

Comments for Planning Application 19/05000/HYB

Application Summary

Application Number: 19/05000/HYB

Address: DSTL Fort Halstead Crow Drive Halstead Sevenoaks KENT TN14 7BU

Proposal: Hybrid application comprising, in outline: development of business space (use classes B1a/b/c) of up to 27,659 sq m GEA; works within the X enclave relating to energetic testing operations, including fencing, access, car parking; development of up to 750 residential dwellings; development of a mixed use village centre (use classes A1/A3/A4/A5/B1a/D1/D2); primary school; change of use of Fort Area and bunkers to Historic Interpretation Centre (use class D1) with workshop space and; associated landscaping, works and infrastructure. In detail: demolition of existing buildings; change of use and works including extension and associated alterations to buildings Q13 and Q14 including landscaping and public realm, and primary and secondary accesses to the site.

Case Officer: Claire Shearing

Customer Details

Name: Mrs karen cattani

Address: 15 Armstrong Close Halstead SEVENOAKS

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: There is no water infrastructure in place which impacts vastly, on current residents, despite requests made by water companies to SDC to conduct an impact study prior to development.

There is no information regarding the safe clearing of heavy contamination from this site.

Concerned that SDC have removed this site from the Green Belt, as it is home to 2% of Ancient Woodland, which remains in the UK and is only 14 of AONB in England and Wales and is the 3rd strongest performing Green Belt from 101 land parcels situated in a low tier hierarchy. This is within a highly sensitive Area of the Green Belt also AONB next to the LB Bromley. A large density settlement on this site, irrespective of brown field, directly goes against everything which was laid out within the report.

SDC has contravened their own policies by granting 450 houses, a business park on this site, which only compounds the issue. It will create another village which will be larger than existing ones within this area. This is Contrary to Policy LA1.

Evidently, this site would increase traffic movements up to 4000 per day which conflicts with the proposed T1 policy, to mitigate adverse impacts of travel.

There is no public transport, which will result in residents having to use their vehicles due to the location, adding further to one of the 14 established Air Quality management Areas, where the M25

rises near to the site. This is in conflict of the HE1 policy.

This will create an impact on Secondary schools within the catchment area, also Doctors Surgeries, etc.

Policy H5- The proposed density within this site goes against that policy. Our villages are not served well by transport, local or community facilities ,so a higher density should not be approved. The proposed plan for this site includes a greater % of 3 & 4 bedroom homes than are recommended in the ST2 policy.