contents of this report, it is considered appropriate that an Arboricultural Method Statement be prepared to demonstrate how trees proposed for retention can be suitably safeguarded. The Arboricultural Method Statement can be secured by way of an appropriately worded planning condition attached to the consent for the development and should be adopted as a control document by site personnel.

5.0 Tree Protection Measures (Continued)

- **5.3** In addition to the erection of protective fencing, the attached drawings show areas where it would be beneficial to agree a tree protection method statement between the project arboriculturist, design & construction teams and the local planning authority tree officer. The method statement will need to address and make allowance for the following:
 - All forms of access required to the site;
 - Site cabins and storage areas;
 - Proposed parking for site personnel;
 - Phasing of works;
 - Space required for excavations (including foundation excavations);
 - Any required special construction techniques (for example provision of porous surfaces);
 - The location and construction methodology for installation of services in close proximity to retained trees & hedges;
 - Any changes in ground levels and any resulting requirement for retaining structures;
 - Proposed root zone enhancement measures;
 - Working space for cranes, plant and scaffolding; and
 - Management of waste products within the site.
- **5.4** Over and above the physical tree protection measures that should form the basis for the tree protection method statement, the following details should be provided within the Arboricultural Method Statement:
 - Protection of the soil structure within the proposed planted areas (where applicable);
 - Planting operations within the root protection areas of retained trees;
 - Any required / additional precautions outside of construction exclusion zones in relation to the treatment & landscaping of garden or open space areas;
 - System of arboricultural site monitoring / schedule of site visits and resulting actions.

6.0 Conclusions & Recommendations

- **6.1** The proposals to develop the site by the construction of 200 residential units will require the removal of H3 (in part), H4 (in part), T3, T5, G2, G3, T6, G4, H6 (in part), G5, G6, G7 (in part), G8, G9 (in part), H7 (in part) and G11 (in part).
- **6.2** In the absence of suitable controls, the development also has the potential to have an indirect impact on T2, H4, G7 (in part), G10, G11 and H6 that are proposed for retention as part of the development of the site. However, suitable protection measures as listed in Table 3 and summarised below will ensure trees are sufficiently protected throughout the entire course of the development.
- **6.3** Protection of retained trees from the impacts of the development proposals can be provided by:
 - The erection of protective fencing in advance of the commencement of the development in the locations shown;
 - The agreement, in advance of the commencement of the development, together with the implementation during the construction phase, of an Arboricultural Method Statement;
 - The use of cell-web, or a similar plastic permeable paving grid for new footpaths located within root protection areas of trees within G7 and G11; and
 - Arboricultural site supervision during construction works within root protection areas of retained trees where specified.
- **6.4** Compensation for the impact of the development, together with landscape and biodiversity enhancements can be achieved by way of the following:
 - The planting of trees, shrubs and where applicable hedges as part of a comprehensive landscape scheme to replace any vegetation lost and to integrate the development into the wider landscape; and
 - The use of a mixture of native and ornamental species within planting schemes, where those species are suited to the site and local landscape.

7.0 References

Department for Communities and Local Government (July 2018) *National Planning Policy Framework*;

British Standard 5837: 2012 *Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations*;

National Joint Utilities Group Publication *Guidelines for the Planning, Installation and Maintenance of Utility Apparatus in Proximity to Trees – Volume 4.*



Landscape, Arboricultural & Ecological Solutions for the Built Environment

Appendix 1

S:\Technical References & Standard Report Inserts\Appendix 1 Ascerta.doc

Site	Lathom Pa	Lathom Pasture, Skelmersdale									or:	HM			
Clier	nt Bellway Ho	omes (North W	/est)											
:									Survey Date: 11 th & 20 th December 2019			Ascerta			
Brie	f: Tree Surve	y to B	S5837:2	2012						Surv	ey	Rain			
									C	Conditior	ns:		Landscape	Trees	Ecology
														Pag	ge 1 of 4
T.	Species	Ht (m)	Stem	RPA Radius	E	Branch	Sprea	d	Ht Crown	Age	P	Structural Condition & General	Preliminary	Est.	Cat
NO		(m)	(mm)	(m)	N	S	E	w	(m)	Class	Condition	Comments	(not to be actioned without a valid planning consent)	(yrs)	Grade
T1	Birch	9.5	200+ 200+ 200+ 220	4.92	3	4	3	3	1	М	F	Creates a 'middle' feature within existing property access. Hard standing surrounding tree stem.	No work required at this time.	<20	C1
H1	Beech	3.5	<100	2.94	1	1	1	1	0	М	G	Maintained boundary hedge. Appears to be off-site. Existing road (hard standing) to north.	No work required at this time.	40+	B2
W1	Oak Birch Poplar Ash Hawthorn	14	#150- 400	1.80- 4.80	-	3	3	3	0	EM-M	G	Appears to be located just outside of site boundary. Typical condition of a mature woodland. Understory of Portuguese Laurel and Beech. Dense Bramble in areas. Subject to TPO.	No work required at this time	40+	A 1/2
T2	Beech	8	300+ 300	5.09	2	2	2.5	3	3	М	G	Bifurcate at 0.5m. Appears to be outside of site boundary. Recently crown reduced by owners.	No work required at this time	40+	B1
H2	Hawthorn Blackthorn Elder Birch Goat Willow	1.5- 7 (max)	#75- 170	0.90- 2.04	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	0	М	G	Boundary hedge. Typical understory of Bramble. Goat Willow trees established extending to 7m within hedge.	No work required at this time.	40+	B/C 1/2
НЗ	Hawthorn Ash	2.5	#75- 170	0.90- 2.04	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	0	М	G	Create boundary feature. Well maintained, uniform hedge. Dense Bramble understorey. Ash trees establishing.	Remove (in parts) to facilitate development proposals. Prune / clip remaining hedge to create more formal appearance.	40+	B1

NOTE: The Category Grade applied to trees surveyed is consistent with the recommendations within Table 1 of BS5837: 2012, however this does not necessarily correlate with the visual importance of a tree within the wider landscape, nor does it dictate which trees should be retained at the cost of quality development. Where trees are to be lost to accommodate a development, recommendations will be made such as to provide suitable mitigation and compensation, and to integrate the development into the wider landscape.

Key to Abbreviations & Headings

T. No.: Tree number (T = Tree, G – Group, W = Woodland, H = Hedge, Cpt. = Compartment) Stem DBH (Diameter at Breast Height): Measured at 1.5m above ground level* Ht Crown Clearance: Canopy ground clearance Structural Condition: Description of any observed defects Cot. Goode: Tree guality according to according on white BSS 27: 2012

Cat. Grade: Tree quality assessment in accordance with BS5837: 2012

Species: Common name used Root Protection Area Radius: Root Protection Area as per BS5837: 2012 Age Class: Y = Young, EM =Early Mature, M = Mature, OM = Over mature, D = Dead Preliminary Recommendations: Made in respect of known / intended use of the site * For groups of trees, the stem diameter of the largest tree in the group is generally used

Denotes estimated DBH where access was not possible

Ht: Approximate height of tree from ground level in metres Branch Spread: Extent of canopy spread in metres to each of the four cardinal points P (Physiological) Condition: G = Good, F = Fair, P = Poor, D = Dead Est. (yrs): Estimated remaining contribution in years

© Ascerta

Site	Lathom Pa	sture,	Skelme	rsdale					or:	HM					
Clie	nt Bellway Ho	omes (North W	/est)											
:									Su	rvey Dat	e: 11 ^{tl}	^h & 20 th December 2019		2	rta
Brie	f: Tree Surve	y to B	S5837:2	2012						Surve	әу	Rain			
									C	Condition	IS:		Landscape	Trees	Ecology
				-					r	T		1		Pag	ge 2 of 4
T. No	Species	Ht (m)	Stem DBH	RPA Radius	I	Branch	Sprea	d	Ht Crown Clearance	Age Class	P Condition	Structural Condition & General Comments	Preliminary Recommendations	Est. (vrs)	Cat
		(,	(mm)	(m)	N	S E		w	(m)				(not to be actioned without a valid planning consent)	()/	Grade
H4	Beech	2.5-3	#75- 150	0.90- 1.80	1	1	1	1	0	М	G	Appears to be located outside of site boundary.	Remove specified section. Prune / clip back to boundary as required, and to create a more formal appearance.	40+	B1
G1	Ash Birch Weeping Willow	12	<400 X3	<8.31	2	3	4	2	0	EM-M	G	Stems located outside of site boundary (within private garden). Some stems lvy clad.	Crown lift overhanging branches to 3.5m. Reduce branch tips back to boundary as required.	<30	C1
H5	Hawthorn	4	<175	<2.10	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	0	М	G	Boundary hedge. Ivy clad stems. Memorial flowers located adjacent to roadside.	Prune / clip hedge to create a more formal appearance. Remove x1 small Goat Willow as marked on the drawings.	40+	B1
Т3	Goat Willow	9	<400	<4.80	4	4	4	4	0	М	F	Multi-stemmed for typical of species.	Remove to facilitate development proposals.	<30	C1
T4	Sycamore	12	200+ 200+ 200	4.16	4	4	4	4	0	EM	F	Self-seeded, multi-stemmed form.	Crown lift to 3m.	30+	C1
G2	Sycamore	10	<450	<5.40	5	5	5	5	0	М	F	Stump regrowth from previous hedge flailing. Dense Bramble.	Remove to facilitate development proposals.	<30	C1
Т5	Birch	8	230	2.76	2	2	1	2	3	EM	Р	'Private land' sign attached to tree. Moderate size deadwood within upper crown.	Remove to facilitate development proposals.	<20	C1
G3	Birch	8	<300	3.60	4	5	4	4	0	М	F	Multi-stemmed form. Typical condition for species.	Remove to facilitate development proposals.	30+	C1
Т6	Birch	10	200+ 310	4.43	3	4	3	3	1	М	F	Bifurcate at 1.5m. Reasonable condition for age and species.	Remove to facilitate development proposals.	30+	C1

NOTE: The Category Grade applied to trees surveyed is consistent with the recommendations within Table 1 of BS5837: 2012, <u>however</u> this does not necessarily correlate with the visual importance of a tree within the wider landscape, nor does it dictate which trees should be retained at the cost of quality development. Where trees are to be lost to accommodate a development, recommendations will be made such as to provide suitable mitigation and compensation, and to integrate the development into the wider landscape.

Key to Abbreviations & Headings

T. No.: Tree number (T = Tree, G – Group, W = Woodland, H = Hedge, Cpt. = Compartment) Stem DBH (Diameter at Breast Height): Measured at 1.5m above ground level* Ht Crown Clearance: Canopy ground clearance Structural Condition: Description of any observed defects Cat. Grade: Tree quality assessment in accordance with B55837: 2012 Species: Common name used Root Protection Area Radius: Root Protection Area as per BS5837: 2012 Age Class: Y = Young, EM = Early Mature, M = Mature, OM = Over mature, D = Dead Preliminary Recommendations: Made in respect of known / intended use of the site * For groups of trees, the stem diameter of the largest tree in the group is generally used # Denotes estimated DBH where access was not possible Ht: Approximate height of tree from ground level in metres Branch Spread: Extent of canopy spread in metres to each of the four cardinal points P (Physiological) Condition: G = Good, F = Fair, P = Poor, D = Dead Est. (yrs): Estimated remaining contribution in years

© Ascerta

Site	: Lathom Pa	sture,	Skelme	rsdale					Surveyor:			HM			
Clie	nt Bellway Ho	omes (North W	/est)											
:	-								Su	rvey Dat	e: 11	th & 20 th December 2019	Accorta		
Brie	ef: Tree Survey to BS5837:2012									Surve	эy	Rain	AJUCILA		
		-							C	Condition	s:		Landscape	Trees	Ecology
											·			Pag	ge 3 of 4
T.	Species	Ht	Stem	RPA Radius	I	Branch	Spread	Р	Ht Crown	Age	P	Structural Condition & General	Preliminary	Est.	Cat
NO		(m)	(mm)	(m)	N	S	E	w	(m)	Class	Condition	Comments	(not to be actioned without a valid planning consent)	(yrs)	Grade
G4	Oak	8	250- 300	3.00- 3.60	4	4	4	4	0	EM	F	Squat form, self-seeded trees.	Remove to facilitate development proposals	30+	C2
H6	Hawthorn Blackthorn	4	#50- 150	0.60- 1.80	1	1	1	1	0	М	F	Previously maintained / laid hedge. Now overgrown.	Remove (in part) to facilitate development proposals.	40+	B2
G5	Norway Maple Alder Cypress Sycamore Poplar Hawthorn Elder	15	#200- 420	2.40- 5.04	3	3	3	3	0	М	F	Ivy clad stems. Reasonable condition for age and species.	Remove to facilitate development proposals	30+	C2
G6	Sycamore London Plane Elm	13	#300- 500	3.60- 6.00	5	5	5	5	0	EM-M	F	Boundary trees, multi- stemmed form, growing from raised embankment area.	Remove to facilitate development proposals	30+	C2

NOTE: The Category Grade applied to trees surveyed is consistent with the recommendations within Table 1 of BS5837: 2012, however this does not necessarily correlate with the visual importance of a tree within the wider landscape, nor does it dictate which trees should be retained at the cost of quality development. Where trees are to be lost to accommodate a development, recommendations will be made such as to provide suitable mitigation and compensation, and to integrate the development into the wider landscape.

Key to Abbreviations & Headings

T. No.: Tree number (T = Tree, G – Group, W = Woodland, H = Hedge, Cpt. = Compartment) Stem DBH (Diameter at Breast Height): Measured at 1.5m above ground level* Ht Crown Clearance: Canopy ground clearance Structural Condition: Description of any observed defects Cat. Grade: Tree quality assessment in accordance with BS5837: 2012

) Species: Common name used

Root Protection Area Radius: Root Protection Area as per BS5837: 2012 Age Class: Y = Young, EM =Early Mature, M = Mature, OM = Over mature, D = Dead Preliminary Recommendations: Made in respect of known / intended use of the site * For groups of trees, the stem diameter of the largest tree in the group is generally used # Denotes estimated DBH where access was not possible Ht: Approximate height of tree from ground level in metres Branch Spread: Extent of canopy spread in metres to each of the four cardinal points P (Physiological) Condition: G = Good, F = Fair, P = Poor, D = Dead Est. (yrs): Estimated remaining contribution in years

Site	: Lathom Pa	Skelme	rsdale						Surveyor: HM						
Clie	Client Bellway Homes (North West)														
:										Survey Date:		h & 20 th December 2019			rta
Brie	rief: Tree Survey to BS5837:2012									Surve	ey	Rain	ASUCILA		
										Condition	s:		Landscape	Trees	Ecology
														Pag	ge 4 of 4
T.	Species Ht Stem RPA Radius Branch Spread						d	Ht Crown	Age	P	Structural Condition & General	Preliminary	Est.	Cat	
NO		(m)	(mm)	(m)	N	S	E	w	(m)	Class	Condition	Comments	(not to be actioned without a valid planning consent)	(yrs)	Grade
												Linear trees either side of old	Remove specified stems for		
G7	Oak Birch Sycamore Hawthorn	15	#150- 450	1.80- 5.40	5	5	5	5	2	EM-M	G	railway line. Good examples of species. Open, well-spaced, becoming sparse in some areas. No obvious signs of maior defects.	proposed footpath and swale. Minimal pruning required to branch tips to the north-eastern edge of group.	40+	A 1/2
												···· ····	Remove any unstable deadwood overhanging development area.		
G8	Goat Willow	9	#75- 300	0.90- 3.60	3	3	3	3	0	EM	F	Self-seeded scrub, multi- stemmed form, typical of species.	Remove to facilitate development proposals	30+	C2
G9	Sycamore	11	#150- 300	1.80- 3.60	3	3	3	3	2	EM	F	Ivy clad stems, appear to be located within the site boundary. Self-seeded specimens.	Remove specified trees as indicated on the drawings. Clear out ground level scrub vegetation.	30+	C1
													Crown lift retained trees to 3.5m		
H7	Hawthorn Blackthorn Elder	3	#50- 200	0.60- 2.40	2	2	2	2	0	EM-M	G	Boundary hedge. Flailed in past, becoming overgrown, and gappy in parts.	Remove specified section. Prune / clip back to boundary as required, and to create a more formal appearance.	40+	B2
G10	Lime	13	<370	<4.44	3	3	4	2	2.5	EM	G	Appear to be located outside of site boundary. Likely to be managed by the Local Authority (approx. 3 trees have been heavily reduced in past with associated re-growth now rapidly occurring).	Crown lift overhanging branches to 4m. Reduce branch tips back to boundary as required.	40+	B2

NOTE: The Category Grade applied to trees surveyed is consistent with the recommendations within Table 1 of BS5837: 2012, <u>however</u> this does not necessarily correlate with the visual importance of a tree within the wider landscape, nor does it dictate which trees should be retained at the cost of quality development. Where trees are to be lost to accommodate a development, recommendations will be made such as to provide suitable mitigation and compensation, and to integrate the development into the wider landscape.

Key to Abbreviations & Headings

T. No.: Tree number (T = Tree, G – Group, W = Woodland, H = Hedge, Cpt. = Compartment) Stem DBH (Diameter at Breast Height): Measured at 1.5m above ground level* Ht Crown Clearance: Canopy ground clearance Structural Condition: Description of any observed defects Cat. Grade: Tree quality assessment in accordance with BS5837: 2012

Species: Common name used

Root Protection Area Radius: Root Protection Area as per BS5837: 2012 Age Class: Y = Young, EM =Early Mature, M = Mature, OM = Over mature, D = Dead Preliminary Recommendations: Made in respect of known / intended use of the site * For groups of trees, the stem diameter of the largest tree in the group is generally used # Denotes estimated DBH where access was not possible Ht: Approximate height of tree from ground level in metres Branch Spread: Extent of canopy spread in metres to each of the four cardinal points P (Physiological) Condition: G = Good, F = Fair, P = Poor, D = Dead Est. (yrs): Estimated remaining contribution in years

© Ascerta

Site:	Lathom Pa	Lathom Pasture, Skelmersdale			Surveyo	or:	HM								
Client	Bellway Ho	omes (North W	/est)									-		
:						Survey Date:			11 th & 20 th December 2019	Accorta		rta			
Brief:	Tree Surve	y to B	S5837:2	012						Surve	ey	Rain	ASCEILA		
		-							C	Condition	s:		Landscape	Trees	Ecology
Go Gr Oa G11 Fie Sy Sc Cr	oat Willow rey Willow ak rch eld Maple ycamore cots Pine herry	19	#75-400	1.27- 6.79	4	4	4	4	0	EM-M	F	Area of Goat and Grey Willow self-seeded scrub, with young Birch and Oak trees beginning to establish. Extends southwards into more mature linear group of trees, some good examples of species, individual trees marked on the drawing.	Remove specified stems for proposed footpath and swale	40+	A1/2

NOTE: The Category Grade applied to trees surveyed is consistent with the recommendations within Table 1 of BS5837: 2012, <u>however</u> this does not necessarily correlate with the visual importance of a tree within the wider landscape, nor does it dictate which trees should be retained at the cost of quality development. Where trees are to be lost to accommodate a development, recommendations will be made such as to provide suitable mitigation and compensation, and to integrate the development into the wider landscape.

Key to Abbreviations & Headings

T. No.: Tree number (T = Tree, G – Group, W = Woodland, H = Hedge, Cpt. = Compartment) Stem DBH (Diameter at Breast Height): Measured at 1.5m above ground level* Ht Crown Clearance: Canopy ground clearance Structural Condition: Description of any observed defects Cat. Grade: Tree quality assessment in accordance with BS5837: 2012

) Species: Common name used

Root Protection Area Radius: Root Protection Area as per BS5837: 2012 Age Class: Y = Young, EM =Early Mature, M = Mature, OM = Over mature, D = Dead Preliminary Recommendations: Made in respect of known / intended use of the site * For groups of trees, the stem diameter of the largest tree in the group is generally used # Denotes estimated DBH where access was not possible Ht: Approximate height of tree from ground level in metres Branch Spread: Extent of canopy spread in metres to each of the four cardinal points P (Physiological) Condition: G = Good, F = Fair, P = Poor, D = Dead Est. (yrs): Estimated remaining contribution in years



Landscape, Arboricultural & Ecological Solutions for the Built Environment

Appendix 2

S:\Technical References & Standard Report Inserts\Appendix 2 Ascerta.doc



Protection	Measure /	' Mitigation
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	moadaro	magaaon

-
<u>Description/ Specification and</u> <u>Procedure</u>
Excavations only permitted to the depth of the existing hard standing sub-base to retain any potential roots beneath this surface.
Excavations only permitted to remove the surface grass / vegetation to create a suitable level for the new paths.
Sections of new footpaths hatched blue to incorporate a suitable plastic permeable paving grid (Cell-web or similar) and be constructed at existing site levels to avoid root severance of adjacent trees.
Any roots exposed during the installation process to be pruned cleanly back to the soil surface as promptly as possible to avoid prolonged exposure.
Any roots exposed during the installation process to be pruned cleanly back to the soil surface as promptly as possible to avoid prolonged exposure.
Project arboriculturist to attend site prior to the commencement of any excavations to oversee the works to ensure no significant root damage occurs.
Project arboriculturist to oversee works and prune roots as necessary.
Tree protection fencing to be attached to elevation scaffolding where specified to prevent vehicular/ plant access within the root protection areas.

Detailed pruning schedule for individual trees to be provided to alleviate shading/ nuisance.

W1

mdwash House

arm

Brambles

 $\{\cdot\}$

 \bigcirc

 (\cdot)

ALL COORDINATES RELATED TO LOCAL GRID LOCATED TO OS NG BY BEST FIT TO DETAIL, EXTRACTED FROM OS DIGITAL DATA. DO NOT SCALE This drawing, including the design and technical information contained on it, is the property of Ascerta. The drawing may only be used for the specific purpose for which it has been intended and may not be reproduced or copied without prior permission. KEY Existing tree to be retained Existing tree to be removed Japanese Knotweed Target note - See Table 3 attached for details of required protection 1 measures Phase 2 rev PL01 rev A 24.11.20 Reason Date Rev Ascerta Landscape | Trees | Ecology t: 0845 463 4404 e: info@landscapetreesecology.com www.landscapetreesecology.com Self see Willow a CLIENT: Bellway Homes PROJECT: Lathom Pasture (Phase 2) Skelmersdale DRAWING TITLE: Tree Survey & Tree Removal Plan Sheet 1 of 3 SCALE: DRAWN BY: DRAWING No: 1:500 @A1 CP DATE: CHKD BY: 20/12/2019 RA P.1280.19.01







Table 3: Potential Impacts to Retained Trees & Proposed

	Potential Impact	<u>Affecting</u>	Protection Measure / <u>Mitigation</u>	
1	Removal of Existing Hard Standing	T2	Shallow excavations	e I
			Shallow excavations	i ç
2	Proposed Areas of Hard Standing	G7, G11 & H6	Cell-web to be used	;; i () ;;
			Root pruning	
3	Proposed Swales	G7 and G11	Root pruning	
		H4, G10 & G11	Supervised excavations	
4	Proposed Buildings/ Plots	H4, G10 & G11	Root pruning	1
		H4, G10 & G11	Fencing attached to scaffolding	:
5	Shading and Nuisance	G11	 Production of a thorough pruning schedule 	

Goat Willo

Opp

si la

1 TA-38 TA-38

SC 4D

AS

5

.

/\$

THI38

* ⁸¹20

SC 48

(4)

×

[4]

4 BO \$

1 1/1000

' ²/ ₂ /

K

000

MA 38

A Stage

200

≪∡>

St 30

AN Sta

A BL 2B BL 2B

OPp/

1 | BL 28 | BL 28 | . .

8

1H3p

Protection	Measure	[/] Mitigation
1 1010011011	mououro,	magaaon

Description/ Specification and
Procedure

Excavations only permitted to the depth of the existing hard standing sub-base to retain any potential roots beneath this surface.

Excavations only permitted to remove the surface grass / vegetation to create a suitable level for the new paths.

Sections of new footpaths hatched blue to incorporate a suitable plastic permeable paving grid (Cell-web or similar) and be constructed at existing site levels to avoid root severance of adjacent trees.

Any roots exposed during the installation process to be pruned cleanly back to the soil surface as promptly as possible to avoid prolonged exposure.

Any roots exposed during the installation process to be pruned cleanly back to the soil surface as promptly as possible to avoid prolonged exposure.

Project arboriculturist to attend site prior to the commencement of any excavations to oversee the works to ensure no significant root damage occurs.

Project arboriculturist to oversee works and prune roots as necessary.

Tree protection fencing to be attached to elevation scaffolding where specified to prevent vehicular/ plant access within the root protection areas.

Detailed pruning schedule for individual trees to be provided to alleviate shading/ nuisance.

W1

 (\cdot)

<u>م</u>ر ۲ خ

v\

()

• тр

.

BIZE

Op

BIZ

BIZE

1 SY 38

•DR •

•

• DR

ALL COORDINATES RELATED TO LOCAL GRID LOCATED TO OS NG BY BEST FIT TO DETAIL, EXTRACTED FROM OS DIGITAL DATA. DO NOT SCALE This drawing, including the design and technical information contained on it, is the property of Ascerta. The drawing may only be used for the specific purpose for which it has been intended and may not be reproduced or copied without prior permission. KEY

Existing tree to be retained

Extent of Root Protection Area for

retained trees in accordance with BS5837: 2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations



Proposed location of protective fencing - see inset for type / construction detail



Target note - See Table 3 attached for details of required protection measures



 \sim

Cell-web or similar plastic permeable paving grid to be used and surface constructed at or above existing ground levels.









This drawing, including the design and technical information contained on it, is the property of Ascerta. The drawing may only be used for the specific purpose for which it has been intended and may not be reproduced or copied without prior permission.

Existing tree to be retained

Extent of Root Protection Area for

retained trees in accordance with BS5837: 2012 Trees in relation to

design, demolition and construction - Recommendations

Proposed location of protective fencing - see inset for type

Target note - See Table 3 attached

for details of required protection

Cell-web or similar plastic permeable paving grid to be used

construction detail

measures

DO NOT SCALE

KEY

1



Protection Measure	/ Miti	igation
--------------------	--------	---------

Description/ Specification and Procedure

Excavations only permitted to the depth of the existing hard standing sub-base to retain any potential roots beneath this surface.
Excavations only permitted to remove the surface grass / vegetation to create a suitable level for the new paths.
Sections of new footpaths hatched blue to ncorporate a suitable plastic permeable paving grid Cell-web or similar) and be constructed at existing ite levels to avoid root severance of adjacent trees.
Any roots exposed during the installation process to be pruned cleanly back to the soil surface as promptly as possible to avoid prolonged exposure.
Any roots exposed during the installation process to be pruned cleanly back to the soil surface as promptly as possible to avoid prolonged exposure.
Project arboriculturist to attend site prior to the commencement of any excavations to oversee the vorks to ensure no significant root damage occurs.
Project arboriculturist to oversee works and prune oots as necessary.
ree protection fencing to be attached to elevation

scaffolding where specified to prevent vehicular/ plant access within the root protection areas.

Detailed pruning schedule for individual trees to be provided to alleviate shading/ nuisance.

Play Area



DO NOT SCALE

This drawing, including the design and technical information contained on it, is the property of Ascerta. The drawing may only be used for the specific purpose for which it has been intended and may not be reproduced or copied without prior permission.

Existing tree to be retained

KEY

Extent of Root Protection Area for retained trees in accordance with BS5837: 2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations



Proposed location of protective fencing - see inset for type / construction detail



Target note - See Table 3 attached for details of required protection measures



Cell-web or similar plastic permeable paving grid to be used and surface constructed at or above existing ground levels.

