

**From:** gus ashworth [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 15 April 2021 09:57  
**To:** Planning Comments  
**Subject:** fort halstead ref 19/05000/hyb

**Importance:** Low

**EXTERNAL EMAIL:** Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear mrs shearing

I must object to this hybrid application. 1this is overdevelopment of the site.

2 according to the admp major developments should happen in Westerham,Edenbridge,Sevenoaks,swanly.3the site lies in the green belt and according to the national planning policy framework development should protect or enhance this . 4the road structures locally could not cope pollhill subsidising & star hill is not wide enough to cope with additional traffic and putting traffic through the narrow roads of knockholt,there is only an hourly bus service from 7 am till 6 pm &the train service cannot be enhanced any further ,.the water supply to the residents at the fort is already sporadic with no mains water for 38 weeks in the last 24 months. The pollution on the site of pink water,spent uranium & lead & cyanide& arsenic have permutated the soil & would cost a lot of money to rectify properly with more hgv vehicles traversing our quiet village lanes, this is in addition to the 200 hgv vehicles movement about to fill the Westerham pit & create the bund at Chevening .the cumulative effect of all this extra traffic & the proposed development at broke hill, coways site ,the 7 diner . and woods garage for housing from commercial thus showing that the abundance of redundant of commercial in the areaapplying now to be converted in this what will happen to the 27,770 sq meters of commercial at the fort ? thi development is ill conceived and against policy en gb1 gb4 gb5 the admp & the nppf to name just a small amount

yours

mr  
ashworth

meadow farm old London

road

knockholt

kent

tn14 7jw