Tree Survey

In accordance with

BS5837:2012 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations'

Site Ref:	**4 Delgany Drive, Plymouth**

Aspect Ref:	**04911-4**
Survey Date(s):	**July 2017**
Surveyor(s):	**JK**

Using the Tree Survey Data

Species

Consideration should be given to whether trees are evergreen or deciduous, density of foliage, and potential nuisance factors such as susceptibility to honey dew drip, branch drop, fruit fall etc.

Canopy Spread

Measured on accessible compass points (estimated where access is restricted) - illustrating approximate current canopy size/shape. Consideration should be given to the existing and future spread of retained trees. Suitable separation between structures and tree canopies should be designed to avoid future nuisance, domination and unreasonable spatial relationships.

Tree Height

Tree heights are shown in the survey data and represented on plan by the shadow arc (existing height = radius of shadow arc).
Future potential height may also be shown - represented by a second arc.

Age Class

Young trees (up to ½ their potential age) generally require enough space to mature if long term retention is planned. Care must be taken with older trees as they are generally more susceptible to damage, and less tolerant of injury/harm through a) root damage; b) compaction of soil; and c) excessive and/or repeated pruning. Adequate space should be allowed for long term physical retention and future maintenance.



Root Protection Area - RPA

Radial **Root Protection Areas** assume a circular area of rooting - calculated in accordance with BS5837:2012.
RPAs represent minimum soil rooting area required to sustain the tree (capped at 707m^2).
RPAs may have been modified to reflect actual site conditions and may not be shown as circular on accompanying plans.
Incursion into the RPA during any part of the investigation, demolition, design & construction phases of the project will require specialist arboricultural input.
Early assessment of impact will facilitate the process and avoid abortive design works.
The RPA is circular by default - any deviation from this must be supported with professional arboricultural assessment.

Shadow Arc

A construct of BS5837 illustrating the general nature & influence where trees might obstruct **direct sunlight**.
The shadow arc represents the most significant area affected by obstruction of sunlight averaged over the year. It is not intended to be definitive and requires an amount of interpretation – it is a good starting point.
Where habitable buildings or useable amenity space are planned within the shadow arc areas it is recommended that further analysis is undertaken using Aspect's tailored software to assess the actual implications.
The shadow arc is not a representation of the absence of skylight/daylight and does not take into account the natural transmissivity of the trees crown – this varies depending on the species etc.
The internal layout, use of buildings and the arrangement of windows is also important. Heavy or prolonged shadowing (effects will be exemplified where trees form groups) of main living areas may be inadvisable whilst the shadowing of side elevations and ancillary rooms may be insignificant.

Demolition, Design & Construction Issues

When planning investigations, demolition, design & construction, layouts and configuring buildings it is important to consider the following against potential negative impacts on retained trees: Investigations (archaeological trenches); Construction space required to build the scheme; location of services/utilities; Highway visibility requirements; hard surfacing (a maximum of 20% coverage of previously undisturbed RPA may be acceptable – further specialist advice should be sought); and other infrastructure provisions such as substations, refuse stores, lighting, signage, satellite dishes and CCTV sightlines. Trees can effect and be affected by many aspects of site operations, during the conception and design process the project arboriculturist should be involved in the on-going review of layout, architectural, engineering and landscape drawings.

Proximity of trees to structures[1]: The default position should be that structures are located outside the RPAs of trees to be retained. However, where there is an overriding justification for construction in the RPA, technical solutions might be available that prevent damage to trees. Account should be taken of the proposed orientation and aspect of new buildings, the type of building, its use and location relative to the tree, and the species attributes of the tree. Buildings, footpaths and hard-standing areas should be designed with due consideration to the proximity of retained trees, especially in terms of their foliage, flowering and fruiting habits. Where conflicts might arise, detailed design should address these issues.

Planning Applications

Local Authorities have a **statutory duty** to consider the protection and planting of trees when granting planning permission for proposed development. The potential effect of development on trees, whether statutorily protected (e.g. by TPO/Con Area) or not, is a material consideration that is taken into account in dealing with planning applications. Consideration should be given to:

- Legal designations e.g. Tree Preservation Orders / Conservation Areas
- Planning policy – National policy (NPPF) / Regional / Local
- Guidance and best practice: BS8545:2014, **BS5837:2012**, BS4428:1989, NHBC Chapter 4.2, BRE CP75/75, BRE 209.

The level of arboricultural information required for planning may depend on the particular LPA or the type of application being made.

[1] Structure is defined in **BS5837:2012** as any manufactured object e.g. building, carriageway, path, wall, service run, and built or excavated earthwork.

BS5837:2012 provides the following guidance relating to levels of information required for planning:

DELIVERY OF TREE-RELATED INFORMATION INTO THE PLANNING SYSTEM:

Stage	Minimum detail	Additional information
Pre-application	• Tree survey.	• Tree retention/removal plan – draft.
Planning application	• Tree survey. • Tree retention/removal plan (final). • Retained trees and RPAs shown on proposed layout • Strategic hard and soft landscape design, including species and location of new tree planting • **Arboricultural impact assessment**	• Existing & proposed levels. • Tree protection plan (TPP). • Arboricultural method statement (heads of terms). • Details for all special engineering within the RPA and other relevant construction details.
Reserved matters/ planning conditions	• Alignment of utilities (including drainage), where inside the RPA or where installed using a trenchless method. • Dimensioned TPP & Detailed AMS. • Schedule of works to retained trees. • Detailed hard/soft landscape design.	• Arboricultural site monitoring schedule. • Tree and landscape management plan. • Post construction remedial works. • Landscape maintenance schedule.

ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT (INFORMATION REQUIRED):

- Evaluation: Impact of tree losses.
- Effect of construction on amenity value.
- Shadow influence on dwellings/buildings/amenity space.
- End use of space near retained trees - risk assessment.
- Designations: Tree Preservation Orders / Conservation Areas.
- Potential incompatibilities between layout and retained trees.
- Potential for new planting to provide mitigation for any losses.
- Canopy protection during construction (extension of RPA).
- Pruning works to facilitate development.
- Future pressure for tree removal.
- Direct & Indirect Damage.
- Proximity of trees to structures.
- Excavations or changes in ground levels near retained trees.
- Installation of hard surfacing in RPAs.
- Infrastructure requirements – services etc.
- Removal of existing structures and hard surfacing.
- Construction: access, working space, storage of materials/topsoil.

BS5837:2012 - CASCADE CHART FOR TREE QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Category and definition	Criteria			Identification on plan
Category U Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years.	• Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other U category trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning) • Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline. • Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality • NOTE Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which it might be desirable to preserve.			RED
Category and definition	**Criteria - Subcategories**			
	1 Mainly Arboricultural values	2 Mainly landscape values	3 Mainly cultural values	Identification on plan
Category A Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years	Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual, or those that are essential components of groups, or of formal or semi-formal Arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)	Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance and/or landscape features.	Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture)	GREEN
Category B Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years.	Trees that might be included in the high category, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of remediable defects including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the Category A designation	Trees present in numbers usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality.	Trees with material conservation or other cultural benefits	BLUE
Category C Those of low quality and value with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm	Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater landscape value, and/or trees offering low or only temporary screening benefit	Trees with no material conservation or other cultural benefits	GREY

Tree Survey - Key		***Age Class:***		***Condition:***		**Label/Tag Number:**	
HGT:	Height in Metres.	**NP:**	New Planting	**P = Physiological**			
ST Ø:	Stem Diameter in millimetres.	**Y:**	Young (1/5th of life expectancy)	**Good**	No significant health problems	**H:**	Hedge
Cr RAD:	Estimated average canopy radius to compass points.	**SM:**	Semi mature (2/5th of life expectancy)	**Fair**	Symptoms of ill health that can be remediated	**T:**	Off-site tree
CH:	Estimated height of crown clearance.	**EM:**	Early mature (3/5th of life expectancy)	**Poor**	Symptoms of ill health that cannot be remediated	**TG:**	Tree group
BD:	Estimated height and direction of lowest branch.	**M:**	Mature (4/5th beyond life expectancy and declining naturally)	**S = Structural**		**W:**	Woodland
Est Cont:	Estimated remaining contribution in years.	**OM:**	Over Mature (5/5th of life expectancy)	**Good**	No significant structural issues	*Individual on-site tree = no prefix*	
Rad RPA:	Radial Root Protection Area in metres from stem centre.	**V:**	Veteran (of great age for its species or possibly of conservation value)	**Fair**	Structural issues that can be remediated	*BS5837 Category (colour coded)*	
				Poor	Structural issues that cannot be remediated		

BS Cat – *Category of retention* **U:** *Removal* **A:** *High quality/value* **B:** Moderate quality/value **C:** *Low quality/value* **e:** *Estimated*

Notes: *Tree measurements up to 10m have been rounded to the nearest half meter. Measurements over 10m are rounded to nearest metre.* **Key Tree** *Key tree influencing design process*

Tree Ref	Species	HGT	St Ø	Cr Rad				Cr Hgt		Age class	Physiological & Structural con'd Observations –ve/+ve Preliminary Management Recommendations	Est Cont	RPA	BS Cat
				N	E	S	W	B_D	C_H					
1	**Oak** *Quercus robur*	15	570	6e	2e	5e	8.0	9 West	8.0	M	P: Good S: Fair • On 1.6m hedgebank • West recorded directly over site • Hedge 1 recorded as running north-south • Not Plotted on Topo. • Wildlife and habitat potential. • Ivy on stem. • Restricted access to tree.	20+	6.9	B1
2	**Oak** *Quercus robur*	15	580	6e	3.5e	7e	8.5	5 West	3.0	M	P: Good S: Fair • On 1.6m hedgebank • Not Plotted on Topo. • Wildlife and habitat potential. Ivy on stem. • Restricted access to tree.	20+	6.9	B1
3	**Oak** *Quercus robur*	15	580	6e	3.5e	7e	8.5	6.5 West	6.0	M	P: Good S: Fair • On 1.6m hedgebank • Not Plotted on Topo. • Wildlife and habitat potential. • Ivy on stem. • Restricted access to tree. • Range of deadwood throughout crown.	20+	6.9	B1
4	**Oak** *Quercus robur*	15	1300	6.0	6.0	6e	6e	4 East	5.5	M	P: Good S: Fair • Not Plotted on Topo. • Wildlife and habitat potential. • Restricted access to tree. • Range of deadwood throughout crown.	20+	15.0	B1
Hedgerows														
H1	**Mixed range of ornamentals**	2-8	150	As shown on plan				0 West	0.0	EM	P: Fair S: Fair • Height 2-8 • Mixed spp including Privet Cypress Holly Hazel • Not Plotted on Topo.	10-20	1.8	C2
H2	**Beech** *Fagus sylvatica*	4.5	100	---	3.0	---	3e	0 East	0.0	SM	P: Good S: Good • Not Plotted on Topo.	20+	1.2	B1

TREE CONSTRAINTS PLAN



TREE PROTECTION PLAN



DETAIL 4:
Specification For Protective Barrier



Stabilizer strut with base plate secured with ground pins

ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

4 Delgany Drive, Plymouth, PL6 8AG

Report of: **Jon Kiely** *MICFor, F.Arbor.A, CEnv. Chartered Arboriculturist and ICF Registered Consultant* | Aspect Ref: 04911-4

Instruction:
To assess the existing trees and provide an appropriate arboricultural assessment relating to the proposed development.

Proposed development:
Plymouth City Council ref: 17/00726/FUL. Single storey dwelling with detached garage.

Impact assessment:
My opinion on the arboricultural impacts are influenced by the magnitude of (potential) harm combined with the sensitivity of the feature affected. In relation to a planning application, impacts are equivalent to the risk to public amenity as measured against planning policy. I have assessed impacts using gathered data, professional judgement and regarding best practice guidance i.e. *BS5837 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations.'*

Planning policy:

National policy (NPPF): requires that regard is given to ancient woodland and aged or veteran trees.

Plymouth City Council LDF Core Strategy (adopted 2007)
Policy CS18 The Council will protect and support a diverse and multi-functional network of green space and waterscape, through:
(4) Using its planning powers to safeguard important trees and hedgerows, and to secure provision for soft landscaping where appropriate as part of development.
Emerging Plymouth Policies – Local Plan 2017 - Plymouth and South West Devon Joint Local Plan
Policy DEV24 Landscape Character Development will conserve and enhance landscape, townscape and seascape character and scenic and visual quality, avoiding significant and adverse landscape or visual impacts. Development proposals should:
Policy DEV30 Trees, woodlands and hedgerows
Development should be designed to avoid the loss or deterioration of woodlands, trees or hedgerows. If the loss of trees, woodlands or hedgerows, cannot be avoided, new native and locally appropriate trees and hedgerows will be secured as mitigation to ensure they contribute to a 'net gain'. Mitigation should be delivered on site, but if this is not achievable, offsite compensation will be required to provide a net gain in canopy cover in line with local standards.

Arboricultural Impact Assessment:

Public amenity: The benefits of the trees are aesthetic. Occupancy levels around the site are low, ranging from open space to residential units. The site is partially visible and the existing trees contribute to the local landscape.

Issue / Impact			Evaluation
Tree Loss	CAT A	n/a	No tree removal required to facilitate the proposed development.
	CAT B	n/a	No tree removal required to facilitate the proposed development.
	CAT C	n/a	No tree removal required to facilitate the proposed development.
Constraints posed by existing trees	Effect of construction on amenity value		There will not be any direct negative/adverse effects on public amenity. The site is located along a private road with limited public access/use.
	Shadow influence on buildings		The site is favourably orientated in respect of the mature large trees. The trees are very unlikely to conflict with the new building.
	End use of space near retained trees		Suitable development site for dwelling.
	TPO / CA		Not known – due to past one-side pruning of offsite tree additional protection might not be expedient in this instance.
	Incompatibilities between layout & trees		The site access point is near T4. This tree is located on the boundary with its stem base at the same ground level as the general open areas of the site (it first appears to be in a raised hedge bank) – removing the bank to create the access drive will not negatively impact the tree. There is a minor incursion (building footprint) in the RPA of 2x offsite trees. This is not likely to have a significant impact as the trees are open grown and freely rooted in all other directions, with a concentration of root connectivity along the boundary hedge bank.
Proposed works near trees	Excavations or changes in ground levels		The site is generally level and therefore excavation requirements should be minimal in this instance.
	Installation of hard surfacing in RPA		The access driveway route is immediately adjacent to tree T2 - and (technically within) the RPA. Further details in relation to the surfacing will be submitted in due course as part of a reserved matters application. In any event it can be stated with some confidence, that the risk of losing the tree is LOW.
	Pruning required to accommodate layout		The building foot print is close to the boundary hedges; which require cutting back to accommodate the propsoed development.

Summary of arboricultural impacts:
I have identified several mature trees near the proposed development of moderate quality (please refer to the survey schedule).
The trees can be incorporated into the proposed development. Where potentially adverse impacts are evident, such as relating to the access/driveway route it is likely that further design or construction working practices can adequately mitigate the risks. Subsequent design details to be constraints led and undertaken to ensure minimal impact trees.
The overall arboricultural impact of the proposed scheme is LOW-MODERATE.

Recommendations:
Suitably worded planning conditions are imperative to secure tree protection.
Construction Exclusion Zones should be established and implemented prior to construction (based on the RPAs indicated on the Tree Constraints Plan – as shown on the Tree Protection Plan drawing).
Arboricultural Method Statements (AMS) are recommended in relation to all works across the site, including:
- Driveway & access to be of limited dig using a cellular confinement system with permeable surfacing – see example specification below.
- General tree protection measures.
- Tree pruning specification.
- Compensatory new tree planting.

Example TREE PROTECTION FENCE specifications



Example Cellular Confinement System





KEY

BS 5837:2012 Tree Category
A B C U

TREE CONSTRAINTS INFORMATION

TAG Tree / Group Reference (by category)
Individual tree (by category)
Tree group/hedge (by category)
Root protection area - RPA (BS5837)
Shade arc - BS5837

TREE PROTECTION PLAN - DETAILS

Tree / Hedge Removal
FELL To be removed - Dashed

Arboricultural Method Statement/s
All AMS areas are to be worked in strict accordance to detailed arboricultural method statements.
AMS 1 - No-dig drive
AMS: a detailed Arboricultural Method Statement to be agreed with the LPA prior to commencement of development

Construction Exclusion Zone/s
Construction Exclusion Zone - CEZ
All operations inside of Tree Protective Fencing are to be supervised by an appointed arboriculturist.

Tree protective barrier/s
Tree protective fence / barrier (See Detail 4)

Documents/plans supplied by client for use in this drawing:
Topo Survey & Fixed Layout: **Surevy Site Plans CAD**

Accompanying documents which must be read in conjuntion with this drawing:
04911 Tree Survey 4 Delgany Villas

Notes

- All dimensions are in metres, unless otherwise stated.
- Shade Arcs are only shown for trees which are +10m in height.
- The original of this drawing was produced in colour, a monochrome version should therefore not be relied upon.

Client:	Mr & Mrs G Johnson		
Project:	4 Delgany Villas, Plymouth		
Title:	Tree Constraints / Protection Plan		
Date:	10.07.17	Drawn:	KH
Scale:	1:250 @ A1	Checked:	JK
Scale:	1:500 @ A3		
Dwg Ref:	04911 4 Delgany Villas_TCP_TPP		

ASPECT TREE CONSULTANCY
Aspect Tree Consultancy Ltd.
Level 5 Hamlyn House
Mardle Way, Buckfastleigh
Devon TQ11 0NR

Tel: 01364 644822
www.fresh-aspect.com