|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Date: | 23/9/2021 |
| My Ref: |  |
| Your: :Ref: | 21/1083/TC 21/1083/TPO |
| Contact: | Nicola Smith |
| Phone: | 0116 3058724 |
| Email: | Jason.peach@leics.gov.uk |
|  |  |

Dear Charles,

With reference to the above application Nos. 21/1083/TC & 21/1083/TPO

21/1083/TPO- Application to fell magnolia and Cherry.

After visiting the site it is evident that neither of the above trees are old enough to be covered by the County Kirby Fields TPO and neither fall within the TPO area. (The County TPO border runs across the middle of the garden and these fall outside the border) However they do fall within the conservation area. In my opinion neither have amenity value to warrant a DC TPO being placed upon them.

21/1083/TC – Removal of 10 conifers

This small row of approximately 10 Layllandii are only approximately 15-25 years old. It is clear that they were planted as and have been maintained as a hedgerow. They do fall within the LCC Kirby Fields TPO are, but would not be covered due to their age and that is a hedge rather than individual trees. In my opinion there is no amenity value to warrant a Dc TPO being placed upon them. And I would have no objection to them being removed.

Also just to confirm that upon visiting the site last week Mrs Oakley had installed a tree protection barrier around the Ash Tree which RPA falls just within the footprint of the proposed extension. I recommended that they install notices on the fencing to inform contractors of the protected tree as per BS 5837:2012 guidelines. Joanne Lines has my response on this matter.

Kind Regards,

Jason Peach

LCC Tree Officer.