Arboricultural Consultants

Arboricultural Impact Assessment

The Red House, Dee Banks, Chester

Prepared for:

STERLING PROPERTY COMPANY LTD

Our Ref: 20/AIA/CHE(W)/371 (Rev A)

December 2020

Tree Solutions Ltd

T: 01244 389114 E: info@tree-solutions.co.uk W: www.tree-solutions.co.uk

Contents:

- 1.0 Instruction
- 2.0 Statutory Controls & Planning Policy
- The Site 3.0
- 4.0 **Development Proposal**
- General Constraints Data Construction Exclusion Zones 5.0
- 6.0
- 7.0
- Survey Methodology Juxtaposition of Trees & Structures Demolition/Development Impact to Trees 8.0
- Proposed Revisions 9.0
- Conclusions 10.0
- 11.0 **Limiting Conditions**
- Appendix 1 Tree Survey Schedule
- Preliminary Tree Constraints Plan Arboricultural Impact Plan Appendix 2
- Appendix 3

1.0 INSTRUCTION

- 1.1 We have been instructed by Sterling Property Company Ltd to carry out an Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) in order to assess the development proposal in relation to trees in accordance with the principles of British Standard 5837 'Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition & Construction - Recommendations' 2012.
- 1.2 We are instructed to prepare a report in order to provide information to assist all parties involved in the planning process to make balanced judgements regarding arboricultural features in relation to the proposed development at The Red House, Dee Banks, Great Boughton, Chester, CH3 5UX. As such, all trees within influencing distance to the development proposal both on and adjoining the site have been surveyed and are listed within a Tree Survey Schedule (*Appendix* 1) and plotted on all accompanying plans.
- 1.3 The stage 1 tree survey was carried out on 16 September 2020 by Alistair Henderson, Principal Consultant to Tree Solutions Ltd. Our appraisal of the mechanical integrity of trees on the site is enough to inform the current project. The assessment of trees is carried out from ground level without invasive investigation and the disclosure of hidden defects cannot therefore be expected. Whilst the survey is not specifically commissioned to report on matters of tree safety, we report obvious defects that are significant in relation to the existing and proposed land use. We do not carry out detailed safety inspections unless specifically instructed to do so in writing and have not carried out such inspections of trees on the proposal site.
- 1.4 Two individual trees (T1–T2) and two groups (G1-G2) were surveyed and mapped on a Preliminary Tree Constraints & indicative Impact Assessment Plan Ref: 20/AIA/CHE(W)/371 Drawing Nos. 1 & 2 at *Appendix 2*. All arboricultural information recorded during the survey is presented within a schedule at *Appendix 1*.
- 1.5 The Arboricultural Impact Assessment is based on the latest site layout plan provided by the project architects RADM.

2.0 STATUTORY CONTROLS & PLANNING POLICY

2.1 A search on Cheshire West & Chester (CWAC) interactive map on 13/10/2020 revealed that no trees on or adjoining the site are subject to a Tree Preservation Order. The land does however fall within a Dee Banks Conservation Area. As such, statutory planning consent is required prior to undertaking any works to trees not granted consent under this planning application.



Plate 1 – Extract from CWAC interactive map indicating Conservation Area in blue

2.2 **Protected Species**

2.2.1 Mature trees often contain cavities, crevices and hollows that offer potential habitat for species such as bats and barn owls. Both are afforded protection under the Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended), as well as The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c) (Amendment) Regulations 2007.

2.3 Wildlife Habitats

2.3.1 Trees and hedgerows of most species provide valuable nesting sites for a wide range of birds and it is likely that nesting birds will be present on the site during the period March to September.

3.0 THE SITE

3.1 The site contains a public house/restaurant with landscaped grounds to the west leading to the river Dee. There are residential dwellings to the north and south with Dee Banks to the east.

4.0 DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

4.1 Residential development with associated vehicular access and parking.

5.0 GENERAL CONSTRAINTS DATA - CONSTRUCTION EXCLUSION ZONES (CEZ's)

5.1 GENERAL

- 5.1.1 The three phases of an AIA were outlined in Section 1. In addition, during the development process for retention trees, there may be three and even four constraints to consider: Construction Exclusion Zone (CEZ's):
 - CEZ 1: Root Protection Area (see 5.2)
 - CEZ 2: Tree Crown Protection (see 5.3)
 - CEZ 3: Tree Dominance (see 5.4)
 - CEZ 4: New Tree Planting Zone (see 5.5)

CEZ's are explained below:

5.2 CEZ 1: ROOT PROTECTION AREA (RPA)

- 5.2.1 The RPA, calculated in m², should be protected before and during any demolition/construction works. This ensures the effective retention of trees by safeguarding a reliable quantum of functioning tree roots. The RPA is based on a radial measure from the centre of the tree stem, which is calculated by multiplying the stem diameter by a factor of twelve or by the (mean stem diameter²) x number of stems for multi-stemmed trees. With the AIA 1, the RPA is only shown indicatively on the preliminary TCP, as its shape may be subject to amendment as the design progresses.
- 5.2.2 During the AIA 2, the derived radial measure is converted by the arboriculturalist into the actual area to be protected, having due regard to prevailing site conditions and how these may have affected the tree(s), particularly in relation to factors affecting their likely rooting disposition. The RPA for each tree should initially be plotted as a circle centred on the base of the stem. Where pre-existing site conditions or other factors indicate that rooting has occurred asymmetrically, a polygon of equivalent area should be produced. Modifications to the shape of the RPA should reflect a soundly based arboricultural assessment of likely root distribution.
- 5.2.3 The means of protecting the RPA will include the installation of tree protective fencing prior to the start of any demolition or construction work on site. The prohibition of various activities within the RPA must be adhered to (e.g. mechanical excavation, soil stripping, fire lighting, material storage, lowering levels and creating excessive sealed surfacing) and may include the use of temporary ground protection and/or special engineering solutions where construction is proposed near to retention trees or within the RPA.

5.3 CEZ 2: TREE CROWN PROTECTION ZONE

5.3.1 This is the area above ground occupied by the crown (branches) of the tree, along with allowances for working space (safe working area) and if appropriate, for future growth. The extent of CEZ 2 is determined by considering the existing and future crown spread of the tree(s), bearing in mind the possibility of this being modified by an acceptable quantum of pruning.

5.4 CEZ 3: TREE DOMINANCE ZONE

5.4.1 N/A as all trees are to be removed.

5.5 CEZ 4: NEW PLANTING ZONE

5.5.1 In some cases, it may be appropriate to identify and protect areas intended for new landscape planting, which can fail to establish if the soil has been heavily compacted or contaminated during the demolition/construction process. The means of protecting CEZ 4 will either be by fencing it off prior to the start of works on site, or by soil remediation once construction has finished (and prior to the start of planting). Topsoil protection in areas destined for new planting is frequently an economy measure, saving on plant replacement and soil structure remediation.

6.0 SURVEY METHODOLOGY

- 6.1 The method used in the preparation of this report is based on the principles of BS 5837: 2012.
 - 1. Tree heights were surveyed to the nearest 1m.
 - 2. Trunk diameters were measured by use of forestry girth tape
 - 3. The category assessment (Table 1) on which the trees is based include current and long-term arboricultural, landscape, cultural and conservation values (BS5837: 2012). This table can be found at *Appendix 1*
 - 4. For clarity, the grading system is summarised from *Table 2* of the BS as follows:

U grade - trees for removal, effective for less than 10 years

A grade – trees of high quality and value, effective for more than 40 years

- **B** grade trees of moderate quality and value, effective for more than 20 years
- C grade trees of low quality and value, effective for 10 years

Note: We have indicated colour coding on the drawing and therefore a monochrome copy should not be relied on.

6.2 SOIL ASSESSMENT

- 6.2.1 A soil assessment should be undertaken by a competent person to inform decisions relating to:
 - the root protection area (RPA)
 - tree protection
 - new planting design; and
 - foundation design to take account of retained, removed and new trees (potential soil subsidence/heave)

Tree Solutions do not undertake soil assessments and the client is advised to seek specialist advice in this respect.

7.0 JUXTAPOSITION OF TREES AND STRUCTURES

7.1 Below ground constraints

- 7.1.1 The below ground constraints are generally summarised as the root protection area (RPA). The shape of the RPA and its exact location will depend upon arboricultural considerations including likely tolerance of the tree to root disturbance; morphology and disposition of the roots when known influenced by past or existing site conditions; soil type and structure; and topography and drainage.
- 7.1.2 The purpose of the RPA is to prevent physical damage to tree roots and to prevent damage to the soil structure. Tree roots are damaged by soil compaction, changes in soil levels or soil contamination which could reduce tree health and/or stability.

7.1.3 Root patterns are affected by topography and characteristics of the soil or substrate. Where trees are located within proximity to existing hard standing or underground physical barriers, they are unlikely to have an even distribution of lateral roots due to restrictions in root growth created by compacted sub-grades beneath. The RPA of tree number 2 has been modified and is shown running along the edge of the river and extending further east where a more favourable rooting environment exists. All other RPA's are shown unmodified as there were no significant underground barriers to prevent good radial root spread.

7.2 Underground Services

7.2.1 N/A as all trees are to be removed.

8.0 DEVELOPMENT IMPACT TO TREES

- 8.1 Tree Solutions carried out a phase one preliminary tree survey and provided the project architect with a report in which all existing trees/hedgerows and their respective Root Protection Areas (RPA) were identified and plotted on a tree constraints and impact assessment plan. The architect has incorporated the design and layout advice contained within the phase 1 survey and input from Tree Solutions to ensure the best quality trees can be retained with no adverse construction impacts. We are satisfied that the proposal has taken the long-term future of the most important trees into account and the layout is therefore in accordance with Cheshire West & Chester Council Planning Policies, National Planning Policy Framework 2019 (NPPF) and recommendations contained with BS5837: 2012.
- 8.2 In order to accommodate the proposed development it will be necessary to remove tree numbers 1 and group 2. Tree number 1 is a small purple plum that has been topped in the past resulting in an irregular crown form and pruning wounds to the main stem. This is not a visually significant tree and affords only low, transient value to the Conservation Area as such, its removal will have no adverse impact to the landscape character and setting. Group 2 are small Cordylines of no amenity value due to their low height and crown diameter. The best quality trees/shrubs are group 1 that can be easily translocated to a new location within the available new gardens.
- 8.3 It is clear that there are no significant trees on this site as a consequence all have been categorised as 'C' grade and should not form a material consideration of this planning application. We can therefore see no valid arboricultural grounds for refusal.



P1 – T1 (Purple Plum) to be removed



P2 – G2 small insignificant Cordyline to be removed



P3 – Formal Photinia (G1) to be translocated if possible

9.0 PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE SCHEME

9.1 We advise that all proposed revisions having implications for trees should be referred to us for review.

10.0 CONCLUSIONS

- 10.1 BS 5837: 2012 contains clear and current recommendations for a best practice approach to the assessment, retention, and protection of trees on development sites. The proposed development has followed this guidance by:
 - Seeking arboricultural advice and undertaking a phase 1 preliminary tree survey in order to inform the layout and design of the proposed development
 - Respecting the constraints posed to development of the site by high or moderate quality trees
 - Acting upon arboricultural advice throughout the design process in order to obtain the best development proposal whilst considering the current and future tree requirements
 - Trees to be removed are low grade and or no significant amenity/landscape value

11.0 LIMITING CONDITIONS

- Unless stated otherwise:
- Information contained in this report covers only those trees that were examined and reflects the condition of those trees at the time of the inspection.
- The inspection is limited to visual examination of the subject trees from ground level only and without dissection, excavation, probing or coring. There is no warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied, that problems or deficiencies of the subject trees may not arise in the future.
- This report has been prepared for the sole use and benefit of the client. Any liability of Tree Solutions shall not be extended to any third party.
- No part of this report can be reproduced without the authorisation of *Tree Solutions Ltd*.

Appendix One

Tree Survey Schedule

TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE (BS5837: 2012)

Tree Solutions

Arboricultural Consultants

SITE:	THE RED HOUSE, DEE BANKS, CHESTER	SURVEYOR:	A. HENDERSON	Γ	
CLIENT:	STERLING PROPERTY COMPANY LTD	ASSESSMENT DATE:	16/09/2020		PAGE 1 OF 1
BRIEF:	ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT	VIEWING CONDITIONS:	CLEAR		
		JOB REFERENCE:	20/AIA/CHE(W)/371		

TREE NO. T - Tree G - Group H- Hedge	SPECIES (COMMON NAME)	AGE	HEIGHT (m) + CROWN CLEARANCE/ DIRECTION OF GROWTH (N.S.E.W)	RADIAL CROWN SPREAD (m) N S E W		STEM/ MULTI-STEM* DIA. (mm)	VITALITY	COMMENTS	MANAGEMENT	CATEGORY & SUB- CATEGORY GRADING BS 5837	BS 5837 RADIUS (m) RPA (m ²)		
T1	Purple plum	M	7 0	1.5	2.5	2.5	3	350	G	 Small tee on steep embankment Topped in past at 3,5m – secondary crown above Not visually significant from any location outside site boundary and unseen E.R.C.10 	 Remove for development 	C3	4.2 55m²
T2	Alder	EM	6 1S	2	2	2	2	150 x4 300	G	 Mult-stem from past coppice Located off-site E.R.C.10 	• 3 rd party tree – N/A	C3	3.6 41m²
G1	Photinia glabra	EM	2	1	1	1	1	100	G	 Ornamental formal shrubs/small trees Easily transplanted E.R.C.10 	 Translocate within new garden area 	C3	1.2 5m²
G2	Cordyline	Y	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	100	G	 Small insignificant plants Easily transplanted E.R.C.10 	Remove for development	C3	1.2 5m²

HEADINGS & ABBREVIATIONS

TREE NO. SPECIES: AGE RANGE/LIFE STAGE: HEIGHT: CROWN SPREAD: CROWN CLEARANCE & DIRECTION OF GROWTH: STEM DIA/MULTI-STEM DIA: VITALITY: E.R.C. = ESTIMATED REMAINING CONTRIBUTION: BS 5837CATEGORY & SUB-CATEGORY GRADING: BS 5837 RADIUS & BS 5837 RPA: REFERENCE NUMBER. REFER TO PLAN OR NUMBERED TAGS WHERE APPLICABLE (T = TREE, G = GROUP, H = HEDGE) COMMON NAME (LATIN NAMES AVAILABLE ON REQUEST) Y = YOUNG, SM = SEMI MATURE, EM = EARLY MATURE, PM = POST MATURE ESTIMATED AND RECORDED IN METRES. APPROXIMATELY 1 IN 10 TREES ARE MEASURED USING A CLINOMETER AND THE REMAINDER ESTIMATED AGAINST THE MEASURED TREES MAXIMUM CROWN RADIUS MEASURED TO THE FOUR CARDINAL COMPASS POINTS FOR SINGLE SPECIMENS ONLY (MEASUREMENT FOR TREE GROUPS - MAXIMUM RADIUS OF THE GROUP) HEIGHT IN METTERS OF CROWN CLEARANCE ABOVE ADJACENT GROUND LEVEL (TO INFORM ON GROUND CLEARANCE, CROWN/STEM RATIO AND SHADING) STEM DIAMETER - MEASURED AT APPROXIMATELY 1.5 METRES ABOVE GROUND LEVEL (TO INFORM ON GROUND CLEARANCE, CROWN/STEM RATIO AND SHADING) STEM DIAMETER - MEASURED AT APPROXIMATELY 1.5 METRES ABOVE GROUND LEVEL (TO INFORM ON GROUND CLEARANCE, CROWN/STEM RATIO AND SHADING) RELATIVE USEFUL LIFE EXPECTANCY (YEARS) A MEASURE OF PHYSIOLOGICAL CONDITION. D = DEAD, MD = MORIBUND, P = POOR, M = MODERATE, G = GOOD RELATIVE USEFUL LIFE EXPECTANCY (YEARS) A = HIGH QUALITY AND VALUE, B = MODERATE QUALITY AND VALUE, C = LOW QUALITY AND VALUE, U = UNSUITABLE FOR RETENTION (SUB-CATEGORY REFERS TO ARBORICULTURAL, LANDSCAPE AND CULTURAL/CONSERVATION VALUES) PROTECTIVE DISTANCE - RADIUS FROM THE CENTRE OF THE STEM TO THE LINE OF TREE PROTECTION (CONSTRUCTION EXCLUSION ZONE - CEZ) AND PROTECTIVE BARRIER ROOT PROTECTION AREA - BS 5837 (2012) ANNEX D (THE RECOMMENDATIONS STATE THAT THE RPA SHOULD BE CAPPED AT 707 M³) NOTE – ALL CALCULATIONS ROUNDED TO NEAREST DECIMAL

Table 1Cascade chart for tree quality assessment

Category and definition	Criteria (including subcategories where appropriate)								
Trees unsuitable for retention	(see Note)								
Category U Those in such a condition	• Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning)								
be retained as living trees in	 Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline 								
the context of the current land use for longer than	 Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality 								
io years	NOTE Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which it might be desirable to preserve; see 4.5.7 .								
	1 Mainly arboricultural qualities	2 Mainly landscape qualities	3 Mainly cultural values, including conservation						
Trees to be considered for ret	ention								
Category A	Trees that are particularly good	Trees, groups or woodlands of particular	Trees, groups or woodlands	See Table 2					
Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years	examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)	visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features	of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture)						
Category B	Trees that might be included in	Trees present in numbers, usually growing	Trees with material	See Table 2					
Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years	category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remediable defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category A designation	as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality	conservation or other cultural value						
Category C	Unremarkable trees of very limited	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but	Trees with no material	See Table 2					
Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm	merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories	without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefits	conservation or other cultural value						

Appendix Two

Preliminary Tree Constraints Plan



Appendix Three

Impact Assessment Plan

