

[REDACTED]

From: Paul Shallcross [REDACTED]
Sent: 25 July 2020 19:10
To: Planning Comments
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Fort Halstead Development Project Objection.

If you are going to publish please use this updated response instead ...

Paul Shallcross
1 Letts Green Cottages
Burlings Lane
Knockholt
Sevenoaks
TN147PF

*Ref: Amended Application—proposed development of 635 houses at Fort Halstead.
Your ref is 19/05000/HYB*

Dear Sir/Madam,

I'm writing to you as a resident of Knockholt to set out its objections to the amendment involving the proposed development of 635 homes at Fort Halstead.

Since Covid 19 pandemic you need to reappraise use of shop and office space locally and use this wasted space for housing in existing brown field sites. Property investors locally need this. Since Covid 19 pandemic the whole situation has changed and you need to restrict green belt use and use existing brown spaces effectively. This whole project needs to be stopped and re appraised. The empty office and shop space in central Sevenoaks is a case in point.

I have written to you before and my previous responses still stand and I would like you to take them into account in this objection Stop making us repeat ourselves, this is stressful for all concerned. You have no regard for the wellbeing of residents. You need to do a risk assessment on such pressures - when it's done please share it with me.

As residents of Knockholt and surrounding villages we will be severely impacted by, this needless development on Green Belt land, this amendment is a continuation of a farce that's been ongoing for many years involving various developers in a number of Green Belt fields locally in Knockholt, Badgers Mount, Halstead, Pratts Bottom and Cudham.

The local road infrastructure is not up to it. Parking in the village main road is too much already. The lanes are dangerous. Deer roam free on the roads. There are no local doctors surgeries, The main hospitals are miles away. There is no boys grammar school. Halstead School is not appropriate for the site and on a narrow lane. Existing projects

such as Shelleys Lane development have been handled poorly. Even local flooding in Shelley and Cacketts lanes cannot be solved over the past 4 years!

In *The Times* newspaper (09 July 2020), Kent had the greatest increase in built-up area of *any* county in the country between 1990 and 2015...This development is no longer needed and must be viewed alongside other greenfield developments locally. It is unjust on local residents to have so many planning requests around and in our locally villages. Residents are tired and stressed with this pressure you put us under.

I also agree with Knockholt Societies response to you and second that.

Yours sincerely,

Paul Shallcross

On 24 Jul 2020, at 18:13, Planning Comments <planning.comments@sevenoaks.gov.uk> wrote: