

Kettlewell House Austin Fields Industrial Estate KING'S LYNN Norfolk PE30 1PH

t: +44(0)1553 819600 f: +44(0)1553 819639 e: planning@wlma.org.uk w: www.wlma.org.uk

Our Ref: 21_03736_P Your Ref: DC/21/00299

20/01/2021

Dear Sir/Madam

RE: Householder Application - Erection of part single storey and part two storey extension (following demolition of rear part of building and garage). Creation of new vehicular access, drive and parking area at Blackwater Hall St Marys Road Creeting St Mary Ipswich Suffolk IP6 8LX

The site is within the Internal Drainage District (IDD) of the East Suffolk Internal Drainage Board (IDB) and therefore the Board's Byelaws apply. A copy of the Board's Byelaws can be accessed on our website (https://www.wlma.org.uk/uploads/ESIDB_Byelaws.pdf), along with maps of the IDD (https://www.wlma.org.uk/uploads/ESIDB_Index_plan.pdf). These maps also show which watercourses have been designated as 'Adopted Watercourses' by the Board. The adoption of a watercourse is an acknowledgement by the Board that the watercourse is of arterial importance to the IDD and as such will normally receive maintenance from the IDB.

In order to avoid conflict between the planning process and the Board's regulatory regime and consenting process please be aware of the following:

- I note that the applicant has not identified or provided a drainage strategy for the site within their application. If a surface water discharge is proposed to a watercourse, then the proposed development will require land drainage consent in line with the Board's byelaws (specifically byelaw 3). Any consent granted will likely be conditional, pending the payment of a Surface Water Development Contribution fee, calculated in line with the Board's charging policy (https://www.wlma.org.uk/uploads/WMA Table of Charges and Fees.pdf).
- I note that the applicant has not indicated how treated foul water from their site will be disposed
 of. If the applicant wishes to discharge foul water to a watercourse this proposal will require
 land drainage consent in line with the Board's byelaws (specifically byelaw 3).
- I note the presence of a Board Adopted watercourse adjacent to the site boundary. Whilst not
 currently proposed, should the applicant's proposals change to include works within 9 metres
 of the watercourse, consent would be required to relax Byelaw 10 (no works within 9 metres of
 the edge of drainage or flood risk management infrastructure).
- Whilst not currently proposed, should the applicant's proposals change to include works to alter the Board Adopted watercourse, consent will be required under the Land Drainage Act 1991 (and byelaw 4).



Jane Marson (Chairman) Michael Paul (Vice-Chairman)

Phil Camamile (Chief Executive)



Cert No. GB11990 Cert No. GB11991

• I note the presence of a watercourse which has not been adopted by the Board (a riparian watercourse) adjacent to the site boundary. Whilst not currently proposed, should the applicant's proposals change to include works to alter the riparian watercourse, consent will be required under the Land Drainage Act 1991 (and byelaw 4).

Whilst the consenting process as set out under the Land Drainage Act 1991 and the aforementioned Byelaws are separate from planning, the ability to implement a planning permission may be dependent on the granting of these consents. As such I strongly recommend that the required consent is sought prior to determination of the planning application.

Kind Regards,

Emma

Emma Robertson
Sustainable Development Officer
Water Management Alliance