Heritage Statement

The **Heritage Statement** is for the applicant or agent to identify the heritage asset(s) that have the potential to be affected by the proposals and their setting. Please read the **guidance notes** provided at the back of this report to help you fill in the form correctly. https://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage/heritage-statements

Appendix 1 relates to the **Historic Environment Record (HER) Consultation Report.** You must state whether or not supporting data from the HER is required.

There are 3 options:

- HER report attached (this must be completed by the Historic Environment Record Team)
- HER report not considered necessary email attached from HER
- HER report not required by the Local Planning Authority as detailed on the relevant website validation requirements

Please tick the relevant box at the **back of this form** as to which option applies.

Both the Heritage Statement and the Historic Environment Record Consultation report (Appendix 1) must be completed in order to meet validation requirements of the Local Planning Authority – tick the boxes on the right hand side below to confirm the sections completed.

Note: All fields are **mandatory**. Failure to fully complete all fields may result in the form not being validated by the **Local Planning Authority (LPA)**.

To be completed by the applicant – please tick relevant boxes	
Heritage Statement completed	Х
2. Appendix 1 completed	Χ

Heritage Statement

Site name	
Address of site (including postcode)	73 Marine Parade, Kemptown, Brighton BN2 1AE
Grid Reference	TQ 31999 03804
1. Schedule of Wo	orks
Please state the type o internal alterations	f proposal e.g. extension to a listed building,
Replace existing front i	railing and Windows to a listed building
-	roposed e.g. replacement windows, removal of nent of original staircase, damp proofing works to
Replacement of windo	ows and replacing front railing to the same.

2. Pre Application Advice

Have you consulted the East Sussex Historic Environment Record, as the minimum requirement of the NPPF? \square Yes \boxtimes No
If no, please provide the reasons why not below, including any correspondence with the HER/LPA archaeological advisor or LPA validation team:
The proposal is minor and the material are same as existing.
If Yes, please attach any correspondence to this Heritage Statement in Appendix 1 (including the HER Consultation Report)
Have you sought pre-application heritage advice from the relevant local planning authority? \square Yes \boxtimes No
If Yes, please provide a copy of any written correspondence with reference number/contact name if applicable.
Have you sought pre-application heritage advice from Historic England? \square Yes \boxtimes No
If Yes, please provide a copy of any written correspondence with reference number/contact name if applicable.

3. What heritage asset(s), including their setting, are potentially affected by the proposals? (Please tick the relevant boxes below) (See guidance section: https://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage/heritage-statements-what-heritage-assets-are-affected-proposals)

1: Scheduled Monument (SM)	
2. Listed Building (LB)	\boxtimes
3. Conservation Area (CA)	
4. Registered Park and Garden (RPG)	
5. Historic Battlefield (HB)	
6. Locally Listed Heritage Asset (LLHA)	
7. Archaeological Notification Area (ANA)	
8 Other Non-Designated Heritage Asset (including below ground	
archaeology)	

4. What is known about the affected heritage asset(s)? (See guidance section: https://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage/heritage-statements-what-known-about-affected-heritage-assets)

4 (a). Using the information obtained through research and on-site analysis provide a summary of the history of the site/building.

Please add a summary history of the site/building including specifically the parts that will be impacted by the proposals – e.g. how the site/building was originally laid out, how it has evolved, phases of construction and/or change. Please also provide information on past impacts – e.g. modern extension, drainage, former footings, recent landscaping, gardening. Please include / attach any research material as an addendum to this Statement after Appendix 1.

Historically commencing in about 1790, development of Marine Parade continued eastwards from the Steine until about 1850 when Kemp Town had been reached. The building of 73 Marine Parade was specifically built in the mid 18th century.

As per the Listed building records both No.73 & No.74 are classified as Grade II listed building together. Formerly Listed as: MARINE PARADE No.73 Langley Court Hotel

GV II

Terraced houses. c1825. Designed probably by Amon Wilds and Charles Augustin Busby. Stucco. Roof obscured by parapet. EXTERIOR: No.73 has 4 storeys over basement; No.73 is a 4 window-range, Entrance to No.73 flat arched with overlight, set under prostyle porch of Tuscan pilasters, entablature and blocking course. To right full-height segmental bay of 3 windows, all windows flat arched with entablatures. Ground floor has banded, chamfered rustication. Balcony with cast-iron railing and brackets to first floor of bay. Storey bands between first and second floors. All windows are flat arched; balcony with cast-iron railings and brackets to first floor treated as a arcade supported by colossal Tuscan pilasters rising through first and second storeys, the whole topped by a cornice. To the ground floor; entablature and parapet to attic storey; entry on return of elevation flat arched, much altered, framed by Tuscan pilasters and entablature.

No.73 was listed on 20.8.71. There is no planning history for No.73 as such.

4 (b) What research have you undertaken to understand the significance of the heritage asset(s) affected?

Please tick the relevant boxes

HER	
The Keep (East Sussex Record Office)	\boxtimes
Map regression (historic maps)	
Local Planning Authority sources	
Historic England sources	\boxtimes
Museum or Library (please provide details)	
Other (please state)	

(Please include / attach any research material as an addendum to this Statement after Appendix 1.)

5. What is important about the affected heritage asset(s) ('the significance')? (See guidance section: heritage-assets)

Use this space to describe the significance of the heritage asset(s) including their setting (and any below ground archaeology) identified in Section 3. Please see the guidance notes on page 9 on what a heritage asset is and how to define significance.

(Please continue on a separate sheet of paper if further space is required and attach / include as an addendum to this Statement after Appendix 1.)

There is a historic and architectural significance to the heritage asset.

Architecturally, the façade of this mid-18th-century house protrudes as a large segmental bay, which rises through all four storeys. The ground floor, which is rusticated, has an elaborate entrance porch inserted below the left-hand range of windows. This has prostyle Tuscan pilasters and an entablature with decorative foliage mouldings.

Historically, Marine Parade has a significant historical value to Brighton. See section 4(a) for elaboration.

6. How will the proposals impact on the significance of the heritage asset(s) including their setting? (See guidance section: https://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage/heritage-statements-how-will-proposals-impact-significance-heritage-assets)

Please discuss what impact the proposals have on the heritage asset(s) i.e. loss or disturbance of historic building fabric, below or above ground

archaeological impacts, setting or change of relationship between buildings and altering scale. (Please continue on a separate sheet of paper if further space is required and attach / include as an addendum to this Statement after Appendix 1.)

The proposal would be integrated into the existing building and would not cause harm to host building and adjacent neighboring properties.

The windows will be changed to from single glazing, to identical double glazed white painted timber sash windows. This will result in no changes to the outside appearance.

All external facing materials, treatments and finishes shall be like those of the original building and maintained and retained thereafter.

7. How has the proposal been designed to conserve the significance of the heritage asset(s) including their setting? (See guidance section: https://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage/heritage-statements-how-has-proposal-been-designed-conserve-significance)

Describe how the proposal has been designed to conserve and enhance the significance of the heritage assets (including below ground archaeology). Also describe how any harmful impacts have been avoided or minimised. For example, use of raft foundations, movement of the proposed extension to a less sensitive location.

(Please continue on a separate sheet of paper if further space is required and attach / include as an addendum to this Statement after Appendix 1.)

- The proposed extension is in full harmony of the street maintaining character of local properties. The proposal is at the front of the property and is visible from the public street.
- With regards to proposed materials design care has been taken care
 to keep the special character of the local area and there will be no
 negative impact on the street scene of Marine Parade.
- Although the proposal would seek for replacing windows at the front, proposed external windows will also be identical to the originals, with the addition of double glazing to replace the existing single glazing.
- Impact on Neighbours: The proposal would not result in an adverse impact on any adjoining occupiers in terms of increased noise and disturbance or loss of outlook, privacy and light.

Contact details:

County Archaeology Team
County.archaeology@eastsussex.gov.uk

Historic Environment Record County.HER@eastsussex.gov.uk

Historic England www.historicengland.org.uk

Local Planning Authority

Brighton & Hove City Council: http://www.brighton-hove.gov.uk/content/planning/heritage

Appendix 1

To be completed by the applicant – please tick the relevant box	
Please note one of these boxes must be ticked or your application	
will not be valid	
For further help contact County.HER@eastsussex.gov.uk	
HER Consultation report attached	
HER Consultation report not considered necessary as confirmed in	
attached email from HER	
HER Consultation report not relevant for reasons indicated by the Local	
Planning Authority. These reasons are:	Í
The site is not located in an Archaeological Notification Area and is not a major development	
- The site is located in an Archaeological Notification Area but no below ground works or demolition works are proposed	
- The application is for change of use	

Guidance Notes

The **Heritage Statement** should identify all **heritage assets** potentially affected and their settings; describe their significance; and assess the potential impact of the proposal on that significance, including direct physical change and change to their setting.

Heritage assets include designated and non-designated assets, as well as both buildings and below ground archaeology.

The **National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)** (Department for Communities and Local Government, July 2018) sets out the government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied. The relevant section which relates to heritage is: **Section 189** which states that:

In determining applications, local planning authorities should require an applicant to describe the significance of any heritage assets affected, including any contribution made by their setting. The level of detail should be proportionate to the assets' importance and no more than is sufficient to understand the potential impact of the proposal on their significance. As a minimum the relevant historic environment record should have been consulted and the heritage assets assessed using appropriate expertise where necessary. Where a site on which development is proposed includes or has the potential to include heritage assets with archaeological interest, local planning authorities should require developers to submit an appropriate desk-based assessment and, where necessary, a field evaluation.

National Planning Policy Framework:

https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2

For **further information** on assessment of significance and assessing the potential impact of a proposal on that significance, including setting, can be found in (or their future updates):

- CLG (2012) National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (especially paragraph 17, Section 12 and Annex 2 Glossary)
- CLG (2014) **Planning Policy Guidance (PPG)** (especially 18a, paragraph 001-063)
- Historic England (2015) Good Practice Advice (GPA) note 2 Managing Significance in Decision Taking in the Historic Environment
- Historic England (2015) Good Practice Advice (GPA) note 3 The Setting of Heritage Assets

The NPPF and PPG also include a Glossary and explanation of terms, such as 'heritage asset', 'setting' and 'significance':

Heritage asset: A building, monument, site, place, area or landscape identified as having a degree of significance meriting consideration in planning decisions, because of its heritage interest. Heritage asset includes designated heritage assets and assets identified by the local planning authority (including local listing).

Setting of a heritage asset: The surroundings in which a heritage asset is experienced. Its extent is not fixed and may change as the asset and its surroundings evolve. Elements of a setting may make a positive or negative contribution to the significance of an asset, may affect the ability to appreciate that significance or may be neutral.

Significance (for heritage policy): The value of a heritage asset to this and future generations because of its heritage interest. That interest may be archaeological, architectural, artistic or historic. Significance derives not only from a heritage asset's physical presence, but also from its setting. (NPPF Annex 2: Glossary)