



5 Steele House, Dovercourt

Daylight and Sunlight Assessment for Planning

Job No: 3934
Issued: June, 2021
Issue: 1

Contents

1.0	Introduction.....	3
2.0	Project Summary.....	4
3.0	Methodology.....	5
4.0	Modelling & Data Sources.....	6
5.0	Window Schedules.....	7
6.0	BRE Guidance Targets.....	8
7.0	Daylight Impact Results.....	9
8.0	Sunlight Impact Results.....	10
9.0	Conclusions.....	12

Document Control

Originator

Sam Westover

Date

23.06.21

Signature



Checker

Bernice Waterman

Date

23.06.21

Signature



1.0 Introduction

11 This daylight and sunlight assessment has been prepared to support a planning application for the proposed extension of 5 Steele House, Dovercourt.

12 The report assesses the proposals in respect of daylight, sunlight and overshadowing matters, having regard to industry standard guidance. The report concludes that the proposal is acceptable and in accordance with planning policy requirements in relation to daylight and sunlight.

13 There is no existing specific National Planning Policy relating to the prospective impacts of developments on daylight and sunlight on their surrounding environment.

14 However, the BRE Report 'Site Layout Planning for Daylight and Sunlight: A Guide to Good Practice' is the established National guidance to aid the developer to prevent and/or minimise the impact of a new development on the availability of daylight and sunlight in the environs of the site. It has been developed in conjunction with daylight and sunlight recommendations in BS 8206: Part 2: 'Lighting for Buildings - Code of Practice for Daylighting'.

15 This reference document is accepted as the authoritative work in the field on daylight, sunlight and overshadowing and is specifically referred to in many Local Authorities' planning policy guidance for daylighting. The methodology therein has been used in numerous lighting analyses and the standards of permissible reduction in light are accepted as the industry standards.

2.0 Project Summary



Site Location



- 2.1 The proposal site is at the 5 Steele House, Dovercourt and is currently occupied by a two storey building with commercial at ground floor and residential at first floor.
- 2.2 The proposal is for a single storey extension to provide one new flat.
- 2.3 The impacts of the scheme have been assessed, in line with BRE guidance. Generally, it is the impacts on residential neighbours which are of primary concern.
- 2.4 Further details on the location of the assessed neighbours and their windows are given in Section 5.0.

3.0 Methodology

3.1 For this analysis, we have undertaken the most common calculations for the change in daylight and sunlight to existing buildings, as recommended in BRE Digest 209. These are:

- **Vertical Sky Component (VSC) for daylight**
- **Annual Probable Sunlight Hours and Winter Probable Sunlight Hours (WPSH) (APSH) for sunlight**

3.2 The VSC method measures the general amount of light available on the outside plane of the window as a ratio (%) of the amount of total unobstructed sky viewable following introduction of visible barriers such as buildings. The maximum value is just under 40% for a completely unobstructed vertical wall.

3.3 The VSC is calculated using computer simulation under a CIE overcast sky. This works by simulating the amount of visible sky from the centre point of each window. It is not affected by orientation and so all potentially affected windows are assessed.

3.4 Annual Probable Sunlight Hours (APSH) and Winter Probable Sun light Hours (WPSH) are a measure of the amount of potential direct sunlight that is available to a given surface. APSH covers sunlight over the whole year and WPSH from September 21st to March 21st. The number of total available hours is calculated from a data file in the software, built up over a number of years of actual weather data records.

3.5 Only windows which face within 90° of due south need be assessed for sunlight.

4.0 Modelling & Data Sources

4.1 The first stage of the analysis is to create the analysis model of the existing site condition and the proposal. This allows us to analyse the impact of the proposal when compared to the existing condition.


4.2 2D drawings and a 3D model have been provided by the design team. These drawings are used to construct a 3D analysis model which is exported into the specialist daylight software. Calculations are then run, for both existing and proposed scenarios.

4.3 Sufficient detail is added to the model for the analysis. In accordance with BRE recommendations, trees and foliage have been omitted from the calculations.


4.4 Information on the site and proposal has been provided to us by the design team in the form of drawings and a model giving the site as existing and proposed and photographs of the site and surroundings.

4.5 Web-based mapping sources and planning records for neighbouring buildings have also been used.

5.0 Window Schedules



193 Main Road, Harwich (side)



193 Main Road, Harwich (front)



278 - 282 Main Road, Harwich (front)

6.0 BRE Guidance Targets

6.1 The reference document for this analysis, BRE Digest 209, gives the methodology for undertaking the calculations. It also provides benchmark figures for the acceptable reduction in the daylight on existing properties which might be affected by development.

6.2 Specifically, the guidance gives figures for the VSC and APSH, as a percentage reduction that is 'permissible' for the effect on existing windows.

6.3 It is worth noting the following statement in the Guidance introduction:

- "The guide is intended for building designers and their clients, consultants and planning officials. The advice given here is not mandatory and this document should not be seen as an instrument of planning policy. Its aim is to help rather than constrain the developer.
- Although it gives numerical guidelines, these should be interpreted flexibly because natural lighting is only one of the many factors in site layout design."

6.4 The relevant BRE recommendations for daylight and sunlight are:

- The Vertical Sky Component measured at the centre of a window should be no less than 27, or if reduced to below this, no less than 0.8 times the former value.
- The window should receive at least 25% of available annual sunlight hours and more than 5% during the winter months (September 21st to March 21st), or, where this is not the case, 80% of its former value

7.0 Daylight Impact Results

- 7.1 The Vertical Sky Component has been calculated for each of the 19 assessed windows for both the existing and proposed conditions.
- 7.2 As can be seen in the results below, all windows retain 80% of their current values.
- 7.3 The scheme is therefore compliant with BRE recommendations in relation to daylight impacts.

Vertical Sky Component				
Window	Existing VSC	Proposed VSC	% Retained	Meets BRE Recommendation?
1	34.16	29.31	85.80%	Yes
2	33.84	28.71	84.82%	Yes
3	27.31	23.84	87.28%	Yes
4	33.16	27.63	83.32%	Yes
5	35.06	31.06	88.59%	Yes
6	36.53	35.71	97.75%	Yes
7	30.34	27.64	91.09%	Yes
8	27.32	23.53	86.11%	Yes
9	25.88	21.97	84.90%	Yes
10	21.78	19.32	88.70%	Yes
11	25.21	21.94	87.06%	Yes
12	28.67	26.19	91.37%	Yes
13	32.52	31.93	98.17%	Yes
14	36.60	36.20	98.91%	Yes
15	36.50	36.07	98.82%	Yes
16	36.58	36.10	98.67%	Yes
17	36.42	35.87	98.48%	Yes
18	36.30	35.71	98.38%	Yes
19	36.09	35.54	98.49%	Yes

8.0 Sunlight Impact Results

- 8.1 BRE guidance states that only windows which face within 90° of due south need be assessed for sunlight provision. In this instance, 10 windows fall into this category.
- 8.2 The Probable Sunlight Hours has been calculated for both of these windows for both the existing and proposed conditions using the methodology described previously, both over the whole year, and through the "winter months" (September 21st until March 21st)
- 8.3 The BRE guidance states that the sun lighting may be adversely affected if the centre of the window:
- Receives less than 25% of annual hours or less than 5% of winter hours
 - and
 - Receives less than 80% of its current sunlight hours during either period
 - and
 - Has a reduction in sunlight over the whole year greater than 4% of annual probable sunlight hours
- 8.4 It is clear from the wording of the above that all three clauses need to be met to qualify as an adverse impact. Thus, if the window does not meet any one of these criteria, the impact is acceptable.
- 8.5 The results below show that the majority of the assessed windows retain 25% of annual hours and 5% of winter hours.
- 8.6 The proposal is therefore compliant with BRE guidance in relation to sunlight impacts.

8.0 Sunlight Impact Results


Window	Annual Probable Sunlight Hours			Winter Probable Sunlight Hours			Meets BRE Guidance?
	Existing Hrs Received (%)	Proposed Hrs Received (%)	Percentage Retained	Existing Hrs Received (%)	Proposed Hrs Received (%)	Percentage Retained	
3	36.17	31.81	87.93%	10.53	10.53	100.00%	YES
4	39.02	33.47	85.79%	11.57	11.30	97.60%	YES
10	29.18	25.78	88.36%	9.77	9.77	100.00%	YES
11	28.69	26.75	93.24%	9.49	9.49	100.00%	YES
14	83.02	81.43	98.08%	28.48	26.89	94.40%	YES
15	82.95	81.22	97.91%	28.41	26.68	93.90%	YES
16	82.12	81.29	98.99%	27.58	26.75	96.98%	YES
17	81.43	81.22	99.74%	26.89	26.68	99.23%	YES
18	81.43	81.22	99.74%	26.89	26.68	99.23%	YES
19	81.22	81.22	100.00%	26.68	26.68	100.00%	YES

9.0 Conclusions

- 9.1 Using industry standard methodology, we have made numerical analyses to ascertain the effects of the proposed extension at 5 Steele House, Dovercourt and the levels of change in daylight and sunlight for the windows of the neighbouring properties.
- 9.2 The main criteria used in this analysis to show compliance are the Vertical Sky Component for daylight impacts and Annual and Winter Probable Sunlight Hours for sunlight impacts.
- 9.3 As has been shown, the effect on VSC is within the 80% guidance value for all of the assessed windows.
- 9.4 The scheme is therefore compliant with BRE guidance in relation to daylight impacts.
- 9.5 In terms of sunlight, all windows which face in the correct orientation to warrant assessment retain 25% of annual hours and 5% of winter hours and retain 80% of their existing values.
- 9.6 The proposal is therefore compliant with the BRE guidance in relation to sunlight impacts.
- 9.7 From a planning perspective therefore, it is the conclusion of this report that the proposed development is acceptable for planning, in daylight and sunlight terms.



T16 Design Ltd.



This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the commissioning party and may not be reproduced without prior written permission from T16 Design. All work has been carried out within the terms of the brief using all reasonable skill, care and diligence. No liability is accepted by T16 Design for the accuracy of data or opinions provided by others in the preparation of this report, or for any use of this report other than for the purpose for which it was produced.

© 2021 - T16 Design Ltd.