

PRELIMINARY BAT ROOST ASSESSMENT OF:

LAVENDER COTTAGE
DIPPENHALL STREET
CRONDALL
GU10 5PF

Client: David Holden - Penny Black Construction Ltd

Our reference: ECO2665

Report date: 22 October 2020

Author: Ryan Davies BSc (Hons) ACIEEM

Checked by: Giles Sutton BSc (Hons) MSc CEnv MCIEEM

REPORT ISSUED IN ELECTRONIC FORMAT



Tel: 01189 759387

Email: info@gsecology.co.uk

Web: www.gsecology.co.uk

This page is intentionally blank



Contents

1.0 Introduction..... 2

2.0 Methodology 4

3.0 Results6

4.0 Assessment..... 8

5.0 Summary9

Appendix 1 - Photographs 10

Appendix 2 - Bat ecology and conservation status 12

Appendix 3 - Legislation and planning policy14

Appendix 4 - About GS Ecology17

1.0 Introduction

Survey and reporting

- 1.1 This report details the results of a Preliminary Bat Roost Assessment of Lavender Cottage, Dippenhall Street, Crondall, Farnham, GU10 5PF. The survey, carried out on 22 September 2020, was undertaken to inform proposals to extend the house.

Application site

- 1.2 Lavender Cottage is located at the intersection of Dippenhall Street and St Cross Road, two residential roads in the village of Crondall, north west of Farnham (Grid Reference SU79894843; Figure 1). The application site comprises an end of terrace house and its associated garden.

Details of proposed works

- 1.3 It is proposed to erect a one and two-storey extension at the north eastern end of the house (see Figure 2).
- 1.4 It is understood that no trees will be affected by the proposed extension.

Figure 1 – Site location





Figure 2 – Proposed elevations



2.0 Methodology

Desk study

- 2.1 A desk study data search was undertaken. This involved reviewing publicly available datasets and citations of statutory designated sites of importance for nature conservation and Natural England's Ancient Woodland Inventory for sites within the zone of influence of the survey area (considered to be a maximum of 500m in this case).
- 2.2 In addition, species records (on the MAGIC website¹) were accessed, and aerial photographs and Ordnance Survey maps were studied for features of interest.

Preliminary Bat Roost Assessment

- 2.3 The preliminary bat roost assessment comprised a survey of the building, and any trees to be affected by the proposals (understood to only be none in this case), for bats, signs of bats and features potentially suitable for use by roosting bats, and an assessment of the surrounding habitat in terms of its suitability for commuting and foraging bats.
- 2.4 The survey consisted of a detailed search of the interior and exterior of the buildings, looking for bats and/or evidence of bats including droppings (on walls and windowsills and in roof and loft spaces), rub or scratch marks, staining at potential roosts and exit holes, live or dead bats and features, such as raised or missing tiles, potentially suitable for use by roosting bats. Binoculars, an endoscope, a ladder and a high-powered torch were used as required.
- 2.5 Buildings and trees are classified according to their suitability for use by roosting bats. The classification is dependent on a number of factors including:
 - Bats and/or signs of bats
 - External and internal features potentially suitable for use by roosting bats (e.g. raised or missing tiles, gaps behind fascia boards, rot holes in trees, etc.)
 - Setting
 - Night time light levels
 - Disturbance levels
 - Proximity of suitable foraging habitat and commuting routes (e.g. ponds, streams, woodland, large gardens, hedgerows)
- 2.6 The categories used to classify buildings and trees and the survey effort required to determine the presence or absence of bats (as per the Bat Conservation Trust's Bat Survey Guidelines², referred to by Natural England in their standing advice to planning officers) are described in Table 1, and factors affecting habitat suitability in Table 2.

Surveyor details

- 2.7 The survey was undertaken by Ryan Davies BSc (hons) ACIEEM (senior ecologist) of GS Ecology Ltd. Ryan is an associate member of the Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management and holds a Natural England WML A34 Level 2 bat survey licence.

¹ <http://www.natureonthemap.naturalengland.org.uk/>

² Collins, J. (ed.) (2016) Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists: Good Practice Guidelines (3rd edn) Bat Conservation Trust

Table 1 – Description of the categories used to assess a building or tree’s bat roost potential and the survey effort required to determine the likely presence or absence of bats

	Roost status	Description	Survey effort required to determine the likely presence or absence of bats
Bat Roost Potential	Confirmed	Bats or evidence of bats found.	Surveys would be required to establish the status of the roost. Generally three dusk emergence and/or pre-dawn re-entry surveys between May and September. Optimum period May – August (two surveys should be undertaken during the optimal period and at least one survey should be a pre-dawn survey).
	High	A structure or tree with one or more potential roost sites that are obviously suitable for use by larger numbers of bats on a more regular basis and potentially for longer periods of time due to their size, shelter, protection, conditions and surrounding habitat.	Three dusk emergence and/or pre-dawn re-entry surveys between May and September. Optimum period May – August. Two surveys should be undertaken during the optimal period and at least one survey should be a pre-dawn survey.
	Moderate	A structure or tree with one or more potential roost sites that could be used by bats due to their size, shelter, protection, conditions and surrounding habitat but unlikely to support a roost of high conservation status (with respect to roost type only i.e. irrespective of species conservation status, which is established after presence is confirmed).	Two surveys, comprising one dusk emergence and a separate pre-dawn re-entry survey between May and September (one of the surveys needs to be carried out between May and the end of August).
	Low	A structure with one or more potential roost sites that could be used by individual bats opportunistically. However, these potential roost sites do not provide enough space, shelter, protection, appropriate conditions and/or suitable surrounding habitat to be used on a regular basis or by larger numbers of bats (i.e. unlikely to be suitable for maternity or hibernation) A tree of sufficient size and age to contain features but with none seen from the ground or features seen with only very limited roosting potential	One dusk emergence or pre-dawn re-entry survey between May and the end of August (but only if features will be affected by the proposals). May not be required for trees with low roost suitability (dependent on case-specific conditions) as a precautionary approach to tree works can be taken to minimise the risk of harming bats.
	Negligible	Negligible habitat features on site likely to be used by roosting bats.	No further surveys required.

Table 2 – Habitat suitability scale for commuting and foraging bats

	Habitat Suitability	Description
Suitability of habitat for commuting and foraging	High	Continuous, high-quality habitat that is well connected to the wider landscape that is likely to be used regularly by commuting bats such as river valleys, streams, hedgerows, lines of trees and woodland edge. High-quality habitat that is well connected to the wider landscape that is likely to be used regularly by foraging bats such as broadleaved woodland, tree-lined watercourses and grazed parkland. Site is close to and connected to known roosts
	Moderate	Continuous habitat connected to the wider landscape that could be used by bats for commuting such as lines of trees and scrub or linked back gardens. Habitat that is connected to the wider landscape that could be used by bats for foraging such as trees, scrub, grassland or water
	Low	Habitat that could be used by small numbers of commuting bats such as a gappy hedgerow or un-vegetated stream, but isolated, i.e. not very well connected to the surrounding landscape by other habitat. Suitable, but isolated habitat that could be used by small numbers of foraging bats such as a lone tree (not in a parkland situation) or a patch of scrub.
	Negligible	Negligible habitat features on site likely to be used by commuting or foraging bats

3.0 Results

Weather conditions

- 3.1 Weather conditions during the survey were 20°C, 4/8ths cloud cover with no rain.

Desk study

Statutory sites of importance for nature conservation

- 3.2 There are no statutory sites of importance for nature conservation or areas of woodland listed on Natural England's Ancient Woodland Inventory within 500m of the application site.

Bat licence records

- 3.3 Within 2km of the site there are two records of licenses issued by Natural England for works affecting bat roosts on The MAGIC website. These records are summarised in Table 3 below.

Table 3 – Summary of Natural England licence records within 2km of the application site

Distance and direction from the application site	Species affected	Roost type	Year licence was issued
1.7km South-east	Brown long-eared, common pipistrelle	Non-breeding	2015
1.8km South	Common pipistrelle, soprano pipistrelle	Breeding	2010

Surrounding land use

- 3.4 The application site is located at the intersection of Dippenhall Street and St Cross Road, two residential roads in the village of Crondall, north west of Farnham. Adjacent to the site in all directions are residential properties and their associated gardens with some trees.
- 3.5 Further to the south east, south and west are large arable fields with few trees along their boundaries. Approximately 230m south is Farnham Road Recreational Ground, which comprises an amenity grassland field bound by lines of trees.
- 3.6 Further to the north west (approx. 240m) are the grounds of Crondall Primary School and All Saints Church, which have numerous trees within their curtilage. Beyond to the north east is Oak Park Golf Course, which comprises amenity grassland fairways, areas of rough, sections of woodland and several waterbodies, with the nearest located approximately 370m north east.
- 3.7 The habitats surrounding the site are therefore of predominantly “moderate” suitability for commuting and foraging bats, with habitats of higher suitability in the wider landscape.

Habitats within the application site

- 3.8 The application site comprises an end of terrace house and its associated garden. The garden at Lavender Cottage contains an amenity grassland lawn, paved pathways, shrub planting and ornamental Leyland cypress hedges. At the time of the survey the lawn had not recently been mown – as the property was unoccupied – but the garden appeared to have been (until recently) well-maintained.

Bat survey (preliminary roost assessment)

- 3.9 Lavender Cottage is a one and two-storey, end of terrace house with cavity brick walls. The roof is hipped and clad with concrete tiles, which are generally in good condition and tightly fitted. There are some superficial gaps under the end tiles however, these gaps were blocked with mortar, debris and thick cobwebs. Furthermore, these areas do not lead into the loft space, as the fibreglass insulation extends above the walls. The house has painted wooden soffits which are tightly fitted to the brickwork at the eaves. Across the north western elevation the walls are overgrown with wisteria, which is beginning to cover the soffits and parts of the roof at the southern end of the house.

- 3.10 The only features potentially suitable for use by roosting bats were, a slipped tile below the main chimney breast, and, a gap between a section of lead flashing and the roof at the eaves (possibly part of an outflow gutter). It was possible to inspect both areas from inside the building and no bats or signs of bats were found. Bird droppings were observed inside the building near the gap at the eaves. This feature is completely covered by wisteria on the exterior of the house, which will prevent bats from accessing this area. Furthermore, neither feature is located near to the proposed works area and both will be completely unaffected by the proposed extension.
- 3.11 Inside the house has a single loft space which runs the length of the main roof pitch. The loft has wooden timbers and ridgeboard and the roof is lined with bituminous underfelt. The loft is insulated with thick fibreglass which extends across the eaves.
- 3.12 No bats or signs of bats were found during the survey of Lavender Cottage and it has very few features potentially suitable for use by roosting bats. Overall the building is assessed as having “low” potential to host a bat roost (see Table 1) as it has a few features that are suitable for use by small numbers of crevice dwelling bats, however these features will however be unaffected by the works.

4.0 Assessment

Survey constraints

- 4.1 The survey was carried out at a time of year suitable for undertaking preliminary bat roost assessments and there were no constraints to the survey.

Site status

- 4.2 No bats or signs of bats were found during the survey of Lavender Cottage. It has very few features potentially suitable for use by roosting bats, and, the few features potentially suitable for use by roosting bats - a slipped tile below the main chimney breast, and, a gap between a section of lead flashing and the roof at the eaves - are completely unaffected by the works.
- 4.3 It is therefore very unlikely that bats will be affected by the works, there is no need to undertake further surveys, and there should be no bat related constraints to the proposals.
- 4.4 Appendix 2 provides further information on bat ecology and legislation.

Planning policy

- 4.5 Paragraph 99 of the Government Circular 06/05: Biodiversity and Geological Conservation - Statutory Obligations and Their Impact Within The Planning System (NB this document has not been revoked by the National Planning Policy Framework) states that:

"It is essential that the presence or otherwise of protected species, and the extent that they may be affected by the proposed development, is established before the planning permission is granted, otherwise all relevant material considerations may not have been addressed in making the decision. The need to ensure ecological surveys are carried out should therefore only be left to coverage under planning conditions in exceptional circumstances, with the result that the surveys are carried out after planning permission has been granted. "

- 4.6 In this case, because it has been established that the proposed development works are very unlikely to have any adverse impact upon bats (or other protected species) the proposals will be in accordance with the above planning policy.

5.0 Summary

- 5.1 No bats or signs of bats were found during the survey of Lavender Cottage and it has very few features potentially suitable for use by roosting bats. Moreover, the few features potentially suitable for use by roosting bats, a slipped tile below the main chimney breast, and, a gap between a section of lead flashing and the roof at the eaves, are located away from the proposed extension and will be completely unaffected by the works.
- 5.2 The proposed works are therefore very unlikely to have any adverse impact upon bats and there should be no bat related constraints to the proposals.

Appendix 1 - Photographs

Photos 1 and 2 – Lavender Cottage viewed from the north and south



Photo 3 – The roof, which is in good condition with tiles tightly fitted, and, Photo 4 – Gaps under end tiles, which are blocked with mortar, cobwebs and debris



Photos 5 and 6 – The soffits, which are in tightly fitted to the brickwork and overgrown with wisteria in places



Photos 7 and 8 - Slipped tile below the main chimney breast, viewed externally and internally, which was inspected and no bats or signs of bats were observed.



Photos 9 and 10 – Inside the loft space at Lavender Cottage



Photo 11 – The fibreglass insulation, which extends across the eaves, and, Photo 12 - Gap between a section of lead flashing and the roof at the eaves. Bird droppings were observed on the fibreglass near the hole but no bats or signs of bats were found



Appendix 2 - Bat ecology and conservation status

Background

Bats are the only true flying mammals and belong to their own taxonomic group, the *Chiroptera*. Worldwide there are almost 1,000 species, with 16 in the UK. All species in the UK are insectivorous. They have a highly sophisticated echolocation system that allows them to avoid obstacles and catch invertebrates, either in flight or by picking them off water, the ground or foliage.

Bat species in the UK

There are 16 species of bat that are known to exist in the UK mainland, with a further two - the greater mouse eared bat *Myotis myotis*, and the parti-coloured bat *Vespertilio murinus* - that are thought to occur as rare migrants or to have small populations in the UK. Bats in the UK belong to one of two taxonomic families, the Rhinolophidae (horseshoe bats) and the Vespertilionidae (all other UK bats).

Bat Conservation Status

Bat populations have undergone a significant decline in the past sixty years. For example, estimates from the National Bat Colony Survey suggest that the UK pipistrelle population (one of our commonest bat species), declined by approximately 70% between 1978 and 1993. Factors contributing to this decline include:

- Loss of, and damage to, roosting sites, including buildings, trees, and underground structures (mines, tunnels, ice-houses, cellars, etc).
- Loss and fragmentation of suitable insect-rich feeding habitats such as wetlands and deciduous woodland.
- Reduction in the abundance and diversity of insect prey due to intensive agriculture, particularly over-grazing and the use of pesticides.
- Loss of linear features such as tree-lines and hedgerows, depriving bats of commuting routes between roosts and feeding areas.
- Loss of winter roosting sites in buildings and old trees.
- Disturbance and destruction of roosts, including the loss of maternity roosts due to the use of toxic timber treatment chemicals.

Roosts

Bats use a variety of roosts of different types including trees, buildings, caves, mines and other structures. Most species are colonial and roost in groups. This can make populations particularly vulnerable to loss of roosts as the loss of a single roost may affect the whole population. Some species hang in obvious locations, such as the timbers near to the apex of a roof, others roost in cracks and crevices, such as the gaps under tiles, and as such can be very difficult to locate.

During the winter (November to February), when there is a reduction in insect numbers, bats hibernate to conserve energy. They prefer sites with a constant low temperature and a high relative humidity. On mild winter's nights, bats may wake up and feed. However, bats are particularly vulnerable to disturbance at this time of year, as flying in winter uses up large quantities of energy that cannot easily be replaced.

In the spring, after emerging from hibernation, bats often move from site to site and may congregate in small groups. Female bats gather together in the summer (approximately May to August dependant on species) in maternity roosts. Once the young have stopped suckling, and the baby is independent, bats tend to disperse and use other roosts. Maternity roosts are particularly vulnerable to disturbance, as bats

may have come from a wide geographical area, and have a strong tradition of returning to the same roost year after year.

During the late summer and early autumn males occupy mating roosts which are visited by several females. After mating some species gather together at swarming sites to fatten up prior to hibernation.

Habitat associations

In addition to roosts, bats also need foraging habitats to find suitable food resources, and commuting routes to get to these areas. As would be expected, the highest numbers of bats are found in areas with abundant invertebrates. Some species specialise in catching small invertebrates in flight, whilst others specialise in catching larger invertebrates such as moths and beetles. The distances that bats travel to foraging areas varies between species; records have shown some greater horseshoe bats travel up to 22km to forage, although many species will typically feed within 1km of a roost.

Bats, especially the smaller species, tend to follow linear features (such as hedgerows and tree lines) to their foraging habitats and will often not cross open spaces. A gap of 10m in a linear feature will often not be crossed by bats, and it is important that developments do not create such gaps if linear features are used by bats.

Appendix 3 - Legislation and planning policy

Planning Authorities have a legal duty to consider biodiversity when assessing planning applications. Where there is a reasonable likelihood that a planning application might affect important protected sites, species or habitats, information on the species, habitat or site likely to be affected, together with an assessment of the impacts of the proposals, will almost certainly be required.

The legal duty for Planning Authorities to have regard to the conservation of biodiversity was introduced in the 2006 Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act (The NERC Act). This act clarified existing commitments with regard to biodiversity, raised the profile of biodiversity and aimed to make the consideration of biodiversity a natural and integral part of policy and decision making.

In addition to the NERC Act there is also national and international biodiversity legislation. This includes legislation in relation to protected species and sites which operates outside of the planning system. Local Authorities and developers have a duty to comply with this legislation.

National planning policy

Paragraph 99 of the Government Circular 06/05: Biodiversity and Geological Conservation - Statutory Obligations and Their Impact Within the Planning System (this document has not been revoked by the recently published National Planning Policy Framework) states that:

'It is essential that the presence or otherwise of protected species, and the extent that they may be affected by the proposed development, is established before the planning permission is granted, otherwise all relevant material considerations may not have been addressed in making the decision.'

As such, in line with national planning policy, most planning authorities will ask for this information to be provided before a planning decision is made and in many cases before it is registered.

Local planning policy

In addition to national planning policy, most councils have planning policies to protect biodiversity, and to enhance it where practicable within and adjacent to development sites.

European protected species

The United Kingdom hosts a number of European Protected Species (EPS) of animals (table 1) and plants (table 2). These species receive special protection under UK law and it is an offence under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) and the European Habitats and Species Directive (92/43/EC), enacted in the UK through The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017, to deliberately or recklessly destroy or damage their habitat, or to disturb, kill or injure the species without first having obtained the relevant licence from Natural England.

Planning Authorities have a statutory duty under these regulations to have regard to the requirements of the Habitats Directive and need to be satisfied that the development is likely to receive a licence from Natural England, and therefore comply with the Habitats Directive, before granting planning permission.

Table 1 – European Protected Species of Animal found in the UK

Common name	Scientific name
Bats, Horseshoe (all species)	<i>Rhinolophidae</i>
Bats, Typical (all species)	<i>Vespertilionidae</i>
Butterfly, Large Blue	<i>Maculinea arion</i>
Cat, Wild	<i>Felis silvestris</i>
Dolphins, porpoises and whales (all species)	<i>Cetacea</i>
Dormouse	<i>Muscardinus avellanarius</i>
Frog, Pool	<i>Rana lessonae</i>
Lizard, Sand	<i>Lacerta agilis</i>
Moth, Fisher’s Estuarine	<i>Gortyna borelii lunata</i>
Newt, Great Crested (or Warty)	<i>Triturus cristatus</i>
Otter, Common	<i>Lutra lutra</i>
Snail, Lesser Whirlpool Ram’s-horn	<i>Anisus vorticulus</i>
Snake, Smooth	<i>Coronella austriaca</i>
Sturgeon	<i>Acipenser sturio</i>
Toad, Natterjack	<i>Bufo calamita</i>
Turtles, Marine	<i>Caretta caretta</i> <i>Chelonia mydas</i> <i>Lepidochelys kempii</i> <i>Eretmochelys imbricata</i> <i>Dermochelys coriacea</i>

Table 2 – European Protected Species of Plant found in the UK

Common name	Scientific name
Dock, Shore	<i>Rumex rupestris</i>
Fern, Killarney	<i>Trichomanes speciosum</i>
Gentian, Early	<i>Gentianella anglica</i>
Lady’s-slipper	<i>Cypripedium calceolus</i>
Marshwort, Creeping	<i>Apium repens</i>
Naiad, Slender	<i>Najas flexilis</i>
Orchid, Fen	<i>Liparis loeselii</i>
Plantain, Floating-leaved water	<i>Luronium natans</i>
Saxifrage, Yellow Marsh	<i>Saxifraga hirculus</i>

Nationally protected species

Many species of animal are protected under the 1981 Wildlife and Countryside Act (as amended). ‘Full protection’ applies to EPS and some non EPS species such as the water vole. This prohibits the intentional killing, injuring or taking (capture. etc); possession; intentional disturbance whilst occupying a 'place used for shelter or protection' and destruction of these places; sale, barter, exchange, transporting for sale and advertising to sell or to buy. Many species, such as common species of reptile and amphibian, are protected from intentional killing and injuring and trading.

Badgers

Badgers and their setts are protected under the 1992 Protection of Badgers Act and the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended). It is illegal to intentionally or recklessly kill, injure or take badgers or to interfere with a badger sett. Interference with a sett includes blocking tunnels, or damaging the sett in any way, and could include blocking a badger pathway if it were to stop badgers entering or leaving a sett.

Penalties for offences can be severe, with fines of up to £5,000 plus up to six months' imprisonment, for each illegal sett interference, badger death or injury.

Work that disturbs badgers occupying a sett is illegal without the appropriate licence from the relevant statutory authority being held. Natural England issue licences for reasons including science, education or conservation, for development such as the building of houses and for investigation of offences against badgers. They also issue licences for the prevention of serious damage to land, crops or other form of property, as well as for agriculture, forestry, drainage operations and prevention of the spread of disease.

Birds

All wild birds are protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended), whilst they are actively nesting or roosting. Section 1 of this Act makes it an offence to kill, injure or take any wild bird, and to intentionally take, damage or destroy the nest of any wild bird while that nest is in use or being built. It is also an offence to take or destroy any wild bird eggs.

In addition, bird species listed under Schedule 1 of the Act receive extra protection. The Act states that 'it is an offence to intentionally or recklessly disturb any wild bird listed in Schedule 1 while it is nest building, or at (or near) a nest containing eggs or young, or disturb the dependent young of such a bird'.

In practice this means that in areas where birds are likely to be nesting works should not be undertaken during the nesting season, which is generally considered to be March to September, although this very much depends on weather conditions, habitats and the species involved. If works cannot be avoided then areas should first be checked for nesting birds. Habitats likely to host nesting birds include trees, hedgerows and dense scrub, buildings, reedbeds and riverine habitats and open areas with tussocky vegetation.

Appendix 4 - About GS Ecology

Established in 2009, GS Ecology is an independent ecological consultancy in Berkshire. We carry-out surveys and ecological consultancy services for public and private sector clients including in Berkshire, Oxfordshire and Hampshire, London and the south of England. We can advise you on cost effective sustainable solutions for your project, whether it be a bat survey to inform a planning application, the ecology chapter of an Environmental Statement or a Woodland Management Plan.

Our work is undertaken by experienced and qualified ecologists, who are members of the Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Managers. Our services include:

- Ecology surveying and reporting to inform planning applications, e.g.
 - Preliminary Ecological Appraisal
 - Extended Phase 1 Habitat Survey in Hampshire, Berkshire, Oxfordshire, London and Southern England
 - Protected species surveys, e.g. badgers, dormouse, great crested newts
 - Bat surveys in Oxfordshire, Berkshire, Hampshire, London and Southern England
- Code for sustainable homes or BREEAM ecology assessments – to demonstrate the sustainability of a new building
- Protected species licensing such as bat and great crested newt licences for development sites after planning permission has been obtained
- Providing advice to land managers and writing ecological management plans, such as woodland management plans and farm environmental plans for England woodland Grant Scheme and Environmental Stewardship applications
- Providing ecology advice to Local Authorities and Local Planning Authorities