

Comments for Planning Application 21/00860/FUL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00860/FUL

Address: Land Adjacent To The Spinney Pagham Road Runcton West Sussex

Proposal: Construction of 1 no. 2 bed two storey dwelling, access, landscaping, and associated parking in the form of a car port. (Renewal of permission NM/18/01759/FUL).

Case Officer: Maria Tomlinson

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Rosemary Charnick

Address: 10 Elm Grove, Runcton, Chichester, West Sussex PO20 1PW

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Dear Mr Frost

We are writing again to lodge our concerns and objection to the property proposed to be built adjacent to "The Spinney" Lagness Road. Ref No NM/21/00860/FUL

We have grave concerns about this application and wish to raise our concerns again. The reason for our objection is that the impact it will have on the adjacent properties i.e. Orchard Cottage & 3 Mill Lane as well as our property notwithstanding, it will not only increase of the area to becoming more urbanised. It will also set a precedent of an increase of building of more properties and therefore merging of the two villages and the loss of green wildlife areas.

The access to the proposed build is via the service road to the north of our property. We would have grave concern to the increase in noise and traffic in an otherwise quiet residential area. The road is not wide enough to support a further increase of passage of vehicles. It is a quiet service road to a residential & garaging area only and already four rear access to existing properties and will raise a significant safety issue on exit of our property via gates and that of Orchard Cottage via by main entrance and gate.

During the construction the disruption to our property and the undermining of our infrastructure is also a matter of concern. With the proposed build to be made there will be plant and heavy vehicles destroying an already damaged and fragile roadway.

Services in the area are already overstretched, and drainage of the area is also poor. The increase in properties will only add to burden on the services in the area.

We urge you to revise this planning application with these objections considered. Where we are aware properties need to be built in the area, and one house here is sufficient why can access not be gained from the main road?

We feel this is unwise and unsupported proposal with increase in traffic to an already greatly

congested area. The issue of access, as well as the proximity to the existing properties is a major concern. The further impact on the services that are already struggling is going to be an added problem.

Yours Faithfully

Rosemary and Stephen Charnick