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## 8. HIGHWAYS AND TRANSPORTATION

### 8.1. Introduction

8.1.1. This chapter sets out the likely effects that would result from the proposed Buttington Energy Recovery Facility ("ERF") from a highways and transportation perspective.
8.1.2. The chapter is supported by Appendix 8.1 which contains the Transport Assessment ("TA") for the proposal.
8.1.3. This chapter considers the relevant aspects of the TA from an environmental impact perspective.
8.1.4. This chapter has been prepared by Intermodal Transportation Ltd ("ITL").
8.1.5. Once operational, it is proposed that vehicular access to the ERF would be achieved via a new priority ' $T$ ' junction with a dedicated ghosted right turn lane. The new junction would be located approximately 170 m to the north of the existing access junction and would be provided to contemporary design standards. Once the new junction is opened the existing quarry access would be downgraded to provide access to the residential property known as Brookside only. The delivery of the new access junction would require widening / straightening of the A458 on its eastern side adjacent to the site along with cutting and embankment works. The layout of the new access junction has previously been approved under planning permission $\mathrm{P} / 2015 / 0439$. The works necessary within the public highway will be to deliver the access junction are likely to be secured by condition and undertaken pursuant to a section 278 agreement.
8.1.6. This chapter has been informed by the scoping direction issued by PINS. In addition, the Welsh Government Office, Powys County Council, Shropshire County Council and Highways England have all been consulted in relation to the scope of the necessary investigations undertaken in conjunction with the production of this chapter. The scope of the investigations was agreed with the Transport Network Management Division at the Welsh Government Office and also Powys County Council highway department prior to its preparation. In addition, Highways England confirmed that assessment of the performance of trunk roads within England was not required as part of this assessment as the proposal would be likely to add modest traffic levels only to the road network within England .

### 8.2. Relevant Legislation, Planning Policy \& Guidance

8.2.1. The relevant planning documents, from a transport planning perspective, at a National level are Planning Policy Wales 2018 Edition 10 ("PPW"), The Wales Transport Strategy", The National Transport Finance Plan"I and Technical Advice Notes, whilst the Mid Wales Joint Local Transport Plan ${ }^{\text {Vv }}$ and the Powys Adopted Local Development Plan ${ }^{\text {V represent the }}$ relevant planning documents at the local level.

## National Transportation Planning Policies

8.2.2. PPW states that opportunities to reduce the use of cars and promote the use of alternative modes of transportation are limited in rural areas. In that regard, the PPW indicates at paragraph 3.35 that:
"For most rural areas the opportunities for reducing car use and increasing walking, cycling and use of public transport are more limited than in urban areas..."
8.2.3. It is noted within section 4 of the TA that given the rural location of the site opportunities to access the Development by non-car modes are limited. However, given the nature of the Development (i.e. an industrial installation) and the above quotation from PPW it is considered that from a non-car mode access perspective the development proposal should be regarded as acceptable.
8.2.4. At paragraph 4.1.56, PPW indicates that:
"Transport Assessments are an important mechanism for setting out the scale of anticipated impacts a proposed development, or redevelopment, is likely to have. They assist in helping to anticipate the impacts of development so that they can be understood and catered for appropriately."
8.2.5. As previously indicated a comprehensive TA has been prepared to examine the effects of the Development on the local transport networks. As such, the Development should be regarded to comply with the above requirement of PPW.
8.2.6. One Wales: Connecting The Nation - The Wales Transport Strategy and The National Transport Finance Plan 2018 Update are strategic planning documents and are not considered of relevance from an individual site perspective.
8.2.7. At paragraph 3.8 Technical Advice Note 18: Transport ${ }^{\mathrm{VI}}$ indicates that:
"Development sites which are car dependent and unlikely to be well served by new public transport, walking and cycling should only be allocated or reallocated in development plans for uses which are not travel intensive."
8.2.8. It is demonstrated in the TA that the Development would not be travel intensive, i.e. it would not attract notable levels of light and heavy goods movements once operational, and subsequently would not have a notable effect on the operation of the local transport networks. As such, the provision of the Buttington ERF should be regarded as acceptable from a highway and transportation perspective.
8.2.9. At paragraph 8.12 Technical Advice Note 18: Transport indicates that:
"Development which attracts substantial movements of freight (including large scale warehousing, distribution and manufacturing which uses bulky raw materials or produces bulky products) should be located away from congested inner areas and residential neighbourhoods. Development plans should identify and allocate sites for distribution, warehousing and bulk-consuming/ producing manufacturing which have direct access to the rail network and/or to the local distributor road network, trunk or principal road network."
8.2.10. This paragraph indicates that development that results in the need to transport bulky products, such as the Development, should be located away from congested inner areas and residential neighbourhoods and should have direct access to the rail network and/or to the local distributor road network, trunk or principal road network. The Development would be located away from built up areas and would take direct access from the A458 trunk road and should, therefore, be regarded to comply with the above requirement of Technical Advice Note 18.

## Local Transportation Planning Policies

8.2.11. Section 4.2 .7 of the Mid Wales Joint Local Transport Plan includes Figure 4.4, which provides a highway safety risk rating of the key roads within the Mid Wales road network. There are five rating values and the majority of the roads within the immediate vicinity of the Development, including the A458 passing the site, are rated at the centre of the scale as medium risk roads only. There are no high risk roads within the vicinity of the site.
8.2.12. Policy W2 of the Adopted Powys Local Development Plan 2011 - $2026^{\text {VII }}$ non exclusively indicates that waste management proposals will be permitted where:
"W2. The highway network is suitable for use by heavy goods vehicles or can be improved to accommodate such vehicles."
8.2.13. The Development would be accessed directly from the A458, which, as a trunk road is by definition a road that would be expected to carry HGV traffic. As such, it is considered that the Development would be ideally located in relation to the highway network and that as a result the proposal would comply with the above aspect of Policy W2 of the Adopted Powys Local Development Plan.

### 8.3. The Existing Environment

## Environmental Assessment Boundary

8.3.1. The assessment area for the transport investigations was agreed with the Transport Network Management Division at the Welsh Government Office and consists of:

- the A458 from a point 500 m north of the proposed site access junction to and including the roundabout junction of the A458 / A483 in the south west; and
- the A483 between and inclusive of its roundabout junctions with the A458 and the B4381 / Smithfield Road.
8.3.2. Figure 8-1 shows the agreed study area / local road network.
8.3.3. The proportional increase in traffic levels on the A458 as a result of the development traffic has been calculated within the TA report for the proposal and the performance of the following key junctions has also been assessed:
- Junction 1: A483 / A458 Roundabout Junction;
- Junction 2: A483 / Salop Road Priority Junction; and
- Junction 3: A483 / B4381 / Smithfield Road Roundabout Junction.
8.3.4. In addition, the TA report includes analysis of Personal Injury Accident ("PIA") data for the latest available 3 year period, 2017 / 2018 / 2019, within the assessment area and also considers. Accessibility of the site by non-car modes.


## Base Line Conditions

8.3.5. The base line condition of the Development is the existing physical current state of the environment, which from a Transportation and Highways perspective assumes that the site is accessed via the existing access junction. However, it should be noted that there is an extant planning permission for access to the Installation (reference P/2015/0439), which has not yet been implemented, consequently a part of the development proposal there is a new access proposed which is of the same design as the extant planning permission. ]
8.3.6. The following has been undertaken in order to confirm existing conditions:

- a review of baseline conditions, including the layout of the local road network, the site access arrangements, the haul route arrangements and confirmation of the existing pedestrian, cyclist and public transport networks;
- analysis of January 2019 Automatic Traffic Count data for the A458 at a point just north of the existing site access junction;
- analysis of January 2019 manual classified traffic count results at the above identified key junctions;
- identification of any committed developments within the study area that are likely to attract / generate traffic on the local road network and which should be included within the cumulative analyses; and
- consideration of historic and existing traffic levels associated with the development.
8.3.7. It should be noted that only developments that have planning permission and have been implemented (regardless of the state of completion) are considered to form the baseline (i.e. committed developments). Other developments that are being determined (at time the TIA was undertaken, February 2019), or that have planning permission, but are not yet implemented, are considered to form the part of cumulative assessment. However, given that the NTM/TEMPRO derived traffic growth have been applied within the TA assessments, then it is considered that the transport assessment investigations should be regarded as robust.


## Local Road Network

8.3.8. The Development lies northwest of Buttington, 2.3 km along the A458. [Access to / egress from the Development would be achieved via the approved access junction to the north east of the existing quarry access junction, which was originally approved under planning permission $\mathrm{P} / 2015 / 0439$ and granted an extension in September 2020 under planning permission 20/0575/REM.]
8.3.9. The existing access from the A458 is a simple priority junction and does not have a ghosted right turn lane for traffic wishing to turn right into the existing quarry and industrial area.

As a result, traffic that is turning right to the minor arm may be required to wait for a gap in the oncoming traffic flow and delay northbound traffic on the A458.
8.3.10. The A458 has a width of 6.9 m in proximity of the existing access junction. The existing access overall measures 9.1 m in width between the security gating installed, which are approximately 10 m back from the edge of the carriageway. Figure $8-1$ shows a view of the existing access and the security gates.
8.3.11. It is proposed that during the construction phase of the development proposal vehicular access to the site would be achieved via the existing access junction. The new access junction discussed above at paragraph 8.1.5 would be constructed and bought in to operation to serve the site, including the development, prior to the opening of the facility. The layout of the new access junction has previously been approved under planning permission $P / 2015 / 0439$. Once the new junction is opened the existing quarry access would be downgraded to provide access to the residential property known as Brookside only.

Figure 8-1: A view of the existing access junction

8.3.12. The A458 is a single carriageway road and is subject to a 50 mph speed limit within the vicinity of the site. There is a double white marking prohibiting overtaking within the vicinity of the site. Footway provisions are intermittent along the A458 as is the provision of street lighting.
8.3.13. The A 458 forms a five arm roundabout junction with the A483, Rhallt Lane and a private access road to the Livestock Market approximately 3 km to the south of the site. The A483 forms two arms of this roundabout. The speed limit increases to the national speed limit for single carriageway roads on the A458 prior to the roundabout.
8.3.14. The A483 forms a single lane dualling junction with Salop Road approximately 1 km to the south of the aforementioned roundabout. A dedicated right turn area is provided on the A483 for vehicles intending to turn right into Salop Road.
8.3.15. Salop Road runs south westwards towards Welshpool town centre from the junction with the A483. The speed limit changes to 30 mph on Salop Road approximately 100 m from the junction with the A483 and there is a footway on the northern side of the road.
8.3.16. Approximately 1 km south west of the junction of Salop Road with the A483 the latter forms a roundabout junction with the B4381 and Smithfield Road. The speed limit reduces to 30 mph on the A483 just prior to the roundabout. Smithfield Road runs northwards from the roundabout towards Welshpool town centre, whilst the A483 runs southwards towards Newtown.

Figure 8-2: Local Road Network


Accessibility by Non-Car Transport Modes - Walking and Cycling
8.3.17. Walking and cycling are the two most sustainable modes of travel and can significantly contribute to improvements in health as well as promoting social inclusion within society. Government statistics indicate that $22 \%$ of all journeys by UK households are made on foot (source DFT LTN1/04 ${ }^{\text {VIII) }}$ ) and the results of the 2018 National Travel Survey ${ }^{1 \mathrm{X}}$ ("NTS") indicate that $80 \%$ of trips of less than 1 mile (or 1.6 km ) are undertaken on foot. It is therefore reasonable to consider that this distance should be regarded as applicable in terms of defining the walking catchment of a new development such as the Development.
8.3.18. The pedestrian environment in close proximity to the Development is typical of a rural area in that the presence of footways along the A458 and the access road leading from it is limited, and street lighting is intermittent.
8.3.19. In the light of the above, given the nature of the land use and its location, it is considered that walking is unlikely to play a key role in travel to / from the site.
8.3.20. The former national planning guidance PPG13 ${ }^{\mathrm{X}}$ paragraph 77 stated that:
"Cycling also has potential to substitute for short car trips, particularly those less than 5
kilometres, and to form part of a longer journey by public transport".
There has been no recent advice that supersedes this and therefore the PPG 13 advice remains the most recent in this respect.
8.3.21. The site is approximately 3.5 km from the nearest point of National Cycle Route 6. The route is approximately 230 km long and runs from Aberystwyth to Wolverhampton. Locally the route passes through nearby towns and villages Welshpool, Berriew, Newton and Crewgreen.
8.3.22. Cyclists would be able to undertake cycling journeys between the Development and the surrounding areas using the local road network given the rural nature of roads within the vicinity of the Development. However, cyclists would need to be confident and proficient to ride on the A458 which is subject to 50 mph speed limit.

## Public Transport

8.3.23. The nearest bus stop to the development is located approximately 250 metres from the site access on the A458 in the vicinity of its junction with the Heldre Lane.
8.3.24. There are two bus services available that pass by Heldre Lane near the site but only one that is in regular service five days a week, namely the x 75 service.
8.3.25. As identified within Sections 9.3.14 and 9.3.15 above, there is little pedestrian connectivity in the vicinity of the development. However, there is potential for colleagues to arrange multi modal commutes, whereby a member of the workforce arriving at an appropriate bus stop is collected by another who would be passing in their car.
8.3.26. Welshpool railway station is the nearest railway station to the Development and is approximately 7.2 km from the site, i.e. notably in excess of the typically recognised 1 km
threshold walking distance of heavy rail services. The station could, however, be accessed via the x 75 bus service.
8.3.27. Given the nature of the land use and its comparatively remote location, it is considered that alternative modes of transport are unlikely to play a key role in travel to / from the site.

## Existing Traffic Levels

8.3.28. In order to obtain the existing traffic levels within the study area, an Automatic Traffic Counter ("ATC") was placed on the A458, within the vicinity of the site access for seven days commencing $14^{\text {th }}$ January 2019. A copy of the ATC data is contained at Appendix C of the TA. It should be noted that the 12 hour traffic flows are taken as 5 day average and not 7 day average. The observed traffic levels are provided in Table 8-1.

Table 8-1: Observed Traffic On The A458 Adjacent To The Development

| Time Period | Northbound on <br> A458 | Southbound on <br> A458 | Total Two-Way <br> Traffic |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AM Peak Hour (08:00- <br> 09:00) | 311 | 373 | 684 |
| PM Peak Hour (17:00- <br> 18:00) | 344 | 305 | 649 |
| 12 Hour (07:00-19:00) | 3272 | 3140 | 6412 |

8.3.29. In addition to the ATC survey, manual classified turning counts were undertaken at the following key junctions on Thursday 17th January 2019 between 07:30 and 10:30 hours and again between 16:30 and 19:30 hours.

- Junction 1: A483 / A458 Roundabout Junction;
- Junction 2: A483 / Salop Road Priority Junction; and
- Junction 3: A483 / B4381 / Smithfield Road Roundabout Junction.
8.3.30. Turning movement diagrams showing the observed weekday AM and PM peak hour flows at the above key junctions are contained at Appendix I of the TA report.
8.3.31. In order to raise the observed flows to the assumed opening year (2025) and the design year (2030, i.e. 5 years post opening, of the development, growth factors were calculated from the latest versions of the National Transport Model ("NTM") 2009 ${ }^{\mathrm{xI}}$ and TEMPRO 6.2 $2^{\mathrm{XII}}$. The growth factors are shown in Table 8-2.

Table 8-2: NTM / TEMPRO Growth Factors

| Growth Period | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2019-2025$ | 1.089 | 1.085 |
| $2019-2030$ | 1.145 | 1.141 |

8.3.32. The 'baseline' 2025 and 2030 weekday AM and PM peak hour traffic flows are shown on traffic flow diagrams at Appendix I of the TA report which may be found in Technical Appendix 8-1.

## Pedestrian Capacity

8.3.33. Pedestrian capacity is rarely an issue unless large crowds are expected to a single event at the same time. Pedestrian capacity in the context of the development of the Buttington ERF is limited to consideration of the existing footways and crossing points and using professional judgement to identify any potential problem areas. The level of pedestrian activity associated with the Development is not expected to be large enough to cause a capacity concern.

## Pedestrian Severance

8.3.34. Pedestrian severance can be described as the diversion required to walk from one point (origin) to another (destination) when compared to a straight line between the two points. In the context of the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges ("DMRB") the consideration of severance is related to changes in traffic flow along a road, or new road, which would impact on pedestrian movements across it. It is considered within this study whether the Buttington ERF would materially affect pedestrian severance within the vicinity of the Development.

## Pedestrian Delay

8.3.35. Increases in traffic flow along an existing road would potentially increase the waiting time for pedestrians to cross. Professional judgement is required in the absence of any quantitative guidance contained in the IEMA Guidelines. It is considered in this study whether the Buttington ERF would materially affect pedestrian delay within the vicinity of the Development.

## Pedestrian Amenity

8.3.36. The IEMA Guidelines describe the pedestrian amenity as the pleasantness of a walking journey. It can be adversely affected by traffic flow and the quality of the route surroundings. This is generally a matter of professional judgement; however where traffic flows are significantly increased this could be regarded as a dis-benefit in respect to pedestrian amenity. It is considered in this study whether the Buttington ERF would materially affect pedestrian amenity within the vicinity of the Development.

## Pedestrian Fear and Intimidation

8.3.37. Pedestrian fear and intimidation is closely related to pedestrian amenity and is heavily influenced by the volume of traffic on adjacent roads, the volume of HGVs within that traffic and the commodiousness of footways to provide separation from the vehicular carriageway. It is considered in this study whether the Buttington ERF would materially affect pedestrian fear and intimidation within the vicinity of the Development.

## Driver Delay

8.3.38. Analyses of the operation of the agreed key junctions on the local road network under the baseline scenario and confirms that the key junctions would operate within capacity during the typical weekday peak hours in 2025 and 2030.

## Visual Impact

8.3.39. The A 458 is a trunk road, which by definition is expected to carry large commercial vehicles. As such, it is concluded that the road is not sensitive from a visual impact perspective to HGV traffic

## Accidents and Safety

8.3.40. The Transport Assessment contains detailed analyses of the Personal Injury Accident record of the local road network and confirms that there is not an existing HGV related accident problem.

## Likely Future Conditions

8.3.41. If the development proposal is not approved and activity at the site was to remain as existing then the future conditions on the local road network from a traffic flow perspective are indicated by the 2025 and 2030 weekday AM and PM peak hour base flows shown on the traffic flow diagrams at Appendix I of the TA report which may be found in Technical Appendix 8-1.
8.3.42. However, ITL is advised that if the Development does not go ahead, quarrying activities would continue at the permitted levels and traffic movements, particularly HGVs, would substantially increase. In addition, once the site was quarried out to a flat development platform, additional small to medium industrial units would be constructed. It should be noted that the site is zoned for employment use, however development of these units would be subject to obtaining planning permission. It can be reasonably anticipated that planning permission would be granted given the zoning in the LDP.
8.3.43. If the development proposal is not approved the proposed new site access junction would still be constructed and therefore the geometry of the local road network would be changed from the existing arrangement.

### 8.4. Environmental Effects Assessment

## Construction Phase - Traffic

8.4.1. The impact of the Buttington ERF, in terms of vehicular movements to/from the Development, during the construction phase has been considered. In this regard, there are two clear elements to consider; firstly travel by construction staff by private vehicles, i.e. travel by light goods vehicles ("LGVs") and cars; and secondly deliveries to the Development and the removal of materials from the site, .i.e. heavy goods vehicle ("HGV") movements.
8.4.2. The construction phase traffic levels were provided by Hitachi Zosen Inova AG ("HZI") and are provided in Table 8-3. Whilst the likely vehicle movements during the construction phase have been provided, as that phase of the development is temporary only, the junction capacity assessments for this study have only been undertaken for the permanent operational phase of the development.

Table 8-3: Daily Traffic Levels During Construction Phase

| Phase | Level of Daily Traffic Attracted |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cars |  | HGVs |  |
|  | Arrivals | Departures | Arrivals | Departures |
| Construction Phase <br> enabling stage ( 6 <br> months) | 108 | 108 | 141 | 141 |
| Construction Phase <br> worst case <br> (2 months only) | 384 | 384 | 13 | 13 |
| Construction Phase <br> average (excluding <br> enabling stage) | 108 | 108 | 13 | 13 |

8.4.3. The assessed HGV movements during the construction phase, in particular the enabling phase, assume that excavated material would be removed off-site. However, it is intended that as much of the excavated material as possible would be re-used on site and as such the assessment of construction HGV movements should be regarded as a robust worst case. In that regard, during the enabling phase it has been calculated that it would be necessary to excavate in the order of $334,635 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of material of which in the order of $172,400 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ would be re-used on site. This leaves a volume of $162,235 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of material to be removed. Using a figure of 1.8 tonnes per $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ this would give a tonnage of 292,023.
8.4.4. On the basis of an average vehicle payload of 20 tonnes and a 5.5 day week operation, and a 26 week enabling stage there would on average be 103 HGV arrivals and 103 HGV departures per day during the construction enabling phase of the development associated with the removal off site of in the order of 292,023 tonnes of material. With reference to the HGV movements expected for the other phases of the construction of the development it can, therefore, be seen that the allowance of 141 daily HGV arrivals and departures during the construction enabling phase could be regarded as robust.
8.4.5. The construction HGV movements are likely to be split $50 \%$ to and from the north and $50 \%$ to and from the south.

## Construction Phase - Pedestrian Severance

8.4.6. The construction traffic would enter and leave the development via the A458 and thereafter HGV movements would be concentrated on the major road network. Footways provided along the A458 and the A483 are intermittent and due to the rural location of the site and lack of pedestrian facilities within the vicinity of the site, the locality is not expected to have notable levels of pedestrian activity. As such, it is considered that HGV construction traffic would not materially affect pedestrian severance.

## Construction Phase - Pedestrian Delay, Amenity, Fear and Intimidation

8.4.7. HGV construction traffic would be concentrated on the major road network where pedestrian facilities are intermittent and consequently pedestrian activity is low. As such, it is considered that HGV construction traffic would not materially affect pedestrian delay, amenity, fear and intimidation.

## Construction Phase - Vehicle Trackout

8.4.8. Appropriate measures would be put in place at the site during the construction phase, including the provision of wheel wash facilities, in order to ensure that dust and dirt is not transferred to the public highway. As such, it is not considered that HGV construction traffic would give rise notable levels of dust and dirt on the public highway.

## Construction Phase - Visual Impact

8.4.9. The A458 is a trunk road, which by definition is expected to carry large commercial vehicles. As such, it is concluded that the use of the road by HGVs associated with the construction phase of the Development proposal would not lead to a material change in terms of the visual impact of vehicles using the road.

## Construction Phase - Driver Delay

8.4.10. The Transport Assessment contains detailed analyses of the operation of the agreed key junctions on the local road network and confirms that significant levels of additional driver delay would not accrue as a result of the operation of the Development. It is considered that the average flows expected during the construction phase of the development, which would include lower HGV flows, would be unlikely to lead to a significant increase in driver delay at off-site junctions on the local road network. In addition, the construction phase traffic flows should be viewed in the context that they would be temporary only.

Construction Phase - Accidents and Safety
8.4.11. The Transport Assessment contains detailed analyses of the Personal Injury Accident record of the local road network and confirms that there is not an existing HGV related accident problem, consequently, it is considered that the use of the local road network by construction phase HGV traffic should not give rise to highway safety concerns

## Construction Phase - Construction of new access

8.4.12. It is proposed that general construction traffic would utilise the existing site access junction in order to access / egress the site. However, the new access junction would be constructed and bought in to operation prior to the operational and decommissioning phases of the development proposal. As such, and in accordance with the PINS Scoping Direction, within this chapter the effect of the construction of the new access from a transport environmental perspective is assessed. In that regard, the temporary effect of the construction of the site access from a traffic delay perspective is considered.
8.4.13. In order to assess the effect of the construction of the access from a traffic delay perspective a TRANSYT (signal) assessment of the operation of temporary traffic signals on the A458 adjacent to the site was undertaken. The assessment assumed a maximum works length of 250 m , a 2 stage arrangement with northbound traffic running in one stage and southbound the other stage and a 120 second cycle time with a 20 second intergreen period. The assessment was undertaken on a worst case basis for the typical weekday AM and PM peak hours. The results of the TRANSYT assessment are summarised below in Table 8-4, whilst the full output files are contained in Technical Appendix 8-3.

Table 8-4: 2025 AM and PM peak Hour TRANSYT Assessment of Temporary Traffic Signals at the Site Access

|  | Degree of <br> Saturation | Queue |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  |
| A458 Northbound | $70 \%$ | $14(14.23)$ |
| A458 Southbound | $71 \%$ | $16(15.71)$ |
| Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| A458 Northbound | $62 \%$ | $12(12.02)$ |
| A458 Southbound | $60 \%$ | $13(12.49)$ |

8.4.14. The TRANSYT results summarised above confirm that queueing at the temporary traffic signals that would be utilised during the construction of the new access junction would be moderate only during the typical weekday peak hours in 2025.

## Construction Phase - Mitigation

8.4.15. It is considered that the mitigation measures required during the construction phase would consist of wheel wash facilities at the site, a construction / HGV management plan and a traffic management plan during the construction of the new access junction.

Operational Phase - Traffic
8.4.16. The development would process a maximum of 167,000 tpa of non-recyclable waste.
8.4.17. Deliveries of waste are based on a 278 working day year ( 5.5 day week minus 8 public holiday days) and an average load of 15 tonnes per vehicle. Additionally, taking into account deliveries of consumables and the collection of Incinerator Bottom Ash ("IBA") residues and Air Pollution Control ("APCR") residues the average daily HGV levels attracted to Buttington ERF is expected to comprise 50 vehicular loads per day. A summary of the expected level of HGV traffic attracted to the Buttington ERF on a typical weekday is provided within Table 8-5.

Table 8-5: Likely Level Of HGV Traffic Attracted By The Development On A Typical Weekday

| Development Aspect | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HGV Loads | Two Way HGV Movements |
| 167,000 tonnes per annum <br> of waste materials | 40 | 80 |
| IBA Residues | 7 | 14 |
| APCR Residues | 1 | 2 |
| Consumables | 2 | 4 |

8.4.18. The Buttington ERF would accept deliveries of waste for up to 12 hours on weekdays and 5 hours on Saturdays. Assuming equal numbers of HGV trips during each hour, there would be an average of 4 HGV arrivals and 4 HGV departures during each hour on a typical weekday. On that basis, Table 8-6 shows the expected HGV arrivals and departures during the weekday AM and PM peak hours.

Table 8-6: HGV Related Vehicular Trips On A Typical Weekday

| Time Period | Arrivals | Departures | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AM Peak Hour (08:00-09:00) | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| PM Peak Hour (17:00-18:00) | 4 | 4 | 8 |

8.4.19. The development is likely to employ 30 staff members. The staff modal split has been calculated from the Office for National Statistics ("ONS") Method of Travel to Work survey undertaken in 2011 (Nomis: WP703EW ${ }^{\text {xIIII }}$ ) In the Nomis database, the Powys middle layer super output area W35001315, which includes the majority of the development, was selected, this is provided in Table 8-7.

Table 8-7: Person Trip Attraction (Staff)

| Time Period | Proportion of Staff Travelling By <br> Each Mode | Number of Staff <br> Travelling by Each <br> Mode |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walk | $6 \%$ | 2 |
| Cycle | $1 \%$ | 0 |
| Car (Priver) | $74 \%$ | 22 |
| Taxsenger) | $11 \%$ | 3 |
| Motorcycle | $0 \%$ | 0 |
| Bus, Mini-Bus or Coach | $2 \%$ | 1 |
| Train | $2 \%$ | 1 |
| Underground, Metro, Light <br> Rail or Tram | $1 \%$ | 0 |
| Other Mode of Travel to <br> Work | $0 \%$ | 1 |
| Total | $2 \%$ | 30 |

Note: Staff assumed to arrive and depart by the same mode of travel. As such, staff numbers shown in table should be doubled to derive number of daily staff related trips by each mode
8.4.20. The 3 staff members (11\%) who would commute as passengers in a car are assumed to travel with the staff members driving a car, i.e. car sharing. On this basis, there could be 22 (74\%) vehicular arrivals in the morning and 22 (74\%) corresponding departures in the afternoon as shown in Table 9-9. However, it should be noted that the end operator of the Installation, Hitachi Zosen Inova AG, has advised that shift patterns are likely to be operated at the site. As such, the traffic flows shown in Table 8-9 should be regarded to represent a worst case in terms of the likely development traffic levels occurring during the typical weekday peak hours.

Table 8-8: Staff Related Vehicular Trips On A Typical Weekday

| Time Period | Arrivals | Departures | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AM Peak Hour (08:00-09:00) | 22 | 0 | 22 |
| PM Peak Hour (17:00-18:00) | 0 | 22 | 22 |

8.4.21. During the operational stage the HGV traffic attracted by the development is likely to be split $40 \%$ from a northerly direction and $60 \%$ from the south. The non-HGV traffic is likely to be split $70 \%$ from the north and $30 \%$ from the south. Table 8-9 shows the distribution of the HGV traffic. Deliveries of consumables and the collection of IBA and APCR residues have been assumed to be distributed in accordance with the HGV distribution.

Table 8-9: Distribution of HGV Movements on the A458 On A Typical Weekday

| Time Period | Arrivals |  | Departures |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Right In <br> (FS) | Left In <br> (FN) | Right Out <br> (TN) | Left Out <br> (TS) | To/from <br> north | To/from <br> south |
| AM Peak Hour <br> (08:00-09:00) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| PM Peak Hour <br> (17:00-18:00) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| 12 Hour <br> (07:00-17:00) | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 40 | 40 |

Note to Table
FS = From south on A458
FN = From north on A458
TN = To north on the A458
TS = To South on A458
8.4.22. Table 8-10 shows the staff vehicular movements arriving and departing the site access.

Table 8-10: Distribution of Staff Vehicular Movements on the A458 On A Typical Weekday

|  | Arrivals |  | Departures |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period | Right In <br> (FS) | Left In <br> (FN) | Right Out <br> (TN) | Left Out <br> (TS) | To/from <br> north | To/from <br> south |
| AM Peak Hour <br> (08:00-09:00) | 7 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 7 |
| PM Peak Hour <br> (17:00-18:00) | 0 | 0 | 15 | 7 | 15 | 7 |
| $\mathbf{1 2 ~ H o u r ~}$ <br> (07:00-19:00) | 7 | 15 | 15 | 7 | 30 | 14 |

Note to Table
FS = From south on A458
FN = From north on A458
TN = To north on the A458
TS = To South on A458
8.4.23. Traffic flow diagrams are included at Appendix I of the TA report in Technical Appendix 81, showing the expected weekday AM and PM peak hour development traffic movements distributed on the local road network.
8.4.24. Table 8-11 below shows the 2030 baseline and 2030 baseline plus development peak hour and 12 hour traffic flows on the A458 within the vicinity of the site and also shows the proportional increase with the development traffic.

Table 8-11: Impact of Development Traffic

| Time Period | Traffic <br> Movement | Baseline Traffic | Baseline + <br> Development | \% Increase |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Weekday AM <br> Peak Hour <br> (08:00-09:00) | Northbound | 338 | $(338+11)$ | $3.3 \%$ |
|  | Southbound | 406 | $(406+19)$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| Weekday PM <br> Peak Hour <br> $(17: 00-18: 00)$ | Northbound | 373 | $(373+19)$ | $5.1 \%$ |
|  | Southbound | 331 | $(331+11)$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| Weekday 12 <br> Hour <br> $(07: 00-19: 00)$ | Northbound | 3560 | $(3560+62)$ | $1.7 \%$ |
|  | Southbound | 3416 | $(3416+62)$ | $1.8 \%$ |

## Operational Phase - Pedestrian Severance

8.4.25. The operational development traffic, which as demonstrated above would be modest only, would enter and leave the development via the A458. Footways provided along the A458 are intermittent. However, due to the rural location of the site and lack of pedestrian facilities within the vicinity of the site, the locality is not expected to have notable levels of pedestrian activity. As such, the operational phase of the development is not expected to materially affect pedestrian severance.

## Operational Phase - Pedestrian Amenity, Delay, Fear and Intimidation

8.4.26. The additional traffic levels would represent a very small increase compared to the baseline situation (see Table 8-10). As such, the operational phase of the development is not expected to materially affect pedestrian amenity, delay, fear or intimidation.

## Operational Phase - Visual Impact

8.4.27. The A458 is a trunk road, which by definition is expected to carry large commercial vehicles. As such, it is concluded that the use of the road by HGVs associated with the operational phase of the Development proposal would not lead to a material change in terms of the visual impact of vehicles using the road.

## Operational Phase - Driver Delay

8.4.28. The Transport Assessment contains detailed analyses of the operation of the agreed key junctions on the local road network and confirms that significant levels of additional driver delay would not accrue as a result of the operation of the Development.
8.4.29. Furthermore, the improved site access junction arrangement proposed includes a ghosted right turn storage area for traffic turning into the site and therefore provides a notable highway safety / operational benefit.

## Operational Phase - Accidents and Safety

8.4.30. The Transport Assessment contains detailed analyses of the Personal Injury Accident record of the local road network and confirms that there is not an existing HGV related accident problem. As such, it is considered that the use of the local road network by the operational phase HGVs should not give rise to highway safety concerns.

## Operational Phase - Use of New Access Junction

8.4.31. As indicated above, the new access junction would be constructed and bought in to operation prior to the operational and decommissioning phases of the development proposal. As such, and in accordance with the PINS Scoping Direction, within this chapter the effect of the operation of the new access from a transport environmental perspective is assessed. In that regard, the effect of the operation of the site access from a traffic delay perspective is considered.
8.4.32. In order to assess the effect of the operation of the access during the operational stage of the development from a traffic delay perspective a PICADY assessment of the operation of the new access was undertaken during the typical weekday AM and PM peak hours. The results of the PICADY assessment are summarised below in Table 8-12, whilst the full output files are contained in the Transport Assessment at Technical Appendix 8-1.

Table 8-12: 2030 Typical Weekday AM and PM peak Hour PICADY Assessment of Site Access Junction

| Approach | Worst Case RFC |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
|  | RFC | Queue |
| Weekday AM Peak Hour | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| Site Access Right Turn | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| Site Access Left Turn | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| A458 South Right <br> Turn to Site | 0.11 | 0.12 |
| Weekday PM Peak Hour | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| Site Access Right Turn | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| Site Access Left Turn |  |  |
| A458 South Right <br> Turn to Site |  |  |

8.4.33. The PICADY results summarised above confirm that the site access junction would operate comfortably within capacity during the typical weekday peak hours in the design year of 2030. In that regard, there would not be queuing of any note at the junction and the proposed right turn lane would comfortably accommodate the very modest forecast level
of queuing for vehicles waiting to turn right into the site. It should also be recognised that the provision of the new access junction to replace the existing junction would potentially improve traffic flow conditions and highway safety within the vicinity of the site.

## Operational Phase - Mitigation

8.4.34. Direct mitigation is not considered to be required, however, both Broad Energy and HZl are aware that HGV traffic would be a concern to local residents and the Highway Authority. As such HGVs would be operated and maintained to the highest standards in order to minimise any impacts on the environment and road safety.
8.4.35. Notwithstanding the above, it should be recognised that, as discussed above at paragraph 8.1.5, it is proposed that vehicular access to the ERF would be achieved via a new priority ' T ' junction with a dedicated ghosted right turn lane. The new junction would be located approximately 170 m to the north of the existing access junction and would be provided to contemporary design standards. Once the new junction is opened the existing quarry access would be downgraded to provide access to the residential property known as Brookside only. The delivery of the new access junction would require widening / straightening of the A458 on its eastern side adjacent to the site along with cutting and embankment works. The layout of the new access junction has previously been approved under planning permission $\mathrm{P} / 2015 / 0439$. The works necessary within the public highway will be to deliver the access junction are likely to be secured by condition and undertaken pursuant to a section 278 agreement.
8.4.36. In addition, in order to reduce the level of car traffic associated with the operation of the development the operators propose to implement a Travel Plan, which would include measures such as car sharing.

## Decommissioning Phase - Traffic

8.4.37. Activity at the site during the decommissioning phase would be the reverse of activity during the construction phase and as such it is considered that traffic levels would be the same as the construction phase flows provided by HZl, albeit that the enabling phase would not be required. It should also be noted that the decommissioning traffic would be access the site via the new access junction, which provides highway safety and operational benefits when compared to the existing access junction that would be used to serve the construction phase of the development.
8.4.38. The decommissioning flows are provided in Table 8-13. Whilst the likely vehicle movements during the decommissioning phase have been provided, as that phase of the Development is temporary only and no significant effects are expected, the junction capacity assessments for this study have only been undertaken for the permanent operational phase of the Development which is expected to have greater impacts by virtue of the fact that it would span a far longer time period and would, with the exception of the relatively short enabling phase, attract higher daily HGV levels.

Table 8-13: Daily Traffic Levels During Decommissioning Phase

| Phase | Level of Daily Traffic Attracted |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cars |  | HGVs |  |
|  | Arrivals | Departures | Arrivals | Departures |
|  | 384 | 384 | 13 | 13 |
| Decommissioning <br> Phase average | 108 | 108 | 13 | 13 |

## Decommissioning Phase - Pedestrian Severance

8.4.39. The decommissioning phase traffic would enter and leave the Development via the A458 and thereafter HGV movements would be concentrated on the major road network. Footways provided along the A458 and the A483 are intermittent and due to the rural location of the site and lack of pedestrian facilities within the vicinity of the site, the locality is not expected to have notable levels of pedestrian activity. As such, it is considered that HGV traffic associated with the decommissioning phase would not materially affect pedestrian severance.

## Decommissioning Phase - Pedestrian Delay, Amenity, Fear and Intimidation

8.4.40. HGV decommissioning phase traffic would be concentrated on the major road network where pedestrian facilities are intermittent and consequently pedestrian activity is low. As such, it is considered that HGV traffic associated with the decommissioning phase would not materially affect pedestrian delay, amenity, fear or intimidation.

## Decommissioning Phase - Vehicle Trackout

8.4.41. Appropriate measures would be put in place at the site during the decommissioning phase, including if required by the Local Highway Authority ("LHA") the provision of wheel wash facilities, in order to ensure that dust and dirt is not transferred to the public highway. It should, however, be recognised that at that decommissioning stage of the development the site would be served by the new access road which would have a metalled surface and thus would reduce the likelihood of dust and dirt being transferred to the public highway.

## Decommissioning Phase - Visual Impact

8.4.42. The A458 is a trunk road, which by definition is expected to carry large commercial vehicles. As such, it is concluded that the use of the road by HGVs associated with the decommissioning phase of the development proposal would not lead to a material change in terms of the visual impact of vehicles using the road.

Decommissioning Phase - Driver Delay
8.4.43. The Transport Assessment contains detailed analyses of the operation of the agreed key junctions on the local road network and confirms that significant levels of additional driver delay would not accrue as a result of the operation of the Development. It is considered that the average flows expected during the decommissioning phase of the Development, which would include lower HGV flows, would be unlikely to lead to a significant increase in driver delay. In addition, the decommissioning phase traffic flows should be viewed in the context that they would be temporary only.

## Decommissioning Phase - Accidents and Safety

8.4.44. The Transport Assessment contains detailed analyses of the Personal Injury Accident record of the local road network and confirms that there is not an existing HGV related accident problem.

## Decommissioning Phase - Use of New Access Junction

8.4.45. As indicated above, the new access junction would be constructed and bought in to operation prior to the operational and decommissioning phases of the development proposal. As such, and in accordance with the PINS Scoping Direction, within this chapter the effect of the use of the new access from a transport environmental perspective is assessed. In that regard, the effect of the operation of the site access from a traffic delay perspective is considered.
8.4.46. In order to assess the effect of the operation of the access during the decommissioning stage of the development from a traffic delay perspective a PICADY assessment of the operation of the new access was undertaken during the typical weekday AM and PM peak hours. The development has a 30 year life span and therefore the assessments were undertaken for the future year of 2055. The results of the PICADY assessment are summarised below in Table 8-14, whilst the full output files are contained in the Technical Appendix 8-2.

Table 8-14: 2055 Typical Weekday AM and PM peak Hour PICADY Assessment of Site Access Junction

| Approach | Worst Case RFC |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
|  | RFC | Queue |
| Weekday AM Peak Hour | 0.18 | 0.21 |
| Site Access Right Turn | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| Site Access Left Turn | 0.20 | 0.24 |
| A458 South Right 0.09 0.09 <br> Turn to Site   | 0.03 | 0.04 |
| Weekday PM Peak Hour | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| Site Access Right Turn |  |  |
| A458 South Right <br> Turn to Site |  |  |

8.4.47. The PICADY results summarised above confirm that the site access junction would operate comfortably within capacity during the typical weekday peak hours in 2055. In that regard, there would not be queuing of any note at the junction and the proposed right turn lane would comfortably accommodate the very modest forecast level of queuing for vehicles waiting to turn right into the site.

## Decommissioning Phase - Mitigation

8.4.48. It is considered that the mitigation measures required during the decommissioning phase would consist of wheel wash facilities at the site and a construction / HGV management plan.

## The Development Overall

8.4.49. All aspects of the Development have been considered in the environmental effects assessment. The effect of the permanent operational Development traffic flows on the local road network is considered to have the greatest impact rather than the effect of the construction or decommissioning traffic flows by virtue of the fact that the operational phase spans a far longer time period and, with the exception of the relatively short enabling phase, includes higher daily HGV levels. Hence only the permanent operational phase has been assessed in detail (see Table 8-15). This approach is adopted because the construction and decommissioning flows would be temporary only and no significant effects are likely and as such detailed assessment of these flows is not deemed to be necessary.

## The Development in Combination with Other Developments

8.4.50. The observed traffic levels do not include for traffic associated with any approved but as of yet un-implemented 'committed' developments. As such, as requested by the Local

Highway Authority ("LHA") during scoping discussions, in order to ensure that a cumulative assessment is undertaken the key committed developments within the vicinity of the site were identified and the level of traffic attracted to / generated by those developments was calculated.
8.4.51. The committed development traffic calculations are also set out in Chapter 5 of the TA report in Technical Appendix 8-1, whilst turning movement diagrams contained at Appendix I of the TA report show the weekday AM and PM peak hour committed development traffic flows distributed on the local road network.
8.4.52. Consideration must be given to the cumulative effects of the Development in conjunction with other developments - both existing and proposed. For the existing highway network, junction capacity assessments were undertaken at three key junctions and are discussed in full within the TA report. Those assessments were undertaken on a cumulative impact basis with committed development traffic allowances included within the assessment flows.
8.4.53. Table 8-15 compares the worst case forecast reference to flow capacity ("RFC") for the most critical arm at each junction under the 2030 baseline scenario and the 2030 (cumulative) baseline plus committed development plus operational development traffic scenario.

Table 8-15: 2030 Cumulative Junction Impact Assessment Summary

| Arm | Time Period | Worst Case RFC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Baseline Scenario | Baseline plus <br> Committed <br> Developments Plus <br> Operational <br> Development <br> (cumulative) Scenario <br> Traffic |
| Junction 1 - A483 / A458 Roundabout |  |  |  |
| A458 | AM | 0.57 | 0.64 |
|  | PM | 0.53 | 0.56 |
| Junction 2 - A483 / Salop Road Priority Junction |  |  |  |
| Salop Road | AM | 0.49 | 0.66 |
|  | PM | 0.51 | 0.52 |
| Junction 3 - A483 / B4381 / Smithfield Road Roundabout |  |  |  |
| Smithfield Road | AM | 0.63 | 0.71 |
|  | PM | 0.75 | 0.76 |

8.4.54. The assessment results confirm that the three key junctions analysed would operate within the limit of their practical reserve capacity during the typical weekday AM and PM peak hours under the cumulative traffic scenarios tested.

## Interactive Effects

8.4.55. Consideration must be given to the interactive effects associated with the Development in terms of the relationship between the various KEAs considered. Likely interactive effects are discussed in Table 8-16.

Table 8-16: Interactive Effects on KEA

| KEA Interaction | Interactive Effects |
| :--- | :--- |
| Transport and Noise | Increased traffic movements have the potential to increase noise <br> levels. The impact of noise from traffic has been considered in <br> Chapter 14 Noise. |
| Transport and Air Quality | Increased traffic movements have the potential to decrease air <br> quality. The impact of emissions from traffic has been <br> considered in Chapter 6 Air Quality. |

### 8.5. Environmental Effects Analysis

8.5.1. Based on the Environmental Effect Assessment for all Development phases discussed in Section 8.4, a detailed environmental effects analysis is provided in Table 8-17 to 8-19.
8.5.2. The significance criteria provided in Table 8-17 are considered relevant in respect of the impact of traffic flows associated with the Development within the study area and have been used to describe the effects.

Table 8-17: Environmental Effects Assessment Evaluation Criteria

| Criteria | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| Magnitude of Impact (Mg) <br> Operational Traffic | - Unknown - there is insufficient evidence to indicate the magnitude of the effect; <br> - Negligible - The bearing of the impact is too small to be measured meaningfully (0 to 10\%) <br> - Minor - Slight, very short or highly localised impact of no significant consequences ( $10 \%$ to $30 \%$ change). <br> - Moderate - Limited impact (by extent, duration or magnitude) which may be considered significant ( $30 \%$ to $60 \%$ change). <br> - Major - Considerable impact (by extent, duration or magnitude) or more than local significance or in breach of recognised acceptability, legislation, policy or standards (greater than $60 \%$ change). |
| Magnitude of Impact (Mg) Construction Traffic | - Unknown - there is insufficient evidence to indicate the magnitude of the effect; <br> - Negligible - Construction traffic flows less than 25 HGVs per day on all roads <br> - Minor - Construction traffic flows less than 100 HGVs per day on major road network, or less than 25 HGVs on minor roads <br> - Moderate - Construction traffic flows greater than 100 HGVs per day on major road network, or greater than 25 HGVs per day on minor roads. <br> - Major - Construction traffic flows greater than 200 HGVs per day on major road network or greater than 50 HGVs per day on minor roads. |

Table 8-17: Environmental Effects Assessment Evaluation Criteria (cont)

| Criteria | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| Magnitude of Impact (Mg) Effect of Junctions | - Unknown - there is insufficient evidence to indicate the magnitude of the effect; <br> - Negligible - Negligible change to operational performance of highway network <br> - Minor - Change that leads to perception of a minor increase or decrease in delays and congestion <br> - Moderate Change that leads to perception of a moderate increase or decrease in delays and congestion <br> - Major - Change that leads to perception of a major increase or decrease in delays and congestion |
| Magnitude of Impact (Mg) Pedestrian Severance, Delay, Amenity, Fear and Intimidation | - Unknown - there is insufficient evidence to indicate the magnitude of the effect; <br> - Negligible - the Development would add less than 25 HGVs per day on all roads where regular pedestrian movements are likely; <br> - Minor - the Development would add 100 HGVs per day on major road network, or less than 25 HGV on minor roads where regular pedestrian movements are likely; <br> - Moderate - the Development would add greater than 100 HGVs per day on major road network, or greater than 25 HGVs per day on the minor road network where regular pedestrian movements are likely; <br> - Major - the Development would add greater than 200 HGVs per day on major road network or greater than 50 HGVs per day on minor roads where regular pedestrian movements are likely. |
| Magnitude of Impact (Mg) Effect of Construction of Site Access Junction on traffic flow | - Unknown - there is insufficient evidence to indicate the magnitude of the effect; <br> - Negligible - Negligible change to operational performance of highway network <br> - Minor - Change that leads to perception of a minor increase or decrease in delays and congestion <br> - Moderate Change that leads to perception of a moderate increase or decrease in delays and congestion <br> - Major - Change that leads to perception of a major increase or decrease in delays and congestion |

Table 8-17: Environmental Effects Assessment Evaluation Criteria (cont)

| Criteria | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| Magnitude of Impact (Mg) Effect of Operation of Site Access Junction on traffic flow | - Unknown - there is insufficient evidence to indicate the magnitude of the effect; <br> - Negligible - Negligible change to operational performance of highway network <br> - Minor - Change that leads to perception of a minor increase or decrease in delays and congestion <br> - Moderate Change that leads to perception of a moderate increase or decrease in delays and congestion <br> - Major - Change that leads to perception of a major increase or decrease in delays and congestion |
| Geographic Extent of Impact (GE) | - Within ERF Boundary - 0km <br> - Up to 2 km from ERF <br> - Up to 10 km from ERF <br> - Over 10 km from ERF |
| Frequency of Impact (F) | - Single event <br> - Annual activity <br> - Monthly occurrence <br> - Continuous activity |
| Duration of Impact (D) | - 1 week <br> - 1 month <br> - 2-6 months <br> - 6-12 months <br> - 12-36 months <br> - Over 36 months |
| Reversibility of Impact (R) | - Unknown - there is insufficient research/experience to indicate whether the environmental effect is reversible <br> - High - previous research/experience indicates the environmental effect is reversible <br> - Medium - previous research/experience indicates the environmental effect may be reversible <br> - Low - previous research/ experience indicates that there is a small likelihood that the environmental effect is reversible <br> - Nil - previous research/ experience indicates that the environmental effect is irreversible |
| Ecological, Cultural and Socio-economic Context of Impact (ESC) | - Relatively pristine area not adversely affected by human activity <br> - Evidence of human activity <br> - High level of human activity |

Table 8-18 : Environmental Effects Analysis - Transport Construction Phase

| Activity | Potential Effect | Evaluation Criteria |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mg | GE | F | D | R | ESC |
| Construction | Traffic congestion | Minor | $<10 \mathrm{~km}$ | Cont | $12-$ | H | E |
| Traffic |  |  |  |  | 36 M |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Conclusion: The environmental impact of traffic congestion in the construction phase is considered not significant as daily HGV levels would be less than 100 on the major road network.

Notwithstanding the above some mitigation is proposed as outlined below.

## Mitigation

Implementation of HGV routing strategy to be agreed with the Local Highways Authority

| Walking in the | Pedestrian severance, | Negligible | <10km | Cont | $\begin{aligned} & 12- \\ & 36 \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | H | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Development | Amenity, fear and intimidation |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Conclusion: HGV decommissioning phase traffic would be concentrated on the major road network where pedestrian facilities are intermittent and consequently pedestrian activity is low. As such, it is considered that HGV traffic associated with the decommissioning phase would not materially affect pedestrian severance, delay, amenity, fear or intimidation.

## Mitigation

No mitigation considered to be required.


Conclusion: The environmental impact of mud and debris and track out on to local highways is considered as not significant as it can be managed with the mitigation measures set out below.

## Mitigation

- Wheel wash facilities to be provided at the Development
- Road sweeper to be used during construction phase

| Large construction | Visual Impact | Minor | <2km | Cont | $\begin{aligned} & 12- \\ & 36 \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | H | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Conclusion: The visual impact of large construction vehicles is considered as not significant as the Development would attract only low numbers of HGVs during the construction phase and is accessed directly from a trunk road which by definition is expected to carry large commercial vehicles.

## Mitigation:

No mitigation is required.

Table 8-18: Environmental Effects Analysis - Transport Construction Phase (cont)

| Activity | Potential Effect | Evaluation Criteria |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mg | GE | F | D | R | ESC |
| Construction traffic | Driver Delay | Minor | <10km | Cont | $\begin{aligned} & 12- \\ & 36 \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | H | E |
|  | Conclusion: It is considered that driver delays due to the construction traffic associated with the Development would be minor only due to the low numbers of HGV numbers expected. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mitigation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No mitigation considered to be required. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction of new access | Effect on Traffic Flow | Moderate | 0km | Cont | 2-6M | H | H |
|  | Conclusion: It is considered that delays due to the construction of the site access junction would be moderate only and would only occur on a temporary basis for a limited period of time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mitigation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - Provision of traffic management plan in order to ensure that temporary road works accord with guidelines and minimise delays for passing traffic. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8-19 : Environmental Effects Analysis - Transport Operational Phase

| Activity | Potential Effect | Evaluation Criteria |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mg | GE | F | D | R | ESC |
| AM Peak Hour (08:00-09:00) | Traffic congestion on key links | Negligible | <10km |  | Over 36M | H | H |
|  | Conclusion: The operation of the key links would not be significantly affected by the operational development traffic during the typical weekday AM peak hour. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mitigation <br> None required |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Hour(17:00-18:00) | Traffic congestion on key links | Negligible | <10km | Cont | Over $36 \mathrm{M}$ |  | H |
|  | Conclusion: The operation of the key links would not be significantly affected by the operational development traffic during the typical weekday PM peak hour. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mitigation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - None required |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 12 \text { Hour } \\ & \text { (07:00-19:00) } \end{aligned}$ | Traffic congestion on key links | Negligible | <10km | Cont | Over 36M | H |  |
|  | Conclusion: The operation of the key links would not be significantly affected by the operational development traffic over the course of the operational day on a typical weekday. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mitigation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - None required |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8-19 : Environmental Effects Analysis - Transport Operational Phase (cont)

| Activity | Potential Effect | Evaluation Criteria |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mg | GE | F | D | R | ESC |
| Walking in the vicinity of the Development | Pedestrian severance, delay, | Minor | <10km | Cont | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Over } \\ & \text { 36M } \end{aligned}$ | H | E |
|  | Amenity, fear and intimidation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Conclusion: The operational development traffic, which would be modest only, would enter and leave the development via the A458. Footways provided along the A458 are intermittent. However, due to the rural location of the site and lack of pedestrian facilities within the vicinity of the site, the locality is not expected to have notable levels of pedestrian activity. As such, the operational phase of the development is not expected to materially affect pedestrian severance, delay, amenity, fear or intimidation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mitigation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No mitigation considered to be required. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operation of new access | Effect on Traffic Flow | Negligible |  | Cont | Over <br> 36M | $N$ |  |
|  | Conclusion: It is considered that delays due to the operation of the site access junction during the operational phase of the development would be negligible. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mitigation |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8-20: Environmental Effects Analysis - Transport Decommissioning Phase

| Activity | Potential Effect | Evaluation Criteria |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mg | GE | F | D | R | ESC |
| Decommissioning Traffic | Traffic congestion | Minor | <10km |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12- \\ & 36 \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | H | E |
|  | Conclusion: The environmental impact of traffic congestion in the decommissioning phase is considered not significant as daily HGV levels would be less than 100 on the major road network. <br> Notwithstanding the above some mitigation is proposed as outlined below. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - Implementation of HGV routing strategy to be agreed with the Local Highways Authority |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8-20: Environmental Effects Analysis - Transport Decommissioning Phase (cont)

| Activity | Potential Effect | Evaluation Criteria |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mg | GE | F | D | R | ESC |
| Walking in the vicinity of the Development | Pedestrian severance, delay, | Negligible | <10km | Cont | $\begin{aligned} & 12- \\ & 36 \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | H | E |
|  | Amenity, fear and intimidation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Conclusion: HGV decommissioning phase traffic would be concentrated on the major road network where pedestrian facilities are intermittent and consequently pedestrian activity is low. As such, it is considered that HGV traffic associated with the decommissioning phase would not materially affect pedestrian severance, delay, amenity, fear or intimidation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mitigation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No mitigation considered to be required. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Decommissioning Traffic | Mud and debris track out on to local highways | Minor | $<2 \mathrm{~km}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12- \\ & 36 \mathrm{M} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | H | E |
|  | Conclusion: The environmental impact of mud and debris and track out on to local highways is considered as not significant as it can be managed with the mitigation measures set out below. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mitigation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - Wheel wash facilities to be provided at the Development <br> - Road sweeper to be used during construction phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large decommissioning vehicles | Visual Impact | Minor | <2km | Cont | $\begin{aligned} & 12- \\ & 36 \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | H | E |
|  | Conclusion: The visual impact of large vehicles during the decommissioning phase is considered as not significant as the Development would attract only low numbers of HGVs during the construction phase and is accessed directly from a trunk road which by definition is expected to carry large commercial vehicles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mitigation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No mitigation considered to be required. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Decommissioning traffic | Driver Delay | Minor | <10km |  | $\begin{aligned} & 12- \\ & 36 \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | H | E |
|  | Conclusion: It is considered that driver delays due to the construction traffic associated with the Development would be minor only due to the low numbers of HGV numbers expected. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mitigation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No mitigation considered to be required. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8-20: Environmental Effects Analysis - Transport Decommissioning Phase (cont)

| Activity | Potential Effect | Evaluation Criteria |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mg | GE | F | D | R | ESC |
| Operation of new access | Effect on Traffic Flow | Negligible | 0km | Cont | $\begin{aligned} & 12- \\ & 36 \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | N | H |
|  | Conclusion: It is considered that delays due to the operation of the site access junction during the decommissioning phase of the development would be negligible. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mitigation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | None required. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8-21: Environmental Effects Analysis - Transport In Combination

| Activity | Potential Effect | Evaluation Criteria |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mg | GE | F | D | R | ESC |
| Combined Traffic Movements | Junction 1 - A483/A458 Roundabout | Negligible | <10km | Cont | Over 36M | H | H |
|  | Conclusion: The roundabout junction of the A483 / A458 would operate acceptably during both typical weekday peak hours following the opening of the Development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mitigation None required. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Junction 2 - A483/Salop Road | Negligible | $<10 \mathrm{~km}$ | Cont | Over 36M | H | H |
|  | Conclusion: The priority junction of the A483 / Salop Road would operate acceptably during both typical weekday peak hours following the opening of the Development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mitigation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | None required. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Junction 3 A483/B4381/Smithfield Road | Negligible | $<10 \mathrm{~km}$ | Cont | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Over } \\ & 36 \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | H | H |
|  | Conclusion: The roundabout junction of the A483 / B4381 / Smithfield Road would operate acceptably during both typical weekday peak hours following the opening of the Development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mitigation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | None required. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 8.6. Residual Environmental Effects

8.6.1. This section considers the residual environmental effects of the project, i.e. those effects which remain after the application of mitigation or engineering design.
8.6.2. In addition to the above significance rating the nature / type and duration of the impacts will be assessed using the following criteria

- Major (significant) residual environmental effect - An impact that is considered to represent an adverse change from the baseline, or introduces a new undesirable factor upon all local roads identified within the environmental assessment boundary;
- Moderate (significant) - An impact that is considered to represent an adverse change from the baseline, or introduces a new undesirable factor upon the majority of local roads identified within the environmental assessment boundary;
- Minor (not significant) - An impact that is considered to represent an adverse change from the baseline, or introduces a new undesirable factor upon some local roads identified within the environmental assessment boundary;
- Negligible (not significant) - An impact that is considered to represent an adverse change from the baseline, or introduces a new undesirable factor upon the Development area only;
- Beneficial - An impact that is considered to represent an improvement on the baseline or introduces a positive change.
8.6.3. The type of impact will also be defined according to the following criteria:
- Direct Impact - Impacts that result from a direct interaction between a planned project activity and the receiving environment/receptors.
- Indirect Impact - Impacts that result from other activities that are encouraged to happen as a consequence of the Project.
8.6.4. Residual adverse environmental effects for the Project are provided in Table 8-22.

Table 8-22: Summary of Residual Adverse Environmental Effects - Transport

| Development Phase | Residual Adverse Environmental Effect | Significance | Likely Effect on the Environment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction | Increase in road traffic levels | Minor <br> Not Significant <br> Direct Impact | Anticipated adverse environmental effects are small and may not be detectable and would not be permanent. |
|  | Effect of construction of site access junction on traffic flow | Moderate Significant | Anticipated adverse environmental effects would not be permanent. |
|  | Pedestrian severance | Minor <br> Not Significant <br> Direct Impact | Anticipated adverse environmental effects are small and may not be detectable and would not be permanent. |
|  | Pedestrian amenity, delay, fear and intimidation | Minor <br> Not Significant <br> Direct Impact | Anticipated adverse environmental effects are small and may not be detectable and would not be permanent. |
| Operation | Increase in road traffic levels | Negligible Not Significant Direct Impact | Anticipated adverse environmental effects are small and may not be detectable. |
|  | Effect of traffic on operation of key junctions | Negligible Not Significant | Anticipated adverse environmental effects are small and may not be detectable. |
|  | Effect of operation of site access junction on traffic flow | Negligible Not Significant | Anticipated adverse environmental effects are small and may not be detectable. |
|  | Pedestrian severance | Minor <br> Not Significant <br> Direct Impact | Anticipated adverse environmental effects are small and may not be detectable. |
|  | Pedestrian amenity, delay, fear and intimidation | Minor <br> Not Significant <br> Direct Impact | Anticipated adverse environmental effects are small and may not be detectable. |

Table 8-22: Summary of Residual Adverse Environmental Effects - Transport (cont)

| Development Phase | Residual Adverse Environmental Effect | Significance | Likely Effect on the Environment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Decommissioning | Increase in road traffic levels | Minor <br> Not Significant <br> Direct Impact | Anticipated adverse environmental effects are small and may not be detectable and would not be permanent. |
|  | Pedestrian severance | Minor <br> Not Significant Direct Impact | Anticipated adverse environmental effects are small and may not be detectable and would not be permanent. |
|  | Pedestrian amenity, delay, fear and intimidation | Minor <br> Not Significant <br> Direct Impact | Anticipated adverse environmental effects are small and may not be detectable and would not be permanent. |

### 8.7. Summary

8.7.1. This chapter is to be read in conjunction with the TA report for the Development and considers the relevant aspects of the TA from an environmental impact perspective. The scope of the TA report was agreed with the Transport Network Management Division at the Welsh Government Office and also Powys County Council highway department prior to its preparation.
8.7.2. In addition, scoping enquiries were also sent to Highways England and Shropshire County Council. The investigations undertaken as part of those scoping enquiries confirmed that the development would be likely to add modest traffic levels only to the road network within England and Highways England confirmed that as a result assessment of trunk roads within England was not required as part of this study.
8.7.3. The relevant National and Local transportation planning policies have been identified and it has been demonstrated that the proposal would comply with those policies.
8.7.4. The baseline transport conditions within the agreed study area have been established and that has included reference to the results of an ATC survey on the A458 and the results of manual classified traffic counts at the three agreed key junctions for this study. In addition, the level of traffic attracted to the identified but as of yet un-implemented 'committed' developments has been calculated.
8.7.5. The level of traffic attracted to the site during the construction and operational phases of the development has then been identified but as the construction and decommissioning phases would be temporary and, with the exception of the short construction period for the site access junction, no significant effects are likely, the junction capacity assessments for this study have only been undertaken for the operational phase of the development,
which would span a far longer time period and would, with the exception of the relatively short enabling phase, attract higher daily HGV levels.
8.7.6. Notwithstanding the above, based on the assessment criteria set out in Table 8-17 and Section 8.6 it is considered that the construction vehicle movements would have a direct, temporary, minor adverse effect only on the operation of the local highway network. It is acknowledged that the construction of the new site access junction, which would lead to highway safety and operational gains once it replaces the existing access junction, would have a major, adverse effect on traffic flows on the A458 adjacent to the site but that effect would be for a very limited temporary period only.
8.7.7. Further to the above, based on the aforementioned assessment criteria it is considered that the operational development traffic would have a direct, permanent, negligible adverse effect only on the operation of the local highway network.
8.7.8. Likewise the decommissioning phase would have a direct, temporary, minor adverse effect only on the operation of the local highway network.
8.7.9. Finally, it is considered that during all phases of the development the traffic attracted to the site would have direct and minor adverse impact only on pedestrian severance, amenity, delay, fear and intimidation.
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Intermodal Transportation Ltd (ITL), an independent consultancy specialising in highway engineering and transportation planning, have been appointed by Environmental Compliance Ltd on behalf of Broad Energy (Wales) Ltd to produce a Transport Assessment (TA) to accompany the planning application for a proposed Energy Recovery Facility (ERF) at Buttington Quarry, Welshpool, Wales.
1.2 This report considers the highways and transportation issues associated with the development of the proposed ERF. The proposed ERF would process around 167,000 tonnes per annum (tpa) of Refuse-Derived Fuel (RDF) by means of moving grate incineration.
1.3 The scope of the study was agreed with the Transport Network Management Division at the Welsh Government Office and also Powys County Council highway department. Copies of the highway scoping correspondence for the proposal are contained at Appendix A of this report. In accordance with the scoping agreement, the following issues have been addressed and are included as part of the study.

- Description of the local road network in the vicinity of the proposed Development;
- Description of the development proposal;
- Consideration of accessibility of the site by alternative transport modes, i.e. walking, cycling and public transport;
- Calculation on a first principle basis of the likely level of traffic attracted to the proposal;
- Assessment of the capacity of the key junctions during the road network AM and PM peak hours;
- A458 / A483 Roundabout Junction
- A483 / Salop Road Priority Junction
- A483 / Smithfield Road Roundabout Junction
- A458 / Site Access Junction
- Examination of the Personal Injury Accident (PIA) record of the local road network;
- Consideration of access to / from the Development for service vehicles including undertaking AutoTrack swept path analysis; and
- Consideration of the proposed parking provision at the development in the context of the relevant local standards;
1.4 Due to the close proximity of the development proposal to the Wales / England border, scoping enquiries were also sent to Highways England (HE) and Shropshire County Council (SCC). Those scoping enquiries confirmed that the development would be likely to add modest traffic levels only to the road network within England and HE confirmed that no assessment was required of the trunk road network in England. At the time of the production of this report a response was awaited from SCC.


## 2 SITE LOCATION AND LOCAL ROAD NETWORK

## Site Location

2.1 The site of Buttington Quarry is located between the villages of Buttington and Trewern. The site (OSGR: 326690, 310106) is located in the vicinity of the Welsh borders approximately 4 km northeast of Welshpool and 24 km west of Shrewsbury, within the County of Powys. It is located on the A458 Trunk road which bounds the site to the west. An existing quarry, and other commercial uses are located on the site at present. The site location in the local and wider context is shown on drawing IT1921/TA/01 of this report.
2.2 Principal access to the quarry is currently via an access road branching east from the A458 at a point 2.4 km north of its junction with the A483.

## Local Road Network

2.3 The proposed quarry lies northwest of Buttington, 2.3 km along the A458. Access to / egress from the Development would be via a new access, located 150 m north of the existing access. Planning permission was originally granted for the new access in 1999 under the planning reference M1999/1032. The planning permission for the access has been renewed on a number of occasions through extensions of time for the originally granted and subsequent permissions with the most recent permission being reference $\mathrm{P} / 2015 / 0439$. A section 73 application to extend that permission was submitted in March 2020 and is currently pending.
2.4 The existing quarry access from the A458 is a simple priority junction and does not have a ghosted right turn lane for traffic wishing to turn right into the site. As a result traffic that is turning right to the minor arm may be required to wait for a gap in the oncoming traffic flow and delay northbound traffic on the A458. Plate 1 shows a photograph of site existing access junction.

Plate 1: A view of the existing site access junction

2.5 The A458 has a width of 6.9 m within the vicinity of the existing access junction and the access overall measures 9.1 m in width between the security gating installed approximately 10 m back from the edge of the carriageway.
2.6 The A458 is a single carriageway road and is subject to a 50 mph speed limit within the vicinity of the proposed development. There is a double white marking prohibiting overtaking within the vicinity of the proposed development. Footway provisions are intermittent along the A458 as is the provision of street lighting.
2.7 The A458 forms a 5 arm roundabout junction with the A483, Rhallt Lane and a private access road to the Livestock Market approximately 3 km to the south of the proposed development. The A483 forms two arms of this roundabout. The speed limit increases to the national speed limit for single carriageway roads on the A458 prior to the roundabout. Plate 2 below shows a view of the A458 approach to the roundabout.

Plate 2: A458 / A483 Roundabout

2.8 The A483 forms a single lane dualling junction with Salop Road approximately 1 km to the south of the aforementioned roundabout. A dedicated right turn area is provided on the A483 for vehicles intending to turn right into Salop Road.
2.9 Salop Road runs south westwards towards Welshpool town centre from the junction with the A483. The speed limit changes to 30 mph on Salop Road approximately 100 m from the junction with the A483 and there is a footway on the northern side of the road. Plate 3 over the page shows a view into Salop Road at its junction with the A483.

Plate 3: A483 / Salop Road Junction

2.10 Approximately 1 km south west of the junction of Salop Road with the A483 the latter forms a roundabout junction with the B4381 and Smithfield Road. The speed limit reduces to 30 mph on the A 483 just prior to the roundabout. Smithfield Road runs northwards from the roundabout towards Welshpool town centre, whilst the A483 runs southwards towards Newtown. Plate 4 below shows a view of the A483 north approach to the roundabout.

Plate 4: A view of the A483 / B4381 / Smithfield Road Roundabout


## $3 \quad$ PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

3.1 The proposed Development comprises some 25ha (64 acres) of which the former brickworks occupies 2.1ha (5 acres). The site was first developed back in the late 1800's as a working quarry with associated brickworks, which continued to manufacture bricks until 1990. The business was sold to Aggregate Industries in 2004, and significant production continued until Aggregate Industries vacated the site in 2013. Stone extraction and aggregate production still continues now but at a lower level.
3.2 The site is allocated for B1, B2 and B8 employment development under the recently issued local development plan
3.3 The scheme proposes the construction and operation of an ERF capable of generating around 13 MW of low carbon and renewable electrical energy (when operational in full condensing mode) and heat through the thermal treatment of up to 167,000 tonnes per annum of Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) and MSW like waste from industrial and commercial sources. It would consist of material suitable for energy recovery sourced from Powys and surrounding areas.
3.4 The ERF would be capable of generating both electrical and heat energy from the thermal recovery of energy through the process and so would be classed as a Combined Heat and Power (CHP) plant.

The proposed ERF at Buttington Quarry would be developed to ensure that waste is managed effectively in accordance with Article 4 of the Waste Framework (2008/98/EC) which requires that waste is managed in accordance with the Waste Hierarchy. The intention is to support the management of waste fulfilling the aspirations of both the Welsh Government and PCC.

A layout plan for the development proposal is contained at Appendix B.
3.8 As previously indicated, vehicular access would be achieved via a new access, located 150 m north of the existing access. Planning permission was originally granted for the new access in 1999 under the planning reference M1999/1032 and a copy of
the approved layout plan is contained at Appendix C of this report. The planning permission for the access has been renewed on a number of occasions through extensions of time for the originally granted and subsequent permissions with the most recent permission being reference $\mathrm{P} / 2015 / 0439$. A section 73 application to extend that permission was submitted in March 2020 and is currently pending.
3.10 In accordance with the discussions / correspondence with the Welsh Government Office, AutoTrack swept path tests of the proposed Development access junction layout have been undertaken using a max legal articulated HGV as the design vehicle. A sketch showing the AutoTrack swept path tests is contained at Appendix E.
3.11 Drawing IT1921/TA/02 of this report shows the proposed Development access junction layout amended in accordance with the recent investigations and the discussions / correspondence with the Welsh Government Office. The drawing shows the proposed dimensions of the access junction. The access would be provided with a metalled surface and would be constructed in accordance with the requirements of the Local Highway Authority (LHA). It is considered that the exact details of the construction of the access could be agreed with the LHA at the detailed design stage of the project. As requested by the Welsh Government Office a Geotechnical Assessment of the proposed Development access junction in accordance with HD22/08 of the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges has been prepared by Harrison Group Environmental Ltd and is included at Appendix L of this report.

## 4 ACCESSIBILITY BY ALTERNATIVE MODES

## Walking

4.1 Walking and cycling are the two most sustainable modes of travel and can significantly contribute to improvements in health as well as promoting social inclusion within society. Government statistics indicate that $22 \%$ of all journeys by UK households are made on foot (source DFT LTN1/04) and the results of the 2017 National Travel Survey (NTS) indicate that $81 \%$ of trips of less than 1 mile (or 1.6 km ) are undertaken on foot. It is therefore reasonable to consider that this distance should be regarded as applicable in terms of defining the walking catchment of a new development such as the development proposal.
4.2 The pedestrian environment in close proximity to the proposed Development is typical of a rural area in that the presence of footways along the A458 and the access road leading from it is limited and the provision of street lighting is intermittent.
4.3 In the light of the above, given the nature of the land use and its location, it is considered that walking is unlikely to play a key role in travel to / from the proposed Development.

## Cycling

4.4 Former planning guidance in the form of PPG13 stated at paragraph 77 that "Cycling also has potential to substitute for short car trips, particularly those less than 5 kilometres, and to form part of a longer journey by public transport". There has been no recent advice that supersedes this and therefore the PPG 13 advice remains the most recent in this respect. It is, however, acknowledged that PPG13 has been superseded by the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), which itself does not represent adopted policy in Wales.
4.5 The proposed Development would be located approximately 3.5 km from the nearest point of National Cycle Route 6. The route is approximately 230 km long and runs from Aberystwyth to Wolverhampton. Locally the route passes through nearby towns and villages Welshpool, Berriew, Newton and Crewgreen.
4.6 Cyclists would be able to undertake cycling journeys between the site and the surrounding areas using the local road network given the rural nature of roads within the vicinity of the proposed development. However, cyclists would need to be confident and
proficient to ride on the A458 which is subject to a 50 mph speed limit.

## Public Transport

4.7 The nearest bus stops to the proposed Development are located approximately 250 metres from the proposed access on the A458 in the vicinity of its junction with the Heldre Lane.
4.8 There are 2 bus services available that pass by Heldre Lane near the proposed Development but only one that operates at a degree of regularity five days a week, i.e. the x75 service.
4.9 As identified within the pedestrian infrastructure section above, there are not footways on the A458 within the vicinity of the proposed Development. However, there is potential for colleagues to arrange multi modal commutes, whereby a member of the workforce arriving at an appropriate bus stop is collected by another who would be passing in their car.
4.10 Table 4.1 summarises the bus services calling at the stops within the vicinity of the site.

Table 4.1 Bus Services Calling At Stops Within The Vicinity Of The Site

| Service | Route | Frequency |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Services <br> (buses per hour) |  |  |
| X75 | Shrewsbury-Llanidloes to Friday <br> via Newtown \& Welshpool | $10: 03-18: 47$ <br> No Services on Sundays <br> or Bank Holidays | 1 per 2 hours <br> No Services on Sundays <br> or Bank Holidays |
| X3 | Newtown-Shrewsbury <br> via Welshpool | $9: 15-15: 05$ <br> Wednesday only | 2 per day |

4.11 Welshpool railway station is the nearest railway station to the site and is approximately 7.2 km from the site, i.e. notably in excess of the typically recognised 1 km threshold walking distance of heavy rail services. The station could be accessed via the x 75 bus service.
4.12 Given the nature of the land use and its comparatively remote location, it is considered that alternative modes of transport are unlikely to play a key role in travel to / from the proposed Development.

## 5 TRIP ATTRACTION AND NETWORK PERFORMANCE

## Traffic / Trip Attraction

5.1 It is proposed that construction of the development would commence in 2022 and that the construction phase would last 36 months with the facility operational by 2025.
5.2 The proposed ERF would process around 167,000tpa of Refuse-Derived Fuel (RDF). On the basis of a 278 working day year ( 5.5 day week minus 8 public holiday days) the average daily traffic attracted to the proposed ERF is expected to comprise 40 HGV loads per day ( 80 movements) based on an average load of 15 tonnes. Additionally, from data provided by HZI there would be 7 HGV loads per day ( 14 movements) to transport Incinerator Bottom Ash (IBA) residue and 1 HGV load per day (2 movements) to transport Air Pollution Control Residue (APCR). Additionally, there would be 2 HGV loads per day (4 movements) relating to the transport of lime and ammonia.
5.3 On the basis of a 12 hour day on weekdays during the operational phase of the development there would, therefore be a worst case rounded average of 4 HGV arrivals and 4 HGV departures during each peak hour. Table 5.1 shows the weekday AM and PM peak hour HGV arrivals and departures during the operational phase of the development.

Table 5.1: HGV Related Vehicular Trips On a Typical Weekday

| Time Period | Arrivals | Departures | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak Hour (08:00-09:00) | $4^{\star}$ | $4^{\star}$ | $8^{\star}$ |
| PM Peak Hour (17:00-18:00) | $4^{\star}$ | $4^{\star}$ | $8^{\star}$ |
| Daily 12 Hour (07:00-19:00) | 50 | 50 | 100 |

* Represents rounded figure as calculated average is 4.16 vehicles

The proposed development is likely to employ 30 staff members. The staff modal split has been calculated from the Office for National Statistics (ONS) Method of Travel to Work survey undertaken as part of the 2011 Census (Nomis: WP7103EW). In the Nomis database, Powys W35001315, which includes majority portion of the proposed development, was selected as the workplace zone. Table 5.2 over the page shows the Census modal split applied to the number of proposed staff members at the proposed development.

Table 5.2: Person Trip Attraction (Staff)

| Mode | Proportion of Staff Travelling By <br> Each Mode | Number of Staff Travelling by <br> Each Mode |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Walk | $6 \%$ | 2 |
| Cycle | $1 \%$ | 0 |
| Car (Driver) | $74 \%$ | 22 |
| Car (Passenger) | $11 \%$ | 3 |
| Taxi | $0 \%$ | 0 |
| Motorcycle | $2 \%$ | 1 |
| Bus, Mini-Bus or Coach | $2 \%$ | 1 |
| Train | $0 \%$ | 0 |
| Underground, Metro, Light Rail <br> or Tram | $2 \%$ | 0 |
| Other Mode of Travel to Work | $100 \%$ | 1 |
| Total |  | 30 |

5.5 The 3 staff members who would commute as passengers in a car are assumed to travel with the staff members driving a car, i.e. car sharing. On this basis, there could be 22 vehicular arrivals in the morning and 22 corresponding departures in the afternoon as shown in Table 5.3. However, it should be noted that the end operator of the facility, Hitachi Zosen Inova AG, has advised that shift patterns are likely to be operated at the Development. As such, the traffic flows shown in table 5.3 should be regarded to represent a worst case in terms of the likely staff traffic levels occurring during the typical weekday peak hours.

Table 5.3: Staff Related Vehicular Trips On A Typical Weekday

| Time Period | Arrivals | Departures | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak Hour (08:00-09:00) | 22 | 0 | 22 |
| PM Peak Hour (17:00-18:00) | 0 | 22 | 22 |
| Daily 12 Hour (07:00-19:00) | 22 | 22 | 44 |

5.6 Table 5.4 summarises the level of traffic attracted during the operational phase of the development and provides a comparison to the levels expected during the construction phase. The construction phase traffic levels were provided by the likely end user of the development proposal, Hitachi Zosen Inova AG. Whilst the likely vehicle movements during the construction phase have been provided, as that phase of the development is temporary only, the junction capacity assessments for this study have only been undertaken for the permanent operational phase of the development.

Table 5.4: Daily Traffic Levels Attracted During Development Phases

| Phase |  | Level of Daily Traffic Attracted |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cars |  | HGVs |  |
|  | Arrivals | Departures | Arrivals | Departures |
| Operational Phase | 22 | 22 | 40 | 40 |
| Construction Phase <br> enabling stage (6 months) | 108 | 108 | 141 | 141 |
| Construction Phase worst <br> case (2 months only) | 384 | 384 | 13 | 13 |
| Construction Phase <br> average | 108 | 108 | 13 | 13 |

## Traffic Distribution

5.7 Access to the Development would be achieved via the approved access located off the A458, with all traffic attracted to the development utilising existing routes via the A458.
5.8 The applicant has advised that, during the operational stage the HGV traffic attracted by the Development is likely to be split $40 \%$ north / $60 \%$ south from the Development access. Similarly, the non-HGV traffic is likely to be split $70 \%$ north / 30\% south.
5.9 Table 5.5 shows the distribution of the HGV traffic on a typical weekday.

Table 5.5: Distribution Of HGV Movements On The A458 On A Typical Weekday

| Time Period | Arrivals |  | Departures |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Right In <br> (FS) | Left In <br> (FN) | Right Out <br> (TN) | Left Out <br> (TS) | To / from <br> the north | To / from the <br> south |
| AM Peak Hour <br> (08:00-09:00) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| PM Peak Hour <br> $(17: 00-18: 00)$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| 12 Hour <br> $(07: 00-19: 00)$ | 30 | 20 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 |

[^0]5.10 As indicated previously, the staff vehicular moments attracted to the proposed development have been distributed in accordance with a $70 \%$ north / $30 \%$ south split and are shown in Table 5.6.

Table 5.6: Distribution Of Staff Vehicular Movements On The A458 On A Typical Weekday

| Time Period | Arrivals |  | Departures |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Right In <br> (FS) | Left In <br> (FN) | Right Out <br> (TN) | Left Out <br> (TS) | To / from <br> the north | To / from the <br> south |
| AM Peak Hour <br> (08:00-09:00) | 7 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 7 |
| PM Peak Hour <br> $(17: 00-18: 00)$ | 0 | 0 | 15 | 7 | 15 | 7 |
| 12 Hour <br> $(07: 00-19: 00)$ | 7 | 15 | 15 | 7 | 30 | 14 |

Note: FS = From south on A458, TS = To South on A458, FN = From north on A458, TN = To north on the A458

## Network Performance

5.11 An Automatic Traffic Counter (ATC) was placed on the A458, just north of the existing access for 7 days commencing $14^{\text {th }}$ January 2019. A copy of the ATC data is contained at Appendix F of this report and summarised in Table 5.7.

Table 5.7: Observed Traffic Levels On The A483 Adjacent To The Development

| Time Period | Northbound on A458 | Southbound on A458 | Total Two-Way Traffic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak Hour <br> $(08: 00-09: 00)$ | 311 | 373 | 684 |
| PM Peak Hour <br> $(17: 00-18: 00)$ | 344 | 305 | 649 |
| 12 Hour <br> $(07: 00-19: 00)$ | 3272 | 3140 | 6412 |

5.12 Table 5.8 compares the proposed additional development related vehicular movements to the observed traffic flows on the A458 within the vicinity of the proposed Development. The data contained in Table 5.8 demonstrates that the proposal would only lead to a modest increase in traffic levels on the A458 during the typical weekday peak hours and over the course of a 12 hour day.

Table 5.8: Effect of Development Traffic on the A458

| Time Period | Observed Two Way <br> Traffic Flows on A458 | Development Traffic <br> Flows |  | $\%$ Impact |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | To / from <br> north | To / from <br> south | North of <br> Access | South of <br> Access |  |
| AM Peak Hour <br> (08:00-09:00) | 684 | 19 | 11 | $2.8 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| PM Peak Hour <br> $(17: 00-18: 00)$ | 649 | 19 | 11 | $2.9 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| 12 Hour <br> $(07: 00-19: 00)$ | 6412 | 80 | 64 | $1.2 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |

## Existing Quarry \& Other Commercial Developments at the site

5.13 The level of traffic that could be attracted to the existing quarry and that attracted to the other commercial developments at the site has been confirmed by the Client. Table 5.9 shows a summary of the existing traffic movements during the AM and PM peak hours.

Table 5.9: Existing Development Traffic Levels

| Vehicle Type | AM Peak Hour (08:00-09:00) |  | PM Peak hour (17:00-18:00) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Arrivals | Departures | Arrivals | Departures |
| Light Vehicles | 8 | 4 | 3 | 11 |
| HGVs | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 |

5.14 The existing use traffic levels shown above in Table 5.9 would switch to the new access junction upon its completion.

## Committed Developments

5.15 During scoping discussions, ITL were requested by the LHA to make traffic allowances within this assessment for committed developments along the A458, A483, Salop Road and Smithfield Road. ITL were provided with a list of committed developments and a copy of the list is contained in Appendix G.
5.16 The list of developments was examined and any which are already active and completed have not been included in the analysis given that trips generated by / attracted to those developments would have been recorded during the background traffic surveys for this study. Additionally, developments of less than 10 residential
dwellings would generate negligible traffic which would not have a detrimental impact and have hence been omitted.
5.17 In addition to the above, ITL were requested to include an allowance for a commercial storage and distribution unit proposed by Border Hardcore at the study site, which would be 2340 sqm and located to the south of the Development.
5.18 On the basis of the above criteria, Table 5.10 shows the committed developments which are likely to generate / attract traffic within the study area.

Table 5.10: Committed Developments

| Application No | Details | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P/2017/0010 | Outline: Residential development of up to 25 dwellings, construction of vehicular access and attenuation pond. Land Adjoining The Fron, Middletown, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 8EN | Allowance included for assessment |
| P/2017/0324 | Extension to factory, D Sidoli \& Sons Ltd Henfaes Lane, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 7BE | Proposal relates to 21 sqm extension, not considered worthy of inclusion in assessment. |
| P/2017/1008 | Erection of a solar photovoltaic array, The Dingle Old, Mills Hill, Trewern, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 8ET | It is considered that given the nature of the proposal it would not attract notable traffic levels. Therefore, no allowance included for assessment |
| P/2017/1158 | Demolition of building and erection of 33 lock up selfstorage units and 36 car parking spaces. Former Wynnstay, Store Station Yard, Severn Road, Welshpool, SY21 7AZ | Allowance included for assessment |
| P/2017/1348 | Demolition of existing building and erection of 17 no. flats, Welshpool Social Club, Bronybuckley, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 7NJ | TA reviewed, development would generate negligible traffic levels. Hence, no allowance included for assessment. |
| P/2018/0272 | Erection of 54 dwellings, formation of access roads and all associated works, Land adj Gallowstree Bank, Gungrog Farm, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 7HF | Allowance included for assessment |
| P/2018/0337 | Construction of 360 place English Medium Primary School and 55 place Early Years Nursery with new dedicated vehicular access works, ancillary car parking, landscaping, recreational space and infrastructure works. Land at Salop Road, Welshpool, Powys | Allowance included for assessment |
| 20/0045/FUL | Construction of 2340 sqm storage and distribution warehouse at the study site | Allowance included for assessment |

5.19 The TRICS database was interrogated in order to establish appropriate trip rates for calculating the likely level of traffic generated by / attracted to the identified residential and employment related committed developments.
5.20 The 'houses privately owned' category within the 'residential' land use of the TRICS database was interrogated in order to derive average residential trip rates for use in this study excluding sites in London, Ireland and Northern Ireland. Sites in 'Edge of Town' and 'Neighbourhood Centre' locations on the TRICS database were included in the search. The start date for the search was set to $5^{\text {th }}$ May 1987 and the end date was set to $20^{\text {th }}$ November 2018. The range of number of dwellings was set to $5-70$ units.
5.21 Additionally, the 'warehousing (self-storage)' category within the 'employment' land use of the TRICS database was interrogated in order to derive average trip rates for use in this study excluding sites in London, Ireland and Northern Ireland. Additionally, sites in 'Edge of Town' and 'suburban area' locations on the TRICS database only were included in the search. The start date for the search was set to $8^{\text {th }}$ March 2002 and the end date was set to $17^{\text {th }}$ November 2016. The range number of parking spaces was set to $7-50$ parking spaces.
5.22 The 'warehousing (commercial)' category within the 'employment' land use of the TRICS database was interrogated in order to derive average trip rates for use in this study excluding sites in London, Ireland and Northern Ireland. Additionally, sites in 'Free Standing' location on the TRICS database only were included in the search. The start date for the search was set to $1^{\text {st }}$ January 1990 and the end date was set to $29^{\text {th }}$ March 2019. The gross floor area range was set to $190-5000$ sqm.
5.23 The TRICS printouts from the interrogations are contained at Appendix H, whilst Table 5.11 shows the weekday AM and PM peak hour trip rates extracted from the database.

Table 5.11: TRICS Trip Rates

| Use | AM Peak Hour (08:00-09:00) |  | PM Peak Hour (17:00-18:00) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Arrivals | Departures | Arrivals | Departures |
| Houses Privately Owned | 0.167 | 0.383 | 0.330 | 0.142 |
| Warehousing (Self-Storage) | 0.280 | 0.164 | 0.092 | 0.222 |
| Warehousing (Commercial) | 0.227 | 0.354 | 0.354 | 0.607 |

5.24 Application of the above TRICS trip rates to the proposed number of committed dwellings and level of committed employment floorspace results in the calculation of the likely number of vehicular trips generated by / attracted to the proposed committed developments. The resulting calculated committed development traffic flows are shown in Table 5.12 below.

Table 5.12: Likely Traffic Levels Generated / Attracted by Committed Developments

| Use | AM Peak Hour (08:00-09:00) | PM Peak Hour (17:00-18:00) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Arrivals | Departures | Arrivals | Departures |
| Residential Houses | 25 Dwellings | 4 | 10 | 8 | 4 |
| Residential Houses | 54 Dwellings | 9 | 21 | 18 | 8 |
| Storage Units | 36 Car Spaces | 10 | 6 | 3 | 8 |
| Commercial Warehouse | 2340 sqm | 5 | 8 | 8 | 14 |

5.25 The Transport Assessment (TA) report for the committed 360 place Primary School, prepared by WSP was reviewed. Table 5.13 below summarises the level of traffic attracted by the school which was obtained from the TA produced by WSP. The school PM peak hour is typically from 14:00 to 15:00 hours, which does not coincide with the road network PM peak period. Hence traffic flows during the PM peak hour have not been considered.

Table 5.13: Trips Attracted by the Proposed Primary School

| U. Use | AM Peak Hour (08:00-09:00) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Arrivals |  | Departures |  |
|  | Left In | Right In | Left Out | Right Out |
| Primary School | 97 | 129 | 71 | 108 |
|  | 226 |  | 179 |  |

Source: WSP Transport Assessment Report 2017

## $6 \quad$ CAPACITY ASSESSMENT

## Flow Derivation

6.1 As part of the scoping discussions for this project it was agreed with the Welsh Government office that, the performance of the following junctions would be assessed as part of this study:-

- Junction 1: A483 / A458 Roundabout Junction;
- Junction 2: A483 / Salop Road Priority Junction;
- Junction 3: A483 / B4381 / Smithfield Road Roundabout Junction;
- Junction 4: A458 / Site Access Junction
6.2 Accordingly manual classified turning counts were undertaken at the afformentioned junctions between 07:30 and 10:30 hours and again between 16:30 and 19:30 hours on Thursday $17^{\text {th }}$ January 2019. In addition to the manual classified turning counts, an Automatic Traffic Counter (ATC) was installed on the A458 within the vicinity of the development access junction for 7 days commencing 14 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ January 2019. The results of the surveys are contained in Appendix F.
6.3 The road network AM and PM peak hours were extracted from the manual classified counts. In that regard it was calculated that the AM peak hour was from 08:00 to 09:00 hours whilst the PM peak hour was from 16:30 to 17:30 hours. The road network peak hours and the development peak hours do not coincide, however, for a robust assessment the traffic flows associated with the road network peak hour and the development peak hours were added in order to give the worst case assessment flow.
6.4 In order to raise the observed flows to the assumed opening year (2025) and the design year (2030) of the development, growth factors were calculated from the latest versions of the National Transport Model (NTM) 2009 and TEMPRO 6.2. The growth factors are shown in Table 6.1.

Table 6.1: NTM / TEMPRO Growth Factors

| Growth Period | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2019-2025$ | 1.089 | 1.085 |
| $2019-2030$ | 1.145 | 1.141 |

6.5 The adopted distribution of traffic flows attracted by the proposed development is discussed in paragraph 5.8 and shown in Tables 5.5 and 5.6. The trips associated with the development were added to the 2030 base flows to ascertain the likely overall impact of the proposed development.
6.6 In terms of the committed developments, traffic attracted by the school has been distributed at the Salop Road / A483 priority junction in accordance with the WSP TA report. Whilst further afield the school traffic has been distributed in accordance with observed turning proportions. The traffic associated with the other committed developments has also been distributed in accordance with observed turning proportions.
6.7 Traffic flow diagrams showing the observed, development, committed, future year and assessment scenario flows are shown in Appendix I.

## Network Assessment

6.8 The junction capacity assessments at each of the junctions listed at paragraph 6.1 are discussed separately below.
6.9 The junctions were initially to be tested for the 2025 base plus committed AM and PM peak hour scenarios and then for the corresponding 2030 baseline plus committed plus development scenarios. However, as the junctions were found to operate acceptably for the 2030 base plus committed and 2030 base plus committed plus development scenarios, i.e. with Reference of Flow to Capacity (RFC) values below 0.9, they have not been tested for the corresponding 2025 scenarios. The print outs from the PICADY assessments are contained in Appendix J.

## Junction 1: A483 / A458 Roundabout Junction

6.10 The roundabout junction of the A483 / A458 was assessed using the ARCADY software package within the Junctions 8 software suite
6.11 The results of the 2030 base plus committed and 2030 base plus committed plus development AM and PM peak hour ARCADY assessment results at the junction are summarised in Table 6.2 over the page. The assessment results show that the performance of the junction does not materially alter following the addition of the development traffic and that the maximum calculated queue at the junction following the addition of the development traffic is 1.72 vehicles and would occur during the AM peak hour on the A458. The corresponding RFC (Ratio of Flow to Capacity), the measure of the traffic demand against the theoretical capacity is 0.64 . As such it is considered that
the junction would operate acceptably.
Table 6.2: ARCADY Assessment Results For The A483 / A458 Roundabout Junction

| Arm | AM Peak Hour (08:00-09:00) |  | PM Peak Hour(16:30-17:30) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Max Queue | Max RFC | Max Queue | Max RFC |
| Base 2030 + Committed |  |  |  |  |
| A483 (North) | 0.97 | 0.49 | 0.53 | 0.35 |
| A458 | 1.68 | 0.63 | 1.20 | 0.55 |
| Private Access (Livestock Market) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| A483 (South) | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.19 | 0.55 |
| Rhallt Lane | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.31 | 0.24 |
| Base $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}+$ Committed + Development |  |  |  |  |
| A483 (North) | 0.98 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.35 |
| A458 | 1.72 | 0.64 | 1.25 | 0.56 |
| Private Access (Livestock Market) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| A483 (South) | 1.02 | 0.51 | 1.21 | 0.55 |
| Rhallt Lane | 0.11 | 0.10 | 0.31 | 0.24 |

## Junction 2: A483 / Salop Road Priority Junction

6.12 The priority junction of the A483 / Salop Road was assessed using the PICADY software package within the Junctions 8 software suite
6.13 The results of the 2030 base plus committed and 2030 base plus committed plus development AM and PM peak hour PICADY assessment results at the junction are summarised in Table 6.3 over the page. The assessment results show that the performance of the junction does not materially alter following the addition of the development traffic and that the maximum calculated queue at the junction following the addition of the development traffic is 1.91 vehicles and would occur during the AM peak hour on Salop Road. The corresponding RFC (Ratio of Flow to Capacity), the measure of the traffic demand against the theoretical capacity is 0.66 . As such it is considered that the junction would operate acceptably.

Table 6.3: PICADY Assessment Results For The A483 / Salop Road Priority Junction

| Arm | AM Peak Hour <br> (08:00-09:00) |  | PM Peak Hour <br> (16:30 - 17:30) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Max Queue | Max RFC | Max Queue | Max RFC |  |  |
|  | Base 2030 + Committed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salop Road to A483 | 1.86 | 0.66 | 1.07 | 0.52 |  |  |
| A483 (N) to Salop Road | 0.47 | 0.32 | 0.57 | 0.37 |  |  |
| Base 2030 + Committed + Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salop Road to A483 | 1.91 | 0.66 | 1.08 | 0.52 |  |  |
| A483 (N) to Salop Road | 0.47 | 0.32 | 0.58 | 0.37 |  |  |

## Junction 3: A483 / B4381 / Smithfield Road Roundabout Junction

6.14 The roundabout junction of the A483 / B4381 / Smithfield Road was assessed using the ARCADY software package within the Junctions 8 software suite
6.15 The results of the 2030 base plus committed and 2030 base plus committed plus development AM and PM peak hour ARCADY assessment results are summarised in Table 6.4 over the page. The assessment results show that the performance of the junction does not materially alter following the addition of the development traffic and that the maximum calculated queue at the junction following the addition of the development traffic is 3.07 vehicles and would occur during the PM peak hour on Smithfield Road. The corresponding RFC (Ratio of Flow to Capacity), the measure of the traffic demand against the theoretical capacity is 0.76 . As such it is considered that the junction would operate acceptably.

Table 6.4: ARCADY Assessment Results For The A483 / B4381 / Smithfield Road Roundabout Junction

| Arm | AM Peak Hour <br> $(08: 00-09: 00)$ |  | PM Peak Hour <br> $(16: 30-17: 30)$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Max Queue | Max RFC | Max Queue | Max RFC |  |
|  | Base 2030 + Committed |  |  |  |  |  |
| A483 (North) | 1.64 | 0.62 | 1.30 | 0.57 |  |
| A483 (South) | 1.32 | 0.57 | 0.82 | 0.45 |  |


| B4381 | 0.38 | 0.28 | 1.33 | 0.57 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Private Access (Tesco) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Smithfield Road | 2.34 | 0.71 | 3.07 | 0.76 |


| Base 2030 + Committed + Development |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A483 (North) | 1.66 | 0.63 | 1.31 | 0.57 |
| A483 (South) | 1.33 | 0.57 | 0.82 | 0.45 |
| B4381 | 0.38 | 0.28 | 1.32 | 0.57 |
| Private Access (Tesco) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Smithfield Road | 2.37 | 0.71 | 3.06 | 0.76 |

## Junction 4: A458 / Site Access Junction

6.16 The priority junction of the A458 / Site Access junction was assessed using the PICADY software package within the Junctions 8 software suite.
6.17 The results of the 2030 base plus committed plus development AM and PM peak hour PICADY assessment results at the junction are summarised in Table 6.5. The assessment results show that the maximum calculated queue at the junction is 0.11 vehicles and would occur during the PM peak hour on the site access. The corresponding RFC (Ratio of Flow to Capacity), the measure of the traffic demand against the theoretical capacity is 0.12 . As such it is considered that the junction would operate acceptably.

Table 6.5: PICADY Assessment Results For The A458 / Site Access Priority Junction

| Arm | AM Peak Hour <br> (08:00-09:00) |  | PM Peak Hour <br> (16:30 - 17:30) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Max Queue | Max RFC | Max Queue | Max RFC |
| Base 2030 + Committed + Development |  |  |  |  |
| Site Access to A458 (S) | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.05 |
| Site Access to A458 (N) | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.11 |
| A458 (S) to Site Access | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.03 |

## 7 CAR AND CYCLE PARKING

7.1 Table 7.1 sets out the car and cycle parking standards for Industrial use that are contained within the Wales Parking Standards 2008.
7.2 Parking standards set out in Table 7.1 are on the basis of Zones 5 \& 6, i.e. Countryside and Deep Rural, which are the appropriate locations of the proposed development.

Table 7.1: Wales Parking Standards

| Use | Maximum Vehicle Provision |  | Minimum Cycle Provision |  | Minimum Disabled Provision | Motorcycle Parking Provision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Operational | Non Operational | Long Stay | Short Stay |  |  |
| Industry | Varies depending on floor area | 1 space per 80sqm | 1 stand per <br> 500 sqm | 1 stand per 1000 sqm | $5 \%$ of total capacity | $5 \%$ of provision for car parking |

Source: CSS Wales: Wales Parking Standards 2008
7.3 The layout plan contained in Appendix B, shows that 38 car parking spaces, 2 disabled parking spaces, 10 cycle parking spaces and 10 motorcycle parking spaces would be provided, which it is considered would satisfy the standards.

## 8 PERSONAL INJURY ACCIDENT ASSESSMENT

8.1 As agreed during the scoping stage of the project, ITL have investigated the Personal Injury Accident (PIA) record of the local road network. The CrashMap website was utilised to obtain PIA data for the latest available 3 year period, i.e. 2017, 2018 and 2019. CrashMap uses road accident data which is collected by the police when an injury accident occurs on roads in the UK. The data obtained from the CrashMap website is contained in Appendix K of this report.
8.2 The accident data shows that 21 accidents occurred within the study area, which includes the A458, the A483 and Cefn Bridge. The main focus of the PIA analysis is accidents involving HGVs and accidents occurring on Cefn Bridge. Out of the recorded accidents, 5 were coded to involve HGVs of less than 3.5 tonnes in weight and 1 accident involved HGVs of more than 7.5 tonnes in weight. The remaining 15 accidents did not involve HGVs.
8.3 Additionally, out of the 6 PIAs involving HGVs that were recorded to occur within the study area, during the analysis period obtained, 3 involved slight injuries and 3 involved serious injuries. A summary of the PIAs involving HGVs within the study area is provided below.
8.4 There were 5 accidents recorded on Cefn Bridge out of which 2 involved HGVs of less than 3.5 tonnes in weight and 1 accident involved HGVs of more than 7.5 tonnes in weight.
8.5 Furthermore, out of the 5 accidents occurring on Cefn Bridge, 2 involved slight injuries and 3 involved serious injuries.
8.6 A summary of the PIAs involving HGVs along with any additional PIAs recorded on the Cefn Bridge is provided below.

Accident Ref 201963D026719: Serious accident involving 2 cars and an HGV weighing less than 3.5 tonnes on the A458, within the vicinity of the junction with Heldre Lane. The accident occurred on 05/04/2019 at 08:12 hours. The road surface was wet and it is indicated that it was raining without high winds. The accident listing indicates that 1 car was proceeding normally on the carriageway and not on a bend, the other car was in the process of turning right and the HGV was stopping / slowing down.

Accident Ref 201963D070819: Slight accident involving 1 car and an HGV weighing less than 3.5 tonnes on the A458 at the priority junction with the B4388. The accident occurred on 05/07/2019 at 18:40 hours. The road surface was dry and weather conditions were fine. The accident listing indicates that the car was proceeding normally on the carriageway and not on a bend and the HGV was stopping / slowing down.

Accident Ref 201763D052317: Slight accident involving 2 cars and an HGV weighing less than 3.5 tonnes on the A483. The accident occurred on 07/06/2017 at 14:25 hours. The road surface was dry and weather conditions were fine. The accident listing indicates that the HGV was proceeding normally on the carriageway and not on a bend, one car was held up and the other car was stopping / slowing down.

Accident Ref 201763DP04017: Serious accident involving 2 cars on the A458 Cefn Bridge. The accident occurred on 03/03/2017 at 17:25 hours. The road surface was wet and it is indicated that it was raining without high winds. The accident listing indicates that both vehicles were proceeding normally along the carriageway and on a left hand bend.

Accident Ref 201763DP03917: Slight accident involving 3 cars on the A458 Cefn Bridge. The accident occurred on 27/01/2017 at 18:20 hours. The road surface was wet and weather conditions were fine without high winds. The accident listing indicates that all 3 vehicles were proceeding normally along the carriageway and not on a bend.

Accident Ref 201863D085418: Serious accident involving a car and an HGV weighing less than 3.5 tonnes on the A458 Cefn Bridge. The accident occurred on 09/09/2018 at $06: 55$ hours. The road surface was wet and weather conditions were fine without high winds. The accident listing indicates that the HGV was proceeding normally along the carriageway, on a left hand bend, whilst the car was proceeding on a right hand bend.

Accident Ref 201863D120118: Slight accident involving two HGVs weighing more than 7.5 tonnes on the A458 Cefn Bridge. The accident occurred on 18/12/2018 at 18:15 hours. The road surface was wet and weather conditions were fine without high winds. The accident listing indicates that one HGV was proceeding normally along the carriageway, on a left hand bend, whilst the other HGV was proceeding on a right hand bend.

Accident Ref 201963D069319: Serious accident involving a motorcycle and an HGV weighing less than 3.5 tonnes on the A458 Cefn Bridge. The accident occurred on 09/08/2019 at 14:00 hours. The road surface was wet and weather conditions were raining without high winds. The accident listing indicates that the motorcycle was proceeding normally along the carriageway, on a left hand bend, whilst the HGV was proceeding on a right hand bend.
8.7 It is acknowledged that there was a cluster of 5 accidents recorded on Cefn bridge over the railway line to the north of the proposed development and that 3 of the accidents involved HGVs. However, it is considered that the modest additional traffic levels associated with the development proposal would be unlikely to materially affect the safety record of the local road network and it is confirmed in this report that Highways England, who are the highway authority responsible for trunk roads in England, have confirmed that they do not require an assessment of the effects of the development traffic on the trunk road network within England, i.e. to the north of the site.
8.8 Finally, ITL would highlight that the A458 is designated as a trunk road and as such by definition it would be expected to carry HGV traffic. As such, it is considered that the road should be regarded as appropriate to carry HGV traffic associated with the development proposal.

## 9 CONCLUSIONS

9.1 Intermodal Transportation Ltd (ITL), an independent consultancy specialising in highway engineering and transportation planning, has been appointed by Environmental Compliance Ltd on behalf of Broad Energy (Wales) Ltd to produce a Transport Assessment (TA) report to support the planning application for the proposed Energy Recovery Facility (ERF) at Buttington Quarry, Welshpool, Wales.
9.2 The site of Buttington Quarry is located between the villages of Buttington and Trewern. The proposed Development would be located in the vicinity of the Welsh borders approximately 4 km northeast of Welshpool and 24 km west of Shrewsbury, within the County of Powys. It is located on the A458 trunk road which bounds the site to the west.
9.3 The proposed ERF would process around 167,000 tonnes per annum (tpa) of RefuseDerived Fuel (RDF) by means of moving grate incinerator.

The scope of this study was agreed with the Transport Network Management Division at the Welsh Government Office and also Powys County Council highway department. In addition, due to the close proximity of the development proposal to the Wales / England border, scoping enquiries were also sent to Highways England (HE) and Shropshire County Council. Those scoping enquiries confirmed that the development would be likely to add modest traffic levels only to the road network within England and HE confirmed that no assessment was required of the trunk road network in England. At the time of the production of this report a response was awaited from SCC.
9.5 Vehicular access would be achieved via a new access, located 150 m north of the existing access. Planning permission was originally granted for the new access in 1999 under the planning reference M1999/1032. The planning permission for the access has been renewed on a number of occasions through extensions of time for the originally granted and subsequent permissions with the most recent permission being reference $\mathrm{P} / 2015 / 0439$. A section 73 application to extend that permission was submitted in March 2020 and is currently pending. It is demonstrated in chapter 3 of this report that the proposed access junction should be regarded as acceptable from an operational and highway safety perspective. Furthermore, it should be considered that the site access junction is provided on to the A458 trunk road, which by its definition would be expected to carry HGV traffic and therefore the site is ideally positioned relative to the higher echelons of the local road network.
9.6 Accessibility of the proposed Development by non-car modes of transport has been examined as part of this study. However, given the nature of the land use and its comparatively remote location, it is considered that alternative modes of transport are unlikely to play a key role in travel to / from the proposed Development.
9.7 The levels of traffic likely to be attracted to the Development during the construction and operational phases of the development have been identified within this report. However, as the construction phase would be temporary only the performance of the local road network has only been considered under the operational phase.
9.8 Notwithstanding that the traffic calculations set out within this report demonstrate that the operational traffic levels associated with the development proposal would be modest only, at the scoping stage of this project the Transport Network Management Division at the Welsh Government Office requested that the performance of the following junctions be assessed as part of this study: -

- Junction 1: A483 / A458 Roundabout Junction;
- Junction 2: A483 / Salop Road Priority Junction;
- Junction 3: A483 / B4381 / Smithfield Road Roundabout Junction;
- Junction 4: A458 / Site Access
9.9 In order to provide the necessary background traffic flow information for use in the junction capacity assessments, manual classified turning counts were undertaken at the aforementioned junctions between 07:30 and 10:30 hours and again between 16:30 and 19:30 hours on Thursday $17^{\text {th }}$ January 2019. In addition to the manual classified turning counts, an Automatic Traffic Counter (ATC) was installed on the A458 within the vicinity of the site for 7 days commencing 14 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ January 2019.

The assessments of the above junctions were undertaken for the 2030 base plus committed development AM and PM peak hour scenarios, i.e. 5 years post the proposed opening year of the facility, and then for the corresponding 2030 base plus committed plus development scenarios. The base scenario flows include traffic growth in accordance with the calculated NTM / TEMPRO growth factors.
9.11 The junction capacity assessments undertaken for this study demonstrate that the identified key junctions within the study area would operate acceptably during the typical weekday AM and PM peak hours in 2030 with the development proposal in place.
9.12 Personal Injury Accident data for the latest available 3 year period, i.e. 2017, 2018 and 2019 has been investigated. It is concluded that the local road network, which includes the A458 trunk road and as such by definition would be expected to carry HGV traffic, did not display an adverse HGV related accident problem along the proposed HGV routes for the development proposal.
9.13 In the light of the assessments / investigations undertaken as part of this study it is concluded that the proposed ERF at Buttington Quarry, Welshpool, Wales should be regarded as acceptable from a highway and transportation perspective.

## Drawings




## Appendix A

Scoping Correspondence

From:
Sent:
24 October 2018 16:00
To:
Cc:
Subject:


RE: Buttington Quary, EIA Scoping

## Good afternoon

Thank you for your call. To confirm, on Friday we agreed:

1) The access would be reviewed in line with the DMRB, ensuring it is suitable for the largest vehicle proposed to use the access.
2) We agreed your study area would include appropriate junctions along the A483 to the South. These would be;
a. A483 / A458
b. Salop Road / A483
c. A483 / B4381 / Smithfield Road

As stated - the study should include permitted developments in these areas.
Regards

## Casey Dunn

Is-adran Rheoli'r Rhwydwaith - Network Management Division
Trafnidiaeth - Transport
Seilwaith yr Economi - Economic Infrastructure
Llywodraeth Cymru - Welsh Government
Sarn Mynach
Llandudno Junction
LL31 9RZ

From: Devesh Shrivastava
Sent: 22 October 2018 14:12
To: Dunn, Casey (ESNR-Transport-Network Management)
Cc: 'Justin Bass'
Subject: FW: Buttington Quary, EIA Scoping

Hello Casey

In relation to the EIA scoping direction for the Energy Recovery Facility at Buttington and following our conversation on Friday please see our original email sent to you on $15^{\text {th }}$ October. We welcome your earliest response.

Regards

Devesh Shrivastava

$\mathrm{e}: \square$

The information in this e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended to be solely for the use of the addressee(s). The contents of the e-mail may contain copyright and or legally privileged information.

If you should receive this e-mail in error or are not the addressee please e-mail us at and delete the message and its content from your computer. Copying, distribution, use or disclosure of its contents is strictly prohibited without the express permission of Intermodal Transportation Ltd.

Data is susceptible to corruption through Internet communications, as such no responsibility is accepted for changes made to this message after it was sent. No liability or responsibility is accepted for viruses and it is the recipients responsibility to scan attachments (if any).

From: Devesh Shrivastava
Sent: 15 October 2018 14:08


Subject: Buttington Quary, EIA Scoping

Dear Sir / Madam

For the Attention of Casey Dunn
Further to the EIA transport related scoping comments set out in the attached document regarding the Energy Recovery Facility at Buttington Quarry, we welcome the earliest confirmation following investigations would adequately address bullets 1 and 4 of the attached.

- We propose to examine the geometry of the site access junction, including visibility splays and undertake AutoTrack swept path tests. This would assess the suitability of the site access junction in accordance with the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB).
- The final point states that a junction capacity assessment would be required. Could you please confirm whether this refers to the A483 / A458 roundabout junction?

We trust that this information is sufficient and welcome your earliest response. Please contact us if you have any queries or if you wish to discuss this matter further

Regards

# Intermodal 

TRANSPORTATION
Hunters Court
Debden Road
Saffron Walden
Essex CB11 4AA

$\mathrm{e}: \square$

The information in this e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended to be solely for the use of the addressee(s). The contents of the e-mail may contain copyright and or legally privileged information.

If you should receive this e-mail in error or are not the addressee please e-mail us at and delete the message and its content from your computer. Copying, distribution, use or disclosure of its contents is strictly prohibited without the express permission of Intermodal Transportation Ltd.

Data is susceptible to corruption through Internet communications, as such no responsibility is accepted for changes made to this message after it was sent. No liability or responsibility is accepted for viruses and it is the recipients responsibility to scan attachments (if any).

Wrth adael Llywodraeth Cymru sganiwyd y neges yma am bob feirws. Mae Llywodraeth Cymru yn diogelu eich data o ddifrif. Os cysylltwch â Llywodraeth Cymru Mae ein hysbysiad preifatrwydd esbonio sut rydym yn defnyddio eich gwybodaeth a ffyrdd yr ydym yn diogelu eich preifatrwydd. Rydym yn croesawu derbyn gohebiaeth yn Gymraeg. Byddwn yn ateb gohebiaeth a dderbynnir yn Gymraeg yn Gymraeg ac ni fydd gohebu yn Gymraeg yn arwain at oedi. On leaving the Welsh Government this email was scanned for all known viruses. The Welsh Government takes the protection of your data seriously. If you contact the Welsh Government then our Privacy Notice explains how we use your information and the ways in which we protect your privacy. We welcome receiving correspondence in Welsh. Any correspondence received in Welsh will be answered in Welsh and corresponding in Welsh will not lead to a delay in responding.

Development Control
Powys County Council
The Gwalia
Ithon Road
Llandrindod Wells
LD1 6AA
Eich cyf / Your ref 3201953
Ein cyf / Our ref 18/NM-1474
30 August 2018

Dear Sir/Madam,

## TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT PROCEDURE) (WALES) ORDER 2012:

Buttington Quary, Buttington, Welshpool, SY21 8SZ
EIA Scoping Direction - Energy Recovery Facility (ERF) generating approx. 13MW of electricity through treatment of 150,000 tonnes / annum of residential, commercial, \& industrial wastes.

I refer to your consultation of 09 August 2018 regarding the above planning application and advise that the Welsh Government as highway authority for the A458 trunk road wishes to respond with the following comments:

- The site access will require further assessment to deem if it is suitable for the proposed development in accordance with the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB).
- The proposed study area is acceptable to the east, however to the west the study must review all arms of the A483 for a minimum 500m.
- The study should include any permitted developments along the route to the site and in addition any permitted developments adjacent to the A483 Welshpool bypass listed on the Powys County Council Planning Portal.
- A junction capacity assessment will be required.

If you have any further queries, please forward to the following Welsh Government Mailbox

Yours faithfully


Casey Dunn

## Appendix B

Architect's Layout Plan


## Appendix C

Access Junction Layout


## Appendix D

Correspondence In Relation To Access Junction

From:

Sent:
To:
Cc:
Subject:

07 August 2019 11:05


RE: Buttington Quary, EIA Scoping

## Good morning Devesh

To confirm our phone call - please submit all information below at the full planning stage as these will be used to determine the application. Most of which has already been provided but is required for the planning process.

1. A suitably scaled drawing detailing the proposed access off the A458 trunk road, which must incorporate the following aspects:-
a) Visibility Splays in either direction from a suitable set-back.
b) Gradient of the access road and the A458 trunk road carriageway
c) Access width and radii dimensions
d) Access surfacing type along with depth and width dimensions
e) If a gate is to be installed on the access

The above aspects must conform to the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB). Please note: The minimum visibility distances available for vehicles emerging from the proposed access / junction shall be 160 metres in each direction at a height of 1.05 metres, measured to a point 0.26 metres above the nearer running edge of the trunk road carriageway. These visibility distances shall be available at a point 4.5 metres from the nearer running edge of the trunk road, measured along the centreline of the access road. The visibility splay so formed shall be free of any growth or obstruction, which would interfere with the minimum visibility requirements.
2. Swept Path Drawings demonstrating the largest vehicle associated with the development can safely enter and exit the access without crossing the centre line of the carriageway.
3. Geotechnical Assessment in accordance with DMRB HD22/08-"Managing Geotechnical Risk"

Regards

## Casey Dunn

Is-adran Rheoli'r Rhwydwaith - Network Management Division
Trafnidiaeth - Transport
Seilwaith yr Economi - Economic Infrastructure
Llywodraeth Cymru - Welsh Government
Sarn Mynach
Llandudno Junction
LL31 9RZ

From: Devesh Shrivastava
Sent: 23 July 2019 16:44
To: Dunn, Casey (ESNR-Transport-Network Management)
Cc: 'Sarah Burley' $\longleftarrow$ Justin Bass
Subject: RE: Buttington Quary, EIA Scoping

## Casey

Thank you for your email below.

We would confirm that the access junction layout was last approved in 2015. We attach the decision notice relating to that approval for your information. Condition 3 of the attached permission specifies that prior to the commencement of works the developer shall submit detailed design drawings and calculations of the proposed new highway cutting. The condition also indicates that the submission must be prepared by a Geotechnical consultant in accordance with DMRB HD22/08-"Managing Geotechnical Risk" and be accompanied by a Geotechnical Certificate signed by the applicants Geotechnical Advisor.

In the light of the above we assume that the requirement for the input of the geotechnical specialist could also be a condition to the current application if approved and that as a result it would not be necessary to submit geotechnical information as part of the application. We would welcome your earliest confirmation in that regard.

Please do not hesitate to contact us should you have any queries or wish to discuss the above.

Regards

Devesh Shrivastava
Assistant Transport Planner
on behalf of
Intermodal
TRANSPORTATION
Hunters Court
Debden Road
Saffron Walden
Essex CB11 4AA

e:

The information in this e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended to be solely for the use of the addressee(s). The contents of the e-mail may contain copyright and or legally privileged information.

If you should receive this e-mail in error or are not the addressee please e-mail us at and delete the message and its content from your computer. Copying, distribution, use or disclosure of its contents is strictly prohibited without the express permission of Intermodal Transportation Ltd.

Data is susceptible to corruption through Internet communications, as such no responsibility is accepted for changes made to this message after it was sent. No liability or responsibility is accepted for viruses and it is the recipients responsibility to scan attachments (if any).

From:
Sent: 16 July 2019 13:38
To:
Subject: RE: Buttington Quary, EIA Scoping

## Good afternoon Devesh

Apologies again for the delay. Can you confirm when the access was formally approved please?
Our Geotechnical engineer has requested that the DMBR HD22/08 Managing Geotechnical risk process is followed to ensure compliance.

## Regards

## Casey Dunn

Is-adran Rheoli'r Rhwydwaith - Network Management Division
Trafnidiaeth - Transport
Seilwaith yr Economi - Economic Infrastructure
Llywodraeth Cymru - Welsh Government
Sarn Mynach
Llandudno Junction
LL31 9RZ

From: Devesh Shrivastava
Sent: 24 June 2019 10:42
To: Dunn, Casey (ESNR-Transport-Network Management)
Cc: Justin Bass $\longleftarrow$ 'Sarah Burley'
Subject: RE: Buttington Quary, EIA Scoping

## Hello Casey

Thank you for your reply. At this stage, we believe that the maximum legal articulated vehicle would be used frequently along with a 9.5 m long rigid vehicle. We propose to send an amended drawing along with the planning application and not at this stage. Additionally, since the site access junction has been previously formally approved, we assume that a Road Safety Audit is not required.

Whilst writing, could you please confirm whether you have heard back from the Geotechnical team?

We trust that this email is sufficient. Please contact us if you have any queries or wish to discuss this matter further.
Regards

Devesh Shrivastava
Assistant Transport Planner
on behalf of

Intermodal
TRANSPORTATION
Hunters Court
Debden Road
Saffron Walden
Essex CB11 4AA


The information in this e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended to be solely for the use of the addressee(s). The contents of the e-mail may contain copyright and or legally privileged information.

If you should receive this e-mail in error or are not the addressee please e-mail us at and delete the message and its content from your computer. Copying, distribution, use or disclosure of its contents is strictly prohibited without the express permission of Intermodal Transportation Ltd.

Data is susceptible to corruption through Internet communications, as such no responsibility is accepted for changes made to this message after it was sent. No liability or responsibility is accepted for viruses and it is the recipients responsibility to scan attachments (if any).

## From:

Sent: 11 June 2019 11:32
To:
Subject: RE: Buttington Quary, EIA Scoping

## Good morning Davesh

Thanks for sending the drawings through - the access looks great. How often would the maximum legal articulated vehicle be using the access? Yes happy for your to amend the drawings to show $4.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 160 \mathrm{~m}$ visibility splays. Do you want to amend them and return? In the meantime l'll get advice off our Geotechnical engineer to see what information he will require for the cutting.

Regards

## Casey Dunn

Is-adran Rheoli'r Rhwydwaith - Network Management Division
Trafnidiaeth - Transport
Seilwaith yr Economi - Economic Infrastructure
Llywodraeth Cymru - Welsh Government
Sarn Mynach
Llandudno Junction
LL31 9RZ

From: Devesh Shrivastava
Sent: 25 April 2019 10:24
To: Dunn, Casey (ESNR-Transport-Network Management)
Cc: Justin Bass


Subject: FW: Buttington Quary, EIA Scoping
Hello Casey

Further to your email to us on 24th October 2018 as shown below, we have assessed the geometry of the previously approved access junction as shown on Veryards drawing CC6532/SK20C, a copy of which is attached for ease of reference. Our investigations have included undertaking Auto Track swept path analysis for a maximum legal articulated vehicle as shown in the attached Auto Track sketch. The vehicle would be able to comfortably access the site. However, it would marginally encroach the right turn bay while turning left from the site access. However, we do not consider that should give rise to safety concerns given that the 3.5 m wide ghosted right turn bay would comfortably accommodate a 2.5 m wide maximum legal articulated vehicle.

We note that the speed limit on the A458 has been reduced to 50 mph within the vicinity of the proposed site access since the attached Veryards drawing was produced. Therefore, we would be grateful for your earliest confirmation that the Local Highway Authority would accept visibility splays of $4.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 160 \mathrm{~m}$, the DMRB requirement for a 50 mph road, at the site access rather than the previously shown $4.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 215 \mathrm{~m}$ splays, which equate to the DMRB requirement for national speed limit roads, i.e 60 mph for single carriageway roads.

We trust that this information is sufficient for your needs and welcome your earliest response. In the meantime, however, please contact us if you have any queries or wish to discuss this matter further.

Regards

Devesh Shrivastava
Assistant Transport Planner
on behalf of
Intermodal
TRANSPORTATION
Hunters Court
Debden Road
Saffron Walden
Essex CB11 4AA


The information in this e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended to be solely for the use of the addressee(s). The contents of the e-mail may contain copyright and or legally privileged information.

If you should receive this e-mail in error or are not the addressee please e-mail us at and delete the message and its content from your computer. Copying, distribution, use or disclosure of its contents is strictly prohibited without the express permission of Intermodal Transportation Ltd.

Data is susceptible to corruption through Internet communications, as such no responsibility is accepted for changes made to this message after it was sent. No liability or responsibility is accepted for viruses and it is the recipients responsibility to scan attachments (if any).

## From:

Sent: 24 October 2018 16:00
To:
Subject: RE: Buttington Quary, EIA Scoping

## Good afternoon

Thank you for your call. To confirm, on Friday we agreed:

1) The access would be reviewed in line with the DMRB, ensuring it is suitable for the largest vehicle proposed to use the access.
2) We agreed your study area would include appropriate junctions along the A483 to the South. These would be;
a. A483 / A458
b. Salop Road / A483
c. A483 / B4381 / Smithfield Road

As stated - the study should include permitted developments in these areas.
Regards

## Casey Dunn

Is-adran Rheoli'r Rhwydwaith - Network Management Division
Trafnidiaeth - Transport
Seilwaith yr Economi - Economic Infrastructure
Llywodraeth Cymru - Welsh Government
Sarn Mynach
Llandudno Junction
LL31 9RZ

From: Devesh Shrivastava
Sent: 22 October 2018 14:12
To: Dunn, Casey (ESNR-Transport-Network Management)
Cc: 'Justin Bass'


Subject: FW: Buttington Quary, EIA Scoping

Hello Casey

In relation to the EIA scoping direction for the Energy Recovery Facility at Buttington and following our conversation on Friday please see our original email sent to you on $15^{\text {th }}$ October. We welcome your earliest response.

Regards

Devesh Shrivastava

$\mathrm{e}: \square$

The information in this e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended to be solely for the use of the addressee(s). The contents of the e-mail may contain copyright and or legally privileged information.

If you should receive this e-mail in error or are not the addressee please e-mail us at and delete the message and its content from your computer. Copying, distribution, use or disclosure of its contents is strictly prohibited without the express permission of Intermodal Transportation Ltd.

Data is susceptible to corruption through Internet communications, as such no responsibility is accepted for changes made to this message after it was sent. No liability or responsibility is accepted for viruses and it is the recipients responsibility to scan attachments (if any).

From: Devesh Shrivastava
Sent: 15 October 2018 14:08


Subject: Buttington Quary, EIA Scoping

Dear Sir / Madam
For the Attention of Casey Dunn

Further to the EIA transport related scoping comments set out in the attached document regarding the Energy Recovery Facility at Buttington Quarry, we welcome the earliest confirmation following investigations would adequately address bullets 1 and 4 of the attached.

- We propose to examine the geometry of the site access junction, including visibility splays and undertake AutoTrack swept path tests. This would assess the suitability of the site access junction in accordance with the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB).
- The final point states that a junction capacity assessment would be required. Could you please confirm whether this refers to the A483 / A458 roundabout junction?

We trust that this information is sufficient and welcome your earliest response. Please contact us if you have any queries or if you wish to discuss this matter further

Regards

e: $\square$

The information in this e-mail and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended to be solely for the use of the addressee(s). The contents of the e-mail may contain copyright and or legally privileged information.

If you should receive this e-mail in error or are not the addressee please e-mail us at
and delete the message and its content from your computer. Copying, distribution, use or disclosure of its contents is strictly prohibited without the express permission of Intermodal Transportation Ltd.

Data is susceptible to corruption through Internet communications, as such no responsibility is accepted for changes made to this message after it was sent. No liability or responsibility is accepted for viruses and it is the recipients responsibility to scan attachments (if any).
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## Appendix E

Auto Track Swepth Paths


## APPENDIX F

Traffic Survey Results

## PCC Buttington Quarry - Manual Traffic Survey, Thursday 17th January 2019

Junction: (1) A483 / A458 / Livestock Market/ Rhallt Lane
Approach: A483 (North)

|  | First Left to A458 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Second Left to Livestock Market |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ahead to A883 (South) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Right to Rhall Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | P/CYCLE | IMCYCLE | CAR |  |  | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL | PICYCLE | IMCYCLE | CAR |  |  | OGV2 | BUS | total | PICYCLE | \|MCYCLE | CAR |  |  | OGV2 | BUS | total | PICYCLE | IMCYCLE | CAR |  | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL |
| 0700-0715 |  |  | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0715-0730 | O | , | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | O | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 9 | , | 2 | 1 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 0730-0745 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 0745-0800 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{6}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{6}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 15 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 107 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{12}$ | 2 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 272 | 52 | 12 | 14 | 3 | 353 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 34 |
| 0800-0815 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 17 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 0 | , | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 0815-0830 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 89 | 14 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0830-0845 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 0845 -0900 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 72 | 15 | 3 | 5 | , | 96 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 31 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 334 | 60 |  | 14 | 1 | 424 |  | 0 | 23 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0900-0915 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 0915-0930 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | , | - | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 17 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 5 | , |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0930-0945 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 0945-1000 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 18 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | , | 0 |  |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 226 | 56 | 22 | 9 | 0 | 313 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 20 |





## PCC Buttington Quarry - Manual Traffic Survey, Thursday 17th January 2019

Junction: (1) A 483 / A 458 / Livestock Market/ Rhallt Lane
Approach: A458

|  | First Leff to Livestock Market |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Second Left to $A 883$ (South) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ahead to Rhallt Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Right to A883 (North) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | P/CYCLE | Em/CYCLE | CAR | LGV |  | OGV2 | BUS | total | PICYCLE | EIMCYCLE | CAR | LGV |  | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL | PICYCLE | \|MCYCLE | CAR |  |  | OGV2 | BUS | total | PICYCLE | EMCYCLE | CAR |  |  | OGV2 | BUS | OTAL |
| 07000 0775 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 10 | 2 | , | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 0 | 4 |
| 0715-0730 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{12}$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{4}$ | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | ${ }^{6}$ |
| 0730-0745 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 15 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 65 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 0745-0800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | ${ }^{13}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 3 | 1 | $6^{64}$ | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{12}$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{7}$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 52 | 12 | 13 | 2 | 210 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 26 |
| 0800-0815 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | ${ }^{25}$ | 5 | 8 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 0815-0830 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 0830-0845 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0845-0900 | 0 | , | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{6}$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{6} 6$ | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 273 | 63 | 12 | 21 | 2 | 371 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| 0900-0915 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0915-0930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 14 | 5 |  | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0930-0945 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 13 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 73 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 0945-1000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . | 0 | 35 | 13 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 1 | , | 16 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 53 | 16 | 17 | 2 | 255 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 1 | , | 20 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 27 |





## PCC Buttington Quarry - Manual Traffic Survey, Thursday 17th January 2019

Junction: (1) A483/ A A58 / Livestock Market/ Rhallt Lane
Approach: Livestock Market

|  | First Left to A483 (South) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Second Left to Rhallt Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Right to A833 (North) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Last Right to A458 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | PICYCLE | \|MCYCLE | CAR |  | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | total | PICYCLE | E/MCYCLE | CAR | LGV | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL | P/CYCLE | \|MCYCLE | CAR |  | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL | PICYCLE | EmCYCLE | CAR | LGV | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL |
| 0700-0715 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 0715-0730 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0745-0800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0800-0815 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0815-0830 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0830-0845 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0845-0900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | , | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 |  |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0900-0915 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0915-0930 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $0930-0945$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| 0945-1000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |





## PCC Buttington Quarry - Manual Traffic Survey, Thursday 17th January 2019

Junction: (1) A883/ /A58/ LLvestock Market/Rhallt Lane
Approach: A483 (South)





## PCC Buttington Quarry - Manual Traffic Survey, Thursday 17th January 2019

Junction: (1) A483 / A458 / Livestock Market/ Rhallt Lane
Approach: Rhallt Lane

|  |  |  |  | Left to A4 | 33 (North) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ahead | to A458 |  |  |  |  |  |  | Right to Live | stock Mark |  |  |  |  |  |  | ast Right to | A483 (South) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { TIME }}$ | P/CYCLE | \|MCYCLE | CAR | LGV | OGV1 | OGV2 | ${ }^{\text {BUS }}$ | TOTAL | PICYCLE | [MCYCLE | ${ }^{\text {CAR }}$ | LGV | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL | PICYCLE | EMCYCLE | CAR | ${ }_{\text {LGV }}$ | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL | PICYCLE | EMCYCLE | CAR | LGV | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL |
| 0700-0715 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 2 |
| 0715-0730 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | $\stackrel{3}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{13}$ |
| 0730-0745 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| 0745-0800 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{8}$ |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 30 |
| 0800-0815 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 11 |
| 0815-0830 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 1 |  | 0 |  |
| 0830-0845 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| 0845 -0900 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 0 | ${ }^{38}$ |
| 0900-0915 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{8}$ | - |  | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{9}$ |
| 0915-0930 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | , | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 0930-0945 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 0945-1000 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | , | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 1 | , | 0 | 0 | O | 1 | - | 0 | 22 | 8 | 4 | 2 | - | 36 |





## PCC Buttington Quarry - Manual Traffic Survey, Thursday 17th January 2019

Junction: (2) A483 / A458
Approach: A483 (North)

|  | Ahead to A483 (South) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Right to A458 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | P/CYCLE | M/CYCLE | CAR | LGV | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL | P/CYCLE | M/CYCLE | CAR | LGV | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL |
| 0700-0715 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 22 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| 0715-0730 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 26 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 0730-0745 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 32 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 149 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 14 |
| 0745-0800 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 25 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 372 | 105 | 25 | 26 | 3 | 531 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 54 |
| 0800-0815 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 39 | 11 | 12 | 1 | 172 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 29 |
| 0815-0830 | 0 | 0 | 114 | 24 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 153 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 34 |
| 0830-0845 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 26 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 55 |
| 0845-0900 | 0 | 1 | 138 | 25 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 175 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 1 | 493 | 114 | 26 | 39 | 1 | 674 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 161 |
| 0900-0915 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 21 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| 0915-0930 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 30 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 29 |
| 0930-0945 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 27 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 |
| 0945-1000 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 25 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 328 | 103 | 38 | 28 | 1 | 498 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 112 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Session Total | 0 | 1 | 1193 | 322 | 89 | 93 | 5 | 1703 | 0 | 0 | 274 | 37 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 327 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1600-1615 | 0 | 0 | 119 | 27 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| 1615-1630 | 0 | 1 | 90 | 20 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 39 |
| 1630-1645 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 28 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 159 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 47 |
| 1645-1700 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 22 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 153 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 1 | 452 | 97 | 13 | 24 | 1 | 588 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 20 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 168 |
| 1700-1715 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 16 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 176 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 44 |
| 1715-1730 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 14 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 47 |
| 1730-1745 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 14 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| 1745-1800 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 49 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 543 | 50 | 3 | 25 | 1 | 622 | 0 | 0 | 159 | 21 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 184 |
| 1800-1815 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 18 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| 1815-1830 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 10 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| 1830-1845 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 29 |
| 1845-1900 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 394 | 41 | 7 | 12 | 1 | 455 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 115 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Session Total | 0 | 1 | 1389 | 188 | 23 | 61 | 3 | 1665 | 0 | 0 | 412 | 48 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 467 |

## (PCC Buttington Quarry - Manual Traffic Survey, Thursday 17th January 2019

Junction: (2) A483 / A458
Approach: A483 (South)

|  | Left to A458 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ahead to A483 (North) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | P/CYCLE | M/CYCLE | CAR | LGV | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL | P/CYCLE | M/CYCLE | CAR | LGV | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL |
| 0700-0715 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 12 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 89 |
| 0715-0730 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 16 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 100 |
| 0730-0745 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 101 |
| 0745-0800 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 21 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 140 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 343 | 55 | 15 | 15 | 2 | 430 |
| 0800-0815 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 17 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 120 |
| 0815-0830 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 19 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 94 |
| 0830-0845 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 22 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 119 |
| 0845-0900 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 27 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 116 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 69 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 322 | 85 | 15 | 27 | 0 | 449 |
| 0900-0915 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 65 | 11 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 89 |
| 0915-0930 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 10 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 83 |
| 0930-0945 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 17 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 90 |
| 0945-1000 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 16 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 96 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 36 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 2 | 254 | 54 | 20 | 27 | 1 | 358 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Session Total | 0 | 0 | 125 | 23 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 157 | 0 | 2 | 919 | 194 | 50 | 69 | 3 | 1237 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1600-1615 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 25 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 146 |
| 1615-1630 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 16 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 126 |
| 1630-1645 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 16 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 137 |
| 1645-1700 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 27 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 134 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 77 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 422 | 84 | 6 | 28 | 3 | 543 |
| 1700-1715 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 1 | 144 | 13 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 174 |
| 1715-1730 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 142 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 162 |
| 1730-1745 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 130 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 143 |
| 1745-1800 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 115 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 96 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 1 | 517 | 43 | 7 | 25 | 1 | 594 |
| 1800-1815 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 95 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 116 |
| 1815-1830 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 90 |
| 1830-1845 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 75 |
| 1845-1900 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 51 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 281 | 31 | 12 | 7 | 1 | 332 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Session Total | 0 | 0 | 221 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 233 | 0 | 1 | 1220 | 158 | 25 | 60 | 5 | 1469 |

## (PCC Buttington Quarry - Manual Traffic Survey, Thursday 17th January 2019

Junction: (2) A483 / A458
Approach: A458

|  | Left to A483 (North) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Right to A483 (South) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | P/CYCLE | M/CYCLE | CAR | LGV | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL | P/CYCLE | M/CYCLE | CAR | LGV | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL |
| 0700-0715 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0715-0730 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0730-0745 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0745-0800 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 129 | 20 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 158 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0800-0815 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0815-0830 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0830-0845 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0845-0900 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 187 | 20 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 224 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0900-0915 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0915-0930 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0930-0945 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0945-1000 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 1 | 94 | 23 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Session Total | 0 | 1 | 410 | 63 | 15 | 8 | 12 | 509 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 1600-1615 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1615-1630 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1630-1645 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1645-1700 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 162 | 35 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 208 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1700-1715 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1715-1730 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1730-1745 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1745-1800 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 187 | 27 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 216 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1800-1815 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1815-1830 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1830-1845 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1845-1900 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 135 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



## PCC Buttington Quarry - Manual Traffic Survey, Thursday 17th January 2019

Junction: (3) Smithilield Road/ A833/ Ba331/ Tesco
Approach: Smithfield Road




## PCC Buttington Quarry - Manual Traffic Survey, Thursday 17th January 2019

Junction: (3) Smiltheield Road / AeA3 / Ba381 / Tesc
Approach: A483 (North)




## PCC Buttington Quarry - Manual Traffic Survey, Thursday 17th January 2019

Junction: (3) Smithfield Road / A483 / B4381 / Tesco
Approach: A483 (South)

|  | First Left to 84381 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Second Leff to Tesco |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ahead to Smithfield Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Right to A883 (North) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | P/CYCLE | \|MCYCLE | CAR | LaV | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL | PICYCLE | [MCYCLE | CAR | LGV | OGV1 | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL | PICYCLE | [MCYCLE | CAR |  |  | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL | PICYCLE | [MCYCLE | CAR |  |  | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL |
| 0700-0715 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 67 |
| 0715-0730 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 11 | 0 | 2 |  | 79 |
| 0730-0745 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 6 | ' | 4 | 0 | 70 |
| 0745-0800 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | O | 18 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | ${ }^{23}$ | 0 | 0 | 81 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 97 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 52 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 263 | 36 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 313 |
| 0800-0815 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{20}$ | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{25}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{27}$ | 0 | 0 | 79 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 0 |  |
| 0815-0830 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 11 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 74 |
| 0830-0845 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 21 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 103 |
| 0845 -0900 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 27 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 105 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 99 | 14 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 266 | 69 | 12 | 25 | 4 | 376 |
| 0900-0915 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 42 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 57 |
| 0915-0930 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 11 | 3 | 5 |  | 61 |
| 0930-0945 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 0 |  | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |  | 0 | 46 |  |  | 5 |  | 66 |
| 0945-1000 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 18 |  | 0 | 48 | 12 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 73 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 68 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 2 | 178 | 38 | 14 | 24 | - | 257 |





## PCC Buttington Quarry - Manual Traffic Survey, Thursday 17th January 2019

Junction: (3) Smithtield Road / A483 / B4381/Tesco
Approach: B438

|  | First Left to Tesco |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Second Left to Smithifild Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ahead to A483 (North) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Right to $A 883$ (South) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | P/CYCLE | Em/CYCLE | CAR |  |  | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL | P/CYCLE | Em/MCYCLE | CAR |  |  | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL | PICYCLE | \|MCYCLE | CAR |  |  | OGV2 | BUS | total | PICYCLE | EMCYCLE | CAR |  |  | OGV2 | BUS | TOTAL |
| 07000 0775 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | , | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 0715-0730 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | ${ }^{13}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 6 |
| $0730-0745$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| 0745-0800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{6}$ | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | ${ }^{13}$ |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 13 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 30 |
| 0800-0815 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 0815-0830 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 0830-0845 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 0845-0900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 16 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 25 | 3 | 1 | 0 | ${ }^{53}$ |
| 0900-0915 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 12 |
| 0915-0930 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 |  | 1 | 0 | 1 | ${ }^{20}$ | 0 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 0930-0945 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 3 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 14 |
| 0945-1000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 4 | , | 0 | 2 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 12 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 13 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 11 | 4 | 6 | , | 43 |





## PCC Buttington Quarry - Manual Traffic Survey, Thursday 17th January 2019

Junction: (3) Smithtield Road / A483 / B4381/Tesco
Approach: Tesco





A458, Buttington Quarry, ATC

Site No. 467501<br>Site Ref. 467501

A458
Vehicle Count Report
Week Begin: 14 January 2019
Channel: Southbound

|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 0 \\ & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 3 & \frac{1}{\pi} \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \infty \\ \stackrel{\infty}{7} \\ \hline \stackrel{c}{0} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00:00 | 14 | 13 | 17 | 10 | 22 | 25 | 23 | 15 | 18 |
| 01:00 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 13 | 7 | 9 | 19 | 8 | 10 |
| 02:00 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 5 | 6 |
| 03:00 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 20 | 18 | 19 | 13 | 15 | 16 |
| 04:00 | 24 | 27 | 30 | 23 | 33 | 13 | 6 | 27 | 22 |
| 05:00 | 48 | 70 | 61 | 64 | 74 | 35 | 6 | 63 | 51 |
| 06:00 | 116 | 106 | 112 | 100 | 111 | 32 | 15 | 109 | 85 |
| 07:00 | 258 | 264 | 243 | 265 | 288 | 88 | 19 | 264 | 204 |
| 08:00 | 368 | 386 | 322 | 398 | 389 | 140 | 44 | 373 | 292 |
| 09:00 | 229 | 254 | 230 | 264 | 229 | 160 | 90 | 241 | 208 |
| 10:00 | 180 | 245 | 223 | 286 | 227 | 188 | 144 | 232 | 213 |
| 11:00 | 211 | 214 | 210 | 264 | 213 | 227 | 185 | 222 | 218 |
| 12:00 | 270 | 194 | 217 | 220 | 253 | 176 | 195 | 231 | 218 |
| 13:00 | 237 | 189 | 235 | 244 | 231 | 169 | 186 | 227 | 213 |
| 14:00 | 230 | 180 | 252 | 280 | 263 | 217 | 192 | 241 | 231 |
| 15:00 | 255 | 237 | 279 | 316 | 363 | 237 | 208 | 290 | 271 |
| 16:00 | 275 | 273 | 300 | 350 | 286 | 238 | 249 | 297 | 282 |
| 17:00 | 304 | 299 | 292 | 333 | 297 | 242 | 188 | 305 | 279 |
| 18:00 | 188 | 203 | 181 | 261 | 253 | 163 | 134 | 217 | 198 |
| 19:00 | 112 | 109 | 126 | 154 | 164 | 130 | 107 | 133 | 129 |
| 20:00 | 74 | 94 | 110 | 99 | 131 | 88 | 79 | 102 | 96 |
| 21:00 | 70 | 60 | 77 | 93 | 108 | 60 | 51 | 82 | 74 |
| 22:00 | 30 | 46 | 52 | 51 | 54 | 56 | 49 | 47 | 48 |
| 23:00 | 29 | 31 | 34 | 38 | 41 | 39 | 9 | 35 | 32 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12H(7-19) | 3005 | 2938 | 2984 | 3481 | 3292 | 2245 | 1834 | 3140 | 2826 |
| 16H(6-22) | 3377 | 3307 | 3409 | 3927 | 3806 | 2555 | 2086 | 3565 | 3210 |
| 18H(6-24) | 3436 | 3384 | 3495 | 4016 | 3901 | 2650 | 2144 | 3646 | 3289 |
| 24H(0-24) | 3547 | 3518 | 3622 | 4156 | 4063 | 2760 | 2222 | 3781 | 3413 |
| AM Peak | 08:00 | 08:00 | 08:00 | 08:00 | 08:00 | 11:00 | 11:00 | 08:00 | 08:00 |
|  | 368 | 386 | 322 | 398 | 389 | 227 | 185 | 373 | 292 |
| PM Peak | 17:00 | 17:00 | 16:00 | 16:00 | 15:00 | 17:00 | 16:00 | 17:00 | 16:00 |
|  | 304 | 299 | 300 | 350 | 363 | 242 | 249 | 305 | 282 |

PCC Traffic Information Consultancy Ltd.

Site No. 467501
A458
Vehicle Count Report

Site Ref. 467501

Week Begin: 14 January 2019
Channel: Northbound

|  |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\text { n }}{\sim}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n}}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \infty \\ \stackrel{\infty}{7} \\ \hdashline \stackrel{c}{\pi} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00:00 | 12 | 10 | 11 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 26 | 13 | 15 |
| 01:00 | 6 | 8 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 27 | 7 | 11 |
| 02:00 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 13 | 6 | 7 |
| 03:00 | 18 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 11 | 6 | 8 | 11 | 10 |
| 04:00 | 59 | 31 | 34 | 37 | 21 | 12 | 6 | 36 | 29 |
| 05:00 | 71 | 58 | 47 | 70 | 48 | 18 | 12 | 59 | 46 |
| 06:00 | 134 | 140 | 137 | 135 | 127 | 48 | 32 | 135 | 108 |
| 07:00 | 287 | 288 | 229 | 281 | 243 | 99 | 47 | 266 | 211 |
| 08:00 | 279 | 316 | 354 | 315 | 291 | 147 | 57 | 311 | 251 |
| 09:00 | 223 | 221 | 240 | 236 | 252 | 203 | 122 | 234 | 214 |
| 10:00 | 222 | 236 | 264 | 243 | 239 | 287 | 250 | 241 | 249 |
| 11:00 | 238 | 239 | 266 | 258 | 244 | 307 | 334 | 249 | 269 |
| 12:00 | 269 | 243 | 229 | 263 | 304 | 268 | 310 | 262 | 269 |
| 13:00 | 260 | 243 | 236 | 277 | 291 | 328 | 352 | 261 | 284 |
| 14:00 | 314 | 289 | 247 | 281 | 343 | 283 | 307 | 295 | 295 |
| 15:00 | 313 | 299 | 278 | 312 | 320 | 264 | 286 | 304 | 296 |
| 16:00 | 276 | 292 | 291 | 336 | 231 | 255 | 269 | 285 | 279 |
| 17:00 | 363 | 367 | 356 | 348 | 285 | 189 | 231 | 344 | 306 |
| 18:00 | 201 | 204 | 256 | 222 | 216 | 185 | 185 | 220 | 210 |
| 19:00 | 117 | 125 | 127 | 146 | 145 | 110 | 119 | 132 | 127 |
| 20:00 | 51 | 59 | 73 | 100 | 73 | 77 | 87 | 71 | 74 |
| 21:00 | 47 | 49 | 47 | 66 | 56 | 42 | 56 | 53 | 52 |
| 22:00 | 39 | 44 | 55 | 45 | 41 | 34 | 20 | 45 | 40 |
| 23:00 | 26 | 19 | 16 | 22 | 25 | 25 | 10 | 22 | 20 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12H(7-19) | 3245 | 3237 | 3246 | 3372 | 3259 | 2815 | 2750 | 3272 | 3132 |
| 16H(6-22) | 3594 | 3610 | 3630 | 3819 | 3660 | 3092 | 3044 | 3663 | 3493 |
| 18H(6-24) | 3659 | 3673 | 3701 | 3886 | 3726 | 3151 | 3074 | 3729 | 3553 |
| 24H(0-24) | 3833 | 3796 | 3813 | 4029 | 3837 | 3220 | 3166 | 3862 | 3671 |
| AM Peak | 07:00 | 08:00 | 08:00 | 08:00 | 08:00 | 11:00 | 11:00 | 08:00 | 11:00 |
|  | 287 | 316 | 354 | 315 | 291 | 307 | 334 | 311 | 269 |
| PM Peak | 17:00 | 17:00 | 17:00 | 17:00 | 14:00 | 13:00 | 13:00 | 17:00 | 17:00 |
|  | 363 | 367 | 356 | 348 | 343 | 328 | 352 | 344 | 306 |

PCC Traffic Information Consultancy Ltd.

Site No. 467501
A458
Vehicle Count Report

Site Ref. 467501

Week Begin: 14 January 2019

Channel: Total Flow

|  |  |  | $$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \infty \\ \stackrel{\infty}{7} \\ \hdashline \stackrel{c}{1} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\underset{i}{\lambda}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{1}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00:00 | 26 | 23 | 28 | 27 | 39 | 40 | 49 | 29 | 33 |
| 01:00 | 17 | 16 | 14 | 17 | 15 | 19 | 46 | 16 | 21 |
| 02:00 | 8 | 10 | 5 | 18 | 14 | 17 | 24 | 11 | 14 |
| 03:00 | 32 | 22 | 20 | 27 | 29 | 25 | 21 | 26 | 25 |
| 04:00 | 83 | 58 | 64 | 60 | 54 | 25 | 12 | 64 | 51 |
| 05:00 | 119 | 128 | 108 | 134 | 122 | 53 | 18 | 122 | 97 |
| 06:00 | 250 | 246 | 249 | 235 | 238 | 80 | 47 | 244 | 192 |
| 07:00 | 545 | 552 | 472 | 546 | 531 | 187 | 66 | 529 | 414 |
| 08:00 | 647 | 702 | 676 | 713 | 680 | 287 | 101 | 684 | 544 |
| 09:00 | 452 | 475 | 470 | 500 | 481 | 363 | 212 | 476 | 422 |
| 10:00 | 402 | 481 | 487 | 529 | 466 | 475 | 394 | 473 | 462 |
| 11:00 | 449 | 453 | 476 | 522 | 457 | 534 | 519 | 471 | 487 |
| 12:00 | 539 | 437 | 446 | 483 | 557 | 444 | 505 | 492 | 487 |
| 13:00 | 497 | 432 | 471 | 521 | 522 | 497 | 538 | 489 | 497 |
| 14:00 | 544 | 469 | 499 | 561 | 606 | 500 | 499 | 536 | 525 |
| 15:00 | 568 | 536 | 557 | 628 | 683 | 501 | 494 | 594 | 567 |
| 16:00 | 551 | 565 | 591 | 686 | 517 | 493 | 518 | 582 | 560 |
| 17:00 | 667 | 666 | 648 | 681 | 582 | 431 | 419 | 649 | 585 |
| 18:00 | 389 | 407 | 437 | 483 | 469 | 348 | 319 | 437 | 407 |
| 19:00 | 229 | 234 | 253 | 300 | 309 | 240 | 226 | 265 | 256 |
| 20:00 | 125 | 153 | 183 | 199 | 204 | 165 | 166 | 173 | 171 |
| 21:00 | 117 | 109 | 124 | 159 | 164 | 102 | 107 | 135 | 126 |
| 22:00 | 69 | 90 | 107 | 96 | 95 | 90 | 69 | 91 | 88 |
| 23:00 | 55 | 50 | 50 | 60 | 66 | 64 | 19 | 56 | 52 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12H(7-19) | 6250 | 6175 | 6230 | 6853 | 6551 | 5060 | 4584 | 6412 | 5958 |
| 16H(6-22) | 6971 | 6917 | 7039 | 7746 | 7466 | 5647 | 5130 | 7228 | 6702 |
| 18H(6-24) | 7095 | 7057 | 7196 | 7902 | 7627 | 5801 | 5218 | 7375 | 6842 |
| 24H(0-24) | 7380 | 7314 | 7435 | 8185 | 7900 | 5980 | 5388 | 7643 | 7083 |
| AM Peak | $\begin{gathered} \hline 08: 00 \\ 647 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 08: 00 \\ 702 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 08: 00 \\ 676 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 08: 00 \\ 713 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 08: 00 \\ 680 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 11: 00 \\ 534 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 11: 00 \\ 519 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 08: 00 \\ 684 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 08: 00 \\ 544 \end{gathered}$ |
| PM Peak | $\begin{gathered} 17: 00 \\ 667 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 17:00 } \\ 666 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 17:00 } \\ 648 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16: 00 \\ 686 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15: 00 \\ 683 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15: 00 \\ 501 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13: 00 \\ 538 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17: 00 \\ 649 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17: 00 \\ 585 \end{gathered}$ |

## PCC Traffic Information Consultancy Ltd.

A458, Buttington Quarry, ATC
Site No.
467501
Site Ref. 467501
A458
Speed Report (Speed Limit 60 Mph )

|  | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { 를 } \\ \stackrel{y}{3} \\ \stackrel{y}{0} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o } \\ & \text { o } \\ & \text { c. } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \sim \\ & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ & \underset{\sim}{c} \\ & \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mon 14 Jan | 3547 | 48 | 43 | 6 | 22 | 20 | 30 | 114 | 756 | 1575 | 806 | 175 | 40 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Tue 15 Jan | 3518 | 48 | 42 | 6 | 16 | 30 | 32 | 142 | 794 | 1479 | 808 | 176 | 25 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Wed 16 Jan | 3622 | 48 | 42 | 6 | 26 | 33 | 62 | 134 | 756 | 1527 | 851 | 170 | 53 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Thu 17 Jan | 4156 | 48 | 42 | 6 | 33 | 41 | 48 | 131 | 932 | 1754 | 926 | 235 | 44 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Fri 18 Jan | 4063 | 48 | 42 | 6 | 27 | 41 | 35 | 151 | 922 | 1810 | 804 | 199 | 59 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Sat 19 Jan | 2760 | 50 | 45 | 5 | 2 | 19 | 16 | 17 | 279 | 1179 | 914 | 264 | 49 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Sun 20 Jan | 2222 | 50 | 45 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 20 | 38 | 267 | 877 | 657 | 277 | 61 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| 5 Day Ave. | 3781 | 48 | 42 | 6 | 25 | 33 | 41 | 134 | 832 | 1629 | 839 | 191 | 44 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 7 Day Ave. | 3413 | 49 | 43 | 6 | 19 | 27 | 35 | 104 | 672 | 1457 | 824 | 214 | 47 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 0 |

PCC Traffic Information Consultancy Ltd.

Site No. $467501 \quad$ Site Ref. 467501
A458


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mon 14 Jan | 3833 | 52 | 46 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 24 | 92 | 370 | 1331 | 1257 | 502 | 166 | 46 | 11 | 7 | 2 |
| Tue 15 Jan | 3796 | 51 | 45 | 6 | 9 | 10 | 37 | 89 | 438 | 1311 | 1244 | 445 | 149 | 41 | 20 | 1 | 2 |
| Wed 16 Jan | 3813 | 51 | 45 | 6 | 22 | 28 | 57 | 110 | 448 | 1216 | 1259 | 478 | 142 | 41 | 8 | 3 | 1 |
| Thu 17 Jan | 4029 | 52 | 45 | 7 | 16 | 18 | 55 | 117 | 430 | 1257 | 1323 | 567 | 163 | 59 | 19 | 5 | 0 |
| Fri 18 Jan | 3837 | 52 | 45 | 6 | 18 | 14 | 46 | 87 | 423 | 1289 | 1206 | 534 | 144 | 46 | 23 | 5 | 2 |
| Sat 19 Jan | 3220 | 53 | 47 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 32 | 182 | 853 | 1239 | 601 | 209 | 57 | 23 | 5 | 0 |
| Sun 20 Jan | 3166 | 53 | 46 | 7 | 73 | 18 | 30 | 49 | 202 | 822 | 1128 | 541 | 207 | 66 | 22 | 6 | 2 |
| 5 Day Ave. | 3862 | 52 | 45 | 6 | 15 | 17 | 44 | 99 | 422 | 1281 | 1258 | 505 | 153 | 47 | 16 | 4 | 1 |
| 7 Day Ave. | 3671 | 52 | 46 | 6 | 22 | 16 | 37 | 82 | 356 | 1154 | 1237 | 524 | 169 | 51 | 18 | 5 | 1 |

PCC Traffic Information Consultancy Ltd.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{i n}{v}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 0 & 10 \\ - & 0 \\ c & 0 \\ c & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mon 14 Jan | 7380 | 50 | 44 | 6 | 32 | 35 | 54 | 206 | 1126 | 2906 | 2063 | 677 | 206 | 53 | 13 | 7 | 2 |
| Tue 15 Jan | 7314 | 49 | 44 | 6 | 25 | 40 | 69 | 231 | 1232 | 2790 | 2052 | 621 | 174 | 54 | 23 | 1 | 2 |
| Wed 16 Jan | 7435 | 50 | 44 | 6 | 48 | 61 | 119 | 244 | 1204 | 2743 | 2110 | 648 | 195 | 49 | 9 | 4 | 1 |
| Thu 17 Jan | 8185 | 50 | 44 | 6 | 49 | 59 | 103 | 248 | 1362 | 3011 | 2249 | 802 | 207 | 68 | 21 | 6 | 0 |
| Fri 18 Jan | 7900 | 50 | 44 | 6 | 45 | 55 | 81 | 238 | 1345 | 3099 | 2010 | 733 | 203 | 58 | 25 | 6 | 2 |
| Sat 19 Jan | 5980 | 52 | 46 | 6 | 6 | 27 | 23 | 49 | 461 | 2032 | 2153 | 865 | 258 | 74 | 27 | 5 | 0 |
| Sun 20 Jan | 5388 | 52 | 46 | 7 | 78 | 22 | 50 | 87 | 469 | 1699 | 1785 | 818 | 268 | 76 | 26 | 8 | 2 |
| 5 Day Ave. | 7643 | 50 | 44 | 6 | 40 | 50 | 85 | 233 | 1254 | 2910 | 2097 | 696 | 197 | 56 | 18 | 5 | 1 |
| 7 Day Ave. | 7083 | 50 | 44 | 6 | 40 | 43 | 71 | 186 | 1028 | 2611 | 2060 | 738 | 216 | 62 | 21 | 5 | 1 |

## PCC Traffic Information Consultancy Ltd.

## ApPENDIX G

List of Committed Developments

## Buttington - Cumulative Impacts Assessment - Potentially Relevant Planning Permission 08/02/2018

Notes:

1. Includes permissions going back three years to 2016 and in some cases older consents where these may still be valid;
2. The following excludes all discharge of conditions applications, NMAs, reserve matters applications, householder applications, single dwelling houses and other minor development or minor alterations to non-residential properties;
3. As PCC have no geographical based search facility on their public access there cannot be absolute certainty that the following list is complete;
4. The development status of the sites listed below is not known, i.e. whether the planning permissions have been implemented.

| Reference | Details | Approval Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| M/1997/0088 <br> (M96088) | Proposed Quarry Extension Area at Buttington Quarry, <br> Buttington, Welshpool | $14 / 04 / 1997$ |
| M/1997/0088 | 'A Mineral Review' which came into force as part of The <br> Environment Act 1995 aimed at keeping planning <br> conditions from older mineral permissions up to date, <br> Buttington Quarry, Buttington, Welshpool | $14 / 04 / 1997$ |
| M/1999/1032 | Construction of vehicular access to quarry and alteration <br> of existing access to residential access, Buttington Quarry, <br> Buttington, Welshpool | $05 / 01 / 2001$ |
| M/2004/0439 | Variation of condition 17 attached to planning permission <br> M/96/088 to request an extension of time limit, <br> Buttington Quarry, Buttington, Welshpool | $26 / 07 / 2004$ |
| P/2005/0162 | Renewal of Planning Permission for the Construction of <br> vehicular access to quarry and alteration of existing access <br> to residential access, Buttington Quarry, Buttington, <br> Welshpool | $06 / 05 / 2005$ |
| P/2008/0519 | Section 73 application to vary condition 1 attached to <br> planning permission M/2004/0439 to request an <br> extension of time limit, Buttington Quarry, Buttington, <br> Welshpool | $07 / 01 / 2009$ |
| P/2010/0165 | Section 73 application to vary condition 7 attached to <br> Planning Permission M/1997/0088 to request a variation <br> to hours of working at Buttington Quarry, Buttington, <br> Welshpool | $19 / 04 / 2011$ |
| P/2010/0400 | Section 73 application to vary condition 1 attached to <br> planning permission M/2005/O162 (Construction of <br> vehicular access to quarry and alteration of existing access | $28 / 12 / 2007$ |


| Reference | Details | Approval Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | to residential access) - Extension of Time Limit, Buttington Quarry, Buttington, Welshpool |  |
| P/2011/0402 | Residential development, formation of road infrastructure and associated works together with provision of amenity / play areas, Land Off Red Bank Between Adeilade Drive (West) And Brynfa Avenue (East), Welshpool | 23/02/2012 |
| P/2011/0549 | Variation of planning approval P/2008/0519 to extend the time limit for extraction of material for a period of 4 years from 31 May 2011 at Buttington Quarry, Buttington, Welshpool | 28/06/2011 |
| P/2012/0815 | Application for Certificate of Lawful Use or Development for existing uses namely A1, B1, B2 and B8 at Buttington Quarry, Buttington, Welshpool | 05/11/2012 |
| P/2012/1445 | Application for Certificate of Lawful Use or Development for an existing use namely use of land for overflow storage area to the decorative stone business, Buttington Quarry, Buttington, Welshpool | 11/09/2012 |
| P/2013/0713 | Proposed erection of a general-purpose storage building at Border harcore and Rockery Stone Co. Ltd, Buttington Quarry, Buttington, Welshpool | 07/10/2013 |
| P/2014/1318 | Section 73 application to vary condition 1 attached to planning permission $\mathrm{P} / 2011 / 0549$ to extend the time limit for extraction of material to $31^{\text {st }}$ May 2020 at Land at Buttington Quarry, Buttington, Welshpool | 06/08/2015 |
| P/2015/0127 | Full: Extension to factory to provide additional casting shop space, creation of new car park facility and utilization of existing access \| 1 Fisher Road Offa's Dyke Business Park Welshpool. Powys. SY21 8JF | 22/04/2015 |
| P/2015/0144 | Outline: Erection of 8 no. semi-detached dwelling houses, formation of vehicular access and all associated works, Land on Site of Former Little Chef, Buttington, Welshpool, Powys. SY21 8SZ | 08/05/2017 |
| P/2015/0439 | Section 73 application to vary condition no. 1 attached to planning permission $\mathrm{P} / 2010 / 0400$ in order to allow an extension of time to commence development namely construction of vehicular access and alteration of existing access to residential access, Buttington Quarry, Buttington, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 8SZ | 18/06/2015 |
| P/2015/0748 | Erection a new building comprising single storey manufacturing space with two storey office accommodation and all associated works, Plot at Offas Dyke Business Park Fisher Road, Buttington, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 8JF | 09/11/2015 |


| Reference | Details | Approval Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P/2015/1262 | Erection of a building for storage and distribution (class B8 use) with 3 storey office accommodation, erection of an electricity substation building and all associated works, Land Off Fisher Road Offa's Dyke Business Park Welshpool, Powys, SY21 8JF | 18/02/2016 |
| P/2016/0357 | Erection of manufacturing building and associated works, Offas Dyke Business Park Fisher Road, Buttington, Welshpool, SY21 8JF | 26/05/2016 |
| P/2016/0521 | Erection of new foodstore and access with associated car parking and landscaping, Welshpool Livestock Market Land Off Mill Lane, Welshpool, Powys | 22/07/2016 |
| P/2016/0637 | Construction of a petrol filling station and convenience store with offices above, car wash building, new vehicular access and all associated works, Land at Buttington Cross Enterprise Park Buttington Welshpool SY21 8SL | 05/10/2016 |
| P/2016/0953 | Application for outline planning permission for a residential development and formation of new access with some matters reserved, Land North Of Heritage Green Heritage Green Ffordun Welshpool, Powys, SY21 8LH | 20/07/2017 |
| P/2016/1240 | Extension to existing gypsy and traveller site to incorporate two additional pitches, an amenity building and parking together with the relocation of an existing field access, Leighton Arches Gypsy and Traveller Site, Leighton, Welshpool, SY21 8 | 22/02/2017 |
| P/2017/0005 | Outline development of 3 dwellings and associated shared vehicular access, Bronwylfa House, Maesowen, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 7RD | 28/02/2017 |
| P/2017/0010 | Outline: Residential development of up to 25 dwellings, construction of vehicular access and attenuation pond, Land Adjoining The Fron, Middletown, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 8EN | 04/04/2018 |
| P/2017/0063 | Erection of $2 x$ holiday lets, Under Moorwood, Leighton, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 8LN | 15/02/2108 |
| P/2017/0161 | Erection of a building for warehouse use (class B8), Technocover Ltd Unit C Henfaes Lane, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 7BE | 30/03/2017 |
| P/2017/0324 | Erection of an extension to factory, D Sidoli \& Sons Ltd Henfaes Lane, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 7BE | 10/05/2017 |
| P/2017/0501 | Erection of 8 no. bungalows and 1 no. staff accommodation unit together with formation of vehicular access and roadway, parking and all associated works, Land at Foundry Lane, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 7TR | 29/01/2018 |
| P/2017/0574 | Outline application for residential development for up to 9 dwellings, garages, improvement to vehicular access, demolition of existing buildings and all associated works \| Land At Gate Farm, Criggion Lane, Trewern, Welshpool Powys, SY21 8DU | 17/09/2017 |


| Reference | Details | Approval Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P/2017/0789 | Outline: Erection of up to 3 no. dwellings and garages, formation of vehicular access, access road and all associated works (with some matters reserved), Land Adjoining Swan Bank, Pool Quay, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 9JS | 15/03/2018 |
| P/2017/1008 | Full: Erection of a solar photovoltaic array, The Dingle Old, Mills Hill, Trewern, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 8ET | 30/11/2017 |
| P/2017/1158 | Demolition of building and erection of 33 lock up selfstorage units and 36 car parking spaces, Former Wynnstay, Store Station Yard, Severn Road, Welshpool, SY21 7AZ | 14/12/2107 |
| P/2017/1190 | Outline: Residential Development of up to 5 dwellings, formation of vehicular access and associated works (Some matters reserved), Gate Farm, Criggion, Lane Trewern, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 8DU | Pending |
| P/2017/1346 | Erection of extension to the existing poultry unit to accommodate a further 16000 birds (egg production, erection of feed silos and all other associated works, Pen-Y-Derw Forden, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 8NH | 19/07/2018 |
| P/2017/1348 | Demolition of existing building and erection of 17 no. flats, Welshpool Social Club, Bronybuckley, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 7NJ | 13/03/2018 |
| 18/0111/FUL | Erection of a residential unit comprising 2 no. apartments and all associated works, Land Rear Of 34 High Street, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 7AA | Pending |
| 18/0190/OUT | Outline Erection of 4 dwellings, formation of vehicular access and all associated works, Land Adjacent West of Penyfoel, Middletown, Newtown, Powys, SY21 8DG | Pending |
| P/2018/0225 | Erection of 2 dwellings and all associated works, Land at Bryn Tirion, Sale Lane, Trewern, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 8SY | 07/06/2018 |
| P/2018/0272 | Erection of 54 dwellings, formation of access roads and all associated works, Land adj Gallowstree Bank, Gungrog Farm, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 7HF | 17/09/2018 |
| P/2018/0330 | Erection of 3 no. dwelling houses, formation of new vehicular access including partial demolition / alterations of existing stone wall together with construction of new 1.8 m high boundary wall and all associated works, Land Adjoining Ivy House, Middletown, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 8EL | 06/07/2018 |
| 18/0471/OUT | Residential development and all associated works, Land at The Wallers, Buttington, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 8SZ | Pending |
| P/2018/0337 | Construction of 360 place English Medium Primary School and 55 place Early Years Nursery with new dedicated vehicular access works, ancillary car parking, landscaping, recreational space and associated infrastructure works, Land at Salop Road, Welshpool, Powys | 06/07/2018 |


| Reference | Details | Approval Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18/0471/OUT | Outline Residential development and all associated works, <br> Land At The Wallers, Buttington, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 <br> 8SZ | Pending |
| P/2018/0474 | Erection of a free-range egg production unit including <br> silos and all associated works, Land Near Mulsop Farm, <br> Trelystan, Leighton, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 8JA | Pending |
| P/2018/0486 | Change of use of agricultural building to a lunch shoot <br> building and installation of a septic tank, Agricultural <br> Building Leighton House Estate, Leighton, Welshpool, <br> Powys, SY21 8HX | Pending |
| 18/0599/FUL | Erection of 9 dwelling houses (1 no. detached and 8 no. <br> semi-detached), formation of vehicular access road and all <br> associated works, Land East of Golfa Close, Middletown, <br> Welshpool, Powys, SY21 8EZ | Pending |
| 18/0837/FUL | Change of use from residential (C3) to veterinary practice <br> and creation of new hardstanding, Nant Y Coed Buttington <br> Welshpool Powys SY21 8HH | Pending |

## Appendix H

TRICS PRINTOUTS

## TRIP RATE CALCULATI ON SELECTI ON PARAMETERS:

```
Category : A - HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED
VEHICLES
```

Selected regions and areas:
02 SOUTH EAST
03 SOUTH WEST 1 days
03 SOUTH WEST
DC DORSET 1 days
SM SOMERSET 1 days
04 EAST ANGLIA $\quad 1$ days
SF SUFFOLK 1 days
05 EAST MI DLANDS
DS DERBYSHIRE 1 days
LE LEICESTERSHIRE 1 days
06 WEST MIDLANDS $\quad 2$ days
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { SH } & \text { SHROPSHIRE } & 2 \text { days } \\ \text { ST } & \text { STAFFORDSHIRE } & 1 \text { days }\end{array}$
WK WARWICKSHIRE 1 days
WM WEST MIDLANDS 1 days
$\begin{array}{ll}07 \text { YORKSHIRE \& NORTH LI NCOLNSHIRE } \\ & \text { NY NORTH YORKSHIRE }\end{array}$
WY WEST YORKSHIRE 1 days
08 NORTH WEST
CH CHESHIRE 2 days
GM GREATER MANCHESTER 2 days
LC LANCASHIRE 2 days
09 NORTH
CB CUMBRIA 1 days
10 WALES
VG VALE OF GLAMORGAN 1 days
11 SCOTLAND
EA EAST AYRSHIRE 1 days
HI HIGHLAND 1 days

This section displays the number of survey days per TRICS ${ }^{\circledR}$ sub-region in the selected set

## Secondary Filtering selection:

This data displays the chosen trip rate parameter and its selected range. Only sites that fall within the parameter range are included in the trip rate calculation.

| Parameter: | Number of dwellings |
| :--- | :--- |
| Actual Range: | 9 to 54 (units: ) |
| Range Selected by User: | 5 to 70 (units:) |
| Parking Spaces Range: | Selected: 12 to 1726 Actual: 12 to 1726 |

Percentage of dwellings privately owned: All Surveys Included
Public Transport Provision:
Selection by: Include all surveys
Date Range: $\quad 05 / 05 / 87$ to $20 / 11 / 18$
This data displays the range of survey dates selected. Only surveys that were conducted within this date range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Selected survey days:

| Monday | 5 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tuesday | 3 days |
| Wednesday | 6 days |
| Thursday | 8 days |
| Friday | 2 days |

This data displays the number of selected surveys by day of the week.
Selected survey types:
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { Manual count } & 24 \text { days } \\ \text { Directional ATC Count } & 0 \text { days }\end{array}$
This data displays the number of manual classified surveys and the number of unclassified ATC surveys, the total adding up to the overall number of surveys in the selected set. Manual surveys are undertaken using staff, whilst ATC surveys are undertaking using machines.

This data displays the number of surveys per main location category within the selected set. The main location categories consist of Free Standing, Edge of Town, Suburban Area, Neighbourhood Centre, Edge of Town Centre, Town Centre and Not Known

## Selected Location Sub Categories: <br> Residential Zone

This data displays the number of surveys per location sub-category within the selected set. The location sub-categories consist of Commercial Zone, Industrial Zone, Development Zone, Residential Zone, Retail Zone, Built-Up Zone, Village, Out of Town, High Street and No Sub Category.

## Secondary Filtering selection:

$\frac{\text { Use Class: }}{\text { C1 }}$

C3 23 days
This data displays the number of surveys per Use Class classification within the selected set. The Use Classes Order 2005 has been used for this purpose, which can be found within the Library module of TRICS®.

| Population within 1 mile: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1,001 to 5,000 | 2 days |
| 5,001 to 10,000 | 4 days |
| 10,001 to 15,000 | 8 days |
| 15,001 to 20,000 | 3 days |
| 20,001 to 25,000 | 2 days |
| 25,001 to 50,000 | 4 days |
| 50,001 to 100,000 | 1 days |

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 1-mile radii of population.

| Population within 5 miles: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5,001 to 25,000 | 1 days |
| 250,001 to 50,000 | 3 days |
| 50,001 to 75,000 | 3 days |
| 75,001 to 100,000 | 7 days |
| 100,001 to 125,000 | 3 days |
| 125,001 to 250,000 | 4 days |
| 250,001 to 50,000 | 2 days |
| 500,001 or More |  |

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 5 -mile radii of population.
Car ownership within 5 miles:

| 0.6 to 1.0 | 8 days |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1.1 to 1.5 | 16 days |

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated ranges of average cars owned per residential dwelling, within a radius of 5 -miles of selected survey sites.

| Travel Plan: |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Not Known | 1 days |
| Yes | 1 days |
| No | 22 days |

This data displays the number of surveys within the selected set that were undertaken at sites with Travel Plans in place, and the number of surveys that were undertaken at sites without Travel Plans.

## PTAL Rating:

No PTAL Present 24 days
This data displays the number of selected surveys with PTAL Ratings.

1 CB-03-A-03 SEMI DETACHED
HAWKSHEAD AVENUE
WORKINGTON
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings: 4
Survey date: THURSDAY 20/11/08
2 CH-03-A-05
DETACHED
SYDNEY ROAD
CREWE
SYDNEY
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings: Survey date: TUESDAY 14/10/08
3 CH-03-A-09
TERRACED HOUSES
GREYSTOKE ROAD
MACCLESFIELD
HURDSFIELD
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings: 24 Survey date: MONDAY 24/11/14
4 DC-03-A-08
BUNGALOWS
HURSTDENE ROAD
BOURNEMOUTH
CASTLE LANE WEST
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings: 28
Survey date: MONDAY 24/03/14
5 DS-03-A-01
SEMI D./ TERRACED
THE AVENUE
DRONFIELD
HOLMESDALE
Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre)
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings: 20 Survey date: THURSDAY 22/06/06
6 EA-03-A-01 DETATCHED
TALISKER AVENUE
KILMARNOCK
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings
7 ES-03-A-02
PRIVATE HOUSI NG
SOUTH COAST ROAD
PEACEHAVEN

Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings: 37
Survey date: FRIDAY 18/11/11
8 GM-03-A-10
BUTT HILL DRIVE
MANCHESTER
PRESTWICH
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings:
29 Survey date: WEDNESDAY 12/10/11
9 GM-03-A-11 TERRACED \& SEMI-DETACHED
RUSHFORD STREET
MANCHESTER
LEVENSHULME
Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre)
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings:

## CUMBRIA

Survey Type: MANUAL CHESHIRE

Survey Type: MANUAL CHESHIRE

Survey Type: MANUAL

## DORSET

Survey Type: MANUAL

## DERBYSHIRE

Survey Type: MANUAL

## EAST AYRSHIRE

Survey Type: MANUAL GREATER MANCHESTER

Survey Type: MANUAL GREATER MANCHESTER

Survey Type: MANUAL

HI-03-A-13
HOUSI NG
KINGSMILLS ROAD
INVERNESS
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings:
11 LC-03-A-08
DETACHED
PRESTON ROAD
LONGRIDGE
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings: 47 Survey date: TUESDAY 12/07/94
12 LC-03-A-31 DETACHED HOUSES
GREENSIDE
PRESTON
COTTAM
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings: 32 Survey date: FRIDAY
13 LE-03-A-01
DETACHED
REDWOOD AVENUE
MELTON MOWBRAY
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings: 11 Survey date: TUESDAY 03/05/05
14 NF-03-A-03 DETACHED HOUSES
HALING WAY
THETFORD
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings: Survey date: WEDNESDAY 16/09/15
15 NY-03-A-11 PRIVATE HOUSI NG
HORSEFAIR
BOROUGHBRIDGE
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings:
16 SF-03-A-05
DETACHED HOUSES
VALE LANE
BURY ST EDMUNDS
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings: 18
Survey date: WEDNESDAY 09/09/15
17 SH-03-A-05
SEMI -DETACHED/ TERRACED
SANDCROFT
TELFORD
SUTTON HILL
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings:
Survey date: THURS
SH-03-A-06
BUNGALOWS
SHREWSBURY
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings: 16
Survey date: THURSDAY 22/05/14

## HI GHLAND

Survey Type: MANUAL LANCASHIRE

Survey Type: MANUAL

## LANCASHIRE

Survey Type: MANUAL LEI CESTERSHI RE

Survey Type: MANUAL NORFOLK

Survey Type: MANUAL NORTH YORKSHIRE

Survey Type: MANUAL SUFFOLK

Survey Type: MANUAL

## SHROPSHIRE

Survey Type: MANUAL SHROPSHIRE

| TRICS 7.5.4 030219 B18.58 | Database right of TRICS Consortium Limited, 2019. All rights reserved | Thursday 21/03/19 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Page $\mathbf{5}$ |  |  |  |
| Intermodal Transportation Ltd | Debden Road | Saffron Walden | Licence No: 731001 |

LIST OF SITES relevant to selection parameters (Cont.)

19 SM-03-A-01
DETACHED \& SEMI
WEMBDON ROAD
BRIDGWATER
NORTHFIELD
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings: 3 Survey date: THURSDAY 24/09/15
20 ST-03-A-08
DETACHED HOUSES
SILKMORE CRESCENT
STAFFORD
MEADOWCROFT PARK
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings: 26
Survey date: WEDNESDAY 22/11/17
21 VG-03-A-01 SEMI-DETACHED \& TERRACED
ARTHUR STREET
BARRY
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings:
12
Survey date: MONDAY 08/05/17
22 WK-03-A-02
NARBERTH WAY
COVENTRY
POTTERS GREEN
Edge of Town
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings: 17
Survey date: THURSDAY
23 WM-03-A-04 TERRACED HOUSES
OSBORNE ROAD
COVENTRY
EARLSDON
Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre)
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings: 39
Survey date: MONDAY 21/11/16
24 WY-03-A-01 MI XED HOUSI NG
SPRING VALLEY CRESCENT
LEEDS
BRAMLEY
Neighbourhood Centre (PPS6 Local Centre)
Residential Zone
Total Number of dwellings:
Survey date: WEDNESDAY $21 / 09 / 16$

## SOMERSET

## Survey Type: MANUAL

## STAFFORDSHIRE

Survey Type: MANUAL
VALE OF GLAMORGAN

Survey Type: MANUAL WARWI CKSHI RE

Survey Type: MANUAL WEST MI DLANDS

Survey Type: MANUAL WEST YORKSHIRE


This section provides a list of all survey sites and days in the selected set. For each individual survey site, it displays a unique site reference code and site address, the selected trip rate calculation parameter and its value, the day of the week and date of each survey, and whether the survey was a manual classified count or an ATC count.

## TRIP RATE for Land Use 03 - RESIDENTIAL/A - HOUSES PRIVATELY OWNED

VEHI CLES
Calculation factor: 1 DWELLS
BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

|  | ARRIVALS |  |  | DEPARTURES |  |  | TOTALS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Range | No. Days | Ave. DWELLS | Trip Rate | $\begin{gathered} \text { No. } \\ \text { Days } \end{gathered}$ | Ave. DWELLS | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. DWELLS | Trip Rate |
| 00:00-01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00-02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00-03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00-04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00-05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00-06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00-07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00-08:00 | 24 | 28 | 0.081 | 24 | 28 | 0.255 | 24 | 28 | 0.336 |
| 08:00-09:00 | 24 | 28 | 0.167 | 24 | 28 | 0.383 | 24 | 28 | 0.550 |
| 09:00-10:00 | 24 | 28 | 0.137 | 24 | 28 | 0.203 | 24 | 28 | 0.340 |
| 10:00-11:00 | 24 | 28 | 0.140 | 24 | 28 | 0.142 | 24 | 28 | 0.282 |
| 11:00-12:00 | 24 | 28 | 0.185 | 24 | 28 | 0.178 | 24 | 28 | 0.363 |
| 12:00-13:00 | 24 | 28 | 0.152 | 24 | 28 | 0.149 | 24 | 28 | 0.301 |
| 13:00-14:00 | 24 | 28 | 0.161 | 24 | 28 | 0.154 | 24 | 28 | 0.315 |
| 14:00-15:00 | 24 | 28 | 0.187 | 24 | 28 | 0.167 | 24 | 28 | 0.354 |
| 15:00-16:00 | 24 | 28 | 0.250 | 24 | 28 | 0.205 | 24 | 28 | 0.455 |
| 16:00-17:00 | 24 | 28 | 0.306 | 24 | 28 | 0.152 | 24 | 28 | 0.458 |
| 17:00-18:00 | 24 | 28 | 0.330 | 24 | 28 | 0.142 | 24 | 28 | 0.472 |
| 18:00-19:00 | 24 | 28 | 0.226 | 24 | 28 | 0.148 | 24 | 28 | 0.374 |
| 19:00-20:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00-21:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00-22:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00-23:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00-24:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Rates: |  |  | 2.322 |  |  | 2.278 |  |  | 4.600 |

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: COUNT/TRP*FACT. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

The survey data, graphs and all associated supporting information, contained within the TRICS Database are published by TRICS Consortium Limited ("the Company") and the Company claims copyright and database rights in this published work. The Company authorises those who possess a current TRICS licence to access the TRICS Database and copy the data contained within the TRICS Database for the licence holders' use only. Any resulting copy must retain all copyrights and other proprietary notices, and any disclaimer contained thereon.

The Company accepts no responsibility for loss which may arise from reliance on data contained in the TRICS Database. [No warranty of any kind, express or implied, is made as to the data contained in the TRICS Database.]

## Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:
Survey date date range:
9-54 (units: )
$05 / 05 / 87-20 / 11 / 18$
24
0
0
1
0

Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):
Number of Saturdays:
0
Number of Sundays:
Surveys automatically removed from selection:
0
Surveys manually removed from selection:
This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS® user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are show. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

## TME

00:00-01:00 01: 00-02:00 02:00-03:00 03:00-04:00 04:00-05:00 05:00-06:00 06:00-07:00 07:00-08:00 08:00-09:00 09:00-10:00 10:00-11:00 11:00-12:00 12:00-13:00 13:00-14:00 14:00-15:00 15:00-16:00 16:00-17:00 17:00-18:00 18:00-19:00 19:00-20:00 20:00-21:00 21:00-22:00 22:00-23:00 23:00-24:00

RATE \% TRIPRATEGRAPH-ARRIVALS 03-RESIDENTIAL A-HOUSESPRIVATELYOMNED VEHCLES


This graph is a visual representation of the trip rate calculation results screen. The same time periods and trip rates are displayed, but in addition there is an additional column showing the percentage of the total trip rate by individual time period, allowing peak periods to be easily identified through observation. Note that the type of count and the selected direction is shown at the top of the graph.

## TIME
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## RATE
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This graph is a visual representation of the trip rate calculation results screen. The same time periods and trip rates are displayed, but in addition there is an additional column showing the percentage of the total trip rate by individual time period, allowing peak periods to be easily identified through observation. Note that the type of count and the selected direction is shown at the top of the graph.

## TME
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RATE \% TRIPRATEGRAPH-TOTALS 03-RESIDENTIAL A-HOUSESPRIVATEYOMNED VEMCLES


This graph is a visual representation of the trip rate calculation results screen. The same time periods and trip rates are displayed, but in addition there is an additional column showing the percentage of the total trip rate by individual time period, allowing peak periods to be easily identified through observation. Note that the type of count and the selected direction is shown at the top of the graph.

## TRIP RATE CALCULATI ON SELECTI ON PARAMETERS:

```
Land Use : 02-EMPLOYMENT
Category : F - WAREHOUSING (COMMERCIAL)
VEHI CLES
```

Selected regions and areas:
02 SOUTH EAST
SC SURREY 1 days
11 SCOTLAND
HI HIGHLAND
1 days

This section displays the number of survey days per TRICS® sub-region in the selected set

## Secondary Filtering selection:

This data displays the chosen trip rate parameter and its selected range. Only sites that fall within the parameter range are included in the trip rate calculation.

| Parameter: | Gross floor area |
| :--- | :--- |
| Actual Range: | 890 to 3065 (units: sqm) |
| Range Selected by User: | 190 to 5000 (units: sqm) |
|  |  |
| Parking Spaces Range: | All Surveys Included |

Public Transport Provision:
Selection by: Include all surveys
Date Range: $\quad 01 / 01 / 90$ to $29 / 03 / 19$
This data displays the range of survey dates selected. Only surveys that were conducted within this date range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Selected survey days:

| Wednesday | 1 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| Thursday | 1 days |

This data displays the number of selected surveys by day of the week.
Selected survey types:

| Manual count | 2 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| Directional ATC Count | 0 days |

This data displays the number of manual classified surveys and the number of unclassified ATC surveys, the total adding up to the overall number of surveys in the selected set. Manual surveys are undertaken using staff, whilst ATC surveys are undertaking using machines.

Selected Locations:
Free Standing (PPS6 Out of Town) 1
Not Known 1
This data displays the number of surveys per main location category within the selected set. The main location categories consist of Free Standing, Edge of Town, Suburban Area, Neighbourhood Centre, Edge of Town Centre, Town Centre and Not Known.

Selected Location Sub Categories:
Industrial Zone
2
This data displays the number of surveys per location sub-category within the selected set. The location sub-categories consist of Commercial Zone, Industrial Zone, Development Zone, Residential Zone, Retail Zone, Built-Up Zone, Village, Out of Town, High Street and No Sub Category.

## Secondary Filtering selection:

| Use Class: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Not Known | 1 days |
| B8 | 1 days |

This data displays the number of surveys per Use Class classification within the selected set. The Use Classes Order 2005 has been used for this purpose, which can be found within the Library module of TRICS®.

## Secondary Filtering selection (Cont.):

Population within 1 mile:

| Not Known | 1 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1,000 or Less | 1 days |

1,000 or Less
This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 1-mile radii of population.
Population within 5 miles:

| Not Known | 1 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5,001 to 25,000 | 1 days |

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 5 -mile radii of population.

| Car ownership within 5 miles: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Not Known | 1 days |
| 0.5 or Less | 1 days |

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated ranges of average cars owned per residential dwelling, within a radius of 5 -miles of selected survey sites.

| Travel Plan: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Not Known | 1 days |
| No | 1 days |

This data displays the number of surveys within the selected set that were undertaken at sites with Travel Plans in place, and the number of surveys that were undertaken at sites without Travel Plans.

PTAL Rating:
No PTAL Present
2 days
This data displays the number of selected surveys with PTAL Ratings.

LIST OF SITES relevant to selection parameters

1 HI-02-F-01 WAREHOUSING
B9039
NEAR INVERNESS
DALCROSS IND. ESTATE
Free Standing (PPS6 Out of Town)
Industrial Zone
Total Gross floor area: 890 sqm Survey date: WEDNESDAY 24/05/06
2 SC-02-F-02 DRINKS DISTRIBUTION
AVRO WAY
BYFLEET
Not Known
Industrial Zone
Total Gross floor area: 3065 sqm
Survey date: THURSDAY Survey Type: MANUAL
This section provides a list of all survey sites and days in the selected set. For each individual survey site, it displays a unique site reference code and site address, the selected trip rate calculation parameter and its value, the day of the week and date of each survey, and whether the survey was a manual classified count or an ATC count.

TRIP RATE for Land Use 02 - EMPLOYMENT/F - WAREHOUSING (COMMERCIAL)
VEHICLES
Calculation factor: 100 sqm
BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

|  | ARRIVALS |  |  | DEPARTURES |  |  | TOTALS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Range | No. Days | Ave. GFA | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. GFA | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. GFA | Trip Rate |
| 00:00-00:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00:30-01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00-01:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:30-02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00-02:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:30-03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00-03:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:30-04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00-04:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30-05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00-05:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:30-06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00-06:30 | 1 | 3065 | 0.489 | 1 | 3065 | 0.098 | 1 | 3065 | 0.587 |
| 06:30-07:00 | 1 | 3065 | 0.457 | 1 | 3065 | 0.098 | 1 | 3065 | 0.555 |
| 07:00-07:30 | 2 | 1978 | 0.430 | 2 | 1978 | 0.455 | 2 | 1978 | 0.885 |
| 07:30-08:00 | 2 | 1978 | 0.379 | 2 | 1978 | 0.405 | 2 | 1978 | 0.784 |
| 08:00-08:30 | 2 | 1978 | 0.101 | 2 | 1978 | 0.152 | 2 | 1978 | 0.253 |
| 08:30-09:00 | 2 | 1978 | 0.126 | 2 | 1978 | 0.202 | 2 | 1978 | 0.328 |
| 09:00-09:30 | 2 | 1978 | 0.051 | 2 | 1978 | 0.000 | 2 | 1978 | 0.051 |
| 09:30-10:00 | 2 | 1978 | 0.025 | 2 | 1978 | 0.000 | 2 | 1978 | 0.025 |
| 10:00-10:30 | 2 | 1978 | 0.076 | 2 | 1978 | 0.025 | 2 | 1978 | 0.101 |
| 10:30-11:00 | 2 | 1978 | 0.051 | 2 | 1978 | 0.025 | 2 | 1978 | 0.076 |
| 11:00-11:30 | 2 | 1978 | 0.076 | 2 | 1978 | 0.076 | 2 | 1978 | 0.152 |
| 11:30-12:00 | 2 | 1978 | 0.051 | 2 | 1978 | 0.101 | 2 | 1978 | 0.152 |
| 12:00-12:30 | 2 | 1978 | 0.051 | 2 | 1978 | 0.076 | 2 | 1978 | 0.127 |
| 12:30-13:00 | 2 | 1978 | 0.076 | 2 | 1978 | 0.051 | 2 | 1978 | 0.127 |
| 13:00-13:30 | 2 | 1978 | 0.152 | 2 | 1978 | 0.126 | 2 | 1978 | 0.278 |
| 13:30-14:00 | 2 | 1978 | 0.126 | 2 | 1978 | 0.126 | 2 | 1978 | 0.252 |
| 14:00-14:30 | 2 | 1978 | 0.126 | 2 | 1978 | 0.202 | 2 | 1978 | 0.328 |
| 14:30-15:00 | 2 | 1978 | 0.101 | 2 | 1978 | 0.228 | 2 | 1978 | 0.329 |
| 15:00-15:30 | 2 | 1978 | 0.152 | 2 | 1978 | 0.076 | 2 | 1978 | 0.228 |
| 15:30-16:00 | 2 | 1978 | 0.202 | 2 | 1978 | 0.076 | 2 | 1978 | 0.278 |
| 16:00-16:30 | 2 | 1978 | 0.253 | 2 | 1978 | 0.278 | 2 | 1978 | 0.531 |
| 16:30-17:00 | 2 | 1978 | 0.228 | 2 | 1978 | 0.278 | 2 | 1978 | 0.506 |
| 17:00-17:30 | 2 | 1978 | 0.177 | 2 | 1978 | 0.278 | 2 | 1978 | 0.455 |
| 17:30-18:00 | 2 | 1978 | 0.177 | 2 | 1978 | 0.329 | 2 | 1978 | 0.506 |
| 18:00-18:30 | 2 | 1978 | 0.076 | 2 | 1978 | 0.228 | 2 | 1978 | 0.304 |
| 18:30-19:00 | 2 | 1978 | 0.051 | 2 | 1978 | 0.152 | 2 | 1978 | 0.203 |
| 19:00-19:30 | 1 | 3065 | 0.065 | 1 | 3065 | 0.163 | 1 | 3065 | 0.228 |
| 19:30-20:00 | 1 | 3065 | 0.033 | 1 | 3065 | 0.196 | 1 | 3065 | 0.229 |
| 20:00-20:30 | 1 | 3065 | 0.033 | 1 | 3065 | 0.131 | 1 | 3065 | 0.164 |
| 20:30-21:00 | 1 | 3065 | 0.000 | 1 | 3065 | 0.131 | 1 | 3065 | 0.131 |
| 21:00-21:30 | 1 | 3065 | 0.000 | 1 | 3065 | 0.000 | 1 | 3065 | 0.000 |
| 21:30-22:00 | 1 | 3065 | 0.000 | 1 | 3065 | 0.000 | 1 | 3065 | 0.000 |
| 22:00-22:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:30-23:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00-23:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:30-24:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Rates: |  |  | 4.391 |  |  | 4.762 |  |  | 9.153 |

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: COUNT/TRP*FACT. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

The survey data, graphs and all associated supporting information, contained within the TRICS Database are published by TRICS Consortium Limited ("the Company") and the Company claims copyright and database rights in this published work. The Company authorises those who possess a current TRICS licence to access the TRICS Database and copy the data contained within the TRICS Database for the licence holders' use only. Any resulting copy must retain all copyrights and other proprietary notices, and any disclaimer contained thereon.

The Company accepts no responsibility for loss which may arise from reliance on data contained in the TRICS Database. [No warranty of any kind, express or implied, is made as to the data contained in the TRICS Database.]

## Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:
890-3065 (units: sqm)
Survey date date range: 01/01/90-29/03/19
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday):
2
Number of Saturdays:
0
Number of Sundays:
0
Surveys automatically removed from selection:
0
Surveys manually removed from selection:
This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS ${ }^{\circledR}$ user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are show. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.

## TRIP RATE CALCULATION SELECTION PARAMETERS:



This section displays the number of survey days per TRICS® sub-region in the selected set

## Secondary Filtering selection:

This data displays the chosen trip rate parameter and its selected range. Only sites that fall within the parameter range are included in the trip rate calculation.

| Parameter: | Parking spaces <br> Actual Range: | 9 to 45 (units: $)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Range Selected by User: | 7 to 50 (units:) |  |$\quad$| Include all surveys |
| :--- |
| Public Transport Provision: |$\quad$| Selection by: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date Range: $\quad 08 / 03 / 02$ to $17 / 11 / 16$ |  |

This data displays the range of survey dates selected. Only surveys that were conducted within this date range are included in the trip rate calculation.

Selected survey days:

| Monday | 3 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tuesday | 4 days |
| Wednesday | 2 days |
| Thursday | 2 days |
| Friday | 3 days |

This data displays the number of selected surveys by day of the week.
Selected survey types:
Manual count
Directional ATC Count
14 days
0 days
0 days
This data displays the number of manual classified surveys and the number of unclassified ATC surveys, the total adding up to the overall number of surveys in the selected set. Manual surveys are undertaken using staff, whilst ATC surveys are undertaking using machines.

Selected Locations:
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) 11
Edge of Town 3
This data displays the number of surveys per main location category within the selected set. The main location categories consist of Free Standing, Edge of Town, Suburban Area, Neighbourhood Centre, Edge of Town Centre, Town Centre and Not Known.

Selected Location Sub Categories:
Industrial Zone
9
Commercial Zone 1
Development Zone 2
Retail Zone 1
Built-Up Zone 1
This data displays the number of surveys per location sub-category within the selected set. The location sub-categories
consist of Commercial Zone, Industrial Zone, Development Zone, Residential Zone, Retail Zone, Built-Up Zone, Village,

## Secondary Filtering selection:

Use Class:
B8

14 days

This data displays the number of surveys per Use Class classification within the selected set. The Use Classes Order 2005 has been used for this purpose, which can be found within the Library module of TRICS ${ }^{\circledR}$.

Population within 1 mile:
5,001 to $10,000 \quad 3$ days
10,001 to $15,000 \quad 5$ days
15,001 to 20,000 2 days
20,001 to $25,000 \quad 1$ days
25,001 to $50,000 \quad 3$ days
This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 1-mile radii of population.
Population within 5 miles:

| 75,001 to 100,000 | 1 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| 125,001 to 250,000 | 9 days |
| 250,001 to 500,000 | 2 days |
| 500,001 or More | 2 days |

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated 5 -mile radii of population.
Car ownership within 5 miles:

| 0.5 or Less | 2 days |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.6 to 1.0 | 4 days |
| 1.1 to 1.5 | 8 days |

This data displays the number of selected surveys within stated ranges of average cars owned per residential dwelling, within a radius of 5 -miles of selected survey sites.

Travel Plan:

| Not Known | 1 days |
| :--- | ---: |
| No | 13 days |

This data displays the number of surveys within the selected set that were undertaken at sites with Travel Plans in place, and the number of surveys that were undertaken at sites without Travel Plans.

## PTAL Rating: <br> No PTAL Present

This data displays the number of selected surveys with PTAL Ratings.

1 BU-02-E-01
SNOWDON DRIVE
MILTON KEYNES
WINTERHILL
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)
Retail Zone
Total Parking spaces Survey date: FRIDAY 08/03/02
2 CA-02-E-01
WESTFIELD ROAD
PETERBOROUGH

Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)
Industrial Zone
Total Parking spaces: 24 Survey date: TUESDAY 22/07/03
3 CA-02-E-02
SELF STORAGE
CLIFTON WAY
CAMBRIDGE
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)
Built-Up Zone
Total Parking spaces: 22
Survey date: FRIDAY 16/10/09
4 KC-02-E-01
EASI STORE
LONGFIELD ROAD
TUNBRIDGE WELLS
Edge of Town
Industrial Zone
Total Parking spaces
14
Survey date: TUESDAY 01/12/09
5 KC-02-E-03 BIG YELLOW STORAGE
LONGFIELD ROAD
TUNBRIDGE WELLS

Edge of Town
Industrial Zone
Total Parking spaces: 45
Survey date: TUESDAY 01/12/09
6 MS-02-E-01 BIG YELLOW
MILL LANE
LIVERPOOL
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)
Industrial Zone
Total Parking spaces:
Survey date: THURSDAY 09/09/10
7 NF-02-E-01
BIX BOX STORAGE
VULCAN ROAD NORTH
NORWICH
HELLESDON
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)
Industrial Zone
Total Parking spaces: 25
Survey date: WEDNESDAY 30/07/03
8 NF-02-E-02
BI G YELLOW STORAGE
CANARY WAY
NORWICH
RIVERSIDE
Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)
Development Zone
Total Parking spaces:
31 Survey date: WEDNESDAY 21/09/05
9 NT-02-E-02
BIG YELLOW SELF STORAGE
LENTON LANE
NOTTINGHAM

Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre)
Development Zone
Total Parking spaces
Survey date: THURSDAY 17/11/16

BUCKI NGHAMSHI RE

Survey Type: MANUAL CAMBRIDGESHIRE

Survey Type: MANUAL CAMBRIDGESHI RE

Survey Type: MANUAL KENT

Survey Type: MANUAL KENT

Survey Type: MANUAL MERSEYSI DE

Survey Type: MANUAL NORFOLK

Survey Type: MANUAL NORFOLK

| TRICS 7.5.4 030219 B18.58 | Database right of TRICS Consortium Limited, 2019. All rights reserved | Thursday 21/03/19 Page 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermodal Transportation Ltd | Debden Road Saffron Walden | Licence No: 731001 |

LIST OF SITES relevant to selection parameters (Cont.)

| 10 | NW-02-E-01 STORAGE GIANT |  | NEWPORT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LEEWAY COURT |  |  |
|  | NEWPORT |  |  |
|  | LEEWAY INDUSTRIAL EST. |  |  |
|  | Edge of Town |  |  |
|  | Commercial Zone |  |  |
|  | Total Parking spaces: | 35 |  |
|  | Survey date: FRIDAY | 22/10/10 | Survey Type: MANUAL |
| 11 | TW-02-E-01 1ST STORAGE |  | TYNE \& WEAR |
|  | STONEYGATE CLOSE |  |  |
|  | GATESHEAD |  |  |
|  | Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) |  |  |
|  | Industrial Zone |  |  |
|  | Total Parking spaces: | 20 |  |
|  | Survey date: MONDAY | 13/06/16 | Survey Type: MANUAL |
| 12 | WM-02-E-02 EXTRASPACE |  | WEST MI DLANDS |
|  | 101 LOCKHURST LANE |  |  |
|  | COVENTRY |  |  |
|  | Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) |  |  |
|  | Industrial Zone |  |  |
|  | Total Parking spaces: | 11 |  |
|  | Survey date: TUESDAY | 31/01/06 | Survey Type: MANUAL |
| 13 | WS-02-E-01 SELF STORAGE |  | WEST SUSSEX |
|  | DURBAN ROAD |  |  |
|  | BOGNOR REGIS |  |  |
|  | SOUTH BERSTED |  |  |
|  | Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) |  |  |
|  | Industrial Zone |  |  |
|  | Total Parking spaces: | 24 |  |
|  | Survey date: MONDAY | 06/11/06 | Survey Type: MANUAL |
| 14 | WY-02-E-01 SELF STORAGE |  | WEST YORKSHI RE |
|  | ST ANDREWS ROAD |  |  |
|  | HUDDERSFIELD |  |  |
|  | Suburban Area (PPS6 Out of Centre) |  |  |
|  | Industrial Zone |  |  |
|  | Total Parking spaces: | 10 |  |
|  | Survey date: MONDAY | 17/03/03 | Survey Type: MANUAL |

This section provides a list of all survey sites and days in the selected set. For each individual survey site, it displays a unique site reference code and site address, the selected trip rate calculation parameter and its value, the day of the week and date of each survey, and whether the survey was a manual classified count or an ATC count.

TRIP RATE for Land Use 02 - EMPLOYMENT/E - WAREHOUSING (SELF STORAGE)
VEHI CLES
Calculation factor: 1 PARKI NG SPACES
BOLD print indicates peak (busiest) period

|  | ARRIVALS |  |  | DEPARTURES |  |  | TOTALS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Range | No. Days | Ave. PARKING | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. PARKING | Trip Rate | No. Days | Ave. PARKING | Trip Rate |
| 00:00-00:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00:30-01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00-01:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:30-02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00-02:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:30-03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00-03:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:30-04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00-04:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30-05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00-05:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:30-06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00-06:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:30-07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00-07:30 | 13 | 21 | 0.015 | 13 | 21 | 0.004 | 13 | 21 | 0.019 |
| 07:30-08:00 | 13 | 21 | 0.093 | 13 | 21 | 0.015 | 13 | 21 | 0.108 |
| 08:00-08:30 | 14 | 21 | 0.099 | 14 | 21 | 0.068 | 14 | 21 | 0.167 |
| 08:30-09:00 | 14 | 21 | 0.181 | 14 | 21 | 0.096 | 14 | 21 | 0.277 |
| 09:00-09:30 | 14 | 21 | 0.157 | 14 | 21 | 0.130 | 14 | 21 | 0.287 |
| 09:30-10:00 | 14 | 21 | 0.130 | 14 | 21 | 0.109 | 14 | 21 | 0.239 |
| 10:00-10:30 | 14 | 21 | 0.160 | 14 | 21 | 0.133 | 14 | 21 | 0.293 |
| 10:30-11:00 | 14 | 21 | 0.102 | 14 | 21 | 0.123 | 14 | 21 | 0.225 |
| 11:00-11:30 | 14 | 21 | 0.116 | 14 | 21 | 0.119 | 14 | 21 | 0.235 |
| 11:30-12:00 | 14 | 21 | 0.147 | 14 | 21 | 0.171 | 14 | 21 | 0.318 |
| 12:00-12:30 | 14 | 21 | 0.167 | 14 | 21 | 0.133 | 14 | 21 | 0.300 |
| 12:30-13:00 | 14 | 21 | 0.116 | 14 | 21 | 0.140 | 14 | 21 | 0.256 |
| 13:00-13:30 | 14 | 21 | 0.137 | 14 | 21 | 0.154 | 14 | 21 | 0.291 |
| 13:30-14:00 | 14 | 21 | 0.119 | 14 | 21 | 0.154 | 14 | 21 | 0.273 |
| 14:00-14:30 | 14 | 21 | 0.116 | 14 | 21 | 0.123 | 14 | 21 | 0.239 |
| 14:30-15:00 | 14 | 21 | 0.160 | 14 | 21 | 0.140 | 14 | 21 | 0.300 |
| 15:00-15:30 | 14 | 21 | 0.133 | 14 | 21 | 0.130 | 14 | 21 | 0.263 |
| 15:30-16:00 | 14 | 21 | 0.119 | 14 | 21 | 0.116 | 14 | 21 | 0.235 |
| 16:00-16:30 | 14 | 21 | 0.116 | 14 | 21 | 0.133 | 14 | 21 | 0.249 |
| 16:30-17:00 | 14 | 21 | 0.106 | 14 | 21 | 0.113 | 14 | 21 | 0.219 |
| 17:00-17:30 | 14 | 21 | 0.072 | 14 | 21 | 0.147 | 14 | 21 | 0.219 |
| 17:30-18:00 | 14 | 21 | 0.020 | 14 | 21 | 0.075 | 14 | 21 | 0.095 |
| 18:00-18:30 | 13 | 21 | 0.007 | 13 | 21 | 0.048 | 13 | 21 | 0.055 |
| 18:30-19:00 | 13 | 21 | 0.026 | 13 | 21 | 0.037 | 13 | 21 | 0.063 |
| 19:00-19:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:30-20:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00-20:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:30-21:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00-21:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:30-22:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00-22:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:30-23:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00-23:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:30-24:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Rates: |  |  | 2.614 |  |  | 2.611 |  |  | 5.225 |

This section displays the trip rate results based on the selected set of surveys and the selected count type (shown just above the table). It is split by three main columns, representing arrivals trips, departures trips, and total trips (arrivals plus departures). Within each of these main columns are three sub-columns. These display the number of survey days where count data is included (per time period), the average value of the selected trip rate calculation parameter (per time period), and the trip rate result (per time period). Total trip rates (the sum of the column) are also displayed at the foot of the table.

To obtain a trip rate, the average (mean) trip rate parameter value (TRP) is first calculated for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. The average (mean) number of arrivals, departures or totals (whichever applies) is also calculated (COUNT) for all selected survey days that have count data available for the stated time period. Then, the average count is divided by the average trip rate parameter value, and multiplied by the stated calculation factor (shown just above the table and abbreviated here as FACT). So, the method is: COUNT/TRP*FACT. Trip rates are then rounded to 3 decimal places.

The survey data, graphs and all associated supporting information, contained within the TRICS Database are published by TRICS Consortium Limited ("the Company") and the Company claims copyright and database rights in this published work. The Company authorises those who possess a current TRICS licence to access the TRICS Database and copy the data contained within the TRICS Database for the licence holders' use only. Any resulting copy must retain all copyrights and other proprietary notices, and any disclaimer contained thereon.

The Company accepts no responsibility for loss which may arise from reliance on data contained in the TRICS Database. [No warranty of any kind, express or implied, is made as to the data contained in the TRICS Database.]

## Parameter summary

Trip rate parameter range selected:
Survey date date range:
9-45 (units: )
Number of weekdays (Monday-Friday): 08/03/02-17/11/16

Number of Saturdays:
0
Number of Sundays:
0
Surveys automatically removed from selection:0

Surveys manually removed from selection:
0
This section displays a quick summary of some of the data filtering selections made by the TRICS ${ }^{\circledR}$ user. The trip rate calculation parameter range of all selected surveys is displayed first, followed by the range of minimum and maximum survey dates selected by the user. Then, the total number of selected weekdays and weekend days in the selected set of surveys are show. Finally, the number of survey days that have been manually removed from the selected set outside of the standard filtering procedure are displayed.
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This graph is a visual representation of the trip rate calculation results screen. The same time periods and trip rates are displayed, but in addition there is an additional column showing the percentage of the total trip rate by individual time period, allowing peak periods to be easily identified through observation. Note that the type of count and the selected direction is shown at the top of the graph.

## TME

00:00-01:00 01: 00-02:00 02:00-03:00 03:00-04:00 04:00-05:00 05:00-06:00 06:00-07:00 07:00-08:00 08:00-09:00 09:00-10:00 10:00-11:00 11:00-12:00 12:00-13:00 13:00-14:00 14:00-15:00 15:00-16:00 16:00-17:00 17:00-18:00 18:00-19:00 19:00-20:00 20:00-21:00 21:00-22:00 22:00-23:00 23:00-24:00

RATE \% TRIPRATEGRAPH-DEPARTLRES O2-EMPLOMMENT E-WAREHOUSING (SELF STORAGE) VEHICLES


This graph is a visual representation of the trip rate calculation results screen. The same time periods and trip rates are displayed, but in addition there is an additional column showing the percentage of the total trip rate by individual time period, allowing peak periods to be easily identified through observation. Note that the type of count and the selected direction is shown at the top of the graph.

## TMME
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RATE \% TRIPRATE GRAPH - TOTALS 02-GMPLOMMENT E-WAREHOUSING (SELF STORAGE) VEIICLES


This graph is a visual representation of the trip rate calculation results screen. The same time periods and trip rates are displayed, but in addition there is an additional column showing the percentage of the total trip rate by individual time period, allowing peak periods to be easily identified through observation. Note that the type of count and the selected direction is shown at the top of the graph.

## Appendix I

Traffic Flow Diagrams

























## APPENDIX J

Capacity Assessment Results

## Junctions 8

## ARCADY 8 - Roundabout Module

Version: 8.0.6.541 [19821,26/11/2015] © Copyright TRL Limited, 2020
For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL: Tel: +44 (0)1344 770758 email: Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk
The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: A458-A483 RBT EIA.arc8
Path: C:IITL Jobs\IT1921 Buttington Quarry\PICADYJunction 1
Report generation date: 24/08/2020 12:56:07
" (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030, AM
" (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030, PM

## Summary of junction performance

|  | AM |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS |  |  |
|  | A1 - Base 2030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arm 1 | 0.82 | 4.79 | 0.45 | A | 0.52 | 4.06 | 0.34 | A |  |  |
| Arm 2 | 1.29 | 8.48 | 0.57 | A | 1.12 | 7.12 | 0.53 | A |  |  |
| Arm 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | A | 0.01 | 2.59 | 0.01 | A |  |  |
| Arm 4 | 0.83 | 3.53 | 0.45 | A | 1.16 | 4.01 | 0.54 | A |  |  |
| Arm 5 | 0.10 | 3.87 | 0.09 | A | 0.30 | 4.56 | 0.23 | A |  |  |

Values shown are the maximum values over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.
"D1-Base 2030, AM " model duration: 07:45-09:15
"D2 - Base 2030, PM" model duration: 16:15-17:45

Run using Junctions 8.0.6.541 at 24/08/2020 12:56:06
File summary

| Title | IT1921 - A458 -A483 RBT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Location | Buttington, Wales |
| Site Number | Junction 1 |
| Date | $11 / 02 / 2019$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client | ECL |
| Jobnumber | IT1921 |
| Enumerator | ghill |
| Description |  |

Analysis Options

| Vehicle Length <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Do Queue <br> Variations | Calculate Residual <br> Capacity | Residual Capacity Criteria <br> Type | RFC <br> Threshold | Average Delay Threshold <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Queue Threshold <br> $($ PCU $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.75 |  |  | N/A | 0.85 | 36.00 |  |

Units

| Distance Units | Speed Units | Traffic Units Input | Traffic Units Results | Flow Units | Average Delay Units | Total Delay Units | Rate Of Delay Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | Veh | Veh | perHour | s | -Min | perMin |

## (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030, AM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Analysis Set Details

| Name | Roundabout Capacity Model | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) | ARCADY |  |  | 100.000 |  |

## Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario Name | Time Period Name | Description | Traffic Profile Type | Model Start <br> Time (HH:mm) | Model Finish <br> Time (HH:mm) | Model Time Period Length (min) | Time Segment Length (min) | Single Time Segment Only | Locked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Base } \\ 2030, \\ \text { AM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base } \\ & 2030 \end{aligned}$ | AM |  | ONE <br> HOUR | 07:45 | 09:15 | 90 | 15 |  |  |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Arm Order | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | Roundabout | $1,2,3,4,5$ |  |  | 5.22 | A |

Junction Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

Arms

| Arm | Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 | A483 North |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 2 | A458 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3 | Private Access |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4 | A483 South |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 5 | Rhallt Lane |  |

Capacity Options

| Arm | Minimum Capacity (PCU/hr) | Maximum Capacity (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |

## Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach road half- <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | E Entry width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | I' - Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | R - Entry radius <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | D - Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict (entry) <br> angle $($ deg $)$ | Exit <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 4.20 | 9.10 | 11.10 | 20.70 | 55.10 | 40.60 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 3.50 | 8.10 | 5.20 | 19.20 | 55.10 | 28.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 7.20 | 8.10 | 2.70 | 14.10 | 55.10 | 21.20 |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4.70 | 8.20 | 19.10 | 18.80 | 55.10 | 26.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 4.10 | 7.50 | 7.00 | 22.50 | 55.10 | 30.50 |  |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter slope and intercept directly | Entered slope | Entered intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.596 | 1821.669 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.536 | 1431.326 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.702 | 2336.859 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.662 | 2115.114 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.576 | 1651.708 |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Time | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Entry | Vehicle Mix <br> Source | PCU <br> Factor <br> for a HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Turn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV <br> Proportions Over Entry |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Entry Flows

General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 559.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 504.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 0.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 770.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 84.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts / Proportions (Veh/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 41.000 | 3.000 | 485.000 | 30.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 35.000 | 0.000 | 2.000 | 425.000 | 42.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 263.000 | 426.000 | 8.000 | 0.000 | 73.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 15.000 | 22.000 | 3.000 | 44.000 | 0.000 |  |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.87 | 0.05 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.84 | 0.08 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.34 | 0.55 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.09 |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.04 | 0.52 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 1.000 | 1.121 | 1.000 | 1.068 | 1.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 1.057 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.094 | 1.119 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 1.091 | 1.099 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.027 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 1.200 | 1.090 | 1.000 | 1.114 | 1.000 |  |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.0 | 12.1 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 0.0 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 11.9 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 9.1 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 20.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 11.4 | 0.0 |  |

## Results

## Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.45 | 4.79 | 0.82 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.57 | 8.48 | 1.29 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.45 | 3.53 | 0.83 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.09 | 3.87 | 0.10 | A |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (07:45-08:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $($ (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow <br> $($ Veh/hr) | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 420.85 | 419.26 | 377.51 | 0.00 | 1474.60 | 0.285 | 0.40 | 3.407 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 379.44 | 377.30 | 429.77 | 0.00 | 1084.52 | 0.350 | 0.53 | 5.075 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 795.07 | 0.00 | 1733.85 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 579.70 | 577.94 | 80.14 | 0.00 | 1891.62 | 0.306 | 0.44 | 2.737 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 63.24 | 63.01 | 549.35 | 0.00 | 1167.22 | 0.054 | 0.06 | 3.260 | A |

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $($ Veh/hr) | Entry Flow <br> $($ Veh/hr) | Circulating Flow <br> $($ Veh $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $($ Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue <br> (Veh) | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 502.53 | 501.96 | 451.82 | 0.00 | 1429.07 | 0.352 | 0.54 | 3.880 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 453.09 | 452.17 | 514.55 | 0.00 | 1040.16 | 0.436 | 0.76 | 6.112 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 952.35 | 0.00 | 1614.56 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 692.21 | 691.66 | 96.02 | 0.00 | 1881.33 | 0.368 | 0.58 | 3.024 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 75.51 | 75.45 | 657.48 | 0.00 | 1106.37 | 0.068 | 0.07 | 3.491 | A |

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | $\mathbf{R F C}$ | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 615.47 | 614.38 | 553.17 | 0.00 | 1366.97 | 0.450 | 0.81 | 4.776 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 554.91 | 552.85 | 629.81 | 0.00 | 979.86 | 0.566 | 1.28 | 8.390 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1165.06 | 0.00 | 1453.23 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 847.78 | 846.80 | 117.44 | 0.00 | 1867.45 | 0.454 | 0.83 | 3.524 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 92.49 | 92.38 | 804.91 | 0.00 | 1023.41 | 0.090 | 0.10 | 3.866 | A |

Main results: (08:30-08:45)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 615.47 | 615.46 | 553.80 | 0.00 | 1366.58 | 0.450 | 0.82 | 4.792 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 554.91 | 554.86 | 630.87 | A |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1168.11 | 0.00 | 979.30 | 0.567 | 1.29 | 8.479 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 847.78 | 847.77 | 117.80 | 0.00 | 1450.91 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 92.49 | 92.48 | 805.94 | 0.00 | 1867.21 | 0.454 | 0.83 | 3.530 |

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 502.53 | 503.61 | 452.82 | 0.00 | 1428.46 | 0.352 | 0.55 | 3.896 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 453.09 | 455.13 | 516.18 | 0.00 | 1039.31 | 0.436 | 0.78 | 6.183 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 956.90 | 0.00 | 1611.09 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 692.21 | 693.19 | 96.56 | 0.00 | 1880.98 | 0.368 | 0.59 | 3.032 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 75.51 | 75.62 | 659.08 | 0.00 | 1105.48 | 0.068 | 0.07 | 3.497 | A |

Main results: (09:00-09:15)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 420.85 | 421.42 | 379.05 | 0.00 | 1473.65 | 0.286 | 0.40 | 3.422 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 379.44 | 380.39 | 431.96 | 0.00 | 1083.37 | 0.350 | 0.54 | 5.129 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 800.29 | 0.00 | 1729.88 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 579.70 | 580.26 | 80.73 | 0.00 | 1891.24 | 0.307 | 0.44 | 2.746 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 63.24 | 63.30 | 551.67 | 0.00 | 1165.92 | 0.054 | 0.06 | 3.264 | A |

## (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030, PM

Data Errors and Warnings
No errors or warnings

## Analysis Set Details

| Name | Roundabout Capacity Model | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) | ARCADY |  |  | 100.000 |  |

## Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario <br> Name | Time <br> Period <br> Name | Description | Traffic <br> Profile <br> Type | Model Start <br> Time (HH:mm) | Model Finish <br> Time (HH:mm) | Model Time <br> Period Length <br> (min) | Time Segment <br> Length (min) | Single Time <br> Segment Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base | Base | LM |  | ONE <br> HOUR | $16: 15$ | $17: 45$ | 90 | 15 |  |
| 2030, PM | 2030 | PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Arm Order | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | Roundabout | $1,2,3,4,5$ |  |  | 4.82 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

Arms

| Arm | Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 | A483 North |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 2 | A458 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3 | Private Access |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4 | A483 South |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 5 | Rhallt Lane |  |

Capacity Options

| Arm | Minimum Capacity (PCU/hr) | Maximum Capacity (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |

## Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V Approach road half- <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{E}-$Entry width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$$\mathbf{I}-$ Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | R - Entry radius <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{D}-$ Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict (entry) <br> angle $($ deg $)$ | Exit <br> Only |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 4.20 | 9.10 | 11.10 | 20.70 | 55.10 | 40.60 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 3.50 | 8.10 | 5.20 | 19.20 | 55.10 | 28.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 7.20 | 8.10 | 2.70 | 14.10 | 55.10 | 21.20 |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4.70 | 8.20 | 19.10 | 18.80 | 55.10 | 26.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 4.10 | 7.50 | 7.00 | 22.50 | 55.10 | 30.50 |  |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter slope and intercept directly | Entered slope | Entered intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.596 | 1821.669 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.536 | 1431.326 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.702 | 2336.859 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.662 | 2115.114 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.576 | 1651.708 |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Time | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Entry | Vehicle Mix <br> Source | Pactor <br> for a HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Turn | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Entry |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV <br> Percentages | 2.00 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Entry Flows

## General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 419.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 520.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 12.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 953.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 218.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts / Proportions (Veh/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 34.000 | 0.000 | 363.000 | 22.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 32.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 454.000 | 34.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 5.000 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 6.000 | 0.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 477.000 | 393.000 | 3.000 | 0.000 | 80.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 52.000 | 54.000 | 1.000 | 111.000 | 0.000 |  |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.87 | 0.05 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.87 | 0.07 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.42 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.00 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.50 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 1.000 | 1.235 | 1.000 | 1.052 | 1.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 1.063 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.040 | 1.059 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.166 | 1.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 1.044 | 1.061 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.025 |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 1.020 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.018 | 1.000 |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.0 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 0.0 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 5.9 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.6 | 0.0 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 4.4 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.34 | 4.06 | 0.52 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.53 | 7.12 | 1.12 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.01 | 2.59 | 0.01 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.54 | 4.01 | 1.16 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.23 | 4.56 | 0.30 | A |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (16:15-16:30)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $($ Veh $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 315.44 | 314.35 | 422.43 | 0.00 | 1464.28 | 0.215 | 0.27 | 3.127 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 391.48 | 389.49 | 375.10 | A |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 9.03 | 9.01 | 761.59 | 0.00 | 1172.20 | 0.334 | 0.50 | 4.589 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 717.47 | 715.19 | 70.45 | 0.00 | 1643.11 | 0.006 | 0.01 | 2.202 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 164.12 | 163.50 | 683.62 | 0.00 | 1969.43 | 0.364 | 0.57 | 2.865 |

Main results: (16:30-16:45)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 376.67 | 376.32 | 505.63 | 0.00 | 1415.52 | 0.266 | 0.36 | 3.464 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 467.47 | 466.68 | 449.06 | A |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 10.79 | 10.78 | 912.14 | 0.00 | 1132.54 | 0.413 | 0.70 | 5.399 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 856.73 | 855.92 | 84.38 | 0.00 | 1541.37 | 0.007 | 0.01 | 2.351 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 195.98 | 195.77 | 818.18 | 0.00 | 1960.26 | 0.437 | 0.77 | 3.258 |

Main results: (16:45-17:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow <br> $($ Veh/hr) | Circulating Flow <br> $($ Veh $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $($ Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue <br> (Veh) | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 461.33 | 460.71 | 618.94 | 0.00 | 1349.10 | 0.342 | 0.52 | 4.050 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 572.53 | 570.86 | 549.74 | A |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 13.21 | 13.20 | 1116.20 | 0.00 | 1078.57 | 0.531 | 1.11 | 7.068 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 1049.27 | 1047.73 | 103.25 | A |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 240.02 | 239.64 | 1001.51 | 0.00 | 1403.47 | 0.009 | 0.01 | 2.588 |
| A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Main results: (17:00-17:15)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 461.33 | 461.32 | 619.86 | 0.00 | 1348.56 | 0.342 | 0.52 | 4.057 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 572.53 | 572.49 | 550.50 | 0.00 | 1078.16 | 0.531 | 1.12 | 7.119 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 13.21 | 13.21 | 1118.59 | 0.00 | 1401.86 | 0.009 | 0.01 | 2.591 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 1049.27 | 1049.25 | 103.49 | 0.00 | 1947.69 | 0.539 | 1.16 | 4.006 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 240.02 | 240.02 | 1003.01 | 0.00 | 1029.78 | 0.233 | 0.30 | 4.557 | A |

Main results: (17:15-17:30)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{V e h})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 376.67 | 377.29 | 507.04 | 0.00 | 1414.69 | 0.266 | 0.36 | 3.474 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 467.47 | 469.12 | 450.25 | 0.00 | 1131.90 | 0.413 | 0.71 | 5.444 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 10.79 | 10.80 | 915.76 | 0.00 | 1538.92 | 0.007 | 0.01 | 2.355 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 856.73 | 858.25 | 84.75 | 0.00 | 1960.02 | 0.437 | 0.78 | 3.273 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 195.98 | 196.35 | 820.47 | 0.00 | 1138.83 | 0.172 | 0.21 | 3.820 | A |

Main results: (17:30-17:45)

| Arm | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Circulating Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 315.44 | 315.80 | 424.36 | 0.00 | 1463.16 | 0.216 | 0.28 | 3.137 | A |
| 2 | 391.48 | 392.30 | 376.86 | 0.00 | 1171.25 | 0.334 | 0.51 | 4.627 | A |
| 3 | 9.03 | 9.04 | 766.15 | 0.00 | 1640.02 | 0.006 | 0.01 | 2.206 | A |
| 4 | 717.47 | 718.29 | 70.89 | 0.00 | 1969.13 | 0.364 | 0.58 | 2.879 | A |
| 5 | 164.12 | 164.33 | 686.65 | 0.00 | 1218.77 | 0.135 | 0.16 | 3.413 | A |

## Junctions 8

## ARCADY 8 - Roundabout Module

Version: 8.0.6.541 [19821,26/11/2015] © Copyright TRL Limited, 2020
For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL: Tel: +44 (0)1344770758 email:

Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk
The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: A458-A483 RBT.arc8
Path: P:IIT 1920-1929\IT 1921 Buttington Quarry\Calcs \& Drawings\Arcady\Junction 1
Report generation date: 27/02/2020 15:45:01
" (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed, AM
» (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed, PM
» (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed + Development, AM
» (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed + Development, PM

## Summary of junction performance

|  | AM |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS |
|  | A1-Base 2030 + Committed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arm 1 | 0.97 | 5.32 | 0.49 | A | 0.53 | 4.12 | 0.35 | A |
| Arm 2 | 1.68 | 10.12 | 0.63 | B | 1.20 | 7.39 | 0.55 | A |
| Arm 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | A | 0.01 | 2.62 | 0.01 | A |
| Arm 4 | 1.00 | 3.84 | 0.50 | A | 1.19 | 4.06 | 0.55 | A |
| Arm 5 | 0.11 | 4.06 | 0.10 | A | 0.31 | 4.61 | 0.24 | A |
|  | A1-Base 2030 + Committed + Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arm 1 | 0.98 | 5.36 | 0.50 | A | 0.53 | 4.13 | 0.35 | A |
| Arm 2 | 1.72 | 10.29 | 0.64 | B | 1.25 | 7.59 | 0.56 | A |
| Arm 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | A | 0.01 | 2.63 | 0.01 | A |
| Arm 4 | 1.02 | 3.88 | 0.51 | A | 1.21 | 4.10 | 0.55 | A |
| Arm 5 | 0.11 | 4.09 | 0.10 | A | 0.31 | 4.64 | 0.24 | A |

[^1]"D1 - Base 2030 + Committed, AM " model duration: 07:45-09:15
"D2 - Base 2030 + Committed, PM" model duration: 16:15-17:45
"D3 - Base 2030 + Committed + Development, AM" model duration: 07:45-09:15
"D4 - Base 2030 + Committed + Development, PM" model duration: 16:15-17:45

## File summary

| Title | IT1921-A458 -A483 RBT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Location | Buttington, Wales |
| Site Number | Junction 1 |
| Date | $11 / 02 / 2019$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client | ECL |
| Jobnumber | IT1921 |
| Enumerator | ghill |
| Description |  |

Analysis Options

| Vehicle Length <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Do Queue <br> Variations | Calculate Residual <br> Capacity | Residual Capacity Criteria <br> Type | RFC <br> Threshold | Average Delay Threshold <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Queue Threshold <br> $($ PCU $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.75 |  |  | N/A | 0.85 | 36.00 |  |

Units

| Distance Units | Speed Units | Traffic Units Input | Traffic Units Results | Flow Units | Average Delay Units | Total Delay Units | Rate Of Delay Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | Veh | Veh | perHour | s | - Min | perMin |

## (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed, AM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings
Analysis Set Details

| Name | Roundabout Capacity Model | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) | ARCADY |  |  | 100.000 |  |

## Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario Name | Time <br> Period <br> Name | Description | Traffic <br> Profile <br> Type | Model Start <br> Time <br> $(H H: m m)$ | Model Finish <br> Time (HH:mm) | Model Time <br> Period Length <br> (min) | Time Segment <br> Length (min) | Single Time <br> Segment <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base 2030 + <br> Committed, AM | Base 2030 + <br> Committed | AM |  | ONE <br> HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 90 | 15 |  |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Arm Order | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | Roundabout | $1,2,3,4,5$ |  |  | 5.93 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

Arms

| Arm | Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 | A483 North |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 2 | A458 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3 | Private Access |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4 | A483 South |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 5 | Rhallt Lane |  |

Capacity Options

| Arm | Minimum Capacity (PCU/hr) | Maximum Capacity (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |

## Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V-Approach road half- <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | E - Entry width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{I}-$ Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | R - Entry radius <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{D}-$ Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict (entry) <br> angle (deg) | Exit <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 4.20 | 9.10 | 11.10 | 20.70 | 55.10 | 40.60 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 3.50 | 8.10 | 5.20 | 19.20 | 55.10 | 28.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 7.20 | 8.10 | 2.70 | 14.10 | 55.10 | 21.20 |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4.70 | 8.20 | 19.10 | 18.80 | 55.10 | 26.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 4.10 | 7.50 | 7.00 | 22.50 | 55.10 |  |  |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter slope and intercept directly | Entered slope | Entered intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.596 | 1821.669 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.536 | 1431.326 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.702 | 2336.859 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.662 | 2115.114 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.576 | 1651.708 |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Time | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Entry | Vehicle Mix <br> Source | PCU <br> For a HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Turn | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Entry |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV <br> Percentages | 2.00 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Entry Flows

## General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 602.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 551.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 0.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 857.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 87.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts / Proportions (Veh/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 42.000 | 3.000 | 527.000 | 30.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 36.000 | 0.000 | 2.000 | 470.000 | 43.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 292.000 | 476.000 | 8.000 | 0.000 | 81.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 15.000 | 22.000 | 3.000 | 47.000 | 0.000 |  |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.88 | 0.05 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.85 | 0.08 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.34 | 0.56 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.09 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.17 | 0.25 | 0.03 | 0.54 | 0.00 |  |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 1.000 | 1.119 | 1.000 | 1.063 | 1.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 1.055 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.085 | 1.116 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 1.082 | 1.088 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.025 |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 1.200 | 1.090 | 1.000 | 1.106 | 1.000 |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.0 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 11.6 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 8.2 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 20.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 10.6 | 0.0 |  |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.49 | 5.32 | 0.97 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.63 | 10.12 | 1.68 | B |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.50 | 3.84 | 1.00 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.10 | 4.06 | 0.11 | A |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (07:45-08:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $($ Veh $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 453.22 | 451.43 | 417.23 | 0.00 | 1458.49 | 0.311 | 0.45 | 3.569 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 414.82 | 412.34 | 463.44 | A |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 863.77 | 0.00 | 1075.79 | 0.386 | 0.62 | 5.407 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 645.19 | 643.16 | 81.62 | 0.00 | 1685.52 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 65.50 | 65.26 | 609.31 | 0.00 | 1906.65 | 0.338 | 0.51 | 2.844 |

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $($ Veh $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 541.19 | 540.50 | 49.39 | 0.00 | 1408.39 | 0.384 | 0.62 | 4.144 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 495.34 | 494.15 | 554.88 | A |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1034.67 | 0.00 | 1027.79 | 0.482 | 0.92 | 6.731 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 770.43 | 769.74 | 97.78 | 0.00 | 1556.65 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 78.21 | 78.14 | 729.28 | 0.00 | 1896.09 | 0.406 | 0.68 | 3.194 |

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

| Arm | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Circulating Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 662.82 | 661.42 | 611.35 | 0.00 | 1340.09 | 0.495 | 0.97 | 5.294 | A |
| 2 | 606.66 | 603.71 | 679.05 | 0.00 | 962.62 | 0.630 | 1.66 | 9.944 | A |
| 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1265.19 | 0.00 | 1382.84 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| 4 | 943.57 | 942.31 | 119.52 | 0.00 | 1881.91 | 0.501 | 1.00 | 3.826 | A |
| 5 | 95.79 | 95.67 | 892.69 | 0.00 | 982.06 | 0.098 | 0.11 | 4.061 | A |

Main results: (08:30-08:45)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 662.82 | 662.79 | 612.16 | 0.00 | 1339.60 | 0.495 | 0.97 | 5.318 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 606.66 | 606.56 | 680.41 | 0.00 | 961.91 | 0.631 | 1.68 | 10.123 | B |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1269.35 | 0.00 | 1379.68 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 943.57 | 943.56 | 120.00 | 0.00 | 1881.59 | 0.501 | 1.00 | 3.836 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 95.79 | 95.79 | 894.01 | 0.00 | 981.33 | 0.098 | 0.11 | 4.065 | A |

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 541.19 | 542.56 | 500.63 | 0.00 | 1407.63 | 0.384 | 0.63 | 4.167 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 495.34 | 498.28 | 556.93 | 0.00 | 1026.72 | 0.482 | 0.95 | 6.851 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1040.79 | 0.00 | 1552.01 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 770.43 | 771.68 | 98.48 | 0.00 | 1895.64 | 0.406 | 0.69 | 3.208 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 78.21 | 78.33 | 731.29 | 0.00 | 1072.45 | 0.073 | 0.08 | 3.623 | A |

Main results: (09:00-09:15)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 453.22 | 453.92 | 419.04 | 0.00 | 1457.39 | 0.311 | 0.45 | 3.589 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 414.82 | 416.06 | 465.96 | 0.00 | 1074.46 | 0.386 | 0.63 | 5.477 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 869.96 | 0.00 | 1680.84 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 645.19 | 645.89 | 82.27 | 0.00 | 1906.22 | 0.338 | 0.51 | 2.857 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 65.50 | 65.57 | 612.03 | 0.00 | 1139.24 | 0.057 | 0.06 | 3.352 | A |

## (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed, PM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings
Analysis Set Details

| Name | Roundabout Capacity Model | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) | ARCADY |  |  | 100.000 |  |

## Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario Name | Time <br> Period <br> Name | Description | Traffic <br> Profile <br> Type | Model Start <br> Time <br> $(H H: m m)$ | Model Finish <br> Time (HH:mm) | Model Time <br> Period Length <br> (min) | Time Segment <br> Length (min) | Single Time <br> Segment <br> Only | Locked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base 2030 + <br> Committed, PM | Base 2030 + <br> Committed | PM |  | ONE <br> HOUR | $16: 15$ | $17: 45$ | 90 | 15 |  |  |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Arm Order | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | Roundabout | $1,2,3,4,5$ |  |  | 4.94 | A |

Junction Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

Arms

| Arm | Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 | A483 North |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 2 | A458 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3 | Private Access |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4 | A483 South |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 5 | Rhallt Lane |  |

## Capacity Options

| Arm | Minimum Capacity (PCU/hr) | Maximum Capacity (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |

Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach road half- <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | E - Entry width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{I}-$ Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | R - Entry radius <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{D}-$ Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict (entry) <br> angle (deg) | Exit <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 4.20 | 9.10 | 11.10 | 20.70 | 55.10 | 40.60 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 3.50 | 8.10 | 5.20 | 19.20 | 55.10 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 7.20 | 8.10 | 2.70 | 14.10 | 55.10 | 28.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4.70 | 8.20 | 19.10 | 18.80 | 55.10 | 21.20 |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 4.10 | 7.50 | 7.00 | 22.50 | 55.10 | 26.00 |  |

Slope / Intercept / Capacity
Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter slope and intercept directly | Entered slope | Entered intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.596 | 1821.669 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.536 | 1431.326 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.702 | 2336.859 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.662 | 2115.114 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.576 | 1651.708 |

[^2]
## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Time | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Entry | Vehicle Mix <br> Source | Pactor <br> For a HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Turn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV <br> Proportions <br> Vercentages | 2.00 |  |  |  |  |

## Entry Flows

General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 425.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 535.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 12.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 965.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 220.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts / Proportions (Veh/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 35.000 | 0.000 | 368.000 | 22.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 32.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 468.000 | 35.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 5.000 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 6.000 | 0.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 480.000 | 402.000 | 3.000 | 0.000 | 80.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 52.000 | 55.000 | 1.000 | 112.000 | 0.000 |  |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.87 | 0.05 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.87 | 0.07 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.42 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.00 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.50 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 1.000 | 1.230 | 1.000 | 1.052 | 1.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 1.063 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.038 | 1.057 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.166 | 1.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 1.043 | 1.059 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.025 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 1.020 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.018 | 1.000 |  |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.0 | 23.0 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 0.0 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 5.7 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.6 | 0.0 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 4.3 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.35 | 4.12 | 0.53 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.55 | 7.39 | 1.20 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.01 | 2.62 | 0.01 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.55 | 4.06 | 1.19 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.24 | 4.61 | 0.31 | A |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (16:15-16:30)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 319.96 | 318.85 | 430.68 | 0.00 | 1460.46 | 0.219 | 0.28 | 3.150 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 402.78 | 400.70 | 379.59 | A |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 9.03 | 9.01 | 777.28 | 0.00 | 1171.13 | 0.344 | 0.52 | 4.661 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 726.50 | 724.18 | 71.19 | 0.00 | 1632.86 | 0.006 | 0.01 | 2.216 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 165.63 | 165.00 | 692.62 | 0.00 | 1971.37 | 0.369 | 0.58 | 2.882 |

Main results: (16:30-16:45)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 382.07 | 381.71 | 515.51 | 0.00 | 1410.79 | 0.271 | 0.37 | 3.498 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 480.95 | 480.11 | 454.44 | 0.00 | 1130.96 | 0.425 | 0.73 | 5.524 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 10.79 | 10.78 | 930.95 | 0.00 | 1529.08 | 0.007 | 0.01 | 2.370 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 867.51 | 866.69 | 85.28 | 0.00 | 1962.09 | 0.442 | 0.79 | 3.285 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 197.78 | 197.56 | 828.95 | 0.00 | 1134.47 | 0.174 | 0.21 | 3.841 | A |

Main results: (16:45-17:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 467.93 | 467.29 | 631.02 | 0.00 | 1343.13 | 0.348 | 0.53 | 4.108 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 589.05 | 587.22 | 556.32 | 0.00 | 1076.29 | 0.547 | 1.19 | 7.332 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 13.21 | 13.20 | 1139.14 | 0.00 | 1388.49 | 0.010 | 0.01 | 2.617 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 1062.48 | 1060.89 | 104.33 | 0.00 | 1949.55 | 0.545 | 1.19 | 4.043 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 242.22 | 241.83 | 1014.67 | 0.00 | 1023.65 | 0.237 | 0.31 | 4.602 | A |

Main results: (17:00-17:15)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $($ Veh/hr) | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 467.93 | 467.93 | 631.97 | 0.00 | 1342.57 | 0.349 | 0.53 | 4.115 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 589.05 | 589.00 | 557.11 | 0.00 | 1075.87 | 0.548 | 1.20 | 7.393 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 13.21 | 13.21 | 1141.71 | 0.00 | 1386.76 | 0.010 | 0.01 | 2.620 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 1062.48 | 1062.46 | 104.59 | 0.00 | 1949.38 | 0.545 | 1.19 | 4.058 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 242.22 | 242.22 | 1016.22 | 0.00 | 1022.72 | 0.237 | 0.31 | 4.612 | A |

Main results: (17:15-17:30)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 382.07 | 382.70 | 516.97 | 0.00 | 1409.93 | 0.271 | 0.37 | 3.508 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 480.95 | 482.76 | 455.67 | A |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 10.79 | 10.80 | 934.83 | 0.00 | 1130.30 | 0.426 | 0.75 | 5.576 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 867.51 | 869.09 | 85.67 | 0.00 | 1526.47 | 0.007 | 0.01 | 2.376 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 197.78 | 198.16 | 831.32 | 0.00 | 1961.83 | 0.442 | 0.80 | 3.301 |

Main results: (17:30-17:45)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathrm{Veh})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 319.96 | 320.33 | 432.66 | 0.00 | 1459.31 | 0.219 | 0.28 | 3.161 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 402.78 | 403.65 | 381.39 | 0.00 | 1170.16 | 0.344 | 0.53 | 4.701 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 9.03 | 9.04 | 782.04 | 0.00 | 1629.65 | 0.006 | 0.01 | 2.222 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 726.50 | 727.35 | 71.65 | 0.00 | 1971.06 | 0.369 | 0.59 | 2.895 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 165.63 | 165.84 | 695.71 | 0.00 | 1213.96 | 0.136 | 0.16 | 3.437 | A |

## (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed + Development, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings
Analysis Set Details

| Name | Roundabout Capacity Model | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) | ARCADY |  |  | 100.000 |  |

Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario Name | Time Period Name | Description | Traffic Profile Type | Model Start Time (HH:mm) | Model Finish Time (HH:mm) | Model Time Period Length (min) | Time Segment Length (min) | Single Time Segment Only | Locked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base 2030 + <br> Committed + <br> Development, AM | Base 2030 + Committed + Development | AM |  | ONE <br> HOUR | 07:45 | 09:15 | 90 | 15 |  |  |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Arm Order | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | Roundabout | $1,2,3,4,5$ |  |  | 6.01 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

Arms

| Arm | Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 | A483 North |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 2 | A458 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3 | Private Access |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4 | A483 South |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 5 | Rhallt Lane |  |

Capacity Options

| Arm | Minimum Capacity (PCU/hr) | Maximum Capacity (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |

Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach road half- <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | E - Entry width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{I}-$ Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | R - Entry radius <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{D}-$ Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict (entry) <br> angle (deg) | Exit <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 4.20 | 9.10 | 11.10 | 20.70 | 55.10 | 40.60 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 3.50 | 8.10 | 5.20 | 19.20 | 55.10 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 7.20 | 8.10 | 2.70 | 14.10 | 55.10 | 28.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4.70 | 8.20 | 19.10 | 18.80 | 55.10 | 21.20 |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 4.10 | 7.50 | 7.00 | 22.50 | 55.10 | 26.00 |  |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter slope and intercept directly | Entered slope | Entered intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.596 | 1821.669 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.536 | 1431.326 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.702 | 2336.859 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.662 | 2115.114 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.576 | 1651.708 |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

## Traffic Flows

## Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Time | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Entry | Vehicle Mix <br> Source | PCU <br> For a HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Turn | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Entry |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV | Percentages | 2.00 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |

## Entry Flows

General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 602.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 553.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 0.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 865.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 87.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts / Proportions (Veh/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 42.000 | 3.000 | 527.000 | 30.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 36.000 | 0.000 | 2.000 | 472.000 | 43.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 292.000 | 484.000 | 8.000 | 0.000 | 81.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 15.000 | 22.000 | 3.000 | 47.000 | 0.000 |  |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.88 | 0.05 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.85 | 0.08 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.34 | 0.56 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.09 |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.17 | 0.25 | 0.03 | 0.54 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 1.000 | 1.119 | 1.000 | 1.063 | 1.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 1.055 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.090 | 1.116 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 1.082 | 1.090 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.025 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 1.200 | 1.091 | 1.000 | 1.106 | 1.000 |  |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.0 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 11.6 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 8.2 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 20.0 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 10.6 | 0.0 |  |

## Results

## Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.50 | 5.36 | 0.98 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.64 | 10.29 | 1.72 | B |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.51 | 3.88 | 1.02 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.10 | 4.09 | 0.11 | A |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (07:45-08:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $($ (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 453.22 | 451.42 | 423.23 | 0.00 | 1454.90 | 0.312 | 0.45 | 3.581 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 416.33 | 413.81 | 463.43 | A |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 865.24 | 0.00 | 1071.65 | 0.388 | 0.63 | 5.452 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 651.22 | 649.15 | 81.61 | 0.00 | 1683.27 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 65.50 | 65.25 | 615.30 | 0.00 | 1904.53 | 0.342 | 0.52 | 2.862 |

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 541.19 | 540.50 | 506.57 | 0.00 | 1403.97 | 0.385 | 0.62 | 4.165 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 497.13 | 495.93 | 554.88 | A |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1036.44 | 0.00 | 1023.86 | 0.486 | 0.93 | 6.804 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 777.62 | 776.92 | 97.78 | 1553.94 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 78.21 | 78.14 | 736.45 | 0.00 | 1893.99 | 0.411 | 0.69 | 3.221 |

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 662.82 | 661.41 | 620.14 | 0.00 | 1334.57 | 0.497 | 0.98 | 5.337 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 608.86 | 605.83 | 679.04 | 0.00 | 958.97 | 0.635 | 1.69 | 10.107 | B |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1267.29 | 0.00 | 1379.58 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 952.38 | 951.08 | 119.51 | 0.00 | 1879.83 | 0.507 | 1.02 | 3.870 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 95.79 | 95.67 | 901.46 | 0.00 | 976.39 | 0.098 | 0.11 | 4.087 | A |

Main results: (08:30-08:45)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 662.82 | 662.79 | 620.97 | 0.00 | 1334.06 | 0.497 | 0.98 | 5.362 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 608.86 | 608.76 | 680.41 | 0.00 | 958.26 | 0.635 | 1.72 | 10.293 | B |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1271.55 | 0.00 | 1376.34 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 952.38 | 952.36 | 120.00 | 0.00 | 1879.50 | 0.507 | 1.02 | 3.882 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 95.79 | 95.79 | 902.81 | 0.00 | 975.63 | 0.098 | 0.11 | 4.091 | A |

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 541.19 | 542.58 | 507.85 | 0.00 | 1403.19 | 0.386 | 0.63 | 4.189 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 497.13 | 500.16 | 556.95 | 0.00 | 1022.78 | 0.486 | 0.96 | 6.926 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1042.69 | 0.00 | 1549.20 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 777.62 | 778.90 | 98.49 | 0.00 | 1893.52 | 0.411 | 0.70 | 3.235 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 78.21 | 78.33 | 738.53 | 0.00 | 1067.73 | 0.073 | 0.08 | 3.640 | A |

Main results: (09:00-09:15)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 453.22 | 453.93 | 425.08 | 0.00 | 1453.77 | 0.312 | 0.46 | 3.605 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 416.33 | 417.59 | 465.97 | 0.00 | 1070.32 | 0.389 | 0.64 | 5.527 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 871.50 | 0.00 | 1678.53 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 651.22 | 651.93 | 82.28 | 0.00 | 1904.10 | 0.342 | 0.52 | 2.878 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 65.50 | 65.57 | 618.07 | 0.00 | 1135.25 | 0.058 | 0.06 | 3.364 | A |

## (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed + Development, PM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Analysis Set Details

| Name | Roundabout Capacity Model | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) | ARCADY |  |  | 100.000 |  |

Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario Name | Time Period Name | Description | Traffic <br> Profile Type | Model Start Time (HH:mm) | Model Finish Time (HH:mm) | Model Time Period Length (min) | Time <br> Segment Length (min) | Single Time Segment Only | Locked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Base } 2030+ \\ \text { Committed + } \\ \text { Development, PM } \end{gathered}$ | Base 2030 + Committed + Development | PM |  | ONE <br> HOUR | 16:15 | 17:45 | 90 | 15 |  |  |

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Arm Order | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | Roundabout | $1,2,3,4,5$ |  |  | 5.02 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

Arms

| Arm | Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 | A483 North |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 2 | A458 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3 | Private Access |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4 | A483 South |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 5 | Rhallt Lane |  |

Capacity Options

| Arm | Minimum Capacity (PCU/hr) | Maximum Capacity (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |

## Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V Approach road half- <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{E}-$Entry width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$$\mathbf{I}-$ Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | R - Entry radius <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{D}-$ Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict (entry) <br> angle $($ deg $)$ | Exit <br> Only |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 4.20 | 9.10 | 11.10 | 20.70 | 55.10 | 40.60 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 3.50 | 8.10 | 5.20 | 19.20 | 55.10 | 28.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 7.20 | 8.10 | 2.70 | 14.10 | 55.10 | 21.20 |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4.70 | 8.20 | 19.10 | 18.80 | 55.10 | 26.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 4.10 | 7.50 | 7.00 | 22.50 | 55.10 | 30.50 |  |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter slope and intercept directly | Entered slope | Entered intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.596 | 1821.669 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.536 | 1431.326 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.702 | 2336.859 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.662 | 2115.114 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.576 | 1651.708 |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Time | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Entry | Vehicle Mix <br> Source | Pactor <br> for a HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Turn | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Entry |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV <br> Percentages | 2.00 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Entry Flows

## General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 425.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 544.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 12.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 968.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 220.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts / Proportions (Veh/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 35.000 | 0.000 | 368.000 | 22.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 33.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 476.000 | 35.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 5.000 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 6.000 | 0.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 480.000 | 405.000 | 3.000 | 0.000 | 80.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 52.000 | 55.000 | 1.000 | 112.000 | 0.000 |  |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.87 | 0.05 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.88 | 0.06 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.42 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.00 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.50 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.08 |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.24 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.51 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 1.000 | 1.229 | 1.000 | 1.052 | 1.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 1.060 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.042 | 1.057 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.166 | 1.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 1.044 | 1.064 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.025 |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 1.020 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.018 | 1.000 |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.0 | 22.9 | 0.0 | 5.2 | 0.0 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 5.7 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.6 | 0.0 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 4.4 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.35 | 4.13 | 0.53 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.56 | 7.59 | 1.25 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.01 | 2.63 | 0.01 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.55 | 4.10 | 1.21 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.24 | 4.64 | 0.31 | A |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (16:15-16:30)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $($ Veh $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 319.96 | 318.84 | 432.93 | 0.00 | 1458.41 | 0.219 | 0.28 | 3.156 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 409.55 | 407.41 | 379.59 | A |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 9.03 | 9.01 | 784.00 | 0.00 | 1167.82 | 0.351 | 0.54 | 4.721 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 728.76 | 726.42 | 71.94 | 0.00 | 1627.55 | 0.006 | 0.01 | 2.223 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 165.63 | 165.00 | 695.61 | 0.00 | 1966.07 | 0.371 | 0.59 | 2.899 |

Main results: (16:30-16:45)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 382.07 | 381.70 | 518.19 | 0.00 | 1408.29 | 0.271 | 0.37 | 3.507 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 489.04 | 488.16 | 454.44 | A |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 10.79 | 10.78 | 939.01 | 0.00 | 1127.77 | 0.434 | 0.76 | 5.620 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 870.21 | 869.37 | 86.17 | 0.00 | 1522.72 | 0.007 | 0.01 | 2.380 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 197.77 | 197.56 | 832.53 | 0.00 | 1956.72 | 0.445 | 0.80 | 3.311 |

Main results: (16:45-17:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $($ Veh $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $($ Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue <br> (Veh) | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 467.93 | 467.28 | 63.30 | 0.00 | 1340.04 | 0.349 | 0.53 | 4.122 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 598.95 | 597.02 | 556.31 | A |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 13.21 | 13.20 | 1148.94 | 0.00 | 1073.26 | 0.558 | 1.24 | 7.529 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 1065.79 | 1064.16 | 105.42 | 0.00 | 1380.74 | 0.010 | 0.01 | 2.631 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 242.22 | 241.83 | 1019.04 | 0.00 | 1944.09 | 0.548 | 1.20 | 4.084 |

Main results: (17:00-17:15)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 467.93 | 467.92 | 635.27 | 0.00 | 1339.46 | 0.349 | 0.53 | 4.130 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 598.95 | 598.91 | 557.11 | 0.00 | 1072.83 | 0.558 | 1.25 | 7.592 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 13.21 | 13.21 | 1151.61 | 0.00 | 1378.93 | 0.010 | 0.01 | 2.635 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 1065.79 | 1065.76 | 105.69 | 0.00 | 1943.91 | 0.548 | 1.21 | 4.099 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 242.22 | 242.22 | 1020.63 | 0.00 | 1018.67 | 0.238 | 0.31 | 4.636 | A |

Main results: (17:15-17:30)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{V e h})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 382.07 | 382.71 | 519.69 | 0.00 | 1407.41 | 0.271 | 0.37 | 3.514 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 489.04 | 490.95 | 455.68 | 0.00 | 1127.10 | 0.434 | 0.77 | 5.677 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 10.79 | 10.80 | 943.03 | 0.00 | 1519.99 | 0.007 | 0.01 | 2.384 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 870.21 | 871.82 | 86.58 | 0.00 | 1956.45 | 0.445 | 0.81 | 3.323 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 197.77 | 198.16 | 834.94 | 0.00 | 1129.74 | 0.175 | 0.21 | 3.865 | A |

Main results: (17:30-17:45)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 319.96 | 320.33 | 434.93 | 0.00 | 1457.24 | 0.220 | 0.28 | 3.169 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 409.55 | 410.47 | 381.40 | 0.00 | 1166.85 | 0.351 | 0.55 | 4.764 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 9.03 | 9.04 | 788.85 | 0.00 | 1624.27 | 0.006 | 0.01 | 2.228 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 728.76 | 729.62 | 72.41 | 0.00 | 1965.75 | 0.371 | 0.59 | 2.915 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 165.63 | 165.84 | 698.74 | 0.00 | 1211.23 | 0.137 | 0.16 | 3.446 | A |

## Junctions 8

## PICADY 8 - Priority Intersection Module

Version: 8.0.6.541 [19821,26/11/2015] © Copyright TRL Limited, 2020
For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL: Tel: +44(0)1344770758 email: Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: A483 - Salop Road EIA.arc8
Path: C:IITL Jobs\IT1921 Buttington Quarry\PICADY\Junction 2
Report generation date: 24/08/2020 12:57:51
" (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030, AM
" (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030, PM

## Summary of junction performance

|  | AM |  |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS |  |  |
|  | A1 - Base 2030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.96 | 12.42 | 0.49 | B | 1.02 | 13.19 | 0.51 | B |  |  |
| Stream B-AC | 0.9 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| Stream C-A | - | - | - | - | - | -12 | 0.34 | A |  |  |
| Stream C-B | 0.40 | 7.06 | 0.28 | A | 0.52 | - | - | - |  |  |
| Stream A-B | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| Stream A-C | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |

Values shown are the maximum values over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.
"D1-Base 2030, AM " model duration: 07:45-09:15
"D2 - Base 2030, PM" model duration: 16:15-17:45

Run using Junctions 8.0.6.541 at 24/08/2020 12:57:50
File summary

| Title | A483 - Salop Road Junction |
| :--- | :---: |
| Location | Buttington, Wales |
| Site Number | Junction 2 |
| Date | $08 / 02 / 2019$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client | ECL |
| Jobnumber | ghill |
| Enumerator |  |
| Description |  |

Analysis Options

| Vehicle Length <br> $(\mathrm{m})$ | Do Queue <br> Variations | Calculate Residual <br> Capacity | Residual Capacity Criteria <br> Type | RFC <br> Threshold | Average Delay Threshold <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Queue Threshold <br> $($ PCU $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.75 |  |  | N/A | 0.85 | 36.00 | 20.00 |

Units

| Distance Units | Speed Units | Traffic Units Input | Traffic Units Results | Flow Units | Average Delay Units | Total Delay Units | Rate Of Delay Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | Veh | Veh | perHour | s | - Min | perMin |

## (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030, AM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Analysis Set Details

| Name | Roundabout Capacity Model | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) | N/A |  |  | 100.000 |  |

## Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario Name | Time Period Name | Description | Traffic Profile Type | Model Start <br> Time (HH:mm) | Model Finish <br> Time (HH:mm) | Model Time Period Length (min) | Time Segment Length (min) | Single Time Segment Only | Locked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Base } \\ 2030, \\ \text { AM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base } \\ & 2030 \end{aligned}$ | AM |  | ONE <br> HOUR | 07:45 | 09:15 | 90 | 15 |  |  |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major Road Direction | Arm Order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | T-Junction | Two-way | A,B,C | 10.22 | B |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

Arms

| Arm | Arm | Name | Description | Arm Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | A | A483 South |  | Major |
| B | B | Salop Road |  | Minor |
| C | C | A483 South |  | Major |

## Major Arm Geometry

| Arm | Width of <br> carriageway (m) | Has kerbed central <br> reserve | Width of kerbed central <br> reserve $(\mathbf{m})$ | Has right <br> turn bay | Width For Right <br> Turn $(\mathbf{m})$ | Visibility For Right <br> Turn (m) | Blocks? | Blocking Queue <br> (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 12.00 | $\checkmark$ | 7.50 | $\checkmark$ | 5.00 | 250.00 |  |  |

[^3]Minor Arm Geometry

| Arm | Minor Arm Type | Lane Width (m) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lane } \\ & \text { Width } \\ & \text { (Left) }(\mathrm{m}) \end{aligned}$ | Lane Width (Right) (m) | Width at give-way (m) | Width at 5m (m) | Width at 10m (m) | Width at <br> 15m (m) | Width at 20m (m) | Estimate Flare Length | Flare Length (PCU) | Visibility To Left (m) | Visibility To Right (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | One lane | 4.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 69 | 104 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

| Junction | Stream | Intercept <br> (Veh/hr) | Slope <br> for <br> A-B | Slope <br> for <br> A-C | Slope <br> for <br> C-A | Slope <br> for <br> C-B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-A | 708.979 | 0.082 | 0.207 | 0.130 | 0.295 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-C | 758.430 | 0.086 | 0.217 | - | - |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | C-B | 937.767 | 0.269 | 0.269 | - | - |

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.
Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.
Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Time | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Entry | Vehicle Mix <br> Source | PCU <br> Factor <br> for a HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Turn | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Entry |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV <br> Percentages | 2.00 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Entry Flows

General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 616.00 | 100.000 |
| B | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 256.00 | 100.000 |
| C | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 956.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts / Proportions (Veh/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.000 | 102.000 | 514.000 |
|  | B | 0.000 | 0.000 | 256.000 |
|  | C | 772.000 | 184.000 | 0.000 |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.83 |
|  | B | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  | C | 0.81 | 0.19 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 1.000 | 1.059 | 1.093 |
|  | B | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.074 |
|  | C | 1.098 | 1.038 | 1.000 |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | $\mathbf{A}$ | 0.0 | 5.9 | 9.3 |
|  | B | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.4 |
|  | C | 9.8 | 3.8 | 0.0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 0.49 | 12.42 | 0.96 | B |
| C-A | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 0.28 | 7.06 | 0.40 | A |
| A-B | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | - | - | - | - |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (07:45-08:00)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 192.73 | 190.93 | 0.00 | 614.04 | 0.314 | 0.45 | 8.474 | A |
| C-A | 581.20 | 581.20 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 138.52 | 137.66 | 0.00 | 773.00 | 0.179 | 0.22 | 5.660 | A |
| A-B | 76.79 | 76.79 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 386.97 | 386.97 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 230.14 | 229.47 | 0.00 | 596.18 | 0.386 | 0.62 | 9.797 | A |
| C-A | 694.01 | 694.01 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 165.41 | 165.15 | 0.00 | 747.68 | 0.221 | 0.28 | 6.177 | A |
| A-B | 91.70 | 91.70 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 462.08 | 462.08 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 281.86 | 280.54 | 0.00 | 571.49 | 0.493 | 0.95 | 12.314 | B |
| C-A | 849.99 | 849.99 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 202.59 | 202.14 | 0.00 | 712.68 | 0.284 | 0.39 | 7.045 | A |
| A-B | 112.30 | 112.30 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 565.92 | 565.92 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (08:30-08:45)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 281.86 | 281.81 | 0.00 | 571.49 | 0.493 | 0.96 | 12.421 | B |
| C-A | 849.99 | 849.99 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 202.59 | 202.58 | 0.00 | 712.68 | 0.284 | 0.40 | 7.056 | A |
| A-B | 112.30 | 112.30 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 565.92 | 565.92 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 230.14 | 231.42 | 0.00 | 596.18 | 0.386 | 0.64 | 9.905 | A |
| C-A | 694.01 | 694.01 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 165.41 | 165.85 | 0.00 | 747.68 | 0.221 | 0.29 | 6.191 | A |
| A-B | 91.70 | 91.70 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 462.08 | 462.08 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (09:00-09:15)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 192.73 | 193.43 | 0.00 | 614.04 | 0.314 | 0.46 | 8.574 | A |
| C-A | 581.20 | 581.20 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 138.52 | 138.79 | 0.00 | 773.00 | 0.179 | 0.22 | 5.680 | A |
| A-B | 76.79 | 76.79 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 386.97 | 386.97 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

## (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030, PM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Analysis Set Details

| Name | Roundabout Capacity Model | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) | N/A |  |  | 100.000 |  |

## Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario <br> Name | Time <br> Period <br> Name | Description | Traffic <br> Profile <br> Type | Model Start <br> Time (HH:mm) | Model Finish <br> Time (HH:mm) | Model Time <br> Period Length <br> $(\mathbf{m i n})$ | Time Segment <br> Length (min) | Single Time <br> Segment Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base <br> 2030, PM | Base <br> 2030 | RM |  | ONE <br> LOUR | $16: 15$ | $17: 45$ | 90 | 15 |  |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major Road Direction | Arm Order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | T-Junction | Two-way | A,B,C | 10.93 | B |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

Arms

| Arm | Arm | Name | Description | Arm Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | A | A483 South |  | Major |
| B | B | Salop Road |  | Minor |
| C | C | A483 South |  | Major |

## Major Arm Geometry

| Arm | Width of <br> carriageway ( $\mathbf{m}$ ) | Has kerbed central <br> reserve | Width of kerbed central <br> reserve $(\mathbf{m})$ | Has right <br> turn bay | Width For Right <br> Turn $(\mathbf{m})$ | Visibility For Right <br> Turn $(\mathbf{m})$ | Blocks? | Blocking Queue <br> $($ PCU $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 12.00 | $\checkmark$ | 7.50 | $\checkmark$ | 5.00 | 250.00 |  |  |

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

## Minor Arm Geometry

| Arm | Minor Arm Type | Lane Width (m) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lane } \\ \text { Width } \\ \text { (Left) }(\mathrm{m}) \end{gathered}$ | Lane Width (Right) (m) | Width at give-way (m) | Width at 5m (m) | Width at 10 m (m) | Width at 15m (m) | Width at 20m (m) | Estimate Flare Length | Flare Length (PCU) | Visibility To Left (m) | Visibility To Right ( m ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | One lane | 4.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 69 | 104 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

| Junction | Stream | Intercept <br> (Veh/hr) | Slope <br> for <br> A-B | Slope <br> for <br> A-C | Slope <br> for <br> C-A | Slope <br> for <br> C-B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-A | 708.979 | 0.082 | 0.207 | 0.130 | 0.295 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-C | 758.430 | 0.086 | 0.217 | - | - |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | C-B | 937.767 | 0.269 | 0.269 | - | - |

[^4]
## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Time | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Entry | Vehicle Mix <br> Source | PCU <br> Factor <br> for HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Turn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV <br> Proportions <br> Vercentages | 2.00 |  |  |  |  |

## Entry Flows

General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 806.00 | 100.000 |
| B | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 257.00 | 100.000 |
| C | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 926.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts / Proportions (Veh/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.000 | 113.000 | 693.000 |
|  | B | 0.000 | 0.000 | 257.000 |
|  | C | 717.000 | 209.000 | 0.000 |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.86 |
|  | B | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  | C | 0.77 | 0.23 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.054 |
|  | B | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.031 |
|  | C | 1.052 | 1.023 | 1.000 |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | $\mathbf{A}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 |
|  | B | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 |
|  | C | 5.2 | 2.3 | 0.0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 0.51 | 13.19 | 1.02 | B |
| C-A | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 0.34 | 8.12 | 0.52 | A |
| A-B | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | - | - | - | - |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (16:15-16:30)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 193.48 | 191.66 | 0.00 | 612.57 | 0.316 | 0.46 | 8.517 | A |
| C-A | 539.80 | 539.80 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - |  |
| C-B | 157.35 | 156.29 | 0.00 | 750.40 | 0.210 | 0.26 | 6.050 | A |
| A-B | 85.07 | 85.07 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 521.73 | 521.73 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (16:30-16:45)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 231.04 | 230.32 | 0.00 | 588.72 | 0.392 | 0.63 | 10.025 | B |
| C-A | 644.57 | 644.57 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 187.89 | 187.54 | 0.00 | 718.03 | 0.262 | 0.35 | 6.781 | A |
| A-B | 101.58 | 101.58 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 622.99 | 622.99 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (16:45-17:00)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 282.96 | 281.47 | 0.00 | 555.74 | 0.509 | 1.01 | 13.052 | B |
| C-A | 789.43 | 789.43 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 230.11 | 229.47 | 0.00 | 673.29 | 0.342 | 0.51 | 8.099 | A |
| A-B | 124.42 | 124.42 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 763.01 | 763.01 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (17:00-17:15)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 282.96 | 282.91 | 0.00 | 555.74 | 0.509 | 1.02 | 13.186 | B |
| C-A | 789.43 | 789.43 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 230.11 | 230.10 | 0.00 | 673.29 | 0.342 | 0.52 | 8.122 | A |
| A-B | 124.42 | 124.42 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 763.01 | 763.01 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (17:15-17:30)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 231.04 | 232.50 | 0.00 | 588.72 | 0.392 | 0.66 | 10.148 | B |
| C-A | 644.57 | 644.57 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 187.89 | 188.52 | 0.00 | 718.03 | 0.262 | 0.36 | 6.808 | A |
| A-B | 101.58 | 101.58 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 622.99 | 622.99 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (17:30-17:45)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 193.48 | 194.24 | 0.00 | 612.57 | 0.316 | 0.47 | 8.622 | A |
| C-A | 539.80 | 539.80 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 157.35 | 157.71 | 0.00 | 750.40 | 0.210 | 0.27 | 6.079 | A |
| A-B | 85.07 | 85.07 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 521.73 | 521.73 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

## Junctions 8

## PICADY 8 - Priority Intersection Module

> Version: 8.0.6.541 [19821,26/11/2015] © Copyright TRL Limited, 2020

For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL: Tel: +44 (0)1344770758 email: Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: A483-Salop Road.arc8
Path: P:IIT 1920-1929\IT 1921 Buttington Quarry\Calcs \& Drawings\Arcady\Junction 2
Report generation date: 27/02/2020 16:11:02
" (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed, AM
" (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed, PM
" (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed + Development, AM
" (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed + Development, PM
Summary of junction performance

|  | AM |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS |
|  | A1-Base 2030 + Committed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stream B-AC | 1.86 | 18.37 | 0.66 | C | 1.07 | 13.58 | 0.52 | B |
| Stream C-A | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - |
| Stream C-B | 0.47 | 7.83 | 0.32 | A | 0.57 | 8.48 | 0.37 | A |
| Stream A-B | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stream A-C | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | A1-Base 2030 + Committed + Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stream B-AC | 1.91 | 18.83 | 0.66 | C | 1.08 | 13.62 | 0.52 | B |
| Stream C-A | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stream C-B | 0.47 | 7.87 | 0.32 | A | 0.58 | 8.54 | 0.37 | A |
| Stream A-B | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stream A-C | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

[^5]"D1 - Base 2030 + Committed, AM " model duration: 07:45-09:15
"D2 - Base 2030 + Committed, PM" model duration: 16:15-17:45
"D3 - Base 2030 + Committed + Development, AM" model duration: 07:45-09:15
"D4 - Base 2030 + Committed + Development, PM" model duration: 16:15-17:45

## File summary

| Title | A483 - Salop Road Junction |
| :--- | :---: |
| Location | Buttington, Wales |
| Site Number | Junction 2 |
| Date | $08 / 02 / 2019$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client | ECL |
| Jobnumber |  |
| Enumerator | ghill |
| Description |  |

Analysis Options

| Vehicle Length <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Do Queue <br> Variations | Calculate Residual <br> Capacity | Residual Capacity Criteria <br> Type | RFC <br> Threshold | Average Delay Threshold <br> (s) | Queue Threshold <br> $($ PCU $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.75 |  |  | N/A | 0.85 | 36.00 |  |

Units

| Distance Units | Speed Units | Traffic Units Input | Traffic Units Results | Flow Units | Average Delay Units | Total Delay Units | Rate Of Delay Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | Veh | Veh | perHour | s | - Min | perMin |

## (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed, AM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings
Analysis Set Details

| Name | Roundabout Capacity Model | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) | N/A |  |  | 100.000 |  |

## Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario Name | Time <br> Period <br> Name | Description | Traffic <br> Profile <br> Type | Model Start <br> Time <br> $(H H: m m)$ | Model Finish <br> Time (HH:mm) | Model Time <br> Period Length <br> (min) | Time Segment <br> Length (min) | Single Time <br> Segment <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base 2030 + <br> Committed, AM | Base 2030 + <br> Committed | AM |  | ONE <br> HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 90 | 15 |  |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major Road Direction | Arm Order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | T-Junction | Two-way | A,B,C | 14.55 | B |

Junction Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

Arms

| Arm | Arm | Name | Description | Arm Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | A | A483 South |  | Major |
| B | B | Salop Road |  | Minor |
| C | C | A483 South |  | Major |

## Major Arm Geometry

| Arm | Width of <br> carriageway ( $\mathbf{m}$ ) | Has kerbed central <br> reserve | Width of kerbed central <br> reserve $(\mathbf{m})$ | Has right <br> turn bay | Width For Right <br> Turn $(\mathbf{m})$ | Visibility For Right <br> Turn ( $\mathbf{m}$ ) | Blocks? | Blocking Queue <br> $($ PCU $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 12.00 | $\checkmark$ | 7.50 | $\checkmark$ | 5.00 | 250.00 |  |  |

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.
Minor Arm Geometry

| Arm | Minor Arm Type | Lane Width (m) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lane } \\ \text { Width } \\ \text { (Left) ( } \mathrm{m} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | Lane Width (Right) (m) | Width at give-way (m) | Width at 5 m (m) | Width at 10 m (m) | Width at 15m (m) | Width at 20m (m) | Estimate Flare Length | Flare Length (PCU) | Visibility To Left (m) | Visibility To Right ( m ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | One lane | 4.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 69 | 104 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

| Junction | Stream | Intercept <br> (Veh/hr) | Slope <br> for <br> A-B | Slope <br> for <br> A-C | Slope <br> for <br> C-A | Slope <br> for <br> C-B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-A | 708.979 | 0.082 | 0.207 | 0.130 | 0.295 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-C | 758.430 | 0.086 | 0.217 | - | - |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | C-B | 937.767 | 0.269 | 0.269 | - | - |

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.
Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.
Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Default Vehicle Mix | Vehicle Mix Varies Over Time | Vehicle Mix Varies Over Turn | Vehicle Mix Varies Over Entry | Vehicle Mix Source | PCU <br> Factor for a HV (PCU) |  | Estimate from entry/exit counts | Turning Proportions Vary Over Time | Turning Proportions Vary Over Turn | Turning Proportions Vary Over Entry |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV <br> Percentages | 2.00 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Entry Flows

General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 747.00 | 100.000 |
| B | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 339.00 | 100.000 |
| C | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1047.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts / Proportions (Veh/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.000 | 229.000 | 518.000 |
|  | B | 0.000 | 0.000 | 339.000 |
|  | C | 850.000 | 197.000 | 0.000 |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.69 |
|  | B | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  | C | 0.81 | 0.19 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 1.000 | 1.026 | 1.093 |
|  | B | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.057 |
|  | C | 1.090 | 1.036 | 1.000 |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.0 | 2.6 | 9.3 |
|  | B | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.7 |
|  | C | 9.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 0.66 | 18.37 | 1.86 | C |
| C-A | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 0.32 | 7.83 | 0.47 | A |
| A-B | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | - | - | - | - |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (07:45-08:00)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 255.22 | 252.44 | 0.00 | 615.61 | 0.415 | 0.70 | 9.840 | A |
| C-A | 639.92 | 639.92 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 148.31 | 147.33 | 0.00 | 748.90 | 0.198 | 0.24 | 5.974 | A |
| A-B | 172.40 | 172.40 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 389.98 | 389.98 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 304.75 | 303.45 | 0.00 | 595.83 | 0.511 | 1.02 | 12.256 | B |
| C-A | 764.13 | 764.13 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 177.10 | 176.78 | 0.00 | 718.57 | 0.246 | 0.32 | 6.640 | A |
| A-B | 205.87 | 205.87 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 465.67 | 465.67 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 373.25 | 370.08 | 0.00 | 568.48 | 0.657 | 1.81 | 17.855 | C |
| C-A | 935.87 | 935.87 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 216.90 | 216.33 | 0.00 | 676.63 | 0.321 | 0.47 | 7.812 | A |
| A-B | 252.13 | 252.13 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 570.33 | 570.33 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (08:30-08:45)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 373.25 | 373.06 | 0.00 | 568.48 | 0.657 | 1.86 | 18.372 | C |
| C-A | 935.87 | 935.87 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 216.90 | 216.89 | 0.00 | 676.63 | 0.321 | 0.47 | 7.830 | A |
| A-B | 252.13 | 252.13 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 570.33 | 570.33 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 304.75 | 307.89 | 0.00 | 595.83 | 0.511 | 1.08 | 12.636 | B |
| C-A | 764.13 | 764.13 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 177.10 | 177.65 | 0.00 | 718.57 | 0.246 | 0.33 | 6.661 | A |
| A-B | 205.87 | 205.87 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 465.67 | 465.67 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (09:00-09:15)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 255.22 | 256.63 | 0.00 | 615.61 | 0.415 | 0.72 | 10.067 | B |
| C-A | 639.92 | 639.92 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 148.31 | 148.64 | 0.00 | 748.90 | 0.198 | 0.25 | 6.000 | A |
| A-B | 172.40 | 172.40 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 389.98 | 389.98 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

## (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed, PM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Analysis Set Details

| Name | Roundabout Capacity Model | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) | N/A |  |  | 100.000 |  |

## Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario Name | Time Period Name | Description | Traffic Profile Type | Model Start Time (HH:mm) | Model Finish Time (HH:mm) | Model Time Period Length (min) | Time Segment Length (min) | Single Time Segment Only | Locked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base 2030 + Committed, PM | Base 2030 + Committed | PM |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ONE } \\ & \text { HOUR } \end{aligned}$ | 16:15 | 17:45 | 90 | 15 |  |  |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major Road Direction | Arm Order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | T-Junction | Two-way | A,B,C | 11.25 | B |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

| Arm | Arm | Name | Description | Arm Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | A | A483 South |  | Major |
| B | B | Salop Road |  | Minor |
| C | C | A483 South |  | Major |

## Major Arm Geometry

| Arm | Width of <br> carriageway (m) | Has kerbed central <br> reserve | Width of kerbed central <br> reserve $(\mathbf{m})$ | Has right <br> turn bay | Width For Right <br> Turn $(\mathbf{m})$ | Visibility For Right <br> Turn $(\mathbf{m})$ | Blocks? | Blocking Queue <br> $($ PCU $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 12.00 | $\checkmark$ | 7.50 | $\checkmark$ | 5.00 | 250.00 |  |  |

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

## Minor Arm Geometry

| Arm | $\begin{gathered} \text { Minor } \\ \text { Arm } \\ \text { Type } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Lane Width (m) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lane } \\ \text { Width } \\ \text { (Left) (m) } \end{gathered}$ | Lane Width (Right) ( $m$ ) | Width at give-way (m) | Width at 5 m (m) | Width at 10m (m) | Width at 15 m (m) | Width at 20m (m) | Estimate Flare Length | Flare Length (PCU) | Visibility To Left (m) | Visibility To Right (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | One lane | 4.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 69 | 104 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

| Junction | Stream | Intercept <br> (Veh/hr) | Slope <br> for <br> A-B | Slope <br> for <br> A-C | Slope <br> for <br> C-A | Slope <br> for <br> C-B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-A | 708.979 | 0.082 | 0.207 | 0.130 | 0.295 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-C | 758.430 | 0.086 | 0.217 | - | - |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | C-B | 937.767 | 0.269 | 0.269 | - | - |

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.
Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.
Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Time | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Entry | PCU <br> Vehicle Mix <br> Source | Factor <br> for a HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Turn | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Entry |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV <br> Percentages | 2.00 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Entry Flows

## General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 820.00 | 100.000 |
| B | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 262.00 | 100.000 |
| C | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 946.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts / Proportions (Veh/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.000 | 120.000 | 700.000 |
|  | B | 0.000 | 0.000 | 262.000 |
|  | C | 724.000 | 222.000 | 0.000 |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.85 |
|  | B | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  | C | 0.77 | 0.23 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.054 |
|  | B | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.031 |
|  | C | 1.052 | 1.023 | 1.000 |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 |
|  | B | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 |
|  | C | 5.2 | 2.3 | 0.0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 0.52 | 13.58 | 1.07 | B |
| C-A | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 0.37 | 8.48 | 0.57 | A |
| A-B | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | - | - | - | - |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (16:15-16:30)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 197.25 | 195.37 | 0.00 | 610.96 | 0.323 | 0.47 | 8.624 | A |
| C-A | 545.07 | 545.07 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 167.13 | 165.99 | 0.00 | 747.55 | 0.224 | 0.29 | 6.179 | A |
| A-B | 90.34 | 90.34 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 527.00 | 527.00 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (16:30-16:45)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 235.53 | 234.78 | 0.00 | 586.80 | 0.401 | 0.66 | 10.204 | B |
| C-A | 650.86 | 650.86 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 199.57 | 199.18 | 0.00 | 714.64 | 0.279 | 0.38 | 6.984 | A |
| A-B | 107.88 | 107.88 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 629.29 | 629.29 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (16:45-17:00)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 288.47 | 286.87 | 0.00 | 553.39 | 0.521 | 1.06 | 13.426 | B |
| C-A | 797.14 | 797.14 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 244.43 | 243.69 | 0.00 | 669.13 | 0.365 | 0.57 | 8.448 | A |
| A-B | 132.12 | 132.12 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 770.71 | 770.71 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (17:00-17:15)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 288.47 | 288.41 | 0.00 | 553.39 | 0.521 | 1.07 | 13.577 | B |
| C-A | 797.14 | 797.14 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 244.43 | 244.41 | 0.00 | 669.13 | 0.365 | 0.57 | 8.476 | A |
| A-B | 132.12 | 132.12 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 770.71 | 770.71 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (17:15-17:30)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 235.53 | 237.09 | 0.00 | 586.80 | 0.401 | 0.68 | 10.341 | B |
| C-A | 650.86 | 650.86 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 199.57 | 200.29 | 0.00 | 714.64 | 0.279 | 0.39 | 7.008 | A |
| A-B | 107.88 | 107.88 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 629.29 | 629.29 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (17:30-17:45)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 197.25 | 198.05 | 0.00 | 610.96 | 0.323 | 0.48 | 8.735 | A |
| C-A | 545.07 | 545.07 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 167.13 | 167.54 | 0.00 | 747.55 | 0.224 | 0.29 | 6.212 | A |
| A-B | 90.34 | 90.34 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 527.00 | 527.00 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

## (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed + Development, AM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Analysis Set Details

| Name | Roundabout Capacity Model | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) | N/A |  |  | 100.000 |  |

## Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario Name | Time <br> Period <br> Name | Description | Traffic <br> Profile <br> Type | Model Start <br> Time <br> $(H H: m m)$ | Model <br> Finish Time <br> $(H H: m m)$ | Model Time <br> Period <br> Length <br> (min) | Time <br> Segment <br> Length <br> $(\mathbf{m i n})$ | Single Time <br> Segment <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base 2030 + <br> Committed + <br> Development, AM | Base 2030 + <br> Committed + <br> Development | AM |  | ONE | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 90 | 15 |  |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major Road Direction | Arm Order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | T-Junction | Two-way | A,B,C | 14.87 | B |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

Arms

| Arm | Arm | Name | Description | Arm Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | A | A483 South |  | Major |
| B | B | Salop Road |  | Minor |
| C | C | A483 South |  | Major |

## Major Arm Geometry

| Arm | Width of <br> carriageway ( $\mathbf{m}$ ) | Has kerbed central <br> reserve | Width of kerbed central <br> reserve $(\mathbf{m})$ | Has right <br> turn bay | Width For Right <br> Turn $(\mathbf{m})$ | Visibility For Right <br> Turn ( $\mathbf{m})$ | Blocks? | Blocking Queue <br> $($ PCU $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | 12.00 | $\checkmark$ | 7.50 | $\checkmark$ | 5.00 | 250.00 |  |  |

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

## Minor Arm Geometry

| Arm | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Minor } \\ & \text { Arm } \\ & \text { Type } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Lane Width (m) | Lane Width (Left) (m) | Lane Width (Right) (m) | Width at give-way (m) | Width at 5m (m) | Width at 10 m (m) | Width at 15m (m) | Width at 20m (m) | Estimate Flare Length | Flare Length (PCU) | Visibility To Left (m) | Visibility To Right ( m ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | One lane | 4.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 69 | 104 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

| Junction | Stream | Intercept <br> (Veh/hr) | Slope <br> for <br> A-B | Slope <br> for <br> A-C | Slope <br> for <br> C-A | Slope <br> for <br> C-B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-A | 708.979 | 0.082 | 0.207 | 0.130 | 0.295 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-C | 758.430 | 0.086 | 0.217 | - | - |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | C-B | 937.767 | 0.269 | 0.269 | - | - |

[^6]
## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Time | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Entry | Vehicle Mix <br> Source | PCU <br> Factor <br> for HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Turn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV <br> Vary Over Entry |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percentages | 2.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Entry Flows

General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 753.00 | 100.000 |
| B | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 341.00 | 100.000 |
| C | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1049.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts / Proportions (Veh/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.000 | 229.000 | 524.000 |
|  | B | 0.000 | 0.000 | 341.000 |
|  | C | 852.000 | 197.000 | 0.000 |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.70 |
|  | B | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  | C | 0.81 | 0.19 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 1.000 | 1.026 | 1.095 |
|  | B | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.059 |
|  | C | 1.091 | 1.036 | 1.000 |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{A}$ | 0.0 | 2.6 | 9.5 |
|  | $\mathbf{B}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 |
|  | C | 9.1 | 3.6 | 0.0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 0.66 | 18.83 | 1.91 | C |
| C-A | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 0.32 | 7.87 | 0.47 | A |
| A-B | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | - | - | - | - |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (07:45-08:00)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 256.72 | 253.90 | 0.00 | 613.48 | 0.418 | 0.71 | 9.936 | A |
| C-A | 641.43 | 641.43 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - |  |
| C-B | 148.31 | 147.33 | 0.00 | 747.10 | 0.199 | 0.25 | 5.992 | A |
| A-B | 172.40 | 172.40 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 394.49 | 394.49 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 306.55 | 305.22 | 0.00 | 593.50 | 0.517 | 1.04 | 12.427 | B |
| C-A | 765.93 | 765.93 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 177.10 | 176.78 | 0.00 | 716.48 | 0.247 | 0.33 | 6.665 | A |
| A-B | 205.87 | 205.87 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 471.07 | 471.07 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 375.45 | 372.15 | 0.00 | 565.86 | 0.663 | 1.86 | 18.268 | C |
| C-A | 938.07 | 938.07 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 216.90 | 216.33 | 0.00 | 674.15 | 0.322 | 0.47 | 7.854 | A |
| A-B | 252.13 | 252.13 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 576.93 | 576.93 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (08:30-08:45)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 375.45 | 375.25 | 0.00 | 565.86 | 0.663 | 1.91 | 18.831 | C |
| C-A | 938.07 | 938.07 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 216.90 | 216.89 | 0.00 | 674.15 | 0.322 | 0.47 | 7.872 | A |
| A-B | 252.13 | 252.13 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 576.93 | 576.93 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 306.55 | 309.82 | 0.00 | 593.50 | 0.517 | 1.10 | 12.833 | B |
| C-A | 765.93 | 765.93 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 177.10 | 177.66 | 0.00 | 716.48 | 0.247 | 0.33 | 6.687 | A |
| A-B | 205.87 | 205.87 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 471.07 | 471.07 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (09:00-09:15)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 256.72 | 258.18 | 0.00 | 613.48 | 0.418 | 0.73 | 10.175 | B |
| C-A | 641.43 | 641.43 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 148.31 | 148.64 | 0.00 | 747.10 | 0.199 | 0.25 | 6.020 | A |
| A-B | 172.40 | 172.40 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 394.49 | 394.49 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

## (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed + Development, PM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings
Analysis Set Details

| Name | Roundabout Capacity Model | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) | N/A |  |  | 100.000 |  |

Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario Name | Time <br> Period <br> Name | Description | Traffic <br> Profile <br> Type | Model Start <br> Time <br> $(H H: m m)$ | Model <br> Finish Time <br> $(H H: m m)$ | Model Time <br> Period <br> Length <br> (min) | Time <br> Segment <br> Length <br> $(\mathbf{m i n})$ | Single Time <br> Segment <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base 2030 + <br> Committed + <br> Development, PM | Base 2030 + <br> Committed + <br> Development | RM |  | ONE | $16: 15$ | $17: 45$ | 90 | 15 |  |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major Road Direction | Arm Order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | T-Junction | Two-way | A,B,C | 11.29 | B |

Junction Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

Arms

| Arm | Arm | Name | Description | Arm Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | A | A483 South |  | Major |
| B | B | Salop Road |  | Minor |
| C | C | A483 South |  | Major |

## Major Arm Geometry

| Arm | Width of <br> carriageway ( $\mathbf{m}$ ) | Has kerbed central <br> reserve | Width of kerbed central <br> reserve $(\mathbf{m})$ | Has right <br> turn bay | Width For Right <br> Turn $(\mathbf{m})$ | Visibility For Right <br> Turn ( $\mathbf{m}$ ) | Blocks? | Blocking Queue <br> (PCU) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 12.00 | $\checkmark$ | 7.50 | $\checkmark$ | 5.00 | 250.00 |  |  |

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.
Minor Arm Geometry

| Arm | Minor Arm Type | Lane Width (m) | Lane Width (Left) (m) | Lane Width (Right) (m) | Width at give-way (m) | Width at 5m (m) | Width at 10 m (m) | Width at 15m (m) | Width at 20m (m) | Estimate Flare Length | Flare Length (PCU) | Visibility To Left (m) | Visibility To Right ( m ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | One lane | 4.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 69 | 104 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

| Junction | Stream | Intercept <br> (Veh/hr) | Slope <br> for <br> A-B | Slope <br> for <br> A-C | Slope <br> for <br> C-A | Slope <br> for <br> C-B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-A | 708.979 | 0.082 | 0.207 | 0.130 | 0.295 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-C | 758.430 | 0.086 | 0.217 | - | - |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | C-B | 937.767 | 0.269 | 0.269 | - | - |

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.
Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.
Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Time | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Entry | Vehicle Mix <br> Source | PCU <br> Factor <br> for a HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Turn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vary Over Entry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Entry Flows

## General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 822.00 | 100.000 |
| B | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 262.00 | 100.000 |
| C | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 954.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts / Proportions (Veh/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.000 | 120.000 | 702.000 |
|  | B | 0.000 | 0.000 | 262.000 |
|  | C | 730.000 | 224.000 | 0.000 |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.85 |
|  | B | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  | C | 0.77 | 0.23 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.057 |
|  | B | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.031 |
|  | C | 1.053 | 1.022 | 1.000 |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{A}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.7 |
|  | $\mathbf{B}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 |
|  | $\mathbf{C}$ | 5.3 | 2.2 | 0.0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 0.52 | 13.62 | 1.08 | B |
| C-A | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 0.37 | 8.54 | 0.58 | A |
| A-B | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | - | - | - | - |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (16:15-16:30)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 197.25 | 195.36 | 0.00 | 610.45 | 0.323 | 0.47 | 8.635 | A |
| C-A | 549.58 | 549.58 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 168.64 | 167.48 | 0.00 | 746.88 | 0.226 | 0.29 | 6.200 | A |
| A-B | 90.34 | 90.34 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 528.50 | 528.50 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (16:30-16:45)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 235.53 | 234.78 | 0.00 | 586.15 | 0.402 | 0.66 | 10.222 | B |
| C-A | 656.26 | 656.26 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 201.37 | 200.97 | 0.00 | 713.80 | 0.282 | 0.39 | 7.013 | A |
| A-B | 107.88 | 107.88 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 631.08 | 631.08 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (16:45-17:00)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 288.47 | 286.86 | 0.00 | 552.56 | 0.522 | 1.06 | 13.465 | B |
| C-A | 803.74 | 803.74 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 246.63 | 245.88 | 0.00 | 668.06 | 0.369 | 0.58 | 8.511 | A |
| A-B | 132.12 | 132.12 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 772.92 | 772.92 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (17:00-17:15)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 288.47 | 288.41 | 0.00 | 552.56 | 0.522 | 1.08 | 13.619 | B |
| C-A | 803.74 | 803.74 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 246.63 | 246.61 | 0.00 | 668.06 | 0.369 | 0.58 | 8.541 | A |
| A-B | 132.12 | 132.12 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 772.92 | 772.92 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (17:15-17:30)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 235.53 | 237.10 | 0.00 | 586.15 | 0.402 | 0.68 | 10.358 | B |
| C-A | 656.26 | 656.26 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 201.37 | 202.11 | 0.00 | 713.80 | 0.282 | 0.40 | 7.047 | A |
| A-B | 107.88 | 107.88 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 631.08 | 631.08 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (17:30-17:45)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-AC | 197.25 | 198.05 | 0.00 | 610.45 | 0.323 | 0.48 | 8.746 | A |
| C-A | 549.58 | 549.58 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 168.64 | 169.05 | 0.00 | 746.88 | 0.226 | 0.29 | 6.234 | A |
| A-B | 90.34 | 90.34 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 528.50 | 528.50 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

## Junctions 8

| ARCADY 8 - Roundabout Module |
| :---: |
| Version: 8.0.6.541 [19821,26/11/2015] |
| © Copyright TRL Limited, 2020 |
| For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL: |
| Tel: +44 (0)1344 770758 email: |
| Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk |
| The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution |

Filename: A483 Roundabout EIA.arc8
Path: C:IITL Jobs\IT1921 Buttington Quarry\PICADY\Junction 3
Report generation date: 24/08/2020 12:59:58
" (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030, AM
" (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030, PM

## Summary of junction performance

|  | AM |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS |  |  |
|  | A1 - Base 2030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arm 1 | 1.57 | 6.87 | 0.61 | A | 1.27 | 5.92 | 0.56 | A |  |  |
| Arm 2 | 1.01 | 4.80 | 0.50 | A | 0.80 | 4.01 | 0.45 | A |  |  |
| Arm 3 | 0.32 | 3.96 | 0.25 | A | 1.26 | 6.95 | 0.56 | A |  |  |
| Arm 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | A | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | A |  |  |
| Arm 5 | 1.69 | 10.17 | 0.63 | B | 2.92 | 16.61 | 0.75 | C |  |  |

Values shown are the maximum values over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.
"D1-Base 2030, AM " model duration: 07:45-09:15
"D2 - Base 2030, PM" model duration: 16:15-17:45

Run using Junctions 8.0.6.541 at 24/08/2020 12:59:57
File summary

| Title | A483 - Smithfield Road Roundabout |
| :--- | :---: |
| Location | Buttington, Wales |
| Site Number | Junction 3 |
| Date | $15 / 11 / 2018$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client | ECL |
| Jobnumber | IT1921 |
| Enumerator | AP |
| Description |  |

Analysis Options

| Vehicle Length <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Do Queue <br> Variations | Calculate Residual <br> Capacity | Residual Capacity Criteria <br> Type | RFC <br> Threshold | Average Delay Threshold <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Queue Threshold <br> $($ PCU $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.75 |  |  | N/A | 0.85 | 36.00 |  |

Units

| Distance Units | Speed Units | Traffic Units Input | Traffic Units Results | Flow Units | Average Delay Units | Total Delay Units | Rate Of Delay Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | Veh | Veh | perHour | s | -Min | perMin |

## (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030, AM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Analysis Set Details

| Name | Roundabout Capacity Model | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) | ARCADY |  |  | 100.000 |  |

## Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario Name | Time Period Name | Description | Traffic Profile Type | Model Start <br> Time (HH:mm) | Model Finish <br> Time (HH:mm) | Model Time Period Length (min) | Time Segment Length (min) | Single Time Segment Only | Locked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Base } \\ 2030, \\ \text { AM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base } \\ & 2030 \end{aligned}$ | AM |  | ONE <br> HOUR | 07:45 | 09:15 | 90 | 15 |  |  |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Arm Order | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | Roundabout | $1,2,3,4,5$ |  |  | 6.66 | A |

Junction Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

Arms

| Arm | Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 | A483 N |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 2 | A483 S |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3 | B4381 |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4 | Tesco Access |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 5 | Smithfield Road |  |

Capacity Options

| Arm | Minimum Capacity (PCU/hr) | Maximum Capacity (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |

## Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach road half- <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | E Entry width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{I}-$ Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | R - Entry radius <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | D - Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict (entry) <br> angle $($ deg $)$ | Exit <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 4.70 | 9.30 | 5.00 | 28.00 | 52.00 | 20.50 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 5.00 | 11.00 | 10.10 | 18.70 | 52.00 | 35.50 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 5.80 | 6.30 | 9.60 | 9.10 | 52.00 | 47.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4.10 | 5.60 | 1.10 | 9.40 | 52.00 | 56.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 3.60 | 6.30 | 7.00 | 10.80 | 52.00 | 42.60 |  |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter slope and intercept directly | Entered slope | Entered intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.643 | 1860.927 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.666 | 2093.528 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.560 | 1665.386 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.453 | 1134.136 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.507 | 1332.566 |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Time | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Entry | Vehicle Mix <br> Source | PCU <br> Factor <br> for a HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Turn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV <br> Proportions Over Entry |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Entry Flows

General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 757.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 692.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 268.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 551.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts / Proportions (Veh/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 408.000 | 285.000 | 0.000 | 64.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 431.000 | 0.000 | 134.000 | 0.000 | 127.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 80.000 | 61.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 127.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 103.000 | 174.000 | 274.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 0.54 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.08 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.62 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.18 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.30 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.47 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.19 | 0.32 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 1.000 | 1.147 | 1.045 | 1.000 | 1.015 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 1.109 | 1.000 | 1.037 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 1.075 | 1.080 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 1.009 | 1.052 | 1.007 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.0 | 14.7 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 1.5 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 10.9 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 7.5 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.9 | 5.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |

## Results

## Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.61 | 6.87 | 1.57 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.50 | 4.80 | 1.01 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.25 | 3.96 | 0.32 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.63 | 10.17 | 1.69 | B |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (07:45-08:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $($ Veh/hr) | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 569.91 | 567.38 | 381.00 | 0.00 | 1465.64 | 0.389 | 0.63 | 3.996 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 520.98 | 519.14 | 466.61 | 0.00 | 1650.84 | 0.316 | 0.46 | 3.175 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 201.76 | 201.05 | 466.58 | 0.00 | 1330.10 | 0.152 | 0.18 | 3.187 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 667.63 | 0.00 | 811.83 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 414.82 | 412.32 | 429.11 | 0.00 | 1070.02 | 0.388 | 0.63 | 5.453 | A |

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ (Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 680.53 | 679.41 | 456.57 | 0.00 | 1420.02 | 0.479 | 0.91 | 4.854 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 622.10 | 621.38 | 558.96 | A |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 240.93 | 240.71 | 558.49 | 0.00 | 1592.16 | 0.391 | 0.64 | 3.707 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 799.21 | 0.00 | 1276.85 | 0.189 | 0.23 | 3.474 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 495.34 | 494.15 | 513.66 | 0.00 | 748.31 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 |

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 833.47 | 830.89 | 557.93 | 0.00 | 1358.81 | 0.613 | 1.56 | 6.785 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 761.91 | 760.44 | 683.27 | 0.00 | 1513.15 | 0.504 | 1.00 | 4.772 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 295.07 | 294.71 | 683.43 | 0.00 | 1204.46 | 0.245 | 0.32 | 3.955 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 978.14 | 0.00 | 661.93 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 606.66 | 603.71 | 628.68 | 0.00 | 961.01 | 0.631 | 1.66 | 9.992 | A |

Main results: (08:30-08:45)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 833.47 | 833.40 | 560.34 | 0.00 | 1357.36 | 0.614 | 1.57 | 6.868 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 761.91 | 761.88 | 685.85 | A |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 295.07 | 295.07 | 684.81 | 0.00 | 1511.52 | 0.504 | 1.01 | 4.802 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 979.87 | 0.00 | 1203.67 | 0.245 | 0.32 | 3.961 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 606.66 | 606.56 | 629.77 | 0.00 | 661.09 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 |

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 680.53 | 683.10 | 460.06 | 0.00 | 1417.92 | 0.480 | 0.93 | 4.915 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 622.10 | 623.55 | 562.71 | 0.00 | 1589.78 | 0.391 | 0.65 | 3.733 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 240.93 | 241.29 | 560.56 | 0.00 | 1275.66 | 0.189 | 0.23 | 3.480 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 801.84 | 0.00 | 747.04 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 495.34 | 498.29 | 515.31 | 0.00 | 1022.93 | 0.484 | 0.95 | 6.901 | A |

Main results: (09:00-09:15)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 569.91 | 571.07 | 384.27 | 0.00 | 1463.68 | 0.389 | 0.64 | 4.039 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 520.98 | 521.71 | 470.18 | 0.00 | 1648.58 | 0.316 | 0.46 | 3.196 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 201.76 | 201.98 | 468.96 | 0.00 | 1328.72 | 0.152 | 0.18 | 3.194 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 670.94 | 0.00 | 810.23 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 414.82 | 416.07 | 431.20 | 0.00 | 1068.88 | 0.388 | 0.64 | 5.526 | A |

## (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030, PM

Data Errors and Warnings
No errors or warnings

## Analysis Set Details

| Name | Roundabout Capacity Model | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) | ARCADY |  |  | 100.000 |  |

## Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario <br> Name | Time <br> Period <br> Name | Description | Traffic <br> Profile <br> Type | Model Start <br> Time (HH:mm) | Model Finish <br> Time (HH:mm) | Model Time <br> Period Length <br> (min) | Time Segment <br> Length (min) | Single Time <br> Segment Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base | Base | LM |  | ONE <br> HOUR | $16: 15$ | $17: 45$ | 90 | 15 |  |
| 2030, PM | 2030 | PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Arm Order | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | Roundabout | $1,2,3,4,5$ |  |  | 8.07 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

Arms

| Arm | Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 | A483 N |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 2 | A483 S |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3 | B4381 |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4 | Tesco Access |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 5 | Smithfield Road |  |

## Capacity Options

| Arm | Minimum Capacity (PCU/hr) | Maximum Capacity (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |

## Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V Approach road half- <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{E}-$Entry width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$$\mathbf{I}-$ Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | R - Entry radius <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{D}-$ Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict (entry) <br> angle $($ deg $)$ | Exit <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 4.70 | 9.30 | 5.00 | 28.00 | 52.00 | 20.50 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 5.00 | 11.00 | 10.10 | 18.70 | 52.00 | 35.50 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 5.80 | 6.30 | 9.60 | 9.10 | 52.00 | 47.00 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4.10 | 5.60 | 1.10 | 9.40 | 52.00 | 56.00 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 3.60 | 6.30 | 7.00 | 10.80 | 52.00 | 42.60 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter slope and intercept directly | Entered slope | Entered intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.643 | 1860.927 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.666 | 2093.528 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.560 | 1665.386 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.453 | 1134.136 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.507 | 1332.566 |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Time | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Entry | Vehicle Mix <br> Source | Pactor <br> for a HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Turn | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Entry |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV <br> Percentages | 2.00 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Entry Flows

## General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 706.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 656.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 599.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 592.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts / Proportions (Veh/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 387.000 | 191.000 | 1.000 | 127.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 444.000 | 0.000 | 51.000 | 0.000 | 161.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 204.000 | 127.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 268.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 160.000 | 262.000 | 170.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 0.55 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.18 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.68 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.25 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.34 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.27 | 0.44 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 1.000 | 1.059 | 1.068 | 1.000 | 1.016 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 1.083 | 1.000 | 1.058 | 1.000 | 1.006 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 1.005 | 1.024 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.007 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 1.013 | 1.000 | 1.029 | 1.000 | 1.000 |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.0 | 5.9 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 8.3 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.5 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 1.3 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.56 | 5.92 | 1.27 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.45 | 4.01 | 0.80 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.56 | 6.95 | 1.26 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.75 | 16.61 | 2.92 | C |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (16:15-16:30)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $($ Veh/hr) | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 531.51 | 529.35 | 418.14 | 0.00 | 1507.60 | 0.353 | 0.54 | 3.672 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 493.87 | 492.28 | 366.27 | A |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 450.96 | 448.92 | 549.98 | 0.00 | 1731.82 | 0.285 | 0.40 | 2.900 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 998.15 | 0.00 | 1327.42 | 0.340 | 0.51 | 4.089 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 445.69 | 442.57 | 581.26 | 0.00 | 666.56 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 |

Main results: (16:30-16:45)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 634.68 | 633.78 | 50.97 | 0.00 | 1456.34 | 0.436 | 0.77 | 4.372 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 589.73 | 589.18 | 438.66 | A |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 538.49 | 537.59 | 658.28 | 0.00 | 1684.55 | 0.350 | 0.54 | 3.284 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1194.97 | 0.00 | 1264.15 | 0.426 | 0.74 | 4.948 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 532.20 | 530.32 | 695.84 | 0.00 | 574.37 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 |

Main results: (16:45-17:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow <br> $($ Veh/hr) | Circulating Flow <br> $($ Veh $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $($ Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue <br> (Veh) | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 777.32 | 775.37 | 610.44 | 0.00 | 1388.61 | 0.560 | 1.25 | 5.851 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 722.27 | 721.22 | 535.71 | A |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 659.51 | 657.45 | 805.73 | 0.00 | 1621.16 | 0.446 | 0.80 | 3.997 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1462.08 | 1178.00 | 0.560 | 1.25 | 6.888 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 651.80 | 645.51 | 851.44 | 0.00 | 449.23 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 |

Main results: (17:00-17:15)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 777.32 | 777.27 | 615.17 | 0.00 | 1385.68 | 0.561 | 1.27 | 5.916 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 722.27 | 722.25 | 538.26 | 0.00 | 1619.51 | 0.446 | 0.80 | 4.012 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 659.51 | 659.47 | 807.03 | 0.00 | 1177.25 | 0.560 | 1.26 | 6.952 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1465.38 | 0.00 | 447.70 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 651.80 | 651.41 | 853.25 | 0.00 | 867.08 | 0.752 | 2.92 | 16.611 | C |

Main results: (17:15-17:30)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{V e h})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 634.68 | 636.62 | 507.67 | 0.00 | 1452.20 | 0.437 | 0.78 | 4.425 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 589.73 | 590.76 | 442.33 | 0.00 | 1682.16 | 0.351 | 0.54 | 3.300 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 538.49 | 540.54 | 660.26 | 0.00 | 1263.00 | 0.426 | 0.75 | 4.996 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1199.90 | 0.00 | 572.08 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 532.20 | 538.65 | 698.54 | 0.00 | 948.67 | 0.561 | 1.31 | 8.914 | A |

Main results: (17:30-17:45)

| Arm | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Circulating Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 531.51 | 532.45 | 422.51 | 0.00 | 1504.90 | 0.353 | 0.55 | 3.704 | A |
| 2 | 493.87 | 494.44 | 369.14 | 0.00 | 1729.95 | 0.285 | 0.40 | 2.914 | A |
| 3 | 450.96 | 451.89 | 552.53 | 0.00 | 1325.93 | 0.340 | 0.52 | 4.122 | A |
| 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1003.66 | 0.00 | 663.99 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| 5 | 445.69 | 447.70 | 584.36 | 0.00 | 1008.88 | 0.442 | 0.80 | 6.439 | A |

## Junctions 8

## ARCADY 8 - Roundabout Module

Version: 8.0.6.541 [19821,26/11/2015] © Copyright TRL Limited, 2020
For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL: Tel: +44 (0)1344770758 email:

Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk
The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: A483 Roundabout.arc8
Path: P:IIT 1920-1929\IT 1921 Buttington Quarry\Calcs \& Drawings\Arcady\Junction 3
Report generation date: 27/02/2020 16:55:50
" (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed, AM
» (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed, PM
" (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed + Development, AM
» (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed + Development, PM

## Summary of junction performance

|  | AM |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS |
|  | A1-Base 2030 + Committed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arm 1 | 1.64 | 7.06 | 0.62 | A | 1.30 | 6.00 | 0.57 | A |
| Arm 2 | 1.32 | 5.53 | 0.57 | A | 0.82 | 4.06 | 0.45 | A |
| Arm 3 | 0.38 | 4.33 | 0.28 | A | 1.33 | 7.18 | 0.57 | A |
| Arm 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | A | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | A |
| Arm 5 | 2.34 | 13.53 | 0.71 | B | 3.07 | 17.44 | 0.76 | C |
|  | A1 - Base 2030 + Committed + Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arm 1 | 1.66 | 7.11 | 0.63 | A | 1.31 | 6.04 | 0.57 | A |
| Arm 2 | 1.33 | 5.56 | 0.57 | A | 0.82 | 4.05 | 0.45 | A |
| Arm 3 | 0.38 | 4.34 | 0.28 | A | 1.32 | 7.14 | 0.57 | A |
| Arm 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | A | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | A |
| Arm 5 | 2.37 | 13.71 | 0.71 | B | 3.06 | 17.35 | 0.76 | C |

[^7]"D1 - Base 2030 + Committed, AM " model duration: 07:45-09:15
"D2 - Base 2030 + Committed, PM" model duration: 16:15-17:45
"D3 - Base 2030 + Committed + Development, AM" model duration: 07:45-09:15
"D4 - Base 2030 + Committed + Development, PM" model duration: 16:15-17:45

## File summary

| Title | A483 - Smithfield Road Roundabout |
| :--- | :---: |
| Location | Buttington, Wales |
| Site Number | Junction 3 |
| Date | $15 / 11 / 2018$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client | ECL |
| Jobnumber | AP1921 |
| Enumerator |  |
| Description |  |

Analysis Options

| Vehicle Length <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Do Queue <br> Variations | Calculate Residual <br> Capacity | Residual Capacity Criteria <br> Type | RFC <br> Threshold | Average Delay Threshold <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Queue Threshold <br> $($ PCU $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.75 |  |  | N/A | 0.85 | 36.00 |  |

Units

| Distance Units | Speed Units | Traffic Units Input | Traffic Units Results | Flow Units | Average Delay Units | Total Delay Units | Rate Of Delay Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | Veh | Veh | perHour | s | - Min | perMin |

## (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed, AM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Analysis Set Details

| Name | Roundabout Capacity Model | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) | ARCADY |  |  | 100.000 |  |

## Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario Name | Time <br> Period <br> Name | Description | Traffic <br> Profile <br> Type | Model Start <br> Time <br> $(H H: m m)$ | Model Finish <br> Time (HH:mm) | Model Time <br> Period Length <br> (min) | Time Segment <br> Length (min) | Single Time <br> Segment <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base 2030 + <br> Committed, AM | Base 2030 + <br> Committed | AM |  | ONE <br> HOUR | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 90 | 15 |  |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Arm Order | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | Roundabout | $1,2,3,4,5$ |  |  | 7.72 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

Arms

| Arm | Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 | A483 N |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 2 | A483 S |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3 | B4381 |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4 | Tesco Access |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 5 | Smithfield Road |  |

## Capacity Options

| Arm | Minimum Capacity (PCU/hr) | Maximum Capacity (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |

## Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V-Approach road half- <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | E - Entry width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{I}-$ Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | R - Entry radius <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | D - Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict (entry) <br> angle (deg) | Exit <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 4.70 | 9.30 | 5.00 | 28.00 | 52.00 | 20.50 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 5.00 | 11.00 | 10.10 | 18.70 | 52.00 | 35.50 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 5.80 | 6.30 | 9.60 | 9.10 | 52.00 | 47.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4.10 | 5.60 | 1.10 | 9.40 | 52.00 | 56.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 3.60 | 6.30 | 7.00 | 10.80 | 52.00 | 4.60 |  |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter slope and intercept directly | Entered slope | Entered intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.643 | 1860.927 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.666 | 2093.528 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.560 | 1665.386 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.453 | 1134.136 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.507 | 1332.566 |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Time | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Entry | Vehicle Mix <br> Source | PCU <br> For a HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Turn | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Entry |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV <br> Percentages | 2.00 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Entry Flows

## General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 768.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 785.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 291.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 577.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts / Proportions (Veh/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 411.000 | 292.000 | 0.000 | 65.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 522.000 | 0.000 | 136.000 | 0.000 | 127.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 99.000 | 62.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 130.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 125.000 | 174.000 | 278.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 0.54 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.08 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.66 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.16 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.34 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 1.000 | 1.146 | 1.045 | 1.000 | 1.015 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 1.090 | 1.000 | 1.037 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 1.061 | 1.080 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 1.008 | 1.052 | 1.007 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.0 | 14.6 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 1.5 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 9.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 6.1 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.8 | 5.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.62 | 7.06 | 1.64 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.57 | 5.53 | 1.32 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.28 | 4.33 | 0.38 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.71 | 13.53 | 2.34 | B |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (07:45-08:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 578.19 | 575.60 | 384.53 | 0.00 | 1464.99 | 0.395 | 0.65 | 4.037 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 590.99 | 588.79 | 475.47 | A |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 219.08 | 218.27 | 535.50 | 0.00 | 1658.89 | 0.356 | 0.55 | 3.357 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 753.77 | 0.00 | 1296.50 | 0.169 | 0.20 | 3.337 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 434.39 | 431.51 | 512.29 | 0.00 | 772.78 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 |

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 690.41 | 689.25 | 460.78 | 0.00 | 1418.90 | 0.487 | 0.94 | 4.925 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 705.70 | 704.76 | 569.55 | A |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 261.60 | 261.34 | 641.00 | 0.00 | 1598.61 | 0.441 | 0.78 | 4.023 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 902.34 | 0.00 | 1235.78 | 0.212 | 0.27 | 3.694 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 518.71 | 517.13 | 613.24 | 0.00 | 701.55 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 |

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

| Arm | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Circulating Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 845.58 | 842.86 | 562.19 | 0.00 | 1357.61 | 0.623 | 1.62 | 6.955 | A |
| 2 | 864.30 | 862.22 | 695.64 | 0.00 | 1517.81 | 0.569 | 1.30 | 5.473 | A |
| 3 | 320.40 | 319.94 | 784.17 | 0.00 | 1153.37 | 0.278 | 0.38 | 4.318 | A |
| 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1104.11 | 0.00 | 604.82 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| 5 | 635.29 | 630.64 | 750.36 | 0.00 | 901.29 | 0.705 | 2.28 | 13.078 | B |

Main results: (08:30-08:45)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 845.58 | 845.50 | 565.74 | 0.00 | 1355.47 | 0.624 | 1.64 | 7.056 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 864.30 | 864.25 | 699.00 | 0.00 | 1515.67 | 0.570 | 1.32 | 5.526 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 320.40 | 320.39 | 786.08 | 0.00 | 1152.27 | 0.278 | 0.38 | 4.327 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1106.47 | 0.00 | 603.69 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 635.29 | 635.06 | 751.96 | 0.00 | 900.43 | 0.706 | 2.34 | 13.534 | B |

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 690.41 | 693.13 | 465.85 | 0.00 | 1415.85 | 0.488 | 0.96 | 5.001 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 705.70 | 707.76 | 574.38 | 0.00 | 1595.53 | 0.442 | 0.80 | 4.065 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 261.60 | 262.06 | 643.81 | 0.00 | 1234.16 | 0.212 | 0.27 | 3.704 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 905.87 | 0.00 | 699.86 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 518.71 | 523.41 | 615.63 | 0.00 | 973.85 | 0.533 | 1.16 | 8.074 | A |

Main results: (09:00-09:15)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 578.19 | 579.40 | 388.34 | 0.00 | 1462.69 | 0.395 | 0.66 | 4.080 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 590.99 | 591.95 | 479.44 | 0.00 | 1656.35 | 0.357 | 0.56 | 3.384 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 219.08 | 219.34 | 538.44 | 0.00 | 1294.81 | 0.169 | 0.20 | 3.350 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 757.78 | 0.00 | 770.85 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 434.39 | 436.08 | 514.99 | 0.00 | 1028.06 | 0.423 | 0.74 | 6.100 | A |

## (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed, PM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings
Analysis Set Details

| Name | Roundabout Capacity Model | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) | ARCADY |  |  | 100.000 |  |

## Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario Name | Time <br> Period <br> Name | Description | Traffic <br> Profile <br> Type | Model Start <br> Time <br> $(H H: m m)$ | Model Finish <br> Time (HH:mm) | Model Time <br> Period Length <br> (min) | Time Segment <br> Length (min) | Single Time <br> Segment <br> Only | Locked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base 2030 + <br> Committed, PM | Base 2030 + <br> Committed | PM |  | ONE <br> HOUR | $16: 15$ | $17: 45$ | 90 | 15 |  |  |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Arm Order | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | Roundabout | $1,2,3,4,5$ |  |  | 8.34 | A |

Junction Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

Arms

| Arm | Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 | A483 N |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 2 | A483 S |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3 | B4381 |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4 | Tesco Access |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 5 | Smithfield Road |  |

## Capacity Options

| Arm | Minimum Capacity (PCU/hr) | Maximum Capacity (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |

Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach road half- <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | E - Entry width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{I}-$ Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | R - Entry radius <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | D - Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict (entry) <br> angle (deg) | Exit <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 4.70 | 9.30 | 5.00 | 28.00 | 52.00 | 20.50 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 5.00 | 11.00 | 10.10 | 18.70 | 52.00 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 5.80 | 6.30 | 9.60 | 9.10 | 52.00 | 35.50 |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4.10 | 5.60 | 1.10 | 9.40 | 52.00 | 47.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 3.60 | 6.30 | 7.00 | 10.80 | 52.00 | 56.00 |  |

Slope / Intercept / Capacity
Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter slope and intercept directly | Entered slope | Entered intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.643 | 1860.927 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.666 | 2093.528 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.560 | 1665.386 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.453 | 1134.136 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.507 | 1332.566 |

[^8]
## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Time | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Entry | Vehicle Mix <br> Source | Pactor <br> For a HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Turn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV <br> Proportions <br> Vercentages | 2.00 |  |  |  |  |

## Entry Flows

General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 713.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 663.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 610.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 595.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts / Proportions (Veh/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 390.000 | 194.000 | 1.000 | 128.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 450.000 | 0.000 | 52.000 | 0.000 | 161.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 210.000 | 128.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 272.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 162.000 | 262.000 | 171.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 0.55 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.18 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.68 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.24 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.34 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.27 | 0.44 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 1.000 | 1.059 | 1.067 | 1.000 | 1.016 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 1.082 | 1.000 | 1.058 | 1.000 | 1.006 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 1.005 | 1.024 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.008 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 1.013 | 1.000 | 1.029 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.0 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 8.2 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.5 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 1.3 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.57 | 6.00 | 1.30 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.45 | 4.06 | 0.82 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.57 | 7.18 | 1.33 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.76 | 17.44 | 3.07 | C |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (16:15-16:30)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 536.79 | 534.59 | 419.60 | 0.00 | 1506.99 | 0.356 | 0.55 | 3.695 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 499.14 | 497.53 | 370.00 | 0.00 | 1730.22 | 0.288 | 0.40 | 2.916 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 459.24 | 457.13 | 555.22 | 0.00 | 1324.29 | 0.347 | 0.53 | 4.141 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1011.61 | 0.00 | 660.36 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 447.95 | 444.77 | 590.98 | 0.00 | 1005.66 | 0.445 | 0.79 | 6.383 | A |

Main results: (16:30-16:45)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 640.98 | 640.05 | 502.72 | 0.00 | 1455.55 | 0.440 | 0.78 | 4.410 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 596.03 | 595.46 | 443.12 | 0.00 | 1682.46 | 0.354 | 0.55 | 3.310 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 548.38 | 547.44 | 664.55 | 0.00 | 1260.44 | 0.435 | 0.76 | 5.043 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1211.10 | 0.00 | 566.93 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 534.89 | 532.95 | 707.49 | 0.00 | 944.28 | 0.566 | 1.28 | 8.710 | A |

Main results: (16:45-17:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 785.03 | 783.02 | 612.31 | 0.00 | 1387.73 | 0.566 | 1.28 | 5.932 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 729.98 | 728.90 | 541.06 | 0.00 | 1618.49 | 0.451 | 0.82 | 4.041 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 671.62 | 669.42 | 813.40 | 0.00 | 1173.52 | 0.572 | 1.31 | 7.110 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1481.71 | 0.00 | 440.18 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 655.10 | 648.37 | 865.65 | 0.00 | 860.93 | 0.761 | 2.96 | 16.436 | C |

Main results: (17:00-17:15)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $($ Veh/hr) | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 785.03 | 784.97 | 617.33 | 0.00 | 1384.63 | 0.567 | 1.30 | 6.003 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 729.98 | 729.96 | 543.75 | 0.00 | 1616.75 | 0.452 | 0.82 | 4.059 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 671.62 | 671.57 | 814.73 | 0.00 | 1172.75 | 0.573 | 1.33 | 7.180 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1485.19 | 0.00 | 438.56 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 655.10 | 654.65 | 867.56 | 0.00 | 859.94 | 0.762 | 3.07 | 17.437 | C |

Main results: (17:15-17:30)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 640.98 | 642.97 | 509.83 | 0.00 | 1451.15 | 0.442 | 0.80 | 4.466 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 596.03 | 597.09 | 446.99 | A |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 548.38 | 550.57 | 666.59 | 0.00 | 1679.95 | 0.355 | 0.55 | 3.327 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1216.26 | 0.00 | 1259.26 | 0.435 | 0.78 | 5.094 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 534.89 | 541.82 | 710.34 | 0.00 | 564.53 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 |

Main results: (17:30-17:45)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 536.79 | 537.74 | 424.08 | 0.00 | 1504.22 | 0.357 | 0.56 | 3.730 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 499.14 | 499.72 | 372.95 | 0.00 | 1728.30 | 0.289 | 0.41 | 2.930 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 459.24 | 460.21 | 557.82 | 0.00 | 1322.78 | 0.347 | 0.54 | 4.179 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1017.28 | 0.00 | 657.71 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 447.95 | 450.04 | 594.18 | 0.00 | 1003.99 | 0.446 | 0.82 | 6.524 | A |

## (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed + Development, AM

Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings
Analysis Set Details

| Name | Roundabout Capacity Model | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) | ARCADY |  |  | 100.000 |  |

Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario Name | Time Period Name | Description | Traffic Profile Type | Model Start Time (HH:mm) | Model Finish Time (HH:mm) | Model Time Period Length (min) | Time Segment Length (min) | Single Time Segment Only | Locked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base 2030 + <br> Committed + <br> Development, AM | Base 2030 + Committed + Development | AM |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ONE } \\ & \text { HOUR } \end{aligned}$ | 07:45 | 09:15 | 90 | 15 |  |  |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Arm Order | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | Roundabout | $1,2,3,4,5$ |  |  | 7.79 | A |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

Arms

| Arm | Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 | A483 N |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 2 | A483 S |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3 | B4381 |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4 | Tesco Access |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 5 | Smithfield Road |  |

Capacity Options

| Arm | Minimum Capacity (PCU/hr) | Maximum Capacity (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |

Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V - Approach road half- <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | E - Entry width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{I}-$ Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | R - Entry radius <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | D - Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict (entry) <br> angle (deg) | Exit <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 4.70 | 9.30 | 5.00 | 28.00 | 52.00 | 20.50 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 5.00 | 11.00 | 10.10 | 18.70 | 52.00 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 5.80 | 6.30 | 9.60 | 9.10 | 52.00 | 45.50 |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4.10 | 5.60 | 1.10 | 9.40 | 52.00 | 47.00 |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 3.60 | 6.30 | 7.00 | 10.80 | 52.00 | 56.00 |  |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter slope and intercept directly | Entered slope | Entered intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.643 | 1860.927 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.666 | 2093.528 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.560 | 1665.386 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.453 | 1134.136 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.507 | 1332.566 |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

## Traffic Flows

## Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Time | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Entry | Vehicle Mix <br> Source | Pactor <br> For a HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Turn | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Entry |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV | Percentages | 2.00 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |

## Entry Flows

General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 770.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 788.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 291.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 578.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts / Proportions (Veh/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 413.000 | 292.000 | 0.000 | 65.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 525.000 | 0.000 | 136.000 | 0.000 | 127.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 99.000 | 62.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 130.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 126.000 | 174.000 | 278.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 0.54 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.08 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.67 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.16 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.34 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.22 | 0.30 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 1.000 | 1.148 | 1.045 | 1.000 | 1.015 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 1.091 | 1.000 | 1.036 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 1.060 | 1.080 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 1.008 | 1.053 | 1.007 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.0 | 14.8 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 1.5 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 9.1 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 6.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.8 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

## Results

## Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.63 | 7.11 | 1.66 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.57 | 5.56 | 1.33 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.28 | 4.34 | 0.38 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.71 | 13.71 | 2.37 | B |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (07:45-08:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 579.70 | 577.09 | 384.52 | 0.00 | 1463.30 | 0.396 | 0.65 | 4.051 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 593.25 | 591.03 | 475.46 | 0.00 | 1657.87 | 0.358 | 0.55 | 3.367 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 219.08 | 218.27 | 537.74 | 0.00 | 1295.40 | 0.169 | 0.20 | 3.341 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 756.01 | 0.00 | 771.53 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 435.15 | 432.25 | 514.53 | 0.00 | 1027.82 | 0.423 | 0.73 | 6.016 | A |

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 692.21 | 691.04 | 460.77 | 0.00 | 1417.25 | 0.488 | 0.94 | 4.949 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 708.40 | 707.45 | 569.54 | A |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 261.60 | 261.34 | 643.69 | 0.00 | 1597.62 | 0.443 | 0.79 | 4.040 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 905.03 | 0.00 | 1234.35 | 0.212 | 0.27 | 3.699 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 519.61 | 518.01 | 615.93 | 0.00 | 700.05 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 |

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 847.78 | 845.02 | 562.12 | 0.00 | 1356.04 | 0.625 | 1.63 | 7.007 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 867.61 | 865.50 | 695.59 | 0.00 | 1516.88 | 0.572 | 1.32 | 5.509 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 320.40 | 319.93 | 787.46 | 0.00 | 1151.51 | 0.278 | 0.38 | 4.327 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1107.39 | 0.00 | 602.99 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 636.39 | 631.65 | 753.64 | 0.00 | 899.00 | 0.708 | 2.31 | 13.233 | B |

Main results: (08:30-08:45)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 847.78 | 847.70 | 565.74 | 0.00 | 1353.86 | 0.626 | 1.66 | 7.109 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 867.61 | 867.56 | 698.99 | 0.00 | 1514.71 | 0.573 | 1.33 | 5.562 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 320.40 | 320.39 | 789.38 | 0.00 | 1150.40 | 0.279 | 0.38 | 4.336 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1109.77 | 0.00 | 601.85 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 636.39 | 636.16 | 755.26 | 0.00 | 898.12 | 0.709 | 2.37 | 13.708 | B |

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 692.21 | 694.96 | 465.92 | 0.00 | 1414.16 | 0.489 | 0.97 | 5.024 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 708.40 | 710.49 | 574.43 | 0.00 | 1594.50 | 0.444 | 0.81 | 4.083 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 261.60 | 262.06 | 646.54 | 0.00 | 1232.71 | 0.212 | 0.27 | 3.712 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 908.59 | 0.00 | 698.34 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 519.61 | 524.41 | 618.35 | 0.00 | 971.88 | 0.535 | 1.17 | 8.130 | A |

Main results: (09:00-09:15)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 579.70 | 580.92 | 388.35 | 0.00 | 1460.99 | 0.397 | 0.66 | 4.097 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 593.25 | 594.22 | 479.45 | 0.00 | 1655.32 | 0.358 | 0.56 | 3.397 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 219.08 | 219.34 | 540.70 | 0.00 | 1293.69 | 0.169 | 0.20 | 3.353 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 760.05 | 0.00 | 769.59 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 435.15 | 436.85 | 517.26 | 0.00 | 1026.35 | 0.424 | 0.74 | 6.123 | A |

## (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed + Development, PM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Analysis Set Details

| Name | Roundabout Capacity Model | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) | ARCADY |  |  | 100.000 |  |

Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario Name | Time Period Name | Description | Traffic Profile Type | Model Start Time (HH:mm) | Model Finish Time ( $\mathrm{HH}: \mathrm{mm}$ ) | Model Time Period Length (min) | Time Segment Length (min) | Single Time Segment Only | Locked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base $2030+$ Committed + Development, PM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Base } 2030+ \\ & \text { Committed + } \\ & \text { Development } \end{aligned}$ | PM |  | ONE <br> HOUR | 16:15 | 17:45 | 90 | 15 |  |  |

## Junction Network

Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Arm Order | Grade Separated | Large Roundabout | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | Roundabout | $1,2,3,4,5$ |  |  | 8.31 | A |

Junction Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

Arms

| Arm | Arm | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 1 | A483 N |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 2 | A483 S |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 3 | B4381 |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4 | Tesco Access |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 5 | Smithfield Road |  |

## Capacity Options

| Arm | Minimum Capacity (PCU/hr) | Maximum Capacity (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.00 | 99999.00 |

## Roundabout Geometry

| Arm | V Approach road half- <br> width $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{E}-$Entry width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$$\mathbf{I}-$ Effective flare <br> length $(\mathbf{m})$ | R - Entry radius <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\mathbf{D}-$ Inscribed circle <br> diameter $(\mathbf{m})$ | PHI - Conflict (entry) <br> angle $($ deg $)$ | Exit <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 4.70 | 9.30 | 5.00 | 28.00 | 52.00 | 20.50 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 5.00 | 11.00 | 10.10 | 18.70 | 52.00 | 35.50 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 5.80 | 6.30 | 9.60 | 9.10 | 52.00 | 47.00 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 4.10 | 5.60 | 1.10 | 9.40 | 52.00 | 56.00 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 3.60 | 6.30 | 7.00 | 10.80 | 52.00 | 42.60 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

| Arm | Enter slope and intercept directly | Entered slope | Entered intercept (PCU/hr) | Final Slope | Final Intercept (PCU/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.643 | 1860.927 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.666 | 2093.528 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.560 | 1665.386 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.453 | 1134.136 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ |  | (calculated) | (calculated) | 0.507 | 1332.566 |

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Time | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Entry | Vehicle Mix <br> Source | Pactor <br> for a HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Turn | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Entry |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV <br> Percentages | 2.00 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Entry Flows

## General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 718.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 665.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 610.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 1.00 | 100.000 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 595.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts / Proportions (Veh/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.000 | 393.000 | 195.000 | 1.000 | 129.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 452.000 | 0.000 | 52.000 | 0.000 | 161.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 210.000 | 128.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 272.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 162.000 | 262.000 | 171.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |  |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.00 | 0.55 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.18 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.68 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.24 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.34 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.45 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.27 | 0.44 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 1.000 | 1.060 | 1.066 | 1.000 | 1.016 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 1.084 | 1.000 | 1.006 | 1.000 | 1.006 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 1.005 | 1.002 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.008 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 1.013 | 1.000 | 1.024 | 1.000 | 1.000 |  |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.0 | 6.0 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 8.4 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
|  | $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
|  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  | $\mathbf{5}$ | 1.3 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Arm | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.57 | 6.04 | 1.31 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 0.45 | 4.05 | 0.82 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 0.57 | 7.14 | 1.32 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 0.76 | 17.35 | 3.06 | C |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (16:15-16:30)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $($ Veh $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 540.55 | 538.33 | 419.61 | 0.00 | 1508.27 | 0.358 | 0.56 | 3.704 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 500.65 | 499.03 | 371.50 | A |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 459.24 | 457.14 | 557.48 | 0.00 | 1734.16 | 0.289 | 0.40 | 2.911 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1013.87 | 0.00 | 1328.82 | 0.346 | 0.52 | 4.120 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 447.95 | 444.78 | 592.49 | 0.00 | 659.96 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 |

Main results: (16:30-16:45)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $($ Veh $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped $/ \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 645.47 | 644.53 | 502.72 | 0.00 | 1457.18 | 0.443 | 0.79 | 4.426 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 597.82 | 597.25 | 444.91 | A |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 548.38 | 547.44 | 667.25 | 0.00 | 1686.19 | 0.355 | 0.55 | 3.304 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1213.80 | 0.00 | 1264.34 | 0.434 | 0.76 | 5.015 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 534.89 | 532.96 | 709.29 | 0.00 | 566.46 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 |

Main results: (16:45-17:00)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $($ Ped/hr) | Capacity <br> $($ Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue <br> (Veh) | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 790.53 | 788.49 | 612.34 | 0.00 | 1389.80 | 0.569 | 1.30 | 5.966 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 732.18 | 731.10 | 543.26 | 0.00 | 1621.92 | 0.451 | 0.82 | 4.036 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 671.62 | 669.43 | 816.69 | 0.00 | 1176.56 | 0.571 | 1.31 | 7.067 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1485.02 | 0.00 | 439.60 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 655.11 | 648.42 | 867.86 | 0.00 | 862.03 | 0.760 | 2.95 | 16.359 |

Main results: (17:00-17:15)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $($ Veh $)$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 790.53 | 790.47 | 617.34 | 0.00 | 1386.72 | 0.570 | 1.31 | 6.037 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 732.18 | 732.16 | 545.95 | 0.00 | 1620.17 | 0.452 | 0.82 | 4.053 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 671.62 | 671.57 | 818.03 | 0.00 | 1175.78 | 0.571 | 1.32 | 7.139 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1488.51 | 0.00 | 437.99 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 655.11 | 654.66 | 869.77 | 0.00 | 861.04 | 0.761 | 3.06 | 17.346 | C |

Main results: (17:15-17:30)

| Arm | Total Demand <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Entry Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Circulating Flow <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Pedestrian Demand <br> $(\mathbf{P e d} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Capacity <br> $(\mathbf{V e h} / \mathbf{h r})$ | RFC | End Queue <br> $(\mathbf{V e h})$ | Delay <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 645.47 | 647.50 | 509.80 | 0.00 | 1452.82 | 0.444 | 0.81 | 4.482 | A |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 597.82 | 598.89 | 448.79 | 0.00 | 1683.67 | 0.355 | 0.55 | 3.321 | A |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 548.38 | 550.56 | 669.29 | 0.00 | 1263.15 | 0.434 | 0.77 | 5.068 | A |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1218.96 | 0.00 | 564.07 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 534.89 | 541.78 | 712.13 | 0.00 | 944.06 | 0.567 | 1.34 | 9.097 | A |

Main results: (17:30-17:45)

| Arm | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Circulating Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 540.55 | 541.52 | 424.07 | 0.00 | 1505.52 | 0.359 | 0.56 | 3.740 | A |
| 2 | 500.65 | 501.23 | 374.45 | 0.00 | 1732.23 | 0.289 | 0.41 | 2.925 | A |
| 3 | 459.24 | 460.21 | 560.08 | 0.00 | 1327.30 | 0.346 | 0.53 | 4.156 | A |
| 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1019.54 | 0.00 | 657.32 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | A |
| 5 | 447.95 | 450.04 | 595.69 | 0.00 | 1005.36 | 0.446 | 0.81 | 6.506 | A |

## Junctions 8

## PICADY 8 - Priority Intersection Module

rsion: 8.0.6.541 [19821,26/11/2015] © Copyright TRL Limited, 2020
For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL: Tel: +44(0)1344770758 email: Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: A458-Site Access.arc8
Path: C:IITL Jobs\IT1921 Buttington Quarry\PICADY\Junction 4
Report generation date: 05/08/2020 16:33:50
" (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed + Development, AM
" (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed + Development, PM

## Summary of junction performance

|  | AM |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS |  |
|  | A1 - Base 2030 + Committed + Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stream B-C | 0.04 | 11.63 | 0.04 | B | 0.06 | 8.98 | 0.05 | A |  |
| Stream B-A | 0.08 | 18.20 | 0.07 | C | 0.12 | 12.20 | 0.11 | B |  |
| Stream C-A | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stream C-B | 0.05 | 9.47 | 0.05 | A | 0.04 | 10.66 | 0.03 | B |  |
| Stream A-B | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stream A-C | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Values shown are the maximum values over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.
"D1 - Base 2030 + Committed + Development, AM " model duration: 07:45-09:15
"D2 - Base 2030 + Committed + Development, PM" model duration: 16:15-17:45

Run using Junctions 8.0.6.541 at 05/08/2020 16:33:49

File summary

| Title | A458 - Site Access Junction |
| :--- | :---: |
| Location | Buttington, Wales |
| Site Number | Junction 4 |
| Date | $31 / 07 / 2020$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client | ECL |
| Jobnumber |  |
| Enumerator | Dshrivastava |
| Description |  |

Analysis Options

| Vehicle Length <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Do Queue <br> Variations | Calculate Residual <br> Capacity | Residual Capacity Criteria <br> Type | RFC <br> Threshold | Average Delay Threshold <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Queue Threshold <br> $($ PCU $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.75 |  |  | N/A | 0.85 | 36.00 |  |

## Units

| Distance Units | Speed Units | Traffic Units Input | Traffic Units Results | Flow Units | Average Delay Units | Total Delay Units | Rate Of Delay Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | Veh | Veh | perHour | s | - Min | perMin |

## (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed + Development, AM

Data Errors and Warnings
No errors or warnings
Analysis Set Details

| Name | Roundabout Capacity Model | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) | N/A |  |  | 100.000 |  |

Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario Name | Time <br> Period <br> Name | Description | Traffic <br> Profile <br> Type | Model Start <br> Time <br> (HH:mm) | Model <br> Finish Time <br> (HH:mm) | Model Time <br> Period <br> Length <br> (min) | Time <br> Segment <br> Length <br> (min) | Single Time <br> Segment <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base 2030 + <br> Committed + <br> Developmed | Base 2030 + <br> Committed + <br> Development | AM |  | ONE | AM | $07: 45$ | $09: 15$ | 90 | 15 |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major Road Direction | Arm Order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | T-Junction | Two-way | A,B,C | 13.12 | B |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

Arms

| Arm | Arm | Name | Description | Arm Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| A | A | A458 North |  | Major |
| B | B | Site Access |  | Minor |
| C | C | A458 South |  | Major |

## Major Arm Geometry

| Arm | Width of <br> carriageway ( $\mathbf{m}$ ) | Has kerbed central <br> reserve | Width of kerbed central <br> reserve $(\mathbf{m})$ | Has right <br> turn bay | Width For Right <br> Turn $(\mathbf{m})$ | Visibility For Right <br> Turn ( $\mathbf{m})$ | Blocks? | Blocking Queue <br> $($ PCU $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | 6.00 |  | 0.00 | $\checkmark$ | 3.50 | 165.00 |  |  |

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

## Minor Arm Geometry

| Arm | Minor <br> Arm Type | Lane <br> Width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Lane <br> Width <br> $($ Left $)(\mathbf{m})$ | Lane <br> Width <br> $($ Right $)(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> give-way <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{5 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{1 0 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{1 5 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{2 0 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Estimate <br> Flare <br> Length | Flare <br> Length <br> $($ PCU $)$ | Visibility To <br> Left $(\mathbf{m})$ | Visibility To <br> Right $(\mathbf{m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BOne lane <br> plus <br> flare |  |  |  |  | 10.00 | 7.47 | 5.00 | 4.13 | 4.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 160 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

| Junction | Stream | Intercept <br> (Veh/hr) | Slope <br> for <br> A-B | Slope <br> for <br> A-C | Slope <br> for <br> C-A | Slope <br> for <br> C-B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-A | 676.166 | 0.123 | 0.311 | 0.196 | 0.445 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-C | 758.798 | 0.116 | 0.294 | - | - |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | C-B | 764.243 | 0.296 | 0.296 | - | - |

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.
Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.
Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Time | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Entry | Vehicle Mix <br> Source | PCU <br> Factor <br> for a HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Turn | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Entry |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV <br> Percentages | 2.00 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Entry Flows

General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 504.00 | 100.000 |
| B | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 25.00 | 100.000 |
| C | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 425.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts / Proportions (Veh/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.000 | 32.000 | 472.000 |
|  | B | 14.000 | 0.000 | 11.000 |
|  | C | 406.000 | 19.000 | 0.000 |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.94 |
|  | B | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.44 |
|  | C | 0.96 | 0.04 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 1.000 | 1.375 | 1.034 |
|  | B | 1.857 | 1.000 | 1.812 |
|  | C | 1.064 | 1.474 | 1.000 |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.0 | 37.5 | 3.4 |
|  | B | 85.7 | 0.0 | 81.2 |
|  | C | 6.4 | 47.4 | 0.0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 0.04 | 11.63 | 0.04 | B |
| B-A | 0.07 | 18.20 | 0.08 | C |
| C-A | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 0.05 | 9.47 | 0.05 | A |
| A-B | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | - | - | - | - |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (07:45-08:00)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 8.28 | 8.19 | 0.00 | 353.31 | 0.023 | 0.02 | 10.429 | B |
| B-A | 10.54 | 10.37 | 0.00 | 260.95 | 0.040 | 0.04 | 14.358 | B |
| C-A | 305.66 | 305.66 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 14.30 | 14.17 | 0.00 | 438.13 | 0.033 | 0.03 | 8.488 | A |
| A-B | 24.09 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| A-C | 355.35 | 355.35 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 9.89 | 9.87 | 0.00 | 340.15 | 0.029 | 0.03 | 10.900 | B |
| B-A | 12.59 | 12.53 | 0.00 | 240.94 | 0.052 | 0.05 | 15.758 | C |
| C-A | 364.99 | 364.99 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 17.08 | 17.05 | 0.00 | 422.51 | 0.040 | 0.04 | 8.879 | A |
| A-B | 28.77 | 28.77 | 424.32 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 424.32 |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 12.11 | 12.08 | 0.00 | 321.87 | 0.038 | 0.04 | 11.619 | B |
| B-A | 15.41 | 15.33 | 0.00 | 213.21 | 0.072 | 0.08 | 18.184 | C |
| C-A | 447.01 | 447.01 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 20.92 | 20.87 | 0.00 | 400.91 | 0.052 | 0.05 | 9.471 | A |
| A-B | 35.23 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| A-C | 519.68 | 519.68 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (08:30-08:45)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 12.11 | 12.11 | 0.00 | 321.75 | 0.038 | 0.04 | 11.625 | B |
| B-A | 15.41 | 15.41 | 0.00 | 213.24 | 0.072 | 0.08 | 18.197 | C |
| C-A | 447.01 | 447.01 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - |  |
| C-B | 20.92 | 20.92 | 0.00 | 400.91 | 0.052 | 0.05 | 9.473 | A |
| A-B | 35.23 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| A-C | 519.68 | 519.68 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 9.89 | 9.92 | 0.00 | 339.92 | 0.029 | 0.03 | 10.911 | B |
| B-A | 12.59 | 12.67 | 0.00 | 241.02 | 0.052 | 0.06 | 15.770 | C |
| C-A | 364.99 | 364.99 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 17.08 | 17.13 | 0.00 | 422.51 | 0.040 | 0.04 | 8.882 | A |
| A-B | 28.77 | 28.77 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 424.32 | 424.32 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (09:00-09:15)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 8.28 | 8.31 | 0.00 | 353.00 | 0.023 | 0.02 | 10.444 | B |
| B-A | 10.54 | 10.59 | 0.00 | 261.04 | 0.040 | 0.04 | 14.379 | B |
| C-A | 305.66 | 305.66 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 14.30 | 14.34 | 0.00 | 438.13 | 0.033 | 0.03 | 8.495 | A |
| A-B | 24.09 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| A-C | 355.35 | 355.35 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

## (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2030 + Committed + Development, PM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings
Analysis Set Details

| Name | Roundabout Capacity Model | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) | N/A |  |  | 100.000 |  |

Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario Name | Time <br> Period Name | Description | Traffic Profile Type | Model Start Time (HH:mm) | Model Finish Time (HH:mm) | Model Time Period Length (min) | Time Segment Length $(\min )$ | Single Time Segment Only | Locked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Base } 2030+ \\ \text { Committed + } \\ \text { Development, PM } \end{gathered}$ | Base 2030 + Committed + Development | PM |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ONE } \\ \text { HOUR } \end{gathered}$ | 16:15 | 17:45 | 90 | 15 |  |  |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major Road Direction | Arm Order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | T-Junction | Two-way | A,B,C | 10.85 | B |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

| Arm | Arm | Name | Description | Arm Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | A | A458 North |  | Major |
| B | B | Site Access |  | Minor |
| C | C | A458 South |  | Major |

## Major Arm Geometry

| Arm | Width of <br> carriageway ( $\mathbf{m}$ ) | Has kerbed central <br> reserve | Width of kerbed central <br> reserve $(\mathbf{m})$ | Has right <br> turn bay | Width For Right <br> Turn $(\mathbf{m})$ | Visibility For Right <br> Turn ( $\mathbf{m})$ | Blocks? | Blocking Queue <br> $($ PCU $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | 6.00 |  | 0.00 | $\checkmark$ | 3.50 | 165.00 |  |  |

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

## Minor Arm Geometry

| Arm | Minor <br> Arm Type | Lane <br> Width <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Lane <br> Width <br> $($ Left $)(\mathbf{m})$ | Lane <br> Width <br> $($ Right $)(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> give-way <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{5 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{1 0 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{1 5 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Width at <br> $\mathbf{2 0 m}(\mathbf{m})$ | Estimate <br> Flare <br> Length | Flare <br> Length <br> $($ PCU $)$ | Visibility To <br> Left $(\mathbf{m})$ | Visibility To <br> Right $(\mathbf{m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BOne lane <br> plus <br> flare |  |  |  |  | 10.00 | 7.47 | 5.00 | 4.13 | 4.00 |  |  | 1.00 | 160 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

| Junction | Stream | Intercept <br> (Veh/hr) | Slope <br> for <br> A-B | Slope <br> for <br> A-C | Slope <br> for <br> C-A | Slope <br> for <br> C-B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-A | 684.613 | 0.125 | 0.315 | 0.198 | 0.450 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-C | 748.821 | 0.115 | 0.290 | - | - |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | C-B | 764.243 | 0.296 | 0.296 | - | - |

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.
Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.
Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Time | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Entry | Vehicle Mix <br> Source | PCU <br> Factor <br> for a HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Turn | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Entry |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV <br> Percentages | 2.00 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Entry Flows

General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 375.00 | 100.000 |
| B | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 54.00 | 100.000 |
| C | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 413.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts / Proportions (Veh/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.000 | 13.000 | 362.000 |
|  | B | 33.000 | 0.000 | 21.000 |
|  | C | 402.000 | 11.000 | 0.000 |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.97 |
|  | B | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.39 |
|  | C | 0.97 | 0.03 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 1.000 | 1.920 | 1.040 |
|  | B | 1.360 | 1.000 | 1.430 |
|  | C | 1.020 | 1.810 | 1.000 |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.0 | 92.0 | 4.0 |
|  | B | 36.0 | 0.0 | 43.0 |
|  | C | 2.0 | 81.0 | 0.0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 0.05 | 8.98 | 0.06 | A |
| B-A | 0.11 | 12.20 | 0.12 | B |
| C-A | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 0.03 | 10.66 | 0.04 | B |
| A-B | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | - | - | - | - |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (16:15-16:30)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 15.81 | 15.67 | 0.00 | 456.87 | 0.035 | 0.04 | 8.157 | A |
| B-A | 24.84 | 24.57 | 0.00 | 385.93 | 0.064 | 0.07 | 9.955 | A |
| C-A | 302.65 | 302.65 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 8.28 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 372.79 | 0.022 | 0.02 | 9.871 | A |
| A-B | 9.79 | 272.53 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 272.53 |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Main results: (16:30-16:45)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 18.88 | 18.84 | 0.00 | 443.23 | 0.043 | 0.04 | 8.483 | A |
| B-A | 29.67 | 29.59 | 0.00 | 363.09 | 0.082 | 0.09 | 10.792 | B |
| C-A | 361.39 | 361.39 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 9.89 | 9.87 | 0.00 | 363.19 | 0.027 | 0.03 | 10.188 | B |
| A-B | 11.69 | 11.69 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 325.43 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Main results: (16:45-17:00)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 23.12 | 23.07 | 0.00 | 424.04 | 0.055 | 0.06 | 8.977 | A |
| B-A | 36.33 | 36.20 | 0.00 | 331.46 | 0.110 | 0.12 | 12.188 | B |
| C-A | 442.61 | 442.61 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 12.11 | 12.08 | 0.00 | 349.93 | 0.035 | 0.04 | 10.656 | B |
| A-B | 14.31 | 14.31 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 398.57 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Main results: (17:00-17:15)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 23.12 | 23.12 | 0.00 | 423.93 | 0.055 | 0.06 | 8.981 | A |
| B-A | 36.33 | 36.33 | 0.00 | 331.48 | 0.110 | 0.12 | 12.196 | B |
| C-A | 442.61 | 442.61 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 12.11 | 12.11 | 0.00 | 349.93 | 0.035 | 0.04 | 10.656 | B |
| A-B | 14.31 | 14.31 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 398.57 | 398.57 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (17:15-17:30)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 18.88 | 18.93 | 0.00 | 443.05 | 0.043 | 0.04 | 8.488 | A |
| B-A | 29.67 | 29.79 | 0.00 | 363.14 | 0.082 | 0.09 | 10.803 | B |
| C-A | 361.39 | 361.39 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 9.89 | 9.92 | 0.00 | 363.19 | 0.027 | 0.03 | 10.192 | B |
| A-B | 11.69 | 11.69 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 325.43 | 325.43 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (17:30-17:45)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 15.81 | 15.84 | 0.00 | 456.62 | 0.035 | 0.04 | 8.167 | A |
| B-A | 24.84 | 24.93 | 0.00 | 385.97 | 0.064 | 0.07 | 9.974 | A |
| C-A | 302.65 | 302.65 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 8.28 | 8.30 | 0.00 | 372.79 | 0.022 | 0.02 | 9.877 | A |
| A-B | 9.79 | 0.79 | 272.53 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 272.53 |  | - | - | - | - | - |  |

## ApPENDIX K

Personal Injury Accident Assessment
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## Casualties

| Vehicle Ref | Casualty Ref | Injury Severity | Casualty Class | Gender | Age Band | Pedestrian Location | Pedestrian Movement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | Slight | Vehicle or pillion passenger | Female | 0-5 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |
| 2 | 2 | Slight | Driver or rider | Male | 56-65 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |

For more information about the data please visit: www.crashmap.co.uk/home/Faq
To subscribe to unlimited reports using CrashMap Pro visit www.crashmap.co.uk/Home/Premium_Services
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Vehicles involved

| Vehicle Ref | Vehicle Type | Vehicle Age | Driver Gender | Driver Age Band | Vehicle Maneouvre | First Point of Impact | Journey <br> Purpose | Hit Object - On Carriageway | Hit Object - Off Carriageway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Van or goods vehicle 3.5 tonnes mgw and under | -1 | Male | 36-45 | Vehicle proceeding normally along the carriageway, not on a bend | Front | Journey as part of work | None | None |
| 2 | Car (excluding private hire) | 7 | Male | 56-65 | Vehicle is slowing down or stopping | Back | Other | None | None |
| 3 | Car (excluding private hire) | -1 | Unknow <br> n | Unknown | Vehicle is waiting to proceed normally but is held up | Did not impact | Other | None | None |

## Casualties

| Vehicle Ref | Casualty Ref | Injury Severity | Casualty Class | Gender | Age Band | Pedestrian Location |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

For more information about the data please visit: www.crashmap.co.uk/home/Faq
To subscribe to unlimited reports using CrashMap Pro visit www.crashmap.co.uk/Home/Premium_Services
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Vehicles involved

| Vehicle Ref | Vehicle Type | Vehicle Age | Driver Gender | Driver Age Band | Vehicle Maneouvre | First Point of Impact | Journey Purpose | Hit Object - On Carriageway | Hit Object - Off Carriageway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Motorcycle over 500cc | 15 | Male | 26-35 | Vehicle proceeding normally along the carriageway, not on a bend | Offside | Other | None | Other permanent object |

## Casualties

| Vehicle Ref | Casualty Ref | Injury Severity | Casualty Class | Gender | Age Band | Pedestrian Location | Pedestrian Movement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | Slight | Driver or rider | Male | 26-35 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |

For more information about the data please visit: www.crashmap.co.uk/home/Faq
To subscribe to unlimited reports using CrashMap Pro visit www.crashmap.co.uk/Home/Premium_Services
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Vehicles involved

| Vehicle Ref | Vehicle Type | Vehicle Age | Driver Gender | Driver Age Band | Vehicle Maneouvre | First Point of Impact | Journey <br> Purpose | Hit Object - On Carriageway | Hit Object - Off Carriageway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Car (excluding private hire) | 2 | Male | 46-55 | Vehicle is in the act of turning right | Front | Other | None | None |
| 2 | Motorcycle over 500cc | 2 | Male | 56-65 | Vehicle proceeding normally along the carriageway, not on a bend | Front | Other | None | None |
| 3 | Car (excluding private hire) | -1 | Unknow n | Unknown | Vehicle is waiting to proceed normally but is held up | Did not impact | Other | None | None |

## Casualties

| Vehicle Ref | Casualty Ref | Injury Severity | Casualty Class | Gender | Age Band | Pedestrian Location |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Pedestrian Movement | 2 |
| :--- |
| 2 |
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Vehicles involved

| Vehicle Ref | Vehicle Type | Vehicle Age | Driver Gender | Driver Age Band | Vehicle Maneouvre | First Point of Impact | Journey Purpose | Hit Object - On Carriageway | Hit Object - Off Carriageway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Car (excluding private hire) | 7 | Male | 21-25 | Vehicle proceeding normally along the carriageway, not on a bend | Front | Other | None | Other permanent object |

## Casualties

| Vehicle Ref | Casualty Ref | Injury Severity | Casualty Class | Gender | Age Band | Pedestrian Location | Pedestrian Movement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | Slight | Driver or rider | Male | 21-25 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |

For more information about the data please visit: www.crashmap.co.uk/home/Faq
To subscribe to unlimited reports using CrashMap Pro visit www.crashmap.co.uk/Home/Premium_Services
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## Casualties

| Vehicle Ref | Casualty Ref | Injury Severity | Casualty Class | Gender | Age Band | Pedestrian Location | Pedestrian Movement |
| ---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | Slight | Driver or rider | Male | $66-75$ | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |
| 3 | 2 | Serious | Driver or rider | Male | $46-55$ | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |

For more information about the data please visit: www.crashmap.co.uk/home/Faq
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## Casualties

| Vehicle Ref | Casualty Ref | Injury Severity | Casualty Class | Gender | Age Band | Pedestrian Location | Pedestrian Movement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | Slight | Driver or rider | Male | 26-35 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |

For more information about the data please visit: www.crashmap.co.uk/home/Faq
To subscribe to unlimited reports using CrashMap Pro visit www.crashmap.co.uk/Home/Premium_Services
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Vehicles involved

| Vehicle Ref | Vehicle Type | Vehicle Age | Driver Gender | Driver Age Band | Vehicle Maneouvre | First Point of Impact | Journey <br> Purpose | Hit Object - On Carriageway | Hit Object - Off Carriageway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Car (excluding private hire) | -1 | Male | 16-20 | Vehicle proceeding normally along the carriageway, not on a bend | Front | Other | None | Telegraph pole/Electricity pole |

## Casualties

| Vehicle Ref | Casualty Ref | Injury Severity | Casualty Class | Gender | Age Band | Pedestrian Location |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

For more information about the data please visit: www.crashmap.co.uk/home/Fag
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Vehicles involved

| Vehicle Ref | Vehicle Type | Vehicle Age | Driver Gender | Driver Age Band | Vehicle Maneouvre | First Point of Impact | Journey Purpose | Hit Object - On Carriageway | Hit Object - Off Carriageway |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Car (excluding private hire) | 3 | Female | 26-35 | Vehicle is in the act of turning right | Front | Taking pupil to/from school | None | None |
|  | Car (excluding private hire) | 1 | Male | 36-45 | Vehicle proceeding normally along the carriageway, not on a bend | Nearside | Other | None | Other permanent object |

## Casualties

| Vehicle Ref | Casualty Ref | Injury Severity | Casualty Class | Gender | Age Band | Pedestrian Location | Pedestrian Movement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | Slight | Driver or rider | Male | 36-45 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |

For more information about the data please visit: www.crashmap.co.uk/home/Faq
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## Casualties

| Vehicle Ref | Casualty Ref | Injury Severity | Casualty Class | Gender | Age Band | Pedestrian Location | Pedestrian Movement |
| ---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | Slight | Driver or rider | Male | $56-65$ | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |
| 2 | 2 | Slight | Driver or rider | Female | $46-55$ | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |
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## Casualties

| Vehicle Ref | Casualty Ref | Injury Severity | Casualty Class | Gender | Age Band | Pedestrian Location | Pedestrian Movement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | Serious | Driver or rider | Female | 16-20 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |
| 2 | 2 | Serious | Vehicle or pillion passenger | Female | 66-75 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |
| 2 | 3 | Slight | Driver or rider | Male | 56-65 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |

For more information about the data please visit: www.crashmap.co.uk/home/Faq
To subscribe to unlimited reports using CrashMap Pro visit www.crashmap.co.uk/Home/Premium_Services
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## Casualties

| Vehicle Ref | Casualty Ref | Injury Severity | Casualty Class | Gender | Age Band | Pedestrian Location | Pedestrian Movement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | Serious | Driver or rider | Male | 26-35 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |
| 2 | 2 | Serious | Driver or rider | Male | 56-65 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |
| 2 | 3 | Serious | Vehicle or pillion passenger | Male | 56-65 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |

For more information about the data please visit: www.crashmap.co.uk/home/Faq
To subscribe to unlimited reports using CrashMap Pro visit www.crashmap.co.uk/Home/Premium_Services
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## Casualties

| Vehicle Ref | Casualty Ref | Injury Severity | Casualty Class | Gender | Age Band | Pedestrian Location | Pedestrian Movement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | Serious | Driver or rider | Female | 46-55 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |
| 2 | 2 | Slight | Driver or rider | Female | 56-65 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |
| 3 | 3 | Slight | Vehicle or pillion passenger | Male | 46-55 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |
| 3 | 4 | Slight | Driver or rider | Male | 56-65 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |

For more information about the data please visit: www.crashmap.co.uk/home/Faq
To subscribe to unlimited reports using CrashMap Pro visit www.crashmap.co.uk/Home/Premium_Services
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## Casualties

| Vehicle Ref | Casualty Ref | Injury Severity | Casualty Class | Gender | Age Band | Pedestrian Location | Pedestrian Movement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | Slight | Driver or rider | Male | 26-35 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |

For more information about the data please visit: www.crashmap.co.uk/home/Faq
To subscribe to unlimited reports using CrashMap Pro visit www.crashmap.co.uk/Home/Premium_Services
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## Casualties

| Vehicle Ref | Casualty Ref | Injury Severity | Casualty Class | Gender | Age Band | Pedestrian Location | Pedestrian Movement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | Slight | Driver or rider | Male | 46-55 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |

For more information about the data please visit: www.crashmap.co.uk/home/Faq
To subscribe to unlimited reports using CrashMap Pro visit www.crashmap.co.uk/Home/Premium_Services

| Crash Date: | Friday, March 15, 2019 Time of Crash: | 7:30:00 AM | Crash Reference: | 201963 | 019019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highest Injury Severity: | Serious Road Number: | A458 | Number of Casualties: | 3 |  |  |
| Highway Authority: | Powys |  | Number of Vehicles: | 2 |  |  |
| Local Authority: | Powys County |  | OS Grid Reference: | 325010 | 308840 |  |
| Weather Description: | Raining with high winds Wet or Damp |  |  |  |  |  |
| Speed Limit: | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Light Conditions: | Daylight: regardless of presence of streetlights |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carriageway Hazards: | None |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junction Detail: | T or staggered junction |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junction Pedestrian Crossing: | No physical crossing facility within 50 metres |  |  |  |  |  |
| Road Type: | Single carriageway |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junction Control: | Give way or uncontrolled |  |  |  |  |  |

For more information about the data please visit: www.crashmap.co.uk/home/Faq
To subscribe to unlimited reports using CrashMap Pro visit www.crashmap.co.uk/Home/Premium_Services


## Casualties

| Vehicle Ref | Casualty Ref | Injury Severity | Casualty Class | Gender | Age Band | Pedestrian Location | Pedestrian Movement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | Slight | Vehicle or pillion passenger | Female | 11-15 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |
| 2 | 2 | Serious | Driver or rider | Female | 26-35 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |
| 2 | 3 | Serious | Vehicle or pillion passenger | Male | 11-15 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |

For more information about the data please visit: www.crashmap.co.uk/home/Faq
To subscribe to unlimited reports using CrashMap Pro visit www.crashmap.co.uk/Home/Premium_Services
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## Casualties

| Vehicle Ref | Casualty Ref | Injury Severity | Casualty Class | Gender | Age Band | Pedestrian Location | Pedestrian Movement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | Serious | Driver or rider | Female | 66-75 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |
| 1 | 2 | Serious | Vehicle or pillion passenger | Male | 46-55 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |

For more information about the data please visit: www.crashmap.co.uk/home/Faq
To subscribe to unlimited reports using CrashMap Pro visit www.crashmap.co.uk/Home/Premium_Services
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## Casualties

| Vehicle Ref | Casualty Ref | Injury Severity | Casualty Class | Gender | Age Band | Pedestrian Location | Pedestrian Movement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | Serious | Driver or rider | Male | 56-65 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |

For more information about the data please visit: www.crashmap.co.uk/home/Faq
To subscribe to unlimited reports using CrashMap Pro visit www.crashmap.co.uk/Home/Premium_Services
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## Casualties

| Vehicle Ref | Casualty Ref | Injury Severity | Casualty Class | Gender | Age Band | Pedestrian Location | Pedestrian Movement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | Slight | Driver or rider | Male | 46-55 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |
| 2 | 2 | Slight | Vehicle or pillion passenger | Female | 36-45 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |
| 2 | 3 | Slight | Vehicle or pillion passenger | Male | 11-15 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |

For more information about the data please visit: www.crashmap.co.uk/home/Faq
To subscribe to unlimited reports using CrashMap Pro visit www.crashmap.co.uk/Home/Premium_Services
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## Casualties

| Vehicle Ref | Casualty Ref | Injury Severity | Casualty Class | Gender | Age Band | Pedestrian Location | Pedestrian Movement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | Slight | Driver or rider | Female | 21-25 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |
| 2 | 2 | Slight | Vehicle or pillion passenger | Female | 0-5 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |
| 2 | 3 | Slight | Vehicle or pillion passenger | Female | 16-20 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |

For more information about the data please visit: www.crashmap.co.uk/home/Faq
To subscribe to unlimited reports using CrashMap Pro visit www.crashmap.co.uk/Home/Premium_Services
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## Casualties

| Vehicle Ref | Casualty Ref | Injury Severity | Casualty Class | Gender | Age Band | Pedestrian Location | Pedestrian Movement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | Slight | Driver or rider | Male | 36-45 | Unknown or other | Unknown or other |

For more information about the data please visit: www.crashmap.co.uk/home/Faq
To subscribe to unlimited reports using CrashMap Pro visit www.crashmap.co.uk/Home/Premium_Services
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## FOREWORD

## General Conditions Relating To Site Investigation

This investigation has been devised to generally comply with the relevant principles and requirements of B.S.10175:2011+A2:2017 'Investigation of potentially contaminated sites - Code of practice', science report SC050021/SR3 'Updated Technical Background to the CLEA Model’ (Environment Agency, 2008), and Contaminated Land Report 11 'Model procedures for the management of contaminated land' (Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs and the Environment Agency, 2004) and BS EN 1997 (Eurocode 7). The recommendations made and opinions expressed in this report are based on the information obtained from the sources described using a methodology intended to provide reasonable consistency and robustness.

The opinions expressed in this report are based on the ground conditions revealed by the site works, together with an assessment of the site and of laboratory test results. Whilst opinions may be expressed relating to sub-soil conditions in parts of the site not investigated, for example between exploratory positions, these are only for guidance and no liability can be accepted for their accuracy.

Boring and sampling procedures are undertaken in accordance with B.S.5930:2015 'Code of Practice for Ground Investigations'. Likewise in-situ and laboratory testing complies with B.S.1377:1990 'Methods of Tests for Soils for Civil Engineering Purposes' and B.S.22475:2011, unless stated otherwise in the text. Chemical Testing has been undertaken by a UKAS accredited laboratory.
The groundwater conditions entered on the boring records are those observed at the time of investigation. The normal rate of boring usually does not permit the recording of an equilibrium water level for any one water strike. Moreover, groundwater levels are subject to seasonal variation or changes in local drainage conditions.

Some items of the investigation have been provided by third parties and whilst Harrison Group have no reason to doubt the accuracy, the items relied on have not been verified. No responsibility can be accepted for errors within third party items presented in this report.

This report is produced in accordance with the scope of Harrison Group's appointment and is subject to the terms of appointment. Harrison Group accepts no liability for any use of this document other than by its client and only for the purposes, for which it was designed and produced. No responsibility can be accepted for any consequences of this information being passed to a third party who may act upon its contents/recommendations.

Any advice, opinions, or recommendations within this document should be read and relied upon only in the context of the document as a whole. The contents of this document are not to be construed as providing legal, business or tax advice or opinion.

# PRELIMINARY SOURCES SCOPING REPORT <br> FOR LAND AT 

## BUTTINGTON QUARRY, WELSHPOOL

## 1 TERMS OF REFERENCE \& INTRODUCTION

The work covered by this report was requested by Environmental Compliance Ltd. and was undertaken on behalf of Broad Environmental Ltd in accordance Harrison Group Environmental Limited's quotation (GC23128_Q_JAU) dated 10th October 2019.

This Preliminary Sources Scoping Report (PSSR) should be read in conjunction with the Statement of Intent (ref. GC23128_Sol, dated December 2019). The purpose of the PSSR was to provide a review of available existing information, complete a walkover inspection and geotechnical assessment of the existing outer (eastern) quarry and area proposed to form a new access road.

The report was undertaken in order to address the requirements of the planning permission granted by Powys County Council (ref. P/2015/0439), Condition 3 which states:

Prior to the commencement of works the developer shall submit a scheme that includes detailed design drawings and calculations of the proposed new highway cutting, a catch ditch to prevent scree from obscuring highway visibility and exposure of rock formations of geological interest for the written approval of the Local Planning Authority. This submission must be prepared by a Geotechnical consultant in accordance with DMRB HD22/08- "Managing Geotechnical Risk" and be accompanied by a Geotechnical Certificate signed by the applicants Geotechnical Advisor. Upon written approval of the Local Planning Authority, the scheme shall be implemented in full.

Since publication of the planning permission, HD22/08 has been superseded by CD 622 Managing Geotechnical Risk, Revision 1, dated August 2019.

Although the proposed works require the cutting of in-situ bedrock at a maximum angle of $1 \mathrm{v}: 1 \mathrm{~h}\left(45^{\circ}\right)$, this will be setback from the existing highway and a 1 m deep catch trench is proposed. A such, this will provide an improvement to safety of the existing highway. Given the scale and nature of the proposed works, this is considered to fall into Geotechnical Category 1.

## 2 BACKGROUND

Butting Quarry lies to the northeast of Buttington village, immediately east of the A458 between Welshpool and Shrewsbury. The quarry has been historically worked since the late 1800's primarily as a brickworks, but also for the extraction of bulk and screened rock fill.

The main quarry has not been operational for around 20 years, although rock fill extraction is currently being undertaken in the vicinity of the proposed new site access road, which lies in the west of the site. The base of the quarry in this area is present at an elevation of 82-83m AOD, which is approximately coincident with the elevation of the adjacent A428 at the location of the proposed new site entrance.

## 3 SITE SETTING AND PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

### 3.1 Site Setting

The existing site comprises the western fringe of the existing Buttington Quarry, immediately east of the adjacent A458. It lies 3.1 km northeast of Welshpool and is shown on Drawing Ref. GC23128_DR001.

### 3.2 Proposed Development

The Development is for the construction and operation of an Energy Recovery Facility (ERF) capable of generating around 13 MWe of low carbon and renewable electrical energy (when operational in full condensing mode) through the thermal treatment of up to 167,000 tonnes per annum of residual MSW and MSW like waste ("the feedstock"). The feedstock would arise from industrial and commercial sources and would consist of material suitable for energy recovery.

The ERF would be capable of generating both electrical and heat energy from the thermal recovery of energy through the process and so would be classed as a Combined Heat and Power plant, often referred to by the acronym CHP plant.
The proposed development relating to the highway adjacent to Buttington Quarry will include formation of a new access route as indicated on Drawing Ref. GC23128_DR001. The new site entrance will access from the existing A458 at National Grid Reference 326262, 309914 directly onto the site which lies to the east. It is proposed that as part of the access construction, improvements will be made to the visibility splay by cutting back the existing slope to form an open verge extending a distance of approximately 170 m to the north.

The slope will be formed at a gradient of $1 \mathrm{v}: 1 \mathrm{~h}\left(45^{\circ}\right)$ to a maximum height of approximately 16 m . The toe of the cutting will also incorporate a 1 m deep catch trench to prevent any spalling material from impacting on the verge or adjacent highway. This will result in a verge of up to 15 m wide between the outer edge of the catch trench and the adjacent A458.

## 4 <br> GEOLOGY

Review of records held by the British Geological Survey (BGS) indicates that the site is underlain from ground level by bedrock deposits of the Silurian age Cefn Formation (Cfn) in the north, which comprises interbedded mudstones and sandstones. To the south of the site lies the Tarannon Mudstone Formation (Tar), also of Silurian Age.

These are overlain by the Trewern Brook Mudstone (TBM) which lies to the southeast. To the northwest of the site, the Cefn Formation is unconformably underlain by the Stone House Shale Formation (StH), which is Ordovician in age.

An extract of the BGS mapping covering the site is presented below showing the distribution of each formation in relation to the proposed works.


Figure 4.1 Extract from BGS 1:50,000 scale geological mapping sheet 151, Welshpool
No historical British Geological Survey held borehole records are available within the site or nearby surrounding area.

## 5 SITE WALKOVER AND INSPECTION

To enable assessment of the current condition of the site and to allow inspection of the proposed development area, a site inspection was undertaken by an experienced geotechnical engineer from Harrison Group Environmental Ltd on $29{ }^{\text {th }}$ October 2019. The walkover was undertaken during clear, dry weather conditions. A photographic record of the walkover is provided in the appendix, with individual areas discussed in more detail below.

The area of the proposed new access road and associated works lie towards the south-western end of the quarry site, approximately 50 m north of the existing weighbridge office. The access road alignment will head east off the A428 for approximately 175 m before turning northeast into the main quarry void. This area is currently being operated for the extraction of rock fill materials which has resulted in two excavation slopes, formed from individual faces and benches, between which is an open horizonal working area to allow access to delivery vehicles/trucks and associated plant.

### 5.1 North-Eastern Excavation

The north-eastern slope, as shown in Photograph 1 comprises a series of five faces and four benches. Access to the three lower benches is achieved from the southeast corner of the slope, with access to the upper bench from a separate haul road which approaches from the northeast, at the entrance to the main quarry.

Individual faces have been formed at heights of between $2-3 \mathrm{~m}$ up to a maximum of 7 m at gradients of generally between $1 \mathrm{v}: 0.83 \mathrm{~h}\left(50^{\circ}\right)$ and $1 \mathrm{v}: 0.73 \mathrm{~h}\left(54^{\circ}\right)$ but locally up to a maximum of approximately $1 \mathrm{v}: 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$ (63 ${ }^{\circ}$ ).
As can be seen in Photograph 1, the Cefn Formation comprises light brown and grey thinly interbedded mudstones and sandstones. Bedding is generally at around $80^{\circ}$, dipping to the southeast and striking at $265^{\circ}(\mathrm{W})$. Locally, bedding is affected by either faulting or localised folding which is most notable in the upper bench visible in Photograph 1 and more closely in Photograph 4, which has resulted in bedding being rotated to dip at approximately $45^{\circ}$ to the southwest (out of the face). Photograph 3 also shows an area located close to the western end of the face where small scale faulting (slickensides observed on face) has resulted in a block of material sliding out of the face.

Overall the lower three faces of this slope have been formed at a gradient of $1 \mathrm{v}: 1.66 \mathrm{~h}\left(31^{\circ}\right)$.
It was noted that a significant amount of fine grained, friable scree (silt to sand with occasional fine gravel) was present at the base of each of the faces, although this is considered likely to be the result of excavation activities, rather than weathering and spalling of material. Overall, the faces appeared to be in a stable condition with no indications of tension cracking, deformation or groundwater seepages.

### 5.2 Western Excavation

In contrast to the north-eastern excavation, the western area is of limited vertical extent due to topography rising up from the A458 to the west. In this area the excavation is formed from two faces with a maximum overall height of approximately 6 m . Bedding was consistent with that observed to the northwest, and there were no obvious indications of faulting or folding disrupting the overall structure. The lower face is up to 2 m high with the upper face at between 2 m and 4 m in height.

The lower portion of each face is covered by scree which was present at approximately $1 \mathrm{v}: 1.2 \mathrm{~h}\left(40^{\circ}\right)$. The upper faces were excavated at an angle of $70-80^{\circ}$ and generally appeared stable, although some localised small hanging blocks were observed. However, overall the excavation faces appeared stable with no indications of tension cracking, deformation or groundwater seepages.

The material appeared slightly less weathered and more competent in this area, particularly towards the southern end of the excavation as shown in Photographs 6 and 7. Spalled material was less friable and was present at the base of the lower slope up to 130 mm in size, generally grading down to $2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Photograph 8 shows the crest of the western excavation where vegetation has recently been cleared exposing residual soils formed the underlying material. The ground had recently been disturbed but there were no indications of tension cracking or ground movement along the entire western crest of the excavation.

### 5.3 A458 Existing Western Slope

Photographs 10 and 11 show the existing slope which falls to the west down to the A458. This area has recently been cleared of trees along the majority of the proposed works area. This slope is generally present at approximately $35-40^{\circ}$ and could not be safely accessed during the walkover. Observations made from the crest of the slope indicated that it was in a stable condition with no visible tension cracking or deformation. The slope is likely to have been subject to minor soil creep as indicated by slight curvature in some tree trunks remaining on the slope, although this would not be considered to represent a significant risk.

As part of the proposed works, this slope will be cut back to form the new verge in order to improve visibility for the new junction.

Two historical workings are recorded in the western slope of the A458; a smaller excavation in the south and a larger one to the north, both located to the north of the proposed new access. Safe access into the former workings was not possible during the site walkover. However, when observed from the crest each appear to be heavily vegetated with shrubs and small trees with only limited areas of the underlying rock mass and scree visible.

The larger working in the north has slopes ranging from approximately $35^{\circ}$ at the base up to subvertical at the crest of the excavation. Anecdotal evidence suggests both excavations have been present for a significant period of time (likely more than 20 years), and despite the steep slopes present, no evidence of instability or movement observed, apart from spalled material at the base of the slopes.

## 6 STABILITY OF NEW EXCAVATIONS

Although evidence suggests that slopes greater than $45^{\circ}$ will stand unsupported for a significant period of time, as observed in the existing quarry and former excavations on the western side of the A458, westering and spalling will result in a shallower slope angle to form in the long term. The natural angle of repose of scree within the existing quarry appears to be at $35-40^{\circ}$, and as such the proposed cut angle of $45^{\circ}$ is likely to generate a limited amount of scree, albeit to a much lesser degree than that observed from steeper slope.

Vegetation growing on the $45^{\circ}$ cut slope will help to mitigate the effect of surface water runoff washing fine scree downslope. This may be achieved by planting of grass and shrubs or allowing the slope to vegetate naturally. Low lying shrubs and undergrowth have a stabilising effect on shallow soils as a result of root growth; large trees can have a much greater reinforcing effect while they stand, but should trees be blown down during storms or root balls rot once the trees die, this can result in localised oversteepening and instability. As such, it is recommended that trees are not allowed to grow to maturity and that vegetation is regularly controlled.

As previously recommended in the Veryards Ltd Geotechnical Report dated August 1999, the proposed design incorporates a 1 m deep trench at the base of the slope with $1 \mathrm{v}: 1 \mathrm{~h}\left(45^{\circ}\right)$ sides. This will act to retain any spalling material and prevent material falling onto the verge or adjacent carriageway. The ditch should be drained to prevent surface water build up.

## 7 CONCLUSIONS

It is considered that the proposed verge widening and slope cutting to be formed at a gradient of $1 \mathrm{v}: 1 \mathrm{~h}$ $\left(45^{\circ}\right)$ associated with the new site access will remain stable in the long term. It is recommended that the catch ditch will be required to ensure any spalled material is retained to the base of the cutting intrusive ground investigation is considered necessary, although it is recommended that the proposed works are overseen by a qualified person to ensure excavation is undertaken in a safe manner and that the final cut slopes are inspected to ensure the local conditions are as expected and are not impacted by unfavourable bedding, faulting, groundwater seepages or other factors which could detrimentally affect their long term stability.
Should any faulting or localised folding be encountered on the final excavation face, adjustment to the final gradient may be necessary to ensure planar surfaces to not exist at a potentially detrimental angle out of the face, as this could result in sliding failure. As such it would be prudent of a suitable qualified person to
be present at the time of construction to verify the condition of the slope and the assumptions made based on outcrop within the quarry excavation immediately to the east.
To ensure loose material is stabilised on the surface of the slope, it is considered that hydro-seeding should be undertaken as soon as possible following excavation, as this would be beneficial in promoting rapid vegetation growth. As an alternative, conventional seeding could achieve a similar result in a slightly longer timespan. It is recommended that large tree species are not permitted to become established on the slope, although slow growing, small/dwarf tree species should not cause any issues with regard to stability in the long term.
We recommend that this report is submitted to Regulators as part of the planning process.
Harrison Group Environmental Limited would be pleased to offer further assistance with the recommended works if requested, and if the client or regulators have any comments or questions we would be glad to discuss them.

## REFERENCES

BS EN 1997-1:2004 + A1:2013, 'Eurocode 7: Geotechnical Design - Part 1: General rules".
HD22/08: Managing Geotechnical Risk Implementation Guidance - Wales, DMRB 4.1.2, December 2009. Design Manual for Roads and Bridges CD 622 Managing Geotechnical Risk (formerly HD 22/08, BD 10/97, HA 120/08) Revision 0

## LIST OF DRAWINGS

| Site Location Plan | GC23128-DR001 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Annotated Location Plan | GC23128-DR002 |
| Photographic Record | GC23128-DR002a-c |
| Proposed Access | CC6532/SK20 Rev. C |
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 Overall face is dry with no indications of groundwater seepag.


Photograph 2: View looking north into corner of existing excavation adjacent to new access road.


Photograph 3: Possible fault zone present in lower face or northern excavation.


Photograph 4: Faulting and deformation of beds in upper face resulting in planar surface dipping out of the face at approximately $45^{\circ}$.
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Photograph 6: Spalled material present at base of western excavation, naturally forming blocks of approximately $50-130 \mathrm{~mm}$ in size. dry with no indications of groundwater seepage.


Photograph 7: General view looking north along the western excavation Some spalling at base of slope but excavation appears stable at $70-80^{\circ}$.


Photograph 8: View looking northern along crest of western excavation. Vegetation has been cleared with good exposure. No indications of tension cracking, deformation or signs of instability.
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## Appendix A. Geotechnical Certificate (DRAFT)

Certificate to be used by the Designer for certifying the design of geotechnical works. Variations to be agreed by discussion between the DGA and the OOGA.

## Geotechnical Certificate

OO Reference No.: TBC - Approach agreed with Casey Dunn with lain McKenzie to act as OOGA.

1. We, the 'Design Organisation' (insert name) certifies that the submitted information for the geotechnical activities listed below have been prepared by us with reasonable professional skill and diligence, and that in our opinion:
i. constitutes and adequate and economic design for the project
ii. appropriate solutions to all the reasonably foreseeable geotechnical risks have been incorporated
iii. the work intended is accurately represented and conforms to OO's requirements
iv. with the exception of any item listed below or appended overleaf, the documentation has been prepared in accordance with the relevant documents from the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges and the Manual of Contract Documents for Highway Works.
and, where necessary,
v. The design elements covered in this certificate are not detrimental to the design elements previously certified and not amended by this certificate. [end Note 1]

## LIST OF SUBMITTED INFORMATION

Statement of Intent (ref. GC23128_Sol dated March 2020)
Preliminary Sources Study Report (ref. GC23128_PSSR dated March 2020
DEPARTURES FROM DMRB DOCUMENTS (List or None)
None
INCORPORATION OF GEOTECHNICAL DATA INTO CONSTRUCTION DETAILS (where relevant)

The reports, design data, drawings or documents listed above have been accurately translated onto the construction drawings or other design documents bearing the unique numbers listed below/appended overleaf.

TBC on completion of design and construction documentation and drawings

## Signed and dated by the DGA

Where necessary, also signed with date and organisation by the Contractor (agent or Contracts Director)
Countersignature by Overseeing Organisation (*delete or strike through as necessary)
This Certificate is:
(a) received*
(b) received with comments as follows.*
(c) returned marked 'comments' as follows:*

[^9]

Document: Statement of Intent
Project: Buttington Quarry, Welshpool
Reference No: GC23128_Sol
Date: March 2020
Prepared for: Broad Environmental Limited c/o Environmental Compliance Limited

## harrisongeotechnical ENGINEERING
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## FOREWORD

The recommendations made and opinions expressed in this report are based on the information obtained from the sources described using a methodology intended to provide reasonable consistency and robustness.

The opinions expressed in this report are based on the ground conditions revealed by the site works or inspection, together with an assessment of the site. Whilst opinions may be expressed relating to sub-soil conditions in parts of the site not investigated or visible, for example between exploratory positions or outcrop exposure, these are only for guidance and no liability can be accepted for their accuracy.

Some information of the assessment has been provided by third parties and whilst Harrison Group have no reason to doubt the accuracy, the items relied on have not been verified. No responsibility can be accepted for errors within third party items presented in this report.

This report is produced in accordance with the scope of Harrison Group's appointment and is subject to the terms of appointment. Harrison Group accepts no liability for any use of this document other than by its client and only for the purposes, for which it was designed and produced. No responsibility can be accepted for any consequences of this information being passed to a third party who may act upon its contents/recommendations.

Any advice, opinions, or recommendations within this document should be read and relied upon only in the context of the document as a whole. The contents of this document are not to be construed as providing legal, business or tax advice or opinion.

## STATEMENT OF INTENT

## FOR LAND AT

## BUTTINGTON QUARRY, WELSHPOOL

## 1 PROJECT

### 1.1 TERMS OF REFERENCE \& INTRODUCTION

The work covered by this report was requested by Environmental Compliance Ltd. and was undertaken on behalf of Broad Environmental Ltd in accordance Harrison Group Environmental Limited's quotation (GC23128_Q_JAU) dated 10th October 2019.

This Statement of Intent should be read in conjunction with the Preliminary Sources Scoping Report (PSSR) (ref. GC23128_PSSR, dated January 2019) which provides details of the site walkover and assessment in relation to the proposed works. The purpose of the Statement of Intent is to provide a summary overview of the proposed development and an initial review in relation to potential geotechnical issues relating to such development.

The report was undertaken in order to address the requirements of the planning permission granted by Powys County Council (ref. P/2015/0439), Condition 3 which states:

Prior to the commencement of works the developer shall submit a scheme that includes detailed design drawings and calculations of the proposed new highway cutting, a catch ditch to prevent scree from obscuring highway visibility and exposure of rock formations of geological interest for the written approval of the Local Planning Authority. This submission must be prepared by a Geotechnical consultant in accordance with DMRB HD22/08- "Managing Geotechnical Risk" and be accompanied by a Geotechnical Certificate signed by the applicants Geotechnical Advisor. Upon written approval of the Local Planning Authority, the scheme shall be implemented in full.

Since publication of the planning permission, HD22/08 has been superseded by CD 622 Managing Geotechnical Risk, Revision 1, dated August 2019.

### 1.2 Background

Butting Quarry lies to the northeast of Buttington village, immediately east of the A458 between Welshpool and Shrewsbury. The quarry has been historically worked since the late 1800's primarily as a brickworks but also for the extraction of bulk and screened rock fill.

The main quarry has not been operational for around 20 years, although rock fill extraction is currently being undertaken in the vicinity of the proposed new site access road, which lies in the west of the site. The base of the quarry in this area is present at an elevation of 82-83m AOD, which is approximately coincident with the elevation of the adjacent A428 at the location of the proposed new site entrance.

### 1.3 Site Setting and Proposed Development

The existing site comprises the western fringe of the existing Buttington Quarry, immediately east of the adjacent A458.

The proposed overall development is for the construction and operation of an Energy Recovery Facility (ERF) capable of generating around 13MWe of low carbon and renewable electrical energy (when operational in full condensing mode) through the thermal treatment of up to 167,000 tonnes per annum of residual MSW and MSW like waste ("the feedstock"). The feedstock would arise from industrial and commercial sources and would consist of material suitable for energy recovery.

The ERF would be capable of generating both electrical and heat energy from the thermal recovery of energy through the process and so would be classed as a Combined Heat and Power plant, often referred to by the acronym CHP plant.
The proposed development relating to the highway adjacent to Buttington Quarry will include formation of a new access route as indicated on Drawing Ref. GC23128_DR001. The new site entrance will access from the existing A458 at National Grid Reference 326262, 309914 directly onto the site which lies to the east. It is proposed that as part of the access construction, improvements will be made to the visibility splay by
cutting back the existing slope to form an open verge extending a distance of approximately 170 m to the north.

The slope will be formed at a gradient of $1 \mathrm{v}: 1 \mathrm{~h}\left(45^{\circ}\right)$ to a maximum height of approximately 16 m . The toe of the cutting will also incorporate a 1 m deep catch trench to prevent any spalling material from impacting on the verge or adjacent highway. This will result in a verge of up to 15 m wide between the outer edge of the catch trench and the adjacent A458.

## 2 OBJECTIVES

The objective of the proposed project is to provide improved, safe access into the existing quarry to to accommodate future industrial/commercial development.

## 3 EXISTING INFORMATION

Existing information relating to the proposed new junction and access road into Buttington Quarry comprise the following:

1. A458 Alternative Access Appraisal, Buttington Quarry, Geotechnical Report, Veryards Ltd. Ref. CC6532/GR/FD, dated August 1999.
2. Geotechnical report review letter by Opus International Consultants (UK) Ltd dated $12^{\text {th }}$ April 2010.

A copy of the above documents is appended to this report.

## 4 GEOTECHNICAL RISK

The following table provides a preliminary summary of key geotechnical risks that are envisaged.

| Hazard | Requires further consideration? | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mining | No | No coal mining is recorded within 1 km of the site. <br> The site is currently operated as a quarry for the extraction of general/rock fill and aggregate. No underground works are associated with the historical or current site operations. |
| Underground voids or cavities | No | The site is underlain bedrock comprising the Cefn Sandstone and Tarannon Mudstone Formation of Silurian age. The risk of natural cavities in these strata is considered to be very low and does not require further consideration. |
| High groundwater level/flooding | Yes | Groundwater is understood to be present at depth beneath the site and no groundwater seepages have been recorded in the existing quarry cuttings and exposure faces. <br> Review of the magic.defra.gov.uk dataset indicates the site lies within an area designated as a 'High Priority - Flood Risk Management Priorities'. A west flowing watercourse lies approximately 140 m to the east of the site. Further information is required to clarify the level risk on surface water flooding. |
| Slope Stability | Yes | The proposed access road is currently being quarries for the extraction of general rockfill. The existing exposure should be inspected to determine quality of the insitu rock mass, orientation of bedding/structures and determine the need for further assessment or investigation. |
| Shrink/swell potential | No | Cohesive (potentially shrinkable) soils are not expected on the site. As such, further assessment is not required. |
| Uncontrolled backfill/Potential for unknown made/filled ground | No | The area of proposed development will be quarried and cut to provide new access road with proposed slopes formed within the in-situ bedrock. As such, this element does not require further assessment. |
| Relict foundations/ below ground structures. | No | The area of proposed development will be quarried and cut to provide new access road with proposed slopes formed within the in-situ bedrock. As such, this element does not require further assessment. |

Table 4.1 Summary of Key Geotechnical Risks
Although the proposed works require the cutting of in-situ bedrock at a maximum angle of $1 \mathrm{v}: 1 \mathrm{~h}\left(45^{\circ}\right)$, this will be setback from the existing highway and a 1 m deep catch trench is proposed. A such, this will provide
an improvement to safety of the existing highway. Given the scale and nature of the proposed works, this is considered to fall into Geotechnical Category 1.

## 5 PROPOSED STUDIES AND INVESTIGATIONS

Significant exposure of the underlying bedrock is present within the site adjacent to the proposed development area. As such, it is considered that intrusive investigation will not be required. It is proposed that a site walkover is completed by a competent geotechnical engineer to assess condition of the existing rock faces and provide recommendations in relation to the proposed development.

## 6 SPECIALIST CONSULTATION

None required.

## 7 PROGRAMME

Submission of Planning application is proposed for September 2020.
Construction anticipated Q1/Q2 2022.

We recommend that this report is submitted to Regulators as part of the planning process.
Harrison Group Environmental Limited would be pleased to offer further assistance with the recommended works if requested, and if the client or regulators have any comments or questions we would be glad to discuss them.

## REFERENCES

BS EN 1997-1:2004 + A1:2013, 'Eurocode 7: Geotechnical Design - Part 1: General rules".
HD22/08: Managing Geotechnical Risk Implementation Guidance - Wales, DMRB 4.1.2, December 2009. Design Manual for Roads and Bridges CD 622 Managing Geotechnical Risk (formerly HD 22/08, BD 10/97, HA 120/08) Revision 0, 2019.
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12 April 2010

Border Hardcore \& Rockery Stone Co. Ltd
Buttington Quarry
Welshpool
Powys
SY21 8SZ

Dear Sirs

## C8039 - Buttington Quarry: Alternative Access <br> Geotechnical Report

With reference to the Geotechnical Report undertaken by Veryards Ltd, referenced CC6532/GR/FD dated August 1999, we confirm that the information contained within has been reviewed and found to still be current.

Should you require any further information please do not hesitate to contact me.
Yours faithfully,

Levi Major
Engineering Geologist


## Technical Appendix 8-2

PICADY Outputs - Decommissioning Phase

## Junctions 8

## PICADY 8 - Priority Intersection Module

ersion: 8.0.6.541 [19821,26/11/2015] © Copyright TRL Limited, 2020
For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL: Tel: +44(0)1344770758 email: Web: http://www.trlsoftware.co.uk
The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: A458-Site Access (Decommisioning).arc8
Path: C:IITL Jobs\IT1921 Buttington Quarry\PICADY\Junction 4
Report generation date: 06/08/2020 11:37:35
" (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2055 + Committed + Development, AM
" (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2055 + Committed + Development, PM

## Summary of junction performance

|  | AM |  |  |  | PM |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS | Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | RFC | LOS |  |
|  | A1 - Base 2055 + Committed + Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stream B-C | 0.07 | 12.21 | 0.07 | B | 0.04 | 9.24 | 0.03 | A |  |
| Stream B-A | 0.21 | 22.55 | 0.18 | C | 0.09 | 12.92 | 0.09 | B |  |
| Stream C-A | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stream C-B | 0.24 | 9.23 | 0.20 | A | 0.06 | 9.95 | 0.05 | A |  |
| Stream A-B | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stream A-C | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Values shown are the maximum values over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.
"D1 - Base 2055 + Committed + Development, AM " model duration: 07:45-09:15
"D2 - Base 2055 + Committed + Development, PM" model duration: 16:15-17:45

Run using Junctions 8.0.6.541 at 06/08/2020 11:37:34
File summary

| Title | A458 - Site Access Junction |
| :--- | :---: |
| Location | Buttington, Wales |
| Site Number | Junction 4 |
| Date | $31 / 07 / 2020$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | (new file) |
| Identifier |  |
| Client | ECL |
| Jobnumber |  |
| Enumerator | Dshrivastava |
| Description |  |

Analysis Options

| Vehicle Length <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Do Queue <br> Variations | Calculate Residual <br> Capacity | Residual Capacity Criteria <br> Type | RFC <br> Threshold | Average Delay Threshold <br> $(\mathbf{s})$ | Queue Threshold <br> $($ PCU $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.75 |  |  | N/A | 0.85 | 36.00 | 20.00 |

## Units

| Distance Units | Speed Units | Traffic Units Input | Traffic Units Results | Flow Units | Average Delay Units | Total Delay Units | Rate Of Delay Units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | kph | Veh | Veh | perHour | s | - Min | perMin |

## (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2055 + Committed + Development, AM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings
Analysis Set Details

| Name | Roundabout Capacity Model | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) | N/A |  |  | 100.000 |  |

Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario Name | Time Period Name | Description | Traffic Profile Type | Model Start Time (HH:mm) | Model Finish Time (HH:mm) | Model Time Period Length (min) | Time Segment Length (min) | Single Time Segment Only | Locked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base 2055 + <br> Committed + <br> Development, AM | Base 2055 + Committed + Development | AM |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ONE } \\ & \text { HOUR } \end{aligned}$ | 07:45 | 09:15 | 90 | 15 |  |  |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major Road Direction | Arm Order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | T-Junction | Two-way | $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ | 13.47 | B |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

Arms

| Arm | Arm | Name | Description | Arm Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| A | A | A458 North |  | Major |
| B | B | Site Access |  | Minor |
| C | C | A458 South |  | Major |

Major Arm Geometry

| Arm | Width of <br> carriageway ( $\mathbf{m}$ ) | Has kerbed central <br> reserve | Width of kerbed central <br> reserve $(\mathbf{m})$ | Has right <br> turn bay | Width For Right <br> Turn $(\mathbf{m})$ | Visibility For Right <br> Turn $(\mathbf{m})$ | Blocks? | Blocking Queue <br> $($ PCU $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | 6.00 |  | 0.00 | $\checkmark$ | 3.50 | 165.00 |  |  |

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

## Minor Arm Geometry

| Arm | Minor Arm Type | Lane Width (m) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lane } \\ \text { Width } \\ (\text { Left })(m) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lane } \\ \text { Width } \\ \text { (Right) }(\mathrm{m}) \end{gathered}$ | Width at give-way (m) | Width at 5m (m) | Width at 10m (m) | Width at <br> 15m (m) | Width at 20m (m) | Estimate Flare Length | Flare Length (PCU) | Visibility To Left (m) | Visibility To Right (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | One lane plus flare |  |  |  | 10.00 | 7.47 | 5.00 | 4.13 | 4.00 |  | 1.00 | 160 | 160 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

| Junction | Stream | Intercept <br> (Veh/hr) | Slope <br> for <br> A-B | Slope <br> for <br> A-C | Slope <br> for <br> C-A | Slope <br> for <br> C-B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-A | 685.457 | 0.125 | 0.316 | 0.199 | 0.451 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-C | 747.824 | 0.115 | 0.290 | - | - |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | C-B | 764.243 | 0.296 | 0.296 | - | - |

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.
Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.
Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Time | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Entry | PCU <br> Vehicle Mix <br> Source | Factor <br> for a HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Turn | Turning <br> Pary Overtions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV <br> Vary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Entry Flows

General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 628.00 | 100.000 |
| B | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 51.00 | 100.000 |
| C | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 573.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts / Proportions (Veh/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.000 | 77.000 | 551.000 |
|  | B | 31.000 | 0.000 | 20.000 |
|  | C | 487.000 | 86.000 | 0.000 |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.88 |
|  | B | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.39 |
|  | C | 0.85 | 0.15 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 1.000 | 1.246 | 1.032 |
|  | B | 1.613 | 1.000 | 1.650 |
|  | C | 1.061 | 1.130 | 1.000 |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.0 | 24.6 | 3.2 |
|  | B | 61.3 | 0.0 | 65.0 |
|  | C | 6.1 | 13.0 | 0.0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 0.07 | 12.21 | 0.07 | B |
| B-A | 0.18 | 22.55 | 0.21 | C |
| C-A | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 0.20 | 9.23 | 0.24 | A |
| A-B | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | - | - | - | - |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (07:45-08:00)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 15.06 | 14.89 | 0.00 | 364.23 | 0.041 | 0.04 | 10.301 | B |
| B-A | 23.34 | 22.96 | 0.00 | 267.14 | 0.087 | 0.09 | 14.721 | B |
| C-A | 366.64 | 366.64 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 64.75 | 64.21 | 0.00 | 545.21 | 0.119 | 0.13 | 7.477 | A |
| A-B | 57.97 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| A-C | 414.82 | 414.82 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (08:00-08:15)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 17.98 | 17.93 | 0.00 | 345.26 | 0.052 | 0.05 | 10.996 | B |
| B-A | 27.87 | 27.72 | 0.00 | 236.35 | 0.118 | 0.13 | 17.244 | C |
| C-A | 437.80 | 437.80 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 77.31 | 77.15 | 0.00 | 519.77 | 0.149 | 0.17 | 8.131 | A |
| A-B | 69.22 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| A-C | 495.34 | 495.34 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (08:15-08:30)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 22.02 | 21.94 | 0.00 | 317.17 | 0.069 | 0.07 | 12.191 | B |
| B-A | 34.13 | 33.82 | 0.00 | 193.77 | 0.176 | 0.21 | 22.472 | C |
| C-A | 536.20 | 536.20 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 94.69 | 94.42 | 0.00 | 484.58 | 0.195 | 0.24 | 9.220 | A |
| A-B | 84.78 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| A-C | 606.66 | 606.66 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (08:30-08:45)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 22.02 | 22.02 | 0.00 | 316.79 | 0.070 | 0.07 | 12.212 | B |
| B-A | 34.13 | 34.12 | 0.00 | 193.77 | 0.176 | 0.21 | 22.546 | C |
| C-A | 536.20 | 536.20 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 94.69 | 94.68 | 0.00 | 484.58 | 0.195 | 0.24 | 9.232 | A |
| A-B | 84.78 | 84.78 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 606.66 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Main results: (08:45-09:00)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 17.98 | 18.05 | 0.00 | 344.72 | 0.052 | 0.06 | 11.022 | B |
| B-A | 27.87 | 28.17 | 0.00 | 236.40 | 0.118 | 0.14 | 17.313 | C |
| C-A | 437.80 | 437.80 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 77.31 | 77.57 | 0.00 | 519.77 | 0.149 | 0.18 | 8.145 | A |
| A-B | 69.22 | 69.22 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 495.34 | 495.34 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (09:00-09:15)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | Los |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 15.06 | 15.11 | 0.00 | 363.70 | 0.041 | 0.04 | 10.328 | B |
| B-A | 23.34 | 23.49 | 0.00 | 267.11 | 0.087 | 0.10 | 14.785 | B |
| C-A | 366.64 | 366.64 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 64.75 | 64.91 | 0.00 | 545.21 | 0.119 | 0.14 | 7.496 | A |
| A-B | 57.97 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| A-C | 414.82 | 414.82 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

## (Default Analysis Set) - Base 2055 + Committed + Development, PM

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings
Analysis Set Details

| Name | Roundabout Capacity Model | Description | Locked | Network Flow Scaling Factor (\%) | Reason For Scaling Factors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Default Analysis Set) | N/A |  |  | 100.000 |  |

## Demand Set Details

| Name | Scenario Name | Time <br> Period <br> Name | Description | Traffic <br> Profile <br> Type | Model Start <br> Time <br> (HH:mm) | Model <br> Finish Time <br> (HH:mm) | Model Time <br> Period <br> Length <br> (min) | Time <br> Segment <br> Length <br> (min) | Single Time <br> Segment <br> Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base 2055+ + <br> Committed + <br> Development, PM | Base 2055 <br> Committed + <br> Development | PM |  | ONE | $16: 15$ | $17: 45$ | 90 | 15 |  |

## Junction Network

## Junctions

| Junction | Name | Junction Type | Major Road Direction | Arm Order | Junction Delay (s) | Junction LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (untitled) | T-Junction | Two-way | A,B,C | 11.00 | B |

## Junction Network Options

| Driving Side | Lighting |
| :---: | :---: |
| Left | Normal/unknown |

## Arms

## Arms

| Arm | Arm | Name | Description | Arm Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | A | A458 North |  | Major |
| B | B | Site Access |  | Minor |
| C | C | A458 South |  | Major |

Major Arm Geometry

| Arm | Width of <br> carriageway ( $\mathbf{m}$ ) | Has kerbed central <br> reserve | Width of kerbed central <br> reserve $(\mathbf{m})$ | Has right <br> turn bay | Width For Right <br> Turn $(\mathbf{m})$ | Visibility For Right <br> Turn $(\mathbf{m})$ | Blocks? | Blocking Queue <br> $($ PCU $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{C}$ | 6.00 |  | 0.00 | $\checkmark$ | 3.50 | 165.00 |  |  |

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

## Minor Arm Geometry

| Arm | Minor Arm Type | Lane Width (m) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lane } \\ \text { Width } \\ (\text { Left })(m) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lane } \\ \text { Width } \\ \text { (Right) }(\mathrm{m}) \end{gathered}$ | Width at give-way (m) | Width at 5m (m) | Width at 10m (m) | Width at <br> 15m (m) | Width at 20m (m) | Estimate Flare Length | Flare Length (PCU) | Visibility To Left (m) | Visibility To Right (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | One lane plus flare |  |  |  | 10.00 | 7.47 | 5.00 | 4.13 | 4.00 |  | 1.00 | 160 | 160 |

## Slope / Intercept / Capacity

Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts

| Junction | Stream | Intercept <br> (Veh/hr) | Slope <br> for <br> A-B | Slope <br> for <br> A-C | Slope <br> for <br> C-A | Slope <br> for <br> C-B |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-A | 696.620 | 0.127 | 0.321 | 0.202 | 0.458 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | B-C | 734.639 | 0.113 | 0.285 | - | - |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | C-B | 764.243 | 0.296 | 0.296 | - | - |

The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.
Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.
Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

## Traffic Flows

Demand Set Data Options

| Default <br> Vehicle <br> Mix | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Time | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Turn | Vehicle <br> Mix Varies <br> Over Entry | PCU <br> Vehicle Mix <br> Source | Factor <br> for a HV <br> (PCU) | Default <br> Turning <br> Proportions | Estimate <br> from <br> entry/exit <br> counts | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Time | Turning <br> Proportions <br> Vary Over Turn | Turning <br> Pary Overtions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | HV <br> Vary |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Entry Flows

General Flows Data

| Arm | Profile Type | Use Turning Counts | Average Demand Flow (Veh/hr) | Flow Scaling Factor (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 454.00 | 100.000 |
| B | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 36.50 | 100.000 |
| C | ONE HOUR | $\checkmark$ | 479.00 | 100.000 |

## Turning Proportions

Turning Counts / Proportions (Veh/hr) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.000 | 31.000 | 423.000 |
|  | B | 24.000 | 0.000 | 12.500 |
|  | C | 460.000 | 19.000 | 0.000 |

Turning Proportions (Veh) - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.93 |
|  | B | 0.66 | 0.00 | 0.34 |
|  | C | 0.96 | 0.04 | 0.00 |

## Vehicle Mix

Average PCU Per Vehicle - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 1.000 | 1.620 | 1.040 |
|  | B | 1.360 | 1.000 | 1.430 |
|  | C | 1.020 | 1.580 | 1.000 |

Heavy Vehicle Percentages - Junction 1 (for whole period)

|  | To |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | A | B | C |
|  | A | 0.0 | 62.0 | 4.0 |
|  | B | 36.0 | 0.0 | 43.0 |
|  | C | 2.0 | 58.0 | 0.0 |

## Results

Results Summary for whole modelled period

| Stream | Max RFC | Max Delay (s) | Max Queue (Veh) | Max LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 0.03 | 9.24 | 0.04 | A |
| B-A | 0.09 | 12.92 | 0.09 | B |
| C-A | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 0.05 | 9.95 | 0.06 | A |
| A-B | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | - | - | - | - |

## Main Results for each time segment

Main results: (16:15-16:30)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 9.41 | 9.32 | 0.00 | 439.29 | 0.021 | 0.02 | 8.370 | A |
| B-A | 18.07 | 17.87 | 0.00 | 370.55 | 0.049 | 0.05 | 10.202 | B |
| C-A | 346.31 | 346.31 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 14.30 | 14.16 | 0.00 | 414.54 | 0.035 | 0.04 | 8.988 | A |
| A-B | 23.34 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| A-C | 318.46 | 318.46 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (16:30-16:45)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 11.24 | 11.22 | 0.00 | 424.30 | 0.026 | 0.03 | 8.715 | A |
| B-A | 21.58 | 21.51 | 0.00 | 343.01 | 0.063 | 0.07 | 11.193 | B |
| C-A | 413.53 | 413.53 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - |  |
| C-B | 17.08 | 17.05 | 0.00 | 401.12 | 0.043 | 0.04 | 9.373 | A |
| A-B | 27.87 | 27.87 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 380.27 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |  |

Main results: (16:45-17:00)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 13.76 | 13.73 | 0.00 | 403.30 | 0.034 | 0.03 | 9.241 | A |
| B-A | 26.42 | 26.32 | 0.00 | 304.95 | 0.087 | 0.09 | 12.910 | B |
| C-A | 506.47 | 506.47 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 20.92 | 20.87 | 0.00 | 382.56 | 0.055 | 0.06 | 9.952 | A |
| A-B | 34.13 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| A-C | 465.73 | 465.73 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (17:00-17:15)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 13.76 | 13.76 | 0.00 | 403.20 | 0.034 | 0.04 | 9.243 | A |
| B-A | 26.42 | 26.42 | 0.00 | 304.96 | 0.087 | 0.09 | 12.923 | B |
| C-A | 506.47 | 506.47 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - |  |
| C-B | 20.92 | 20.92 | 0.00 | 382.56 | 0.055 | 0.06 | 9.954 | A |
| A-B | 34.13 | 34.13 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 465.73 | 465.73 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (17:15-17:30)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 11.24 | 11.27 | 0.00 | 424.11 | 0.027 | 0.03 | 8.720 | A |
| B-A | 21.58 | 21.68 | 0.00 | 343.05 | 0.063 | 0.07 | 11.207 | B |
| C-A | 413.53 | 413.53 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 17.08 | 17.13 | 0.00 | 401.12 | 0.043 | 0.04 | 9.376 | A |
| A-B | 27.87 | 27.87 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| A-C | 380.27 | 380.27 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

Main results: (17:30-17:45)

| Stream | Total Demand (Veh/hr) | Entry Flow (Veh/hr) | Pedestrian Demand (Ped/hr) | Capacity (Veh/hr) | RFC | End Queue (Veh) | Delay (s) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-C | 9.41 | 9.43 | 0.00 | 439.06 | 0.021 | 0.02 | 8.381 | A |
| B-A | 18.07 | 18.13 | 0.00 | 370.56 | 0.049 | 0.05 | 10.218 | B |
| C-A | 346.31 | 346.31 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |
| C-B | 14.30 | 14.34 | 0.00 | 414.54 | 0.035 | 0.04 | 8.997 | A |
| A-B | 23.34 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| A-C | 318.46 | 318.46 | 0.00 | - | - | - | - | - |

## Technical Appendix 8-3

TRANSYT Outputs - Temporary Traffic Signals

| TRANSYT 15 |
| :---: |
| Version: 15.5.3.7 <br> © Copyright TRL Limited, 2018 |
| For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL: $\text { +44 (0)1344 } 379777$ <br> www.trlsoftware.co.uk |
| The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution |

Filename: Temporary Lights Base 2025 + Committed + Existing AM. 115
Path: C:IITL Jobs\IT1921 Buttington QuarrylTransyt
Report generation date: 06/08/2020 12:51:02
»Network Diagrams
«A1 - (untitled) : D1 - Base 2025 + Committed + Existing* :
»Summary
»Network Options
»Traffic Nodes
»Links
»Signal Timings
»Results - Link
„Results - Traffic Stream
„Data Entry - Stage Start and End
»Data Entry - Phase
»Data Entry - Traffic Stream
"Data entry - Link
»Results - Pedestrian
»Link Results
»Network Results
„Final Prediction Table

File summary
File description

| File title | Base 2025 + Committed + Existing AM |
| :--- | :--- |
| Location |  |
| Site number |  |
| UTCRegion |  |
| Driving side | Left |
| Date | $06 / 08 / 2020$ |
| Version |  |
| Status | TRANSYT/11 import |
| Identifier |  |
| Client |  |
| Jobnumber |  |
| Enumerator | INTER-MODALIdshrivastava |
| Description |  |

## Model and Results

| Enable controller offsets | Enable fuel consumption | Enable quick flares | Display journey time results | Display level of service results | Display blocking and starvation results | Display end of red and green queue results | Display excess queue results | Display separate uniform and random results | Display unweighted results | Display TRANSYT 12 style timings | Display effective greens in results | Display Red-WithAmber | Display End-OfGreen Amber |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Units

| Cost units | Speed units | Distance units | Fuel economy units | Fuel rate units | Mass units | Traffic units input | Traffic units results | Flow units | Average delay units | Total delay units | Rate of delay units |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| £ | kph | m | mpg | I/h | kg | PCU | PCU | perHour | s | -Hour | perHour |

## Sorting

| Show names instead <br> of IDs | Sorting <br> direction | Sorting <br> type | Ignore prefixes when <br> sorting | Analysis/demand set <br> sorting | Link <br> grouping | Source <br> grouping | Colour Analysis/Demand <br> Sets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ascending | Numerical |  | ID | Normal | Normal | $\checkmark$ |

## Network Diagrams



[^10]
## A1 - (untitled)

D1 - Base 2025 + Committed + Existing*

## Summary

## Data Errors and Warnings

No errors or warnings

## Run Summary

| Analysis set used | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Run start } \\ & \text { time } \end{aligned}$ | Run finish time | Modelling start time <br> (HH:mm) | Network Cycle Time (s) | Performance Index (£ per hr) | Total network delay (PCUhr/hr) | Highest DOS (\%) |  | Number of oversaturated items | Percentage of oversaturated items (\%) | Item with worst signalised PRC | Item with worst unsignalised PRC | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Ite } \\ \text { wit } \\ \text { wor } \\ \text { over } \\ \text { PR } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 06 / 08 / 2020 \\ 12: 50: 43 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 06 / 08 / 2020 \\ 12: 50: 43 \end{array}$ | 08:00 | 120 | 157.75 | 11.45 | 70.74 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 101 |  | 10 |

## Analysis Set Details

| Name | Description | Demand set | Include in report | Locked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (untitled) |  | D1 | $\checkmark$ |  |

## Demand Set Details

| Name | Description | Composite | Demand sets | Start time (HH:mm) | Locked |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base 2025 + Committed + Existing |  |  |  | $08: 00$ |  |

## Network Options

## Network timings

| Network cycle time (s) | Restrict to SCOOT cycle times | Time segment length (min) | Number of time segments | Modelled time period (min) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 120 |  | 120 | 1 | 120 |

## Signals options

| Start displacement (s) | End displacement (s) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3 |

## Advanced

| Phase minimum broken penalty (£) | Phase maximum broken penalty (£) | Intergreen broken penalty (£) | Starting Red-with-Amber (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10000.00 | 10000.00 | 10000.00 | 2 |

## Traffic options

| Traffic model | Vehicle flow scaling factor (\%) | Pedestrian flow scaling factor (\%) | Cruise times or speeds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platoon Dispersion (PDM) | 100 | 100 | Cruise Speeds |

## Advanced

| Resolution | $\begin{gathered} \text { DOS } \\ \text { Threshold } \\ \text { (\%) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cruise } \\ \text { scaling } \\ \text { factor (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | Use link stop weightings | Use link delay weightings | Exclude pedestrians from results calculation | Random delay mode |  | Calculate results for Path Segments | Generate PDM Profile Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 90 | 100 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Simplified (TRANSYT 12) | 5.75 |  | $\checkmark$ |

## Normal Traffic parameters

| Dispersion type | Dispersion coefficient | Travel time coefficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Default | 35 | 80 |

Normal Traffic Types

| Name | PCU Factor |
| :---: | :---: |
| Normal | 1.00 |

## Bus parameters

| Name | PCU Factor | Dispersion type | Acceleration (ms^[-2]) | Stationary time coefficient | Cruise time coefficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bus | 1.00 | Default | 0.94 | 30 | 85 |

## Tram parameters

| Name | PCU Factor | Dispersion type | Acceleration (ms^[-2]) | Stationary time coefficient | Cruise time coefficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tram | 1.00 | Default | 0.94 | 100 | 100 |

## Pedestrian parameters

| Dispersion type |
| :---: |
| Default |

## Optimisation options

| Enable optimisation | Auto redistribute | Optimisation level | Enable OUT Profile accuracy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Offsets And Green Splits | $\checkmark$ |

## Advanced

| Optimisation <br> type | Hill climb <br> increments | OUTProfile <br> accuracy | Use enhanced <br> optimisation | Auto <br> optimisation <br> order | Optimisation <br> order | Master <br> controller | Offsets relative to <br> master controller | Master controller <br> offset after each <br> run |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hill Climb <br> (Fast) | $15,40,-1,15$, <br> $40,1,-1,1$ | $50,50,5,5,0.5$, <br> $0.5,0.05,0.05$ |  | $\checkmark$ | 1 |  |  | Do nothing |

## Economics

| Vehicle Monetary Value Of Delay (£ per PCU-hr) | Vehicle Monetary Value Of Stops (£ per $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ stops) | Pedestrian monetary value of delay (£ per Ped-hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12.90 | 2.35 | 12.90 |

## Traffic Nodes

## Traffic Nodes

| Traffic node | Name | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | (untitled) |  |

## Links

## Links

| Link | Name | Description | Traffic <br> node | Length <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Has <br> Saturation <br> Flow | Use <br> RR67 | Saturation flow <br> (PCU/hr) | Is signal <br> controlled | Is give <br> way | Traffic <br> type | Is minor <br> shared |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ALL) | (untitled) |  | 1 | 300.00 | $\checkmark$ |  | 2000 | $\checkmark$ |  | Normal |  |
| Turn On Red |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Modelling

| Link | Traffic model | Stop <br> weighting (\%) | Delay <br> weighting (\%) | Assignment Cost <br> Weighting (\%) | Exclude from results <br> calculation | Max queue <br> storage (PCU) | Has queue <br> limit | Has degree of <br> saturation limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ALL) | NetworkDefault | 100 | 100 | 100 |  | 0.00 |  |  |

Modelling - Normal traffic - Advanced

| Link | Dispersion type for Normal Traffic | Initial queue (PCU) | Auto cycle time | Cycle time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ALL) | NetworkDefault | 0.00 | $\checkmark$ | 120 |

## Flows

| Link | Total flow (PCU/hr) | PCU Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ | 507 | 1.00 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | 453 | 1.00 |

Flows - Advanced

| Link | Detectors |
| :---: | :---: |
| (ALL) |  |

## Signals

| Link | Controller stream | Phase | Second phase enabled |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ | 1 | 101 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | 1 | 102 |  |

## Entry Sources

| Link | Cruise time (seconds) | Cruise speed (kph) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ALL) | 36.00 | 30.00 |

## Signal Timings

Network Default: 120s cycle time; 120 steps
Controller Stream 1

| Controller Stream | Name | Description | Use sequence | Cycle time source | Cycle time (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | (untitled) |  | 1 | NetworkDefault | 120 |

Controller Stream 1 - Properties

| Controller Stream | Manufacturer name | Type | Model number | (Telephone) Line Number | Site number | Grid reference | Gaining delay type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Unspecified |  |  |  |  |  | Absolute |

## Controller Stream 1-Optimisation

| Controller Stream | Allow offset optimisation | Allow green split optimisation | Optimisation level | Auto redistribute | Enable stage constraint |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | Offsets And Green Splits | $\checkmark$ |  |

## Phases

| Controller Stream | Phase | Name | Minimum green (s) | Maximum green (s) | Relative start displacement (s) | Relative end displacement (s) | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | (ALL) | (untitled) | 1 | 300 | 0 | 0 | Unknown |

## Library Stages

| Controller Stream | Library Stage | Phases in stage | User stage minimum (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | 101 | 7 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 102 | 7 |

## Losing / Gaining Phase Delays

| Controller Stream | Delay | Type | Phase | From stage | To stage | Relative delay | Absolute delay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | Gaining | 101 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 8 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | Gaining | 102 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 9 |

## Stage Sequences

| Controller Stream | Sequence | Name | Multiple cycling | Stage IDs | Stage ends |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | (untitled) | Single | 1,2 | 60,118 |

Intergreen Matrix for Controller Stream 1

|  | To |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 101 | 102 |
|  | 101 |  | 20 |
|  | 102 | 20 |  |

Banned Stage transitions for Controller Stream 1

|  | To |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | 1 | 2 |
|  | 1 |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |

Interstage Matrix for Controller Stream 1

|  | To |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | 0 | 20 |
|  | $\mathbf{2}$ | 20 | 0 |

## Resultant Stages

| Controller Stream | Resultant Stage | Is base stage | Library Stage ID | Phases in this stage | Stage start (s) | Stage end <br> (s) | Stage duration <br> (s) | User stage minimum (s) | Stage minimum <br> (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | 1 | 101 | 18 | 60 | 42 | 7 | 7 |
|  | 2 | $\checkmark$ | 2 | 102 | 80 | 118 | 38 | 7 | 7 |

## Resultant Phase Green Periods

| Controller Stream | Phase | Green period | Is base green period | Start time (s) | End time (s) | Duration (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\checkmark$ | 18 | 60 | 42 |
|  | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\checkmark$ | 80 | 118 | 38 |

Phase Timings Diagram for Controller Stream 1


Stage Sequence Diagram for Controller Stream 1

| Stage 1 | Stage 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Resultant penalties

| Time Segment | Controller stream | Phase min max penalty ( $£$ per hr) | Intergreen broken penalty ( $£$ per hr) | Stage constraint broken penalty (£ per hr) | Cost of controller stream penalties ( $£$ per hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:00-10:00 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

## Results - Link

Results - Link: Vehicle summary

| Time Segment | Link | Name | Phase | Calculated flow entering (PCU/hr) | Calculated sat flow (PCU/hr) | Actual green (s (per cycle)) | Calculated capacity (PCU/hr) | Degree of saturation (\%) | Practical reserve capacity <br> (\%) | Mean Delay per Veh (s) | Mean max queue (PCU) | Utilised storage (\%) | JourneyTime <br> (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 08:00- } \\ & \text { 10:00 } \end{aligned}$ | 101 | (untitled) | 101 | 507 | 2000 | 42 | 717 | 71 | 27 | 41.63 | 15.71 | 27.85 | 77.63 |
|  | 102 | (untitled) | 102 | 453 | 2000 | 38 | 650 | 70 | 29 | 44.43 | 14.23 | 25.23 | 80.43 |

## Results - Traffic Stream

## Data Entry - Stage Start and End

## Resultant Stage

| Controller Stream | Resultant Stage | Is base stage | Library Stage ID | Phases in this stage | Stage start (s) | Stage end (s) | Stage duration <br> (s) | User stage minimum (s) | Stage minimum (s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | 1 | 101 | 18 | 60 | 42 | 7 | 7 |
|  | 2 | $\checkmark$ | 2 | 102 | 80 | 118 | 38 | 7 | 7 |

## Data Entry - Phase

Phase

| Controller Stream | Phase | Phase | Minimum green (s) | Maximum green (s) | Relative start displacement (s) | Relative end displacement (s) | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ | 101 | 1 | 300 | 0 | 0 | Unknown |
|  | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | 102 | 1 | 300 | 0 | 0 | Unknown |

## Data Entry - Traffic Stream

## Data entry - Link

Link

| Link | Link | Length <br> (m) | Is minor <br> shared | Traffic model | Max queue <br> storage <br> (PCU) | Traffic <br> type | Has <br> Saturation <br> Flow | Is signal <br> controlled | Is <br> give <br> way | Use <br> RR67 | Saturation <br> flow (PCU/hr) | Stop <br> weighting <br> (\%) | Delay <br> weighting <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ | 101 | 300.00 |  | NetworkDefault | 0.00 | Normal | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 2000 | 100 | 100 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | 102 | 300.00 |  | NetworkDefault | 0.00 | Normal | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 2000 | 100 | 100 |

## Results - Pedestrian

## Link Results

Link Results: Vehicle summary

| Time Segment | Link | Degree of saturation (\%) | Practical reserve capacity (\%) | Calculated flow entering (PCU/hr) | Calculated sat flow (PCU/hr) | Actual green (s (per cycle)) | Mean <br> Delay per Veh (s) | Mean max queue (PCU) | Utilised storage (\%) | Weighted cost of delay (£ per hr) | Weighted cost of stops (£ per hr) | Performance Index (£ per hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 08:00- } \\ & \text { 10:00 } \end{aligned}$ | 101 | 71 | 27 | 507 | 2000 | 42 | 41.63 | 15.71 | 27.85 | 75.63 | 5.23 | 80.86 |
|  | 102 | 70 | 29 | 453 | 2000 | 38 | 44.43 | 14.23 | 25.23 | 72.12 | 4.77 | 76.89 |

## Link Results: Flows and signals

| Time Segment | Link | Calculated flow entering (PCU/hr) | Calculated flow out (PCU/hr) | Flow discrepancy (PCU/hr) | Adjusted flow warning | Calculated sat flow (PCU/hr) | Calculated capacity (PCU/hr) | Degree of saturation (\%) | DOS <br> Threshold exceeded | Practical reserve capacity (\%) | Mean modulus of error | Actual green (s (per cycle)) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 08:00- } \\ & \text { 10:00 } \end{aligned}$ | 101 | 507 | 507 | 0 |  | 2000 | 717 | 71 |  | 27 | 0.00 | 42 |
|  | 102 | 453 | 453 | 0 |  | 2000 | 650 | 70 |  | 29 | 0.00 | 38 |

## Link Results: Stops and delays

| Time Segment | Link | Mean Cruise Time per Veh (s) | Mean Delay per Veh (s) | Total delay (PCU-hr/hr) | Weighted cost of delay ( $£$ per hr) | Mean stops per Veh (\%) | Total stops (Stops per hr) | Weighted cost of stops ( $£$ per hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:00-10:00 | 101 | 36.00 | 41.63 | 5.86 | 75.63 | 91.04 | 461.57 | 5.23 |
|  | 102 | 36.00 | 44.43 | 5.59 | 72.12 | 92.87 | 420.71 | 4.77 |

## Link Results: Queues and blocking

| Time <br> Segment | Link | Initial queue <br> (PCU) | Mean max queue <br> (PCU) | Max queue storage <br> (PCU) | Utilised <br> storage (\%) | Excess queue penalty <br> (£ per hr) | Wasted time total (s <br> (per cycle)) | Estimated <br> blocking |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0 8 : 0 0 - 1 0 : 0 0 ~}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ | 0.00 | 15.71 | 56.40 | 27.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | 0.00 | 14.23 | 56.40 | 25.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |

## Link Results: Advanced

| Time Segment | Link | Degree of saturation penalty ( $£$ per hr) | Ped gap accepting penalty ( $£$ per hr) | Warmed up | Mean Max Queue EoTS (PCU) | Max End of Green Queue EoTS (PCU) | Max End of Red Queue EoTS (PCU) | PCU Factor | Cost of traffic penalties (£ per hr) | Performance Index (£ per hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 08:00- } \\ \text { 10:00 } \end{gathered}$ | 101 | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\checkmark$ | 15.71 | 1.21 | 12.05 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 80.86 |
|  | 102 | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\checkmark$ | 14.23 | 1.15 | 11.34 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 76.89 |

## Network Results

## Run Summary

| Analysis set used | Run start time | Run finish time | Modelling start time <br> (HH:mm) | Network Cycle Time (s) | Performance Index (£ per hr) | Total network delay (PCUhr/hr) | Highest DOS (\%) |  | Number of oversaturated items | Percentage of oversaturated items (\%) | Item with worst signalised PRC | $\qquad$ | Ite wit wor over PR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 06/08/2020 } \\ 12: 50: 43 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 06/08/2020 } \\ 12: 50: 43 \end{gathered}$ | 08:00 | 120 | 157.75 | 11.45 | 70.74 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 101 |  | 10 |

## Network Results: Vehicle summary

| Time <br> Segment | Degree of <br> saturation (\%) | Practical reserve <br> capacity (\%) | Calculated flow <br> entering (PCU/hr) | Actual green <br> $(\mathbf{s}$ (per cycle)) | Mean Delay <br> per Veh (s) | Weighted cost of <br> delay ( $£$ per hr) | Weighted cost of <br> stops (£ per hr) | Performance Index <br> (£ per hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0 8 : 0 0 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{1 0 : 0 0}$ | 71 | 27 | 960 | 80 | 42.95 | 147.75 | 10.00 | 157.75 |

## Network Results: Flows and signals

| Time <br> Segment | Calculated flow <br> entering (PCU/hr) | Calculated flow <br> out (PCU/hr) | Flow discrepancy <br> (PCU/hr) | Adjusted flow <br> warning | Degree of <br> saturation (\%) | DOS Threshold <br> exceeded | Practical reserve <br> capacity (\%) | Actual green <br> (s (per cycle)) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0 8 : 0 0 - 1 0 : 0 0 ~}$ | 960 | 960 | 0 |  | 71 |  | 27 | 80 |

## Network Results: Stops and delays

| Time <br> Segment | Mean Cruise Time <br> per Veh $(\mathbf{s})$ | Mean Delay per <br> Veh (s) | Total delay <br> $(\mathbf{P C U}-\mathrm{hr} / \mathbf{h r})$ | Weighted cost of delay <br> $(£$ per $\mathbf{~ h r})$ | Mean stops per <br> Veh (\%) | Total stops <br> (Stops per hr) | Weighted cost of stops <br> ( $£$ per hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0 8 : 0 0 - 1 0 : 0 0 ~}$ | 36.00 | 42.95 | 11.45 | 147.75 | 91.90 | 882.28 |  |

## Network Results: Queues and blocking

| Time Segment | Utilised storage (\%) | Excess queue penalty (£ per hr) | Wasted time total (s (per cycle)) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0 8 : 0 0 - 1 0 : 0 0}$ | 27.85 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Network Results: Advanced

| Time <br> Segment | Degree of saturation <br> penalty (£ per hr) | Ped gap accepting <br> penalty ( $£$ per $\mathbf{~ h r})$ | Warmed <br> up | PCU <br> Factor | Cost of traffic <br> penalties ( $£$ per $\mathbf{~ h r})$ | Controller stream <br> penalties ( $£$ per $\mathbf{~ h r})$ | Performance Index <br> ( $£$ per $\mathbf{~ h r ) ~}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0 8 : 0 0 - 1 0 : 0 0 ~}$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | $\checkmark$ | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 157.75 |

## Final Prediction Table

Link Results

|  |  |  | SIGNALS |  | FLOWS |  | PERFORMANCE |  |  |  | PER PCU |  |  | QUEUES | WEIG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Link | Name | Traffic node | Controller stream | Phase | Calculated flow entering (PCU/hr) | Calculated sat flow (PCU/hr) | Actual green (s (per cycle)) | Wasted time total (s (per cycle)) | Degree of saturation (\%) | Practical reserve capacity (\%) | JourneyTime <br> (s) | Mean Delay per Veh (s) | Mean stops per Veh (\%) | Mean max queue (PCU) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Delay } \\ \text { weighting } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ |
| 101 | (untitled) | 1 | 1 | 101 | 507 | 2000 | 42 | 0.00 | 71 | 27 | 77.63 | 41.63 | 91.04 | 15.71 | 100 |
| 102 | (untitled) | 1 | 1 | 102 | 453 | 2000 | 38 | 0.00 | 70 | 29 | 80.43 | 44.43 | 92.87 | 14.23 | 100 |

## Network Results

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Distance } \\ \text { travelled (PCU- } \\ \mathbf{k m} / \mathrm{hr}) \end{gathered}$ | Time spent (PCU-hr/hr) | Mean journey speed (kph) | Total delay (PCU-hr/hr) | Weighted cost of delay (£ per hr) | Weighted cost of stops ( $£$ per hr) | Excess queue penalty ( $£$ per hr) | Performance Index (£ per hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal traffic | 288.00 | 21.05 | 13.68 | 11.45 | 147.75 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 157.75 |
| Bus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tram |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 288.00 | 21.05 | 13.68 | 11.45 | 147.75 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 157.75 |

[^11]
[^0]:    Note: FS = From south on A458, TS = To South on A458, FN = From north on A458, TN = To north on the A458

[^1]:    Values shown are the maximum values over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.

[^2]:    The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

[^3]:    Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

[^4]:    The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.
    Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.
    Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

[^5]:    Values shown are the maximum values over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.

[^6]:    The slopes and intercepts shown above do NOT include any corrections or adjustments.
    Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.
    Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.

[^7]:    Values shown are the maximum values over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.

[^8]:    The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

[^9]:    Signed and dated by the OOGA

[^10]:    Base $2025+$ Committed + Existing AM
    Cydetime 0s / 120s. Timesteps $119 / 120$
    Diagram produced using TRANSYT 15.5.3.7

[^11]:    < = adjusted flow warning (upstream links/traffic streams are over-saturated)

    * = Traffic Stream - Normal, Bus or Tram Stop or Delay weighting has been set to a value other than 100\%
    ${ }^{\wedge}=$ Traffic Stream - Normal, Bus or Tram Stop or Delay Path weighting has been set to a value other than 100\%
    $+=$ average link/traffic stream excess queue is greater than 0
    P.I. = PERFORMANCE INDEX

