

Habitats Regulations Assessment Screening Opinion

TO BE COMPLETED FOR ALL THE FOLLOWING PLANNING APPLICATION TYPES: FUL AND PRIOR APPROVALS FOR THE CHANGE OF USE TO DWELLING

APPLICATION NUMBER: 21/00860/FUL

LOCATION OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT: Land Adjacent To The Spinney Paghams Road Runcton West Sussex

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT: Construction of 1 no. 2 bed two storey dwelling, access, landscaping, and associated parking in the form of a car port. (Renewal of permission NM/18/01759/FUL).

APPLICANT: Junnell Homes Ltd

DATE APPLICATION RECEIVED: 19th March 2021

Preliminary Question:

| | | |
|---|-----|---|
| Is the site: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In Chichester and Langstone Harbours Special Protection Area / Ramsar site? • In the 5.6km Zone of Influence for the Chichester and Langstone Harbour SPA / Ramsar Site? • In Paghams Harbour SPA / Ramsar site? • In the 3.5km Zone of Influence for Paghams Harbour SPA / Ramsar Site | NO | Go to section (A) |
| | YES | If the planning application creates one or more net new dwellings (including student and holiday accommodation) and there is no mechanism of impact other than recreational disturbance, proceed to the standard AA template. Otherwise go to Section (B). |

SECTION A: Site not in SPA or within 5.6km Zone of Influence of Chichester Harbour

| | | |
|--|-----|--------------------|
| 1. Is the site in or in close proximity to a Special Area of Conservation? | NO | HRA NOT REQUIRED |
| | YES | Go to Section (B). |
| 2. Is the site in or in close proximity to another SPA / RAMSAR site? | NO | HRA NOT REQUIRED |
| | YES | Go to Section (B). |

SECTION B: Site in or within close proximity to European Site (SPA, SAC or RAMSAR).

| | | |
|--|----------------|--|
| 1. Is the application directly connected or necessary to the management of a European Sites? | YES | Exempt from HRA |
| | NO | Go to question 2. |
| 2. Has an Appropriate Assessment (HRA) been submitted? | YES | Proceed as per HRA Application |
| | NO | Go to Question 3. |
| 3. Has sufficient information been submitted to determine whether, ignoring any mitigation measures, there would be a likely significant effect on the conservation objectives of a European Site? | YES | Go to question 4 |
| | NO | Ask applicant(s) to provide sufficient information? Invalidate? |
| 4. Ignoring any proposed mitigation . avoidance measures, is there likely to be significant effect of on the qualifying features of the European Sites: List numbers from appendix 1 | YES | Appropriate Assessment Required- continue to question 5 |
| | NO | HRA not required. |
| 5. Are the likely significant effects likely to have a significant effects on qualifying features in combination or alone? | Alone | Appropriate Assessment Required- significant effects considered 'alone' |
| | In combination | Appropriate Assessment Required- significant effects considered 'in combination' |

Conclusion

The project (proposed development) is likely to have a significant effect (or may have significant effects) from the increase in residential development in the 5.6km buffer for the Chichester Harbour SPA, where recreational disturbance is recognised to have a significant effect on some or all of the Qualifying Features of the European Site alone. An Appropriate Assessment is required.

Officer: Sarah Hopkins

Date: 13th April 2021