Heritage Statement

The **Heritage Statement** is for the applicant or agent to identify the heritage asset(s) that have the potential to be affected by the proposals and their setting. Please read the **guidance notes** provided at the back of this report to help you fill in the form correctly.

Appendix 1 relates to the **Historic Environment Record (HER) Consultation Report.** You must state whether or not supporting data from the HER is required.

There are 3 options:

- HER report attached (this must be completed by the Historic Environment Record Team)
- HER report not considered necessary email attached from HER
- HER report not required by the Local Planning Authority as detailed on the relevant website validation requirements

Please tick the relevant box at the **back of this form** as to which option applies.

Both the **Heritage Statement** and the **Historic Environment Record Consultation report (Appendix 1)** must be completed in order to meet validation requirements of the Local Planning Authority – tick the boxes on the right hand side below to confirm the sections completed.

Note: All fields are **mandatory**. Failure to fully complete all fields may result in the form not being validated by the **Local Planning Authority (LPA)**.

To be completed by the applicant – please tick relevant boxes	
1. Heritage Statement completed	
2. Appendix 1 completed	

Heritage Statement

Site name	76 Amberely Drive			
Address of site (including postcode)	76 Amberley Drive, Hove, East Sussex, BN3 8JP			
Grid Reference	527459 107193			

1. Schedule of Works

Please state the type of proposal e.g. extension to a listed building, internal alterations

Single Storey Rear Extension

Please list the works proposed e.g. replacement windows, removal of internal wall, reinstatement of original staircase, damp proofing works to basement etc.

Single Storey Rear Extension

2. Pre Application Advice

Have you consulted the East Sussex Historic Environment Record, as the minimum requirement of the NPPF? \boxtimes Yes \square No

If no, please provide the reasons why not below, including any correspondence with the HER/LPA archaeological advisor or LPA validation team:

If Yes, please attach any correspondence to this Heritage Statement in Appendix 1 (including the HER Consultation Report)

Have you sought pre-application heritage advice from the relevant local planning authority?

 \Box Yes \boxtimes No

If Yes, please provide a copy of any written correspondence with reference number/contact name if applicable.

Have you sought pre-application heritage advice from Historic England? \Box Yes $\ \boxtimes \ No$

If Yes, please provide a copy of any written correspondence with reference number/contact name if applicable.

3. What heritage asset(s), including their setting, are potentially affected by the proposals? (Please tick the relevant boxes below)

1: Scheduled Monument (SM)	
2. Listed Building (LB)	
3. Conservation Area (CA)	
4. Registered Park and Garden (RPG)	
5. Historic Battlefield (HB)	
6. Locally Listed Heritage Asset (LLHA)	
7. Archaeological Notification Area (ANA)	
8 Other Non-Designated Heritage Asset (including below ground	
archaeology)	

4. What is known about the affected heritage asset(s)?

4 (a). Using the information obtained through research and on-site analysis provide a summary of the history of the site/building.

Please add a summary history of the site/building including specifically the parts that will be impacted by the proposals – e.g. how the site/building was originally laid out, how it has evolved, phases of construction and/or change. Please also provide information on past impacts – e.g. modern extension, drainage, former footings, recent landscaping, gardening. Please include / attach any research material as an addendum to this Statement after Appendix 1.

There are no listed buildings within 250m of the site

The site is not within a Conservation Area. There are no Registered Parks and / or Gardens within 250m of the site. There are no Historic Battlefields within 250m of the site. The site is located within an ANA defining the West Blatchington Roman villa complex, as well as Bronze Age and Iron Age occupation, kilns and burials.

There are no recorded non-designated heritage assets within the site boundary. There has been no recorded below ground archaeological investigation of this site. [1] Historic mapping for the site records the area as fields from the mid-19th century through until the early/mid-20th century. Whilst this building is not currently recorded on the Historic Environment Record, above and below ground remains of this building may be considered to be a non-designated heritage asset. [2]

To the east of the site lies a Late Bronze Age to Roman occupation site with the nearest feature being approximately 40m east of the site .: Two sites of Bronze Age activity lie approximately 200m south east of the site and are evidenced by an "oval cooking place" in natural clay with pottery, charcoal and animal bones overlaid by a thick layer of pot boilers. Two bronze palstaves and a broken winged axe were also found along with a short ditch or gully containing LBA pottery. Stray finds of the period included two barbed and tanged flint arrowheads and scrapers. The Iron Age and Roman concentration is to the east. Four rectangular pits contained Iron Age pottery and daub were found, along with ditches dating to the 1 st century BC-AD, and a late 1 st century inurned cremation. Several pits, possibly storage pits, were associated with a possible working floor. A basilican Roman villa was dated to the 3rd -4 th centuries with series of 11 corn drying ovens and associated ditches (probable road or field boundaries); one was overlaid by 3 rd -4 th century occupation floors. A Romano British hut floor or workshop, containing iron tools, 2 nd, 3rd and 4 th century pottery was recovered, along with a burial site comprised three groups of cremations. [4]

4 (b) What research have you undertaken to understand the significance of the heritage asset(s) affected?

Please tick the relevant boxes

HER	\boxtimes
The Keep (East Sussex Record Office)	
Map regression (historic maps)	
Local Planning Authority sources	
Historic England sources	
Museum or Library (please provide details)	

Other	please	state)
	picaco	oluloj

(Please include / attach any research material as an addendum to this Statement after Appendix 1.)

5. What is important about the affected heritage asset(s) ('the significance')?

Use this space to describe the significance of the heritage asset(s) including their setting (and any below ground archaeology) identified in Section 3. Please see the guidance notes on page 9 on what a heritage asset is and how to define significance.

(Please continue on a separate sheet of paper if further space is required and attach / include as an addendum to this Statement after Appendix 1.)

To the east of the site lies a Late Bronze Age to Roman occupation site with the nearest feature being approximately 40m east of the site .: Two sites of Bronze Age activity lie approximately 200m south east of the site and are evidenced by an "oval cooking place" in natural clay with pottery, charcoal and animal bones overlaid by a thick layer of pot boilers. Two bronze palstaves and a broken winged axe were also found along with a short ditch or gully containing LBA pottery. Stray finds of the period included two barbed and tanged flint arrowheads and scrapers. The Iron Age and Roman concentration is to the east. Four rectangular pits contained Iron Age pottery and daub were found, along with ditches dating to the 1 st century BC-AD, and a late 1 st century inurned cremation. Several pits, possibly storage pits, were associated with a possible working floor. A basilican Roman villa was dated to the 3rd -4 th centuries with series of 11 corn drying ovens and associated ditches (probable road or field boundaries); one was overlaid by 3 rd -4 th century occupation floors. A Romano British hut floor or workshop, containing iron tools, 2 nd, 3rd and 4 th century pottery was recovered, along with a burial site comprised three groups of cremations. [4]

6. How will the proposals impact on the significance of the heritage asset(s) including their setting?

Please discuss what impact the proposals have on the heritage asset(s) i.e. loss or disturbance of historic building fabric, below or above ground archaeological impacts, setting or change of relationship between buildings and altering scale. (Please continue on a separate sheet of paper if further space is required and attach / include as an addendum to this Statement after Appendix 1.)

We do not belive, given the archaeological advice, that there will be any archaeological impact on above or below ground herirage assets.

7. How has the proposal been designed to conserve the significance of the heritage asset(s) including their setting?

Describe how the proposal has been designed to conserve and enhance the significance of the heritage assets (including below ground archaeology). Also describe how any harmful impacts have been avoided or minimised. For example, use of raft foundations, movement of the proposed extension to a less sensitive location.

(Please continue on a separate sheet of paper if further space is required and attach / include as an addendum to this Statement after Appendix 1.)

Please see answer to Question 06 above. In addition a concrete raft founbdation will be considered.

Contact details:

County Archaeology Team County.archaeology@eastsussex.gov.uk

Historic Environment Record County.HER@eastsussex.gov.uk

Historic England www.historicengland.org.uk

Local Planning Authority

Brighton & Hove City Council: <u>http://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage</u>

Wealden District Council: planning@wealden.gov.uk

Rother District Council planning@rother.gov.uk

Hastings Borough Council dcenquiries@hastings.gov.uk

Eastbourne Borough Council <u>customerfirst@eastbourne.gov.uk</u>

Lewes District Council planning@lewes.gov.uk

South Downs National Park Planning@southdowns.gov.uk

Appendix 1

To be completed by the applicant – please tick the relevant box Please note one of these boxes must be ticked or your application	
will not be valid	
For further help contact County.HER@eastsussex.gov.uk	
HER Consultation report attached	\boxtimes
HER Consultation report not considered necessary as confirmed in attached email from HER	
HER Consultation report not relevant for reasons indicated by the Local Planning Authority. These reasons are:	
- The site is not located in an Archaeological Notification Area and is not a major development	
- The site is located in an Archaeological Notification Area but no below ground works or demolition works are proposed	
- The application is for change of use	

Guidance Notes

The **Heritage Statement** should identify all **heritage assets** potentially affected and their settings; describe their significance; and assess the potential impact of the proposal on that significance, including direct physical change and change to their setting.

Heritage assets include designated and non-designated assets, as well as both buildings and below ground archaeology.

The **National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)** (Department for Communities and Local Government, July 2018) sets out the government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied. The relevant section which relates to heritage is: **Section 189** which states that:

In determining applications, local planning authorities should require an applicant to describe the significance of any heritage assets affected, including any contribution made by their setting. The level of detail should be proportionate to the assets' importance and no more than is sufficient to understand the potential impact of the proposal on their significance. As a minimum the relevant historic environment record should have been consulted and the heritage assets assessed using appropriate expertise where necessary. Where a site on which development is proposed includes or has the potential to include heritage assets with archaeological interest, local planning authorities should require developers to submit an appropriate desk-based assessment and, where necessary, a field evaluation.

National Planning Policy Framework:

https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policyframework--2

For **further information** on assessment of significance and assessing the potential impact of a proposal on that significance, including setting, can be found in (or their future updates):

- CLG (2012) National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (especially paragraph 17, Section 12 and Annex 2 Glossary)
- CLG (2014) **Planning Policy Guidance (PPG)** (especially 18a, paragraph 001-063)
- Historic England (2015) Good Practice Advice (GPA) note 2 Managing Significance in Decision Taking in the Historic Environment
- Historic England (2015) Good Practice Advice (GPA) note 3 The Setting of Heritage Assets

The NPPF and PPG also include a Glossary and explanation of terms, such as 'heritage asset', 'setting' and 'significance':

Heritage asset: A building, monument, site, place, area or landscape identified as having a degree of significance meriting consideration in planning decisions, because of its heritage interest. Heritage asset includes designated heritage assets and assets identified by the local planning authority (including local listing).

Setting of a heritage asset: The surroundings in which a heritage asset is experienced. Its extent is not fixed and may change as the asset and its surroundings evolve. Elements of a setting may make a positive or negative contribution to the significance of an asset, may affect the ability to appreciate that significance or may be neutral.

Significance (for heritage policy): The value of a heritage asset to this and future generations because of its heritage interest. That interest may be archaeological, architectural, artistic or historic. Significance derives not only from a heritage asset's physical presence, but also from its setting. (NPPF Annex 2: Glossary)