## **Heritage Statement**

The **Heritage Statement** is for the applicant or agent to identify the heritage asset(s) that have the potential to be affected by the proposals and their setting. Please read the **guidance notes** provided at the back of this report to help you fill in the form correctly. <a href="https://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage/heritage-statements">https://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage/heritage-statements</a>

**Appendix 1** relates to the **Historic Environment Record (HER) Consultation Report.** You must state whether or not supporting data from the HER is required.

There are 3 options:

- HER report attached (this must be completed by the Historic Environment Record Team)
- HER report not considered necessary email attached from HER
- HER report not required by the Local Planning Authority as detailed on the relevant website validation requirements

Please tick the relevant box at the **back of this form** as to which option applies.

Both the Heritage Statement and the Historic Environment Record Consultation report (Appendix 1) must be completed in order to meet validation requirements of the Local Planning Authority – tick the boxes on the right hand side below to confirm the sections completed.

Note: All fields are **mandatory**. Failure to fully complete all fields may result in the form not being validated by the **Local Planning Authority (LPA)**.

To be completed by the applicant – please tick relevant boxes				
Heritage Statement completed	X			
2. Appendix 1 completed				

# **Heritage Statement**

Site name	Catisfield House
Address of site (including postcode)	55, The Drive, Hove, BN3 3PF
Grid Reference	TQ 29108 05058

### 1. Schedule of Works

Please state the type of proposal e.g. extension to a listed building, internal alterations

refurbi: Drive.	shment	of the	eexisting	summer	house	to t	he	rear	of	55

Please list the works proposed e.g. replacement windows, removal of internal wall, reinstatement of original staircase, damp proofing works to basement etc.

The replacement of the southern and western walls of the existing summer house and the construction of a new roof.

## 2. Pre Application Advice

Have you consulted the East Sussex Historic Environment Record, as the minimum requirement of the NPPF?  ☐ Yes ☐ x No
If no, please provide the reasons why not below, including any correspondence with the HER/LPA archaeological advisor or LPA validation team:
The proposed refurbishment has no deleterious impact on the listed building on the site. There is no below ground disturbance, or any other form of archaeological interference.
If Yes, please attach any correspondence to this Heritage Statement in Appendix 1 (including the HER Consultation Report)
Have you sought pre-application heritage advice from the relevant local planning authority?  □ Yes □ No
If Yes, please provide a copy of any written correspondence with reference number/contact name if applicable.
Have you sought pre-application heritage advice from Historic England?  □ Yes □x No
If Yes, please provide a copy of any written correspondence with reference number/contact name if applicable.

3. What heritage asset(s), including their setting, are potentially affected by the proposals? (Please tick the relevant boxes below) (See guidance section: <a href="https://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage/heritage-statements-what-heritage-assets-are-affected-proposals">https://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage/heritage-statements-what-heritage-assets-are-affected-proposals</a>)

1: Scheduled Monument (SM)	
2. Listed Building (LB)	x
3. Conservation Area (CA)	
4. Registered Park and Garden (RPG)	
5. Historic Battlefield (HB)	
6. Locally Listed Heritage Asset (LLHA)	
7. Archaeological Notification Area (ANA)	
8 Other Non-Designated Heritage Asset (including below ground archaeology)	

**4. What is known about the affected heritage asset(s)?** (See guidance section: <a href="https://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage/heritage-statements-what-known-about-affected-heritage-assets">https://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage/heritage-statements-what-known-about-affected-heritage-assets</a>)

The site of the proposed development is situated in The Drive Conservation Area. The character and appearance of the conservation area is of large Victorian Mansions developed between 1890 - 1900 by architect William Willet.

# 4 (a). Using the information obtained through research and on-site analysis provide a summary of the history of the site/building.

Please add a summary history of the site/building including specifically the parts that will be impacted by the proposals – e.g. how the site/building was originally laid out, how it has evolved, phases of construction and/or change. Please also provide information on past impacts – e.g. modern extension, drainage, former footings, recent landscaping, gardening. Please include / attach any research material as an addendum to this Statement after Appendix 1.

Now subdivided into flats, 55 The drive was built between 1890 and 1900 by architect William Willet.

4 (b) What research have you undertaken to understand the s of the heritage asset(s) affected?	ignificance
4 (b) What research have you undertaken to understand the s of the heritage asset(s) affected?  Please tick the relevant boxes	ignificance
of the heritage asset(s) affected?	significance
of the heritage asset(s) affected?  Please tick the relevant boxes	
of the heritage asset(s) affected?  Please tick the relevant boxes  HER	
of the heritage asset(s) affected?  Please tick the relevant boxes  HER  The Keep (East Sussex Record Office)	
of the heritage asset(s) affected?  Please tick the relevant boxes  HER  The Keep (East Sussex Record Office)  Map regression (historic maps)	
of the heritage asset(s) affected?  Please tick the relevant boxes  HER  The Keep (East Sussex Record Office)  Map regression (historic maps)  Local Planning Authority sources	

(Please include / attach any research material as an addendum to this Statement after Appendix 1.)

5. What is important about the affected heritage asset(s) ('the significance')? (See guidance section: <a href="https://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage/heritage-statements-what-important-about-affected-heritage-assets">https://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage/heritage-statements-what-important-about-affected-heritage-assets</a>)

The listed building at 55 The Drive is built of yellow gault brick and slate, and consists of large 3 storey properties, many with central towers, with heavily detailed cornices, chimneys, and elaborate patterned bricks. The architectural arrangement of each property is quite informal, yet collectively they impart a strong homogenous feel, and have considerable architectural group value.

(Please continue on a separate sheet of paper if further space is required and attach / include as an addendum to this Statement after Appendix 1.)						
6. How will the proposals impact on the significance of the heritage asset(s) including their setting? (See guidance section: <a href="https://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage-statements-how-will-proposals-impact-significance-heritage-assets">https://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage/heritage-statements-how-will-proposals-impact-significance-heritage-assets</a> )						
Please discuss what impact the proposals have on the heritage asset(s) i.e. loss or disturbance of historic building fabric, below or above ground archaeological impacts, setting or change of relationship between buildings and altering scale. (Please continue on a separate sheet of paper if further space is required and attach / include as an addendum to this Statement after Appendix 1.)						
There is well-madician alternation on madification to the Havitage Asset of EE The Drive						
There is no demolition, alteration or modification to the Heritage Asset of 55 The Drive.  There is no below ground disturbance, or any other form of archaeological interference.						
There is no change to the footprint of the existing summerhouse and thus the extent of the garden remains unchanged.						

7. How has the proposal been designed to conserve the significance of the heritage asset(s) including their setting? (See guidance section: <a href="https://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage/heritage-statements-how-has-proposal-been-designed-conserve-significance">https://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage/heritage-statements-how-has-proposal-been-designed-conserve-significance</a>)

Describe how the proposal has been designed to conserve and enhance the significance of the heritage assets (including below ground archaeology). Also describe how any harmful impacts have been avoided or minimised. For example, use of raft foundations, movement of the proposed extension to a less sensitive location.

(Please continue on a separate sheet of paper if further space is required and attach / include as an addendum to this Statement after Appendix 1.)
The existing footprint of the summer house has been preserved.
The material that have been selected in proposed refurbishment are designed to match the host building and wider conservation area. Yellow gault brick and a plain tiled roof match that of the host building.

### **Contact details:**

County Archaeology Team
County.archaeology@eastsussex.gov.uk

Historic Environment Record County.HER@eastsussex.gov.uk

Historic England www.historicengland.org.uk

### **Local Planning Authority**

Brighton & Hove City Council: <a href="http://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage">http://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage</a>

# Appendix 1

To be completed by the applicant – please tick the relevant box Please note one of these boxes must be ticked or your application will not be valid  For further help contact <a href="mailto:County.HER@eastsussex.gov.uk">County.HER@eastsussex.gov.uk</a>					
HER Consultation report attached					
HER Consultation report not considered necessary as confirmed in attached email from HER					
HER Consultation report not relevant for reasons indicated by the Local Planning Authority. These reasons are:					
The site is not located in an Archaeological Notification Area and is not a major development					
The site is located in an Archaeological Notification Area but no below ground works or demolition works are proposed					
- The application is for change of use					

#### **Guidance Notes**

The **Heritage Statement** should identify all **heritage assets** potentially affected and their settings; describe their significance; and assess the potential impact of the proposal on that significance, including direct physical change and change to their setting.

**Heritage assets** include designated and non-designated assets, as well as both buildings and below ground archaeology.

The **National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)** (Department for Communities and Local Government, July 2018) sets out the government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied. The relevant section which relates to heritage is: **Section 189** which states that:

In determining applications, local planning authorities should require an applicant to describe the significance of any heritage assets affected, including any contribution made by their setting. The level of detail should be proportionate to the assets' importance and no more than is sufficient to understand the potential impact of the proposal on their significance. As a minimum the relevant historic environment record should have been consulted and the heritage assets assessed using appropriate expertise where necessary. Where a site on which development is proposed includes or has the potential to include heritage assets with archaeological interest, local planning authorities should require developers to submit an appropriate desk-based assessment and, where necessary, a field evaluation.

National Planning Policy Framework: <a href="https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2">https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2</a>

For **further information** on assessment of significance and assessing the potential impact of a proposal on that significance, including setting, can be found in (or their future updates):

- CLG (2012) National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (especially paragraph 17, Section 12 and Annex 2 Glossary)
- CLG (2014) Planning Policy Guidance (PPG) (especially 18a, paragraph 001-063)
- Historic England (2015) Good Practice Advice (GPA) note 2 Managing Significance in Decision Taking in the Historic Environment
- Historic England (2015) Good Practice Advice (GPA) note 3 The Setting of Heritage Assets

The NPPF and PPG also include a Glossary and explanation of terms, such as 'heritage asset', 'setting' and 'significance':

**Heritage asset:** A building, monument, site, place, area or landscape identified as having a degree of significance meriting consideration in planning decisions, because of its heritage interest. Heritage asset includes designated heritage assets and assets identified by the local planning authority (including local listing).

**Setting of a heritage asset:** The surroundings in which a heritage asset is experienced. Its extent is not fixed and may change as the asset and its surroundings evolve. Elements of a setting may make a positive or negative contribution to the significance of an asset, may affect the ability to appreciate that significance or may be neutral.

**Significance (for heritage policy):** The value of a heritage asset to this and future generations because of its heritage interest. That interest may be archaeological, architectural, artistic or historic. Significance derives not only from a heritage asset's physical presence, but also from its setting. (NPPF Annex 2: Glossary)