Habitats Regulations Assessment Screening Opinion

TO BE COMPLETED FOR ALL THE FOLLOWING PLANNING APPLICATION TYPES: FUL AND PRIOR APPROVALS FOR THE CHANGE OF USE TO DWELLING

APPLICATION NUMBER: 21/00949/FUL

LOCATION OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT: Centurion Mental Health Centre

Graylingwell Drive Chichester PO19 6GS

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT: Erection of replacement privacy

fence.

APPLICANT: Mrs L Pearson

DATE APPLICATION RECEIVED: 26th March 2021

Preliminary Question:

Is the site:	NO	Go to section (A)
In Chichester and Langstone		
Harbours Special Protection Area / Ramsar site?	YES	If the planning application creates one or
 In the 5.6km Zone of Influence for 		more net new dwellings (including student
the Chichester and Langstone		and holiday accommodation) and there is no mechanism of impact other than
Harbour SPA / Ramsar Site?		recreational disturbance, proceed to the
In Pagham Harbour SPA / Dayson site 0.		standard AA template.
Ramsar site? In the 3.5km Zone of Influence for		
Pagham Harbour SPA / Ramsar		Otherwise go to Section (B).
Site		

SECTION A: Site not in SPA or within 5.6km Zone of Influence of Chichester Harbour

1. Is the site in or in close proximity	NO	HRA NOT REQUIRED
to a Special Area of	YES	Go to Section (B).
Conservation?		
2. Is the site in or in close proximity	NO	HRA NOT REQUIRED
to another SPA / RAMSAR site?	YES	Go to Section (B).

SECTION B: Site in or within close proximity to European Site (SPA, SAC or RAMSAR).

1.	Is the application directly	YES	Exempt from HRA
	connected or necessary to the	NO	Go to question 2.
	management of a European		
	Sites?		
2.	Has an Appropriate Assessment	YES	Proceed as per HRA
	(HRA) been submitted?		Application
		NO	Go to Question 3.
3.	Has sufficient information been	YES	Go to question 4
	submitted to determine whether,	NO	Ask applicant(s) to provide
	ignoring any mitigation		sufficient information?
	measures, there would be a likely		Invalidate?
	significant effect on the		
	conservation objectives of a		
	European Site?		
4.	Ignoring any proposed mitigation	YES	Appropriate Assessment
	. avoidance measures, is there		Required- continue to
	likely to be significant effect of on		question 5
	the qualifying features of the	NO	HRA not required.
	European Sites: List numbers		
	from appendix 1		
5.	Are the likely significant effects	Alone	Appropriate Assessment
	likely to have a significant effects		Required- significant effects
	on qualifying features in		considered 'alone'
	combination or alone?	In combination	Appropriate Assessment
			Required- significant effects
			considered 'in combination'

Conclusion

The project (proposed development) lies within a buffer area of a European Site protected under the Habitats Directive or linked directives but the development would not result in a significant effect on the qualifying features of a European Site. Further HRA is not required.

Officer: Sarah Hopkins Date: 14th April 2021