ARBTECH

BS5837:2012

Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations

Arboricultural Method Statement

Over the Moon

Woodlay Farm,

Herodsfoot,

Liskeard,

PL14 4RB.

10 December 2020

Author: David Garrick FdSc. M.Arbor.A.

.

Table of Contents

If this report has been released electronically the appendices referred to herein can be found in the annexed zip folder/s as .pdf files. If this report has been released in hard copy the appendices will be bound into the back of this report. Plans are annexed separately as A0, A1, A2 or A3 as appropriate.

Introduction 3
Executive Summary 3
General Information 5
Tree Survey 6
Arboricultural Impact Assessment 7
Arboricultural Method Statement 9
- Tree Works 10
- Protected Species 12
- Site Management 14
- Prohibition 15
- Sequencing of works 16
- Protective Measures 17
- Demolition 20
- Construction 21
- Services 22
- Landscaping 24
- Monitoring and Supervision 25

Appendix 1: Tree Survey Schedule 27
Appendix 2: Tree Protection Notice 34
Appendix 3: Contact Details 36
Document Production Record 37

Introduction

Arbtech Consulting Limited (Arbtech) received written instruction on 23rd October 2020 from Over the Moon to attend Woodlay Farm, Herodsfoot, Liskeard, PL14 4RB; grid reference, SX 18759 60569 (site) to undertake an arboricultural survey a to BS5837:2012 guidance to assess trees, hedges and major shrub groups growing on and within influencing distance of the site and to produce a Schedule of trees, Tree Constraints Plan, Arboricultural Impact Assessment , Arboricultural Method Statement and Tree Protection Plan.

Executive Summary

This report describes the extent and effect of the proposed development at the site on individual trees and groups of trees within and adjacent to the site.

Trees within the site were surveyed; using a methodology guided by British Standard 5837:2012 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations' ("BS5837").

Subsequently, this report has been produced, balancing the layout of the proposed development against the competing needs of trees. This report comprises all of the requisite elements of an arboricultural implications assessment, method statement and supporting plans.

Checklist for Submission to Local Planning Authority

Tree survey	☒
Tree constraints plan	☒
Arboricultural impact assessment	☒
Arboricultural method statement	☒
Tree protection plan	☒

This report and its appendices follow precisely the strategy for arboricultural appraisal intended to provide local planning authorities with evidence that trees have been properly considered throughout the development process.

It is the conclusion of this report that the overall quality and longevity of the amenity contribution provided for by the trees and groups of trees within and adjacent to the site will not be adversely affected as a result of the local planning authority consenting to the proposed development. It is considered that any issues raised in this report, or beyond the scope of it can be dealt with by planning conditions.

General Information

Client: Over the Moon

Site: Woodlay Farm, Herodsfoot, Liskeard, PL14 4RB.

Brief proposal description: demolition of existing dwelling & garage & erection of new dwelling & garage.

Planning application reference: N/A

Table 1: Documents referred to.

Document	Reference No.
Topographical survey drawing	T_MG1790_2D
Proposed layout drawing	Topo & Site Plan
Landscape master plan drawing	N/A
LPA pre-app comments	N/A
British Standard 5837:2012	"BS5837"
Arboricultural Impact Assessment	Arbtech AIA 01
Tree Protection Plan	Arbtech TPP 01

Tree Survey

Survey: An arboricultural survey to BS5837 of all trees within impacting distance of the site was undertaken by David Garrick on 9th November 2020.

A total of 24 (twenty-four) individual trees, 3 (three) groups of trees and 2 (two) hedges were surveyed. Details for each of the trees surveyed are provided in the Schedule of Trees (see Appendix 1)

Table 2: Documents upon which this tree survey has been based

Document	Originator	Reference Number	Title
Topo	Monument Geomatics	T_MG1790_2D	Measured Survey

Limitations: The survey was made at ground level using visual observation only. Detailed examinations, such as climbing inspections and decay detection equipment were not employed, though may form part of the survey's management recommendations. Measurements were taken using specialist tapes, laser and GPS devices. Where this was not possible, measurements are estimated.

Scope: Pre-development tree surveys make arboricultural management recommendations based exclusively upon the individual tree or group of trees condition relative to their present context (*i.e. not in relation to the proposed development*).

Legal Status: No statutory protection check has been performed. BS5837 does not draw any distinction between trees subject to statutory protection, such as a Tree Preservation Order ("TPO"), and those trees without. This is principally because a detailed planning consent overrides any TPO protection. Consequently, we do not seek to offer any comparison between or infer any difference in the quality or importance of TPO trees and other trees.

* For more information on the surveyed trees please see Arbtech Consulting Ltd, Tree Survey Schedule (Appendix 1), Tree Survey Report and Tree Constraints Plan.

Table 3: Documents upon which this assessment has been based

Document	Originator	Reference Number	Title
Topo	Monument Geomatics	T_MG1790_2D	Measured Survey
Site Plan	-	Topo & Site Plan	Site Plan

There are a number of issues that may need to be addressed in an arboricultural impact assessment between the trees and the proposed development, these are as follows:

- The effect and extent of the proposed development within the root protection areas (RPAs) of retained trees;
- The potential conflicts of the proposed development with canopies of retained trees; and
- The likelihood of any future remedial works to retained trees beyond which would have been scheduled as a part of usual management.

These impacts can be seen on the Arboricultural Impact Assessment drawing number Arbtech AIA 01.

Trees to be removed

The total number of trees to be removed for this scheme is 5 (five) individuals as a part of this development.

A breakdown of all tree removals and pruning works can be seen in Table 7: Summary of Tree Works

Table 4: Number of individual trees to be removed.

U	A	B	C
3	0	0	2

Table 5: Number of groups to be removed.

U	A	B	C
0	0	0	0

Canopy cover is ecologically important and the loss of canopy cover by this tree will be mitigated with planting within the development.

Arboricultural Method Statement

The purpose of this method statement is to demonstrate how any aspect of the development that has potential to result in loss or damage to a tree may be implemented and provide an adequate level of protection for those trees that are to be retained during the proposed works.

Details of key site personnel, including site / project manager will be submitted to the Council's Tree Officer prior to the commencement of site works.

This method statement is to be approved and agreed to in writing by all key personnel prior to the commencement of site works.

No site personnel are to be present and no demolition, site clearance, building work or delivery of materials is to occur until the protective measures are in accordance with this method statement and the Tree Protection Plan drawing number Arbtech TPP 01.

Protective measures should be in accordance with this method statement and the Tree Protection Plan; drawing number Arbtech TPP 01 will remain unaltered and in situ, unless otherwise specified, for the entire duration of the construction.

Table 6: Documents upon which this assessment has been based

Document	Originator	Reference Number	Title
Topo	Monument Geomatics	T_MG1790_2D	Measured Survey
Site Plan	-	Topo & Site Plan	Site Plan

Tree Works

For reasons of public safety, all tree works referred to herein must be carried out prior to any site personnel commencing works or any building materials being delivered.

Table 7: Summary of Tree Works

Tree No	Species	Works	Category
11	Leyland Cypress	Fell to ground level	U
12	Leyland Cypress	Fell to ground level	U
13	Leyland Cypress	Fell to ground level	U
16	Leyland Cypress	Fell to ground level	B1
17	Leyland Cypress	Fell to ground level	B1

Notes

All tree work is to be undertaken in accordance with British Standard BS 3998:2010, Recommendations for tree work. All arising's are to be removed and the site is to be left as found. Care is to be taken of the ground around retained trees to make sure that it does not become compacted as a result of tree surgery operations. No equipment or vehicles such as timber Lorries, tractors, excavators or cranes shall be parked or driven beneath the crowns of any retained trees, to prevent subsequent compaction and root death.

Tree removal

A tree should be felled in one piece only when there is no significant risk of damage to people, property or protected species (see Annex A).
Where restrictions (e.g. lack of space, buildings, other features, land ownership or use, or other trees which are to be retained) cannot be overcome, trees should be dismantled in sections.
This also applies where a tall stump is being retained but where branches are to be removed/pruned.
Extensively decayed trees can be unpredictable when they are being felled, and special precautions should therefore be taken, such as the use of a winch to guide the direction of fall.

Stump removal – stump grinding

Stump grinding should be to a minimum of 300mm deep or to extend through the base of the stump leaving the major roots disconnected if the intention is to reduce the potential for the spread of Honey fungus.
The grinding residue should be treated as arising's and removed from site.
NOTE Mechanical destruction of a stump by stump grinding is less disruptive to the site than digging out.
The hole left by stump removal, should be filled with soil or other material. The filling should be appropriate for future site usage, and for any surface treatment that is to be installed.
Where future plant growth is desired, the backfill material should be firmed in 150 mm layers by treading, avoiding excessive compaction and destruction of the soil structure.

Stump removal - digging

Stump removal by digging out should include disposal/utilisation of woody material (see Clause **13**).
NOTE Whether done by hand or machine, digging out can cause severe disturbance of the site.
Where possible, when winching out a stump, a ground or other type of anchor should be used rather than a tree to be retained. If there is no alternative to using such a tree as an anchor, appropriate protective measures should be adopted.

After stump removal

The hole left by stump removal, whether by digging out or grinding, should be filled with soil or other material. The filling should be appropriate for future site usage and for any surface treatment that is to be installed.
Where future plant growth is desired, the back fill material should be firmed in 150mm layers by treading, avoiding excessive compaction and destruction of the soil structure.

Cut Ivy

Cutting of ivy is to be undertaken using hand tools such as hand saws or secateurs to prevent damage to the bark of the tree; the use of chain saws is prohibited. A 300mm high section of ivy is to be cut and removed from within 1m of ground level.

Protected Species

Conservation Status of British Bats

The general consensus in Britain and Europe is that virtually all bat species are declining and vulnerable. Our understanding of population status is poor as there is very little historical data for most bat species. Certain species, such as the horseshoe bats, are better understood and have well documented contractions in range and population size.

Given this general picture of decline in UK Government within the UK Biodiversity Action Plan has designated five species of bats as priority species (greater and lesser horseshoe bats, barbastelle, Bechstein's and pipistrelle). These plans provide an action pathway whereby the maintenance and restoration of the former populations levels are investigated.

Legal Status of British Bats

Given the above position all British bats as well as their breeding sites and resting places enjoy national and international protection.

All bat species in the UK are fully protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) through inclusion in Schedule 5. All bats are also listed on Annex IV (and some on Annex II) of the EC Habitats Directive giving further, European protection. Taken together the act and Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2012 (as amended)* make it an offence to; intentionally or deliberately kill, injure or capture (take) bats;

- Deliberately disturb bats (whether in a roost or not);
- Damage, destroy or obstruct access to bat roosts;
- Possess or transport a bat or any part of a bat, unless acquired legally;
- Sell, barter or exchange bats, or parts of bats

The legislation although not strictly affording protection to foraging grounds does protect roost sites. Bat roosts are protected at all times of the year whether or not bats are present. Any disturbance of a roost due to development must be licenced.

**the regulations that delivered by the UK's commitments to the Habitats Directive.*

Breeding birds

All nesting birds are protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act (as amended) 1981, which makes it an offence to intentionally kill, injure or take any wild bird or take, damage or destroy its nest whilst in use or being built, or take or destroy its eggs. Furthermore a number of birds enjoy further protection under that Act and are listed on Schedule 1 of the Act. These further protected birds are also protected from disturbance and it may be necessary to operate "no-go" buffer zones around such nests – typically out to 100m.

Planning policy guidance on the treatment of species identified as priorities under the biodiversity action programme suggests that local authorities should take measures to protect the habitats of these species from further decline through policies in local development documents and should ensure that they are protected from the adverse effects of development, where appropriate, by using planning conditions or obligations. The conservation of these species should be promoted through the incorporation of beneficial biodiversity designs within developments.

Site Management

The site manager will be responsible for briefing and inducting all personnel who will be working on any stage of this development and especially those who will be working within or adjacent to the canopies or RPAs of retained trees; and will make them aware of, and provide a copy of this method statement and tree protection plan drawing number Arbtech TPP 01; this is to include but not exclusively of the movement and or operation of plant, excavations, unloading deliveries, mixing and or pouring of cement and concrete.

The site manager will be responsible for the day to day running and protection of all retained trees and for liaising with the project arborist about any tree related matters and prior to any works that may or will affect the RPAs or canopies of retained trees; this is to include but not exclusively the movement and or operation of plant, excavations, unloading deliveries, mixing, pouring and storage of all caustic materials that may cause harm to retained trees.

Any incidents of damage to retained trees or of tree protection measures will be documented by the site manager who will then report these incidents to the project arboriculturist immediately and make sure that works within this area cease until the project arborist has had an opportunity to inspect the damage and where appropriate, agree a mitigation plan with the local planning authority tree officer.

The site manager may designate another person to take charge of briefing and inducting process of new site personnel or visitors in his absence.

If the site manager is replaced or is absent from site for more than three consecutive working days the project arborist will be informed and a pre start meeting will be held with the new or acting site manager.

It is the responsibility of the site manager to ensure that the planning conditions attached to the planning consent are adhered to at all times and that a monitoring regime and supervision of any works within or adjacent to the RPAs are adopted.

If at any time pruning works are required other than those previously approved, permission must be sought from the LPA tree officer and once permission is granted they are to be carried out by a suitably qualified person in accordance with BS3998:2010 Tree work – Recommendations.

Prohibition

- Mechanical digging or scraping is not permitted within a defined root protection area or within areas cordoned off by protective barrier fencing.
- No access will be permitted within the protected areas;
- No materials, equipment or debris will be stored within any of the fenced areas, or against the fencing;
- Fires are not permitted within 10m of any vegetation.
- Leaning objects against or attaching of objects to a tree is not permitted.
- Machinery, plant and vehicles are not permitted to be washed down within 10m of vegetation.
- Chemicals and materials are not to be transported, stored, used or mixed within a root protection area or within areas cordoned off by protective barrier fencing.
- Cement silos, mixing site to be situated within a bunded area to prevent pillage/leaking of chemicals harmful to trees. These areas are to be sited well clear of protected trees.
- Refuelling of plant or machinery is prohibited within 10m of the construction exclusion zones.
- It is essential that allowance should be made for the slope of the ground so that damaging materials such as concrete washings, mortar or diesel oil cannot run towards trees.
- Where machinery is to be used within 5m of retained tree canopies a banks man will be required at all times whilst setting up, moving or operating within this distance of retained trees canopies.
- Storage of all caustic material and chemicals are to be situated well clear of protected areas and preferably on lower ground if slopes are present, or to be situated within a bonded area to prevent any spills or leaks entering the ground.

Sequencing of works

A logical sequence of events is to be observed and shall be phased as follows.

Table 8: Sequence of Events

Stage	Event
Stage 1	Pre-commencement site meeting
Stage 2	Carry out tree works as specified within the summary of tree works
Stage 3	Installation of protective measures in accordance with the approved tree protection plan
Stage 4	Installation of haul road and site set up
Stage 5	Undertake demolition of dwelling & garage
Stage 6	Undertake and complete construction works
Stage 7	Undertake external landscaping works outside of the construction exclusion zones
Stage 8	Removal of all machinery and materials form site
Stage 9	Dismantle and removal of protective measures
Stage 10	Undertake external landscaping works within the construction exclusion zones
Stage 11	Sign off from project arboriculturist

Protective Measures

Protective measures are to be installed immediately following the completion of the tree works, and are to be sited and aligned in accordance with the tree protection plan (Arbtech TPP 01) prior to the commencement of any works or the introduction of any machinery or material to site.

Upon installation of the protective measures around the retained trees the project arboriculturist will visit the site to inspect and document the position and specifications of the protective measures.

In the event that the protective measures and their positions do not comply with this arboricultural method statement document number Arbtech AMS 01 (10/12/20) and tree protection plan drawing number Arbtech TPP 01, the project arboriculturist shall inform the client and fencing contractor so adjustments can be made.

When the protective measures comply with document number Arbtech AMS 01 (10/12/20) and tree protection plan drawing number Arbtech TPP 01, the project arboriculturist will sign off the protective measures in writing to the client and will send a copy to the fencing contractor, site agent and local authority tree officer.

If the protective measures become damaged or there is any accident or emergencies involving trees, these areas are to be cordoned off immediately with high visibility plastic mesh fencing. The site agent is to photograph and document the damage and inform the project arboriculturist immediately after the incident and all work within in this area is to cease until the project arboriculturist has made a visit to the site. Any and all damaged sections of protective measures shall be replaced within 48 hours of the initial incident.

The protected area is sacrosanct and will not be invaded by the storage of materials, mixing of concrete or other products, accessed by machinery, equipment or pedestrians or in any other way disturbed by construction activity.

The protective measures will remain in place until the completion of stage 8 (see Sequencing of Works), thereafter they will be carefully dismantled only with the agreement of the project arboriculturist and or the local authority tree officer.

The existing site boundary measures are to be retained for the duration of the development. If for any reason the existing boundary measures are not to be used protective barrier fencing is to be installed along the line of the boundaries and is only to be removed upon the written permission of the project arboriculturist or LPA tree officer upon the completion of the development or immediately prior to the installation of the permanent boundary measures.

No equipment, vehicles or plant shall operate beyond the tree protection fencing. Booms, hoists and rigs should be kept as far away from the canopies of retained trees at all times. Where it is necessary to operate within 5m of a tree canopy, it will be done with the utmost caution and under the control of a banks man. Damage to trees will be considered a breach of this tree protection plan, which in turn could be a breach of planning permission.

Protective Barrier Fencing

Protective barrier fencing should be appropriate for the intensity and proximity of the development to protect trees where development activity is in close proximity.

Default specification: To comprise either 2.4m wooden site hoarding; or a 2.3m high scaffold framework, well braced to resist impacts, with uprights to be spaced at a maximum of 3.0m intervals and driven into the ground by a minimum of 600mm. On to this, standard anti-climb welded mesh panels are to be securely fixed to each other with at least two scaffold clamps and to the scaffold frame work with wire.



Key

1 Standard scaffold poles
2 Heavy gauge 2 m tall galvanized tube and welded mesh infill panels
3 Panels secured to uprights and cross-members with wire ties
4 Ground level
5 Uprights driven into the ground until secure (minimum depth 0.6 m)
6 Standard scaffold clamps

Secondary specification: To comprise of 2m tall welded mesh panels on rubber or concrete feet. Panels are to be joined together using a minimum of two anti-tamper couplers, installed so that they can only be removed from inside the fence. The panels

should be supported on the inner side by stabiliser struts, which should be attached to a base plate and secured with ground pins.



a) Stabilizer strut with base plate secured with ground pins

b) Stabilizer strut mounted on block tray

Signage denoting the words "*tree protection area*" at 5.0m intervals should be fixed to the protective barrier fencing (See Appendix 2).

Protective fencing is to be removed ONLY with the written permission of the arboricultural consultant and approval of the local planning authority (LPA).

Demolition

Prior to the demolition of the existing site features, all tree works are to have been completed, tree protection measures are to be in place as per Arbtech Consulting Ltd. tree protection plan document number Arbtech TPP 01 and have been signed off and a copy of the demolition method statement has been submitted and approved by the project arboriculturist and LPA tree officer, to ensure that there is no conflict with this method statement.

All demolition work within or immediately adjacent to RPAs or canopies of retained trees is to be undertaken under the direct on-site supervision of an arboriculturist.

Construction

Prior to the construction of the proposed dwelling & garage, a copy of the construction method statement should have been submitted and approved by the project arboriculturist and LPA tree officer, to ensure that there is no conflict with this method statement.

All excavations and construction work within or immediately adjacent to RPAs or canopies of retained trees is to be undertaken under the direct on-site supervision of an arboriculturist.

Foundations design

New foundations for buildings, structures and hard surfacing situated within the RPAs of retained trees are to be designed in conjunction with arboricultural advice to accommodate the likely loading of the structure. The foundations will be been designed to limit the amount of excavation required within RPAs to retain roots that are important to the trees stability as identified during the site investigations.

The proposed development does not impact upon any of the retained trees and as such will require no specialist construction methodology.

Services

Detailed drawings of proposed underground services are not available at this time; hence it is not possible to identify any specific potential impacts associated with the scheme at this stage.

Existing services within the site should be retained where ever possible. Where existing services within RPAs require upgrading, the upmost care must be taken to minimise disturbance, and where feasible trenchless techniques are to be employed, and only where necessary should open excavations be considered.

Where new services are to be introduced into the site they should be located outside of RPAs, where they will not interfere with tree roots. If any excavations are required within the RPAs all trenches are to be excavated by hand and radially to the tree trunks under direct on-site arboricultural supervision and are to be carried out under NJUG guidelines.

Final positions of any proposed services should be verified and approved by the arboricultural consultant and local authority tree officer before implementation.

New Underground services

Trenching for installation of underground services and drainage routes could sever any roots that may be present and as such adversely affects the health of the tree. For this reason particular care should be taken in routing and methods of installation of all underground services. All underground services and drainage routes should be located so that no excavations are required within RPAs.
Where it has been impossible to keep underground services from passing through RPAs or within close proximity to trees, these sections are to be installed in one of three ways in accordance with the guidance set out in National Joint Utilities Group guidelines (NJUG 4), under on site arboricultural supervision.

Trenchless Techniques

There are three main types of trenchless techniques, these include, guided and unguided boring and pipe replacement by lining or bursting. These allow for the installation, maintenance or renewal of underground services, without the disturbance of soil in which roots are likely to be growing. Starting and receiving pits for the boring machinery are to be located outside of the RPAs of any retained trees, with the bore depth being maintained at a minimum depth of 600mm below the existing ground level. Techniques involving external lubrication of the equipment shall use no material other than water as other lubricants could contaminate the soil (e.g. oil, bentonite, etc.).

Manual Excavation

Excavation within RPAs will be undertaken by hand under direct on-site arboricultural supervision of the required depth of the foundation; Or to a minimum of 600mm deep of any excavation, whether for proposed foundations, hard surfacing or underground services. The total depth of the manual excavation will be determined by the arboriculturist whilst on site.

The soil is to be loosened with the aid of a fork or pick axe and then cleared with the aid of an Air-spade, Air-vac and or shovel. Any roots found will be cleanly severed by the arboricultural consultant with either a hand saw or secateurs.

Any roots found with a diameter of less than 25mm shall be cleanly severed by the arboricultural consultant. Any roots of 25mm and above shall be excavated around without damaging them; the arboricultural consultant shall decide if it's feasible or necessary to retain the root, if not it shall be severed.

The edge of the excavation closest to the trees will be covered with damp hessian to prevent soil collapse or contamination by concrete.

Soil beneath the depth may be sheet piled, regular piled or excavated deeper. Machinery may be used for this providing that it is situated outside of the RPA or has appropriate ground protection in place to move around on and work upon.

Broken Trench – Hand Dug

This technique combines both trenchless techniques and manual excavation where excavation is unavoidable. Excavations should be limited to where there is clear access around and below the roots. All trenches shall be excavated by hand with the same precautions taken as for manual excavation. Open section of trench should only be large enough to allow access for linking to the next section.

Landscaping

The ratio of trees removed to trees replanted should not necessarily be 1:1. Instead, the ratio should take into consideration the available space for tree growth and development in order to ensure the trees are physically suited to the site at maturity. A specification for and notation relating to the precise alignment of replacement trees will be contained in the landscape proposals.

Landscaping around retained trees may only be carried out once all tree protection measures have been removed (planting, turfing, fencing etc.).

All excavations within the Root Protection Areas shall be undertaken by hand and without reducing current ground levels unless it is agreed in writing with the LPA. At no time is the use of a rotavator permitted within the RPAs of retained tree.

Any tree roots discovered will be left in-situ and shall not be cut or otherwise damaged. Where possible, the soil structure within the Root Protection area shall be preserved.

No works will be carried out within the RPAs of any trees if the soil moisture is of such a level that soil compaction may be likely. Should the soil become compacted or has poor structure which would hinder the development of the existing trees and plants or any new plantings the arboriculturist should be consulted about soil decompaction techniques.

Monitoring and Supervision

Where trees have been identified within this method statement and tree protection plan drawing number Arbtech TPP 01 for retention, there should be an auditable system of arboricultural monitoring. This is to extend to arboricultural supervision whenever demolition or construction activity is to take place within or adjacent to any canopy or RPA.

The development's tree protection measures are to be monitored and all demolition and construction works to be undertaken within or adjacent to the RPAs of retained trees are to be supervised by project arboriculturist, who should be retained to record and report observations to the council at appropriate intervals.

Pre-commencement site meeting

Prior to the commencement of any works or machinery and materials arriving on site a pre-commencement site meeting involving the project arborist, land owner or agent, site manager, contractors and engineer (as appropriate) and the relevant LPA officers will be held to ensure that all aspects of the arboricultural method statement and tree protection are understood and for all parties to swap contact details (see Appendix 3).

Monitoring and supervision schedule

The initial monitoring visit will be to check that the tree protective measures are in the correct location and as specified within the approved method statement; if so to sign off their installation.

There after monitoring visits are to take place at regular intervals, to ensure that tree protection measures are in place and are functioning as designed or whenever necessary to undertake works to be carried out under arboricultural supervision. The frequency of the monitoring visits is to be determined with the LPA tree officer at the pre-commencement site meeting.

A record of all arboricultural monitoring and supervision visits will be kept and any faults will be logged, this will then be copied to the site agent, developer and local planning authority in a digital format.

If during the course of the development it is necessary for areas to be re-designed so that they would require changes to the approved arboricultural method statement or tree protection plan and so affecting retained trees the project arborist and LPA tree officer will be invited to attend a site meeting with all relevant parties. Prior to any changes being implemented these must have been approved in writing by the LPA tree officer.

Supervision

The arboricultural consultant will be required to attend site to directly supervise all demolition and construction works that are to be undertaken within or adjacent to the RPAs of all retained trees and will be advised a minimum of 72 hours prior to the commencement of any works that require his attendance, these will include:

1. Pre-commencement site meeting
2. Location of protective measures
3. Any excavations within and immediately adjacent to RPAs, including foundations, hard surfacing or underground services
4. Removal of protective measures and sign off.

Completion meeting

Once all construction works have been completed all materials and machinery has been removed from site the project arborist shall be informed and will invite the LPA tree officer to meet on site to discuss the process and discuss any final remedial works that may be required and to sign the development off so that the protective measures may be removed.

Appendix 1: Tree Survey Schedule

BS5837:2012 Tree Survey

Client: Over the Moon
Project: Woodlay Farm, Herodsfoot, Liskeard, PL14 4RB
Survey Date: 09/11/2020
Surveyor: David Garrick

ARBTECH

Arbtech Consulting Ltd
Unit 3 Well House Barns
Chester Road
Chester
CH4 0DH
Phone: 01244 661170
http://arbtech.co.uk

Tree and Tag No / Species	Hght (m)	Stems No	Stems Ø (mm)	Crown Spread (m)	Crown Clear (m)	Age	RP A (m²) R (m)	Phys Condition	Structural Condition	Preliminary Recommendations / Survey Comment	Cat ERC
C1											
Various *see comments for details*	2	1	70	N 2 E 2 S 2 W 2	1 1 1 1	Y	A: 2.2 R: 0.83	Fair	C: Fair S: Fair B: Good	A collection of fruit trees. Maximum dimensions recorded	C.1 10+ yrs
G1											
Leyland Cypress *X Cupressocyparis leylandii*	9	1	180	N 3 E 3 S 3 W 3	2 2 2 2	SM	A: 14.7 R: 2.16	Good	C: Fair S: Good B: Good	Leylandii high hedge situated on property boundary	C.2 10+ yrs
G2											
Leyland Cypress *X Cupressocyparis leylandii*	12	1	460	N 4 E 4 S 4 W 4	2 2 2 2	EM	A: 95.7 R: 5.51	Fair	C: Fair S: Fair B: Good	Group of 17 Leyland cypress. Situated in the front lawn of the property. Individual crowns supressed by neighbouring trees within the group	B.1 20+ yrs
G3											
Various *See comments for details*	12	6	637 (Eq)	N 5 E 5 S 5 W 5	2 2 2 2	M	A: 183.5 R: 7.64	Good	C: Fair S: Good B: Good	Mature group along boundary of garden.	B.1.2 20+ yrs

Age Classifications: N Newly planted; Y Young; SM Semi-mature; EM Early Mature; M Mature; OM Over Mature

Condition: C Crown; S Stem; B Basal area

Stems: Ø Diameter; (Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 definition

ERC: Estimated Remaining Contributio

Tree and Tag No Species	Hght (m)	Stems No	Stems Ø (mm)	Crown Spread (m)	Crown Clear (m)	Age	RP A (m^2) R (m)	Phys Condition	Structural Condition	Preliminary Recommendations Survey Comment	Cat ERC
H1											
Various *see comments for details*	1.8	1	50	N 0.5 E 0.5 S 0.5 W 0.5	0 0 0 0	Y	A: 1.1 R: 0.59	Good	C: Fair S: Fair B: Fair	Privet hedge on garden boundary	C.2 20+ yrs
H2											
Leyland Cypress *X Cupressocyparis leylandii*	6	1	130	N 2 E 2 S 2 W 2	2 2 2 2	SM	A: 7.6 R: 1.55	Good	C: Fair S: Fair B: Fair	Leylandii high hedge	C.2 10+ yrs
1											
Leyland Cypress *X Cupressocyparis leylandii*	10	5	426 (Eq)	N 2 E 3 S 3 W 4	1.5 1.5 1 2	EM	A: 82 R: 5.1	Fair	C: Fair S: Fair B: Good	Multi stemmed from base. Decay present within smallest stem.	B.1 20+ yrs
2											
Leyland Cypress *X Cupressocyparis leylandii*	9	10	759 (Eq)	N 5 E 7 S 4 W 3	0 2 1 0	M	A: 260.6 R: 9.1	Good	C: Fair S: Fair B: Fair	Multi stemmed from base. Stems lean east, 1 stem grows lateral to ground	B.1 20+ yrs
3											
Leyland Cypress *X Cupressocyparis leylandii*	9	10	443 (Eq)	N 2 E 5 S 5 W 3	4 1 2 2	M	A: 88.7 R: 5.31	Fair	C: Fair S: Fair B: Fair	Multi stemmed from base. Overtopped by neighbouring tree	C.1 10+ yrs
4											
Atlas Cedar *Cedrus atlantica*	20	1	1260	N 9 E 8 S 8 W 8	2 1 4 4	M	A: 707 R: 15	Fair	C: Fair S: Poor B: Good	Wound on west of stem from 0.5m-4m (stem union) decay present within stem. Previously snapped out limbs partially hung up in crown. Major deadwood in crown (>50mm)	C.1 10+ yrs

Age Classifications: N Newly planted; Y Young; SM Semi-mature; EM Early Mature; M Mature; OM Over Mature

Condition: C Crown; S Stem; B Basal area

Stems: Ø Diameter; (Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 definition

ERC: Estimated Remaining Contributio

Tree and Tag No Species	Hght (m)	Stems No	Stems Ø (mm)	Crown Spread (m)	Crown Clear (m)	Age	RP A (m²) R (m)	Phys Condition	Structural Condition	Preliminary Recommendations Survey Comment	Cat ERC
5											
Atlas Cedar *Cedrus atlantica*	16	1	1160	N 9 E 8 S 7 W 9	6 1 5 6	M	A: 608.8 R: 13.92	Fair	C: Fair S: Fair B: Good	Cavity on west of stem at 1.5m. decay present within stem. Major deadwood in crown (>50mm)	**B.1** 20+ yrs
6											
Common Lime *Tilia europaea*	7	4	333 (Eq)	N 4 E 4 S 4 W 4	2 1 1 1	SM	A: 50.2 R: 3.99	Fair	C: Fair S: Fair B: Fair	Multi stemmed from base. Overtopped by neighbouring tree	**C.1** 10+ yrs
7											
Leyland Cypress *X Cupressocyparis leylandii*	10	2	394 (Eq)	N 4 E 5 S 3 W 4	1 1 2 2	EM	A: 70.3 R: 4.73	Fair	C: Fair S: Good B: Good	2 co-dominant stems from 0.5m.	**B.1** 20+ yrs
8											
Leyland Cypress *X Cupressocyparis leylandii*	10	1	330	N 4 E 4 S 1 W 4	2 1 2 1	EM	A: 49.3 R: 3.96	Fair	C: Fair S: Good B: Good	Crown suppressed by neighbouring tree.	**B.1** 20+ yrs
9											
Cultivated Apple *Malus domestica*	4	2	106 (Eq)	N 3 E 3 S 3 W 3	1 1 1 1	Y	A: 5.1 R: 1.27	Good	C: Fair S: Good B: Good	2 co-dominant stems from 1.3m	**C.1** 10+ yrs
10											
Leyland Cypress *X Cupressocyparis leylandii*	7	1	260	N 0 E 1 S 2 W 1	6 3 3 3	SM	A: 30.6 R: 3.12	Poor	C: Poor S: Fair B: Fair	Crown suppressed by neighbouring trees	**C.1** 10+ yrs

Age Classifications: N Newly planted; Y Young; SM Semi-mature; EM Early Mature; M Mature; OM Over Mature

Condition: C Crown; S Stem; B Basal area

Stems: Ø Diameter; (Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 definition

ERC: Estimated Remaining Contributio

Tree and Tag No Species	Hght (m)	Stems No	Stems Ø (mm)	Crown Spread (m)	Crown Clear (m)	Age	RP A (m^2) R (m)	Phys Condition	Structural Condition	Preliminary Recommendations Survey Comment	Cat ERC
11											
Leyland Cypress *X Cupressocyparis leylandii*	5	1	100	N 0 E 0 S 2 W 1	4 4 4 4	Y	A: 4.5 R: 1.19	Poor	C: Poor S: Fair B: Poor	Crown suppressed by neighbouring trees. Cavity at base of stem	U <10 yrs
12											
Leyland Cypress *X Cupressocyparis leylandii*	11	1	200	N 0.5 E 0.5 S 0.5 W 1.5	7 7 7 7	SM	A: 18.1 R: 2.4	Poor	C: Poor S: Fair B: Poor	Crown suppressed by neighbouring trees. Decay at base of stem	U <10 yrs
13											
Leyland Cypress *X Cupressocyparis leylandii*	8	2	172 (Eq)	N 0 E 1 S 2 W 1	7 4 3 4	SM	A: 13.4 R: 2.06	Poor	C: Poor S: Fair B: Poor	Crown suppressed by neighbouring trees. Decay at base of stem	U <10 yrs
14											
Leyland Cypress *X Cupressocyparis leylandii*	9	1	150	N 0 E 0 S 2 W 1.5	6 6 6 5	SM	A: 10.2 R: 1.8	Poor	C: Poor S: Fair B: Fair	Crown suppressed by neighbouring trees	C.1 10+ yrs
15											
Leyland Cypress *X Cupressocyparis leylandii*	12	6	490 (Eq)	N 4 E 4 S 4 W 4	2 2 1 2	EM	A: 108.6 R: 5.87	Fair	C: Fair S: Fair B: Good	Multi stemmed from base. Fire damage on stem.	B.1 20+ yrs
16											
Leyland Cypress *X Cupressocyparis leylandii*	12	3	703 (Eq)	N 2 E 5 S 4 W 4	3 1 2 1	M	A: 223.5 R: 8.43	Fair	C: Fair S: Fair B: Fair	Multi stemmed from base. Stems to north previously removed, decay present at wound sites	B.1 20+ yrs

Age Classifications: N Newly planted; Y Young; SM Semi-mature; EM Early Mature; M Mature; OM Over Mature

Condition: C Crown; S Stem; B Basal area

Stems: Ø Diameter; (Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 definition

ERC: Estimated Remaining Contributio

Tree and Tag No Species	Hght (m)	Stems No	Stems Ø (mm)	Crown Spread (m)	Crown Clear (m)	Age	RP A (m²) R (m)	Phys Condition	Structural Condition	Preliminary Recommendations Survey Comment	Cat ERC
17											
Leyland Cypress *X Cupressocyparis leylandii*	12	4	725 (Eq)	N 4 E 5 S 5 W 4	3 1 2 1	M	A: 237.9 R: 8.7	Fair	C: Fair S: Fair B: Good	Multi stemmed from base.	**B.1** 20+ yrs
18											
Cupressus *Cupressus Sp.*	6	2	398 (Eq)	N 4 E 1 S 2 W 3	1 2 1 1	EM	A: 71.7 R: 4.77	Fair	C: Fair S: Fair B: Fair	Unsympathetically pruned	**C.1** 10+ yrs
19											
Leyland Cypress *X Cupressocyparis leylandii*	11	1	390	N 4 E 4 S 3 W 3	3 2 4 4	EM	A: 68.8 R: 4.67	Good	C: Fair S: Good B: Good	1 stem previously removed	**B.1** 20+ yrs
20											
Leyland Cypress *X Cupressocyparis leylandii*	11	2	506 (Eq)	N 3 E 3 S 4 W 4	1 1 1 1	EM	A: 115.9 R: 6.07	Good	C: Fair S: Good B: Good	Multi stemmed from base	**B.1** 20+ yrs
21											
Cupressus *Cupressus Sp.*	6	2	405 (Eq)	N 3 E 3 S 2 W 3	1 1 1 1	EM	A: 74.1 R: 4.85	Fair	C: Fair S: Fair B: Fair	Unsympathetically pruned	**C.1** 10+ yrs
22											
Leyland Cypress *X Cupressocyparis leylandii*	6	5	221 (Eq)	N 2 E 3 S 3 W 3	2 2 2 2	SM	A: 22 R: 2.64	Good	C: Fair S: Good B: Good	Multi stemmed from base	**C.1** 10+ yrs

Age Classifications:
N Newly planted
Y Young
SM Semi-mature
EM Early Mature
M Mature
OM Over Mature

Condition:
C Crown
S Stem
B Basal area

Stems:
Ø Diameter
(Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 definition

ERC: Estimated Remaining Contributio

Tree and Tag No Species	Hght (m)	Stems No	Stems Ø (mm)	Crown Spread (m)	Crown Clear (m)	Age	RP A (m^2) R (m)	Phys Condition	Structural Condition	Preliminary Recommendations Survey Comment	Cat ERC
23											
Leyland Cypress *X Cupressocyparis leylandii*	12	3	388 (Eq)	N 4 E 4 S 3 W 2	6 4 6 6	EM	A: 68.2 R: 4.65	Fair	C: Fair S: Fair B: Good	Previously crown lifted	**B.1** 20+ yrs
24											
Leyland Cypress *X Cupressocyparis leylandii*	10	9	540 (Eq)	N 5 E 2 S 1 W 2	1 1 1 1	EM	A: 131.9 R: 6.47	Fair	C: Fair S: Fair B: Good	Multi stemmed from base, fastigiate. Imbalanced crown	**C.1** 10+ yrs

Age Classifications:	N	Newly planted	EM	Early Mature	**Condition:**	C	Crown	**Stems:**	Ø Diameter
	Y	Young	M	Mature		S	Stem		(Eq) Equivalent stem diameter using BS5837:2012 definition
	SM	Semi-mature	OM	Over Mature		B	Basal area	**ERC:**	Estimated Remaining Contributio

Appendix 2: Tree Protection Notice

(To be printed at A3 or larger)

Tree Protection Area

KEEP OUT

Do **not** move this fence

(TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990)
TREES ENCLOSED BY THIS FENCE ARE PROTECTED BY PLANNING CONDITIONS AND/OR ARE THE SUBJECT OF A TREE PRESERVATION ORDER.
CONTRAVENTION OF A TREE PRESERVATION ORDER MAY LEAD TO CRIMINAL PROSECUTION

ANY INCURSION INTO THE PROTECTED AREA MUST BE WITH THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE LOCAL PLANNING AUTHORITY

ARBTECH

Arbtech Consulting Limited.
Unit 3, Well House Barn, Chester Road, Chester, CH4 0DH
https://arbtech.co.uk - 01244 661170

Appendix 3: Contact Details

Name	Position	Company	Contact
	Client		
	Tree Officer		
David Garrick	Arboricultural Consultant	Arbtech Consulting Ltd.	[redacted]
	Site Manager		
	Main contractor		

ARBTECH

Document Production Record

Document number	Editor	Signature	Position	Issue number	Date
Arbtech AMS 01	David Garrick	[redacted]	Arboricultural Consultant	1	10/12/2020

Limitations

Arbtech Consulting Ltd has prepared this Report for the sole use of the above named Client/Agent in accordance with our terms of business, under which our services were performed. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice included in this Report or any other services provided by us. This Report may not be relied upon by any other party without the prior and express written agreement of Arbtech Consulting Ltd. The assessments made assume that the sites and facilities will continue to be used for their current purpose without significant change. The conclusions and recommendations contained in this Report are based upon information provided by others and upon the assumption that all relevant information has been provided by those parties from whom it has been requested. Information obtained from third parties has not been independently verified by Arbtech Consulting Ltd.

Copyright