Heritage Statement

The **Heritage Statement** is for the applicant or agent to identify the heritage asset(s) that have the potential to be affected by the proposals and their setting. Please read the **guidance notes** provided at the back of this report to help you fill in the form correctly. https://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage/heritage-statements

Appendix 1 relates to the Historic Environment Record (HER) Consultation Report. You must state whether or not supporting data from the HER is required.

There are 3 options:

- HER report attached (this must be completed by the Historic Environment Record Team)
- HER report not considered necessary email attached from HER
- HER report not required by the Local Planning Authority as detailed on the relevant website validation requirements

Please tick the relevant box at the **back of this form** as to which option applies.

Both the **Heritage Statement** and the **Historic Environment Record Consultation report (Appendix 1)** must be completed in order to meet validation requirements of the Local Planning Authority – tick the boxes on the right hand side below to confirm the sections completed.

Note: All fields are **mandatory**. Failure to fully complete all fields may result in the form not being validated by the **Local Planning Authority (LPA)**.

To be completed by the applicant – please tick relevant boxes	
Heritage Statement completed	✓
2. Appendix 1 completed	✓

Heritage Statement

Site name 15 Buckingham Place

Address of site (Including postcode)

15 Buckingham Place Brighton

East Sussex BN1 3TD

Grid Reference

TQ306051

1. Schedule of Works

Please state the type of proposal e.g. extension to a listed building, internal alterations

Reconstruction of the partially collapsed canopy to the buildings front elevation

Please list the works proposed e.g. replacement windows, removal of internal wall, reinstatement of original staircase, damp proofing works to basement etc.

Removal of the existing failed canopy structure.

Installation of new steel brackets and a slender post to provide adequate structural support for the reformed canopy.

Reconstruction of the canopy structure to match the form of the existing. Including the reuse of timbers where possible.

Finishing the rebuilt canopy in lead sheet to provide adequate weathering to the structure.

Repairs to the balcony decking, cast iron balustrading and redecoration.

2. Pre Application Advice

Have you consulted the East Sussex Historic Environment Record, as the minimum requirement of the NPPF? \Box Yes \boxtimes No
If no, please provide the reasons why not below, including any correspondence with the HER/LPA archaeological advisor or LPA validation team:
The site is not located in an Archaeological Notification Area and is not a major development.
If Yes, please attach any correspondence to this Heritage Statement in Appendix 1 (including the HER Consultation Report)
Have you sought pre-application heritage advice from the relevant local planning authority? \square Yes \boxtimes No
If Yes, please provide a copy of any written correspondence with reference number/contact name if applicable.
n/a
Have you sought pre-application heritage advice from Historic England? \square Yes \boxtimes No
If Yes, please provide a copy of any written correspondence with reference number/contact name if applicable.
n/a

3. What heritage asset(s), including their setting, are potentially affected by the proposals? (Please tick the relevant boxes below) (See guidance section: https://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage/heritage-statements-what-heritage-assets-are-affected-proposals)

1: Scheduled Monument (SM)	
2. Listed Building (LB)	\boxtimes
3. Conservation Area (CA)	\boxtimes
4. Registered Park and Garden (RPG)	
5. Historic Battlefield (HB)	
6. Locally Listed Heritage Asset (LLHA)	
7. Archaeological Notification Area (ANA)	
8 Other Non-Designated Heritage Asset (including below ground	
archaeology)	

4. What is known about the affected heritage asset(s)? (See guidance section: https://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage/heritage-statements-what-known-about-affected-heritage-assets)

4 (a). Using the information obtained through research and on-site analysis provide a summary of the history of the site/building.

Please add a summary history of the site/building including specifically the parts that will be impacted by the proposals – e.g. how the site/building was originally laid out, how it has evolved, phases of construction and/or change. Please also provide information on past impacts – e.g. modern extension, drainage, former footings, recent landscaping, gardening. Please include / attach any research material as an addendum to this Statement after Appendix 1.

The building is a mid-terrace house thought to date from around 1850. The external walls are finished with stucco. The original roof coverings (likely Welsh slate) have at some point been replaced with interlocking concrete tiles, probably in the 1970's or 80's.

Windows are generally timber vertically sliding sash, albeit a number have been replaced with casements. At some point in the past the house was converted into flats. To the front elevation, at ground floor level, there is a timber balcony with cast-iron railings and timber canopy over.

The impact of the proposed works will be in relation to the front canopy. The canopy is in a poor state of repair and has failed structurally. The canopy lacks adequate weathering as it is finished with painted plywood panels only.

At some point polycarbonate roof lights have been inserted into the canopy. The lack of adequate weathering has led to its decay and structural failure. The proposal will address the structural failure and lack of weathering.

4 (b) What research have you undertaken to understand the significance of the heritage asset(s) affected?

Please tick the relevant boxes

HER	
The Keep (East Sussex Record Office)	
Map regression (historic maps)	
Local Planning Authority sources	\boxtimes
Historic England sources	\boxtimes
Museum or Library (please provide details)	
Other (please state)	

(Please include / attach any research material as an addendum to this Statement after Appendix 1.)

5. What is important about the affected heritage asset(s) ('the significance')? (See guidance section: heritage-assets)

Use this space to describe the significance of the heritage asset(s) including their setting (and any below ground archaeology) identified in Section 3. Please see the guidance notes on page 9 on what a heritage asset is and how to define significance.

(Please continue on a separate sheet of paper if further space is required and attach / include as an addendum to this Statement after Appendix 1.)

The property and those adjacent form a terrace, which appears to be of architectural significance. According to the Historic England website the terrace dates from about 1845. The entry mentions the bracketed balconies with cast iron railings and canopies over as well as the sashes of original design and the bracketed eaves.

6. How will the proposals impact on the significance of the heritage asset(s) including their setting? (See guidance section: https://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage/heritage-statements-how-will-proposals-impact-significance-heritage-assets)

Please discuss what impact the proposals have on the heritage asset(s) i.e. loss or disturbance of historic building fabric, below or above ground archaeological impacts, setting or change of relationship between buildings and altering scale. (Please continue on a separate sheet of paper if further space is required and attach / include as an addendum to this Statement after Appendix 1.)

There will be a loss of original timbers that support the failed canopy where these are decayed and have to be replaced.

Additional structural support is required in the form of galvanised steel brackets and a slender steel post. As designed by a structural engineer. The brackets will be painted white and the post black as a more appropriate finish than galvanised.

These structural works will ensure the safety and longevity of the canopy and balcony.

The canopy will be reformed to match its existing form albeit weathered in traditional lead sheet. The polycarbonate roof lights, which are not original, will be removed.

7. How has the proposal been designed to conserve the significance of the heritage asset(s) including their setting? (See guidance section: https://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage/heritage-statements-how-has-proposal-been-designed-conserve-significance)

Describe how the proposal has been designed to conserve and enhance the significance of the heritage assets (including below ground archaeology). Also describe how any harmful impacts have been avoided or minimised. For example, use of raft foundations, movement of the proposed extension to a less sensitive location.

(Please continue on a separate sheet of paper if further space is required and attach / include as an addendum to this Statement after Appendix 1.)

Where possible sound timbers (i.e. rafters) will be retained and reused. The decorative timber elements (i.e. the mouldings to the canopy facia) will be reinstated to match existing.

The reformed canopy will be finished with lead sheet to provide permanent weathering and protection to the canopy structure. The use of lead sheet, being a traditional building material, and used on nearby canopies of a similar nature (i.e. no. 47. Buckingham Place) is thought appropriate.

The original cast iron balustrading will be repaired, redecorated and re-fixed as part of the works.

The overall appearance of the building will therefore be enhanced by the proposal.

Contact details:

County Archaeology Team
County.archaeology@eastsussex.gov.uk

Historic Environment Record County.HER@eastsussex.gov.uk

Historic England www.historicengland.org.uk

Local Planning Authority

Brighton & Hove City Council: http://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage

Appendix 1

To be completed by the applicant – please tick the relevant box	
Please note one of these boxes must be ticked or your application	
will not be valid	
For further help contact County.HER@eastsussex.gov.uk	
HER Consultation report attached	□#
HER Consultation report not considered necessary as confirmed in	□#
attached email from HER	
HER Consultation report not relevant for reasons indicated by the Local	
Planning Authority. These reasons are:	#
- The site is not located in an Archaeological Notification Area and	\boxtimes
is not a major development	
- The site is located in an Archaeological Notification Area but no	
below ground works or demolition works are proposed	
- The application is for change of use	

The **Heritage Statement** should identify all **heritage assets** potentially affected and their settings; describe their significance; and assess the potential impact of the proposal on that significance, including direct physical change and change to their setting.

Heritage assets include designated and non-designated assets, as well as both buildings and below ground archaeology.

The **National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)** (Department for Communities and Local Government, July 2018) sets out the government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied. The relevant section which relates to heritage is: **Section 189** which states that:

In determining applications, local planning authorities should require an applicant to describe the significance of any heritage assets affected, including any contribution made by their setting. The level of detail should be proportionate to the assets' importance and no more than is sufficient to understand the potential impact of the proposal on their significance. As a minimum the relevant historic environment record should have been consulted and the heritage assets assessed using appropriate expertise where necessary. Where a site on which development is proposed includes or has the potential to include heritage assets with archaeological interest, local planning authorities should require developers to submit an appropriate desk-based assessment and, where necessary, a field evaluation.

National Planning Policy Framework:

https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2

For **further information** on assessment of significance and assessing the potential impact of a proposal on that significance, including setting, can be found in (or their future updates):

- CLG (2012) **National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)** (especially paragraph 17, Section 12 and Annex 2 Glossary)
- CLG (2014) **Planning Policy Guidance (PPG)** (especially 18a, paragraph 001-063)
- Historic England (2015) Good Practice Advice (GPA) note 2 Managing Significance in Decision Taking in the Historic Environment
- Historic England (2015) Good Practice Advice (GPA) note 3 The Setting of Heritage Assets

The NPPF and PPG also include a Glossary and explanation of terms, such as 'heritage asset', 'setting' and 'significance':

Heritage asset: A building, monument, site, place, area or landscape identified as having a degree of significance meriting consideration in planning decisions, because of its heritage interest. Heritage asset includes designated heritage assets and assets identified by the local planning authority (including local listing).

Setting of a heritage asset: The surroundings in which a heritage asset is experienced. Its extent is not fixed and may change as the asset and its surroundings evolve. Elements of a setting may make a positive or negative contribution to the significance of an asset, may affect the ability to appreciate that significance or may be neutral.

Significance (for heritage policy): The value of a heritage asset to this and future generations because of its heritage interest. That interest may be archaeological, architectural, artistic or historic. Significance derives not only from a heritage asset's physical presence, but also from its setting. (NPPF Annex 2: Glossary)