Tree Survey in accordance with BS5837:2012.

Land at: Ty Isaf Farm, Abertirdwr, Caerphilly

Prepared on the instructions of Ms. F. Jackson

Based on inspections carried out on 16<sup>th</sup> August 2021

By Alan Webster, MArborA Our Ref: ARW 1111



# **Table of Contents**

3
4
4

## Appendices

1	Qualifications and experience	6
2	Tree Schedule	8
3	Tree Categorisation	10

## Drawings

1	Tree Location and Constraints Plan	ARW1111a & b
---	------------------------------------	--------------

## 1 Introduction

- 1.1 **Instruction:** I am instructed by Ms. F. Jackson to provide a tree survey in accordance with BS5837:2012 *Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction Recommendations*, to support a planning application on land at Ty Isaf Farm, Abertirdwr.
- 1.2 **Qualifications and experience:** I have based this report on my site observations and the provided information. I have come to conclusions in the light of my qualifications and experience in arboriculture summarised in Appendix 1.
- 1.3 **Documents and information provided:** Ms Jackson provided me with copies of the following documents:
  - Topographical Survey in PDF and DWG
  - Proposed layout in PDF format.
- 1.4 **Scope of this report:** This report concerns the trees and their environment on and adjacent to the proposed development site, in accordance with British Standards Institute, BS 5837: British Standard for trees in relation to design, demolition and construction- Recommendations (2012).
- 1.5 **Report limitations:** This report is valid at the time of the inspection; deliberate or accidental harm, severe weather conditions, pests and diseases can all effect change in the condition of trees.
- 1.5.1 Where adjacent properties contain trees overhanging the site these have only been included in this survey if a safety or nuisance issue is clearly present or if development proposals have an impact upon them. These trees could not be inspected fully as they are within different ownership. Only defects obvious from a visual inspection from within the site are noted. Any works to such trees may require the consent of the owner.
- 1.5.2 There is some potential error in matching trees on site with the topographical survey due to site topography and dense foliage.
- 1.6 **Copyright:** All rights in this report are reserved. Its content and format are for the exclusive use of Ms Jackson and her Agents for the purpose of developing the site. No part of it may be reproduced or transmitted, in any form or by any means without our written consent.

## 2 Site visit

- 2.1 **Site visit:** I carried out my unaccompanied site visit on the 16th of August 2021. All my observations were from ground level without detailed investigation and I estimated all dimensions unless otherwise indicated. The weather at the time of my inspection was dry and clear allowing good visibility.
- 2.2 **Site description:** The B4263 runs east to west through the village of Abertirdwr. The proposed site is on the southern side of the road opposite Bryn Siriol, and to the north of the Nant yr Aber.
- 2.2.1 The site is steeply sloping from north to south down to the river.
- 2.2.2 The site is heavily overgrown with native trees scattered throughout and a shrub layer of brambles and the invasive Himalayan balsam.
- 2.3 **Identification and location of the trees:** The trees in question are shown on the tree location plan included as Drawing ARW 1111a and ARW 1111b. These plans are for illustrative purposes only and it should not be used for directly scaling measurements. All the relevant information on it is contained within this report and the provided documents.
- 2.4 The Local Authority has not been approached to check for statutory tree protection.
- 2.5 **Statutory tree protection:** A TPO makes it an offence to top, lop, uproot, take down, wilfully damage or wilfully destroy a tree, trees or woodland such that its amenity value is diminished, unless it is by agreement with the Local Planning Authority (LPA). This is not a 'blanket ban' on all tree work. Tree work may proceed under the following circumstances:
  - Normal arboricultural maintenance work to preserve, enhance or mitigate nuisance aspects of the tree's habit carried out to professional standards with LPA agreement.
  - Elimination of hazards presented by dead or damaged trees or limbs to the extent required to mitigate the risk where the tree is not immediately dangerous. Significant harm must be both foreseeable and be expected to arise within eight weeks. At least 5-days' written notice must be given to the LPA.
  - Elimination of immediately dangerous hazards presented by dead or damaged trees or limbs to the extent required to mitigate the risk.
  - Removal of dead branches.
  - A number of other specific circumstances that don't apply here but which include grant of full planning permission, compliance with Acts of Parliament, activities of Statutory Undertakers (for example, utility providers), horticultural maintenance of trees for fruit production and so on.

Any work not falling within any of these exemption categories requires a formal application to be made to the LPA using the standard 1App form for tree work. Similar restrictions apply to all trees over 75mm in diameter when measured at 1.5 metres from ground level if they are in a conservation area.

## 3 Observations

- 3.1 **Development plan:** The plan provided indicates four separate residential buildings and a retaining wall to the south of them.
- 3.2 **Trees:** The surveyed trees were assessed as individuals or as groups where appropriate; the survey data is recorded in a schedule in appendix 2.
- 3.2.1 The trees on site are native hawthorn, oak, goat willow and hazel. Only one oak has been categorised as B2, all other trees are category C or U.
- 3.3 **Root morphology:** Tree roots will exploit the most suitable conditions that they can find, migrating to ideal conditions i.e. nutrient levels and available water. Obstructions or poor conditions will force roots to grow alongside, around, under or over.
- 3.3.1 The woodland is relatively flat; however trees along the northern edge are on a slight bank that could give some minor influence to the root distribution. I do not consider this to be significant so have depicted them on the plan as a standard circle.
- 3.4 **Branch spread:** The trees in the main are reasonably well spaced resulting in generally symmetrical canopies.
- 3.5 **Wildlife:** I did not observe any suitable features that could be used as bat habitat during my survey. All trees should be inspected for nesting birds prior to any work being carried out.

The proposed plan provided appears to require the removal of most of the trees on site. All but one of the trees are category C and U and should not be a constraint to development. The one category B oak tree can be easily mitigated by planting away from the development area.

The loss of trees will not adversely affect the local visual amenity as they are not viewed as individuals and the area around the site has significant tree cover.

## 4 Contacts

Consultant Arboriculturist: A. Webster, 07974 303558

Written by:

Alan Webster, MArborA for and on behalf of The Arb Team

Date: 18/08/2021

# Appendices

## Appendix 1

## Qualifications and experience of Alan Webster

#### 1. Academic qualifications:

Level 3 Technicians Certificate in Arboriculture: ABC Level 6 Professional Diploma in Arboriculture, units:

- Tree risk management
- Tree and hedge management
- Selection, planting and design with hardy nursery stock for amenity and landscape purposes
- Arboricultural plant health
- Planning and development in arboriculture
- Management of special trees
- Woodland management
- Independent research project

#### 2. Practical experience:

#### 2003 – 2005

Freelance Chainsaw Operator. Mainly working as a Groundsman for TreeWorks (West Wales) Ltd. Duties including woodland felling and ground based arboricultural operations, in the private and commercial sector.

#### 2005 - 2009.

Groundsman progressing to Lead Climber and Arboricultural Contracts Manager in 2007. Employed by TreeWorks (West Wales) Ltd. Continue to lead arboricultural team and control all chainsaw related operations within countryside teams. Made responsible for management and implementation of company Health and Safety systems.

#### 2009- 2014.

Consultant Arboriculturist and Technical Director. Employed by TreeWorks (West Wales) Ltd, undertaking Tree Surveys and Health & Safety Management.

#### 2014 – Present

Independent Arboricultural Consultant. Trading as ARW Tree Consultancy. Providing advice on risk assessment, development site issues and boundary disputes.

#### 2015 – 2016

Tree Officer for Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council. Responsible for risk assessing Council trees, advising Development Control on trees in relation to planning, maintenance of TPO's and applications, managing project work where trees were identified as a problem.

#### 2016 – Present

Tree Officer (Planning). Advising Development Control on trees in planning context, representing the Council in planning appeals and hearings, TPO review, creation and determination.

3. Professional qualifications and continuing professional development: 2007 Certified Arborist- International Society of Arboriculture (ISA). 2008 Arboriculture and Bats- LANTRA. 2008 Managing Safely- Institution of Occupational Safety and Health (IOSH). 2009 Thorough Examination of Arboricultural Equipment (LOLER '98 regs.)-NPTC. 2010 Level 2 Computer Aided Design. - City and Guilds. 2010 Recertification, Certified Arborist- (ISA). 2010 VTA Update Seminar - Prof. Claus Mattheck/Symbiosis Consulting 2010 Quantified Tree Risk Assessment - Mike Ellison 2011 Professional Tree Inspection – Arboricultural Association/Lantra 2011 AA Getting to Grips with Subsidence – Dr. P.G. Biddle and Dr. M. Dobson 2012 AA Arboricultural Consultancy – Jim Quaife and Jeremy Barrell 2012 46th AA Amenity Conference – Reading University 2013 AA Pests and Diseases Road Show – Guy Watson and Ben Abbatt 2013 C.A.S. Experts Question Time- Tree Safety - Jeremy Barrell and Dr. David Lonsdale. 2013 Recertification, Certified Arborist- (ISA). 2015 **PACE training** - PHF Training, Kevin Hall 2015 4th Big Barn Conference – Barchams 2015 AA Valuing and Managing Veteran Trees – Simon Cox 2015 Green Blue Urban Seminar 2015 HTOF Subsidence Seminar – Dr. P.G. Biddle 2015 Tree Preservation Orders, Effective Application - CAS 2016 **Trees in development** – AA –Barrell Tree Consultancy 2016 Role of the Tree Officer – AA – Richard Nicholson 2016 Habitat Regulations in the Planning Process 2016 Environment (Wales) Act 2016 - Natural Resources Wales 2017 Assessment of Tree Forks – AA – Dr. Duncan Slater 2018 Aspiring Registered Consultants Day – AA 2018 Tree Officer Conference - MTOA 2018 Wales Conference - ICF 2019 Becoming Chartered Workshop - ICF 2019 Ash Dieback Toolkit - Tree Council 2019 CAVAT Training – ICF - Chris Neilan 2021 Mortgage Report Writing - Tree Life, Dave Dowson

#### 4. Relevant experience:

Since 2003 I have been pursuing my natural interest in trees, broadening my knowledge and the required skill range. These acquired skills and knowledge have been applied to projects for private customers, larger agencies and local authorities. I have inspected thousands of trees using accepted VTA methodology and have experience with the most up to date invasive decay detection devices. In the planning arena, I have experience of providing evidence for appeals and at planning hearings. I have recently authored Supplementary Planning Guidance and drafted tree policies for a local authority.

#### 5. **Professional affiliations:**

Arboricultural Association (AA)- Professional Member Institute of Chartered Foresters (ICF) – Associate Member

### **Appendix 2**

### Tree Schedule Explanatory notes:

- Tree no: Refers to the tree number shown on any included drawings.
- **Species:** The species identification based on visual observations and the common English name of what the tree appeared to be is listed first, with the botanical name after in brackets. In some instances, it may be difficult to quickly and accurately identify a particular tree without further detailed investigations. Where there is some doubt of the precise species of tree, it is indicated with a '?' after the name in order to avoid delay in the production of the report. The botanical name is followed by the abbreviation sp if only the genus is known. The species listed for groups and hedges represent the main component and there may be other minor species not listed.
- **Tree Height:** Height is an estimate to the nearest metre. Figures in brackets indicate lowest branch height.
- Stem Diameter: These figures relate to 1.5m above ground level and are recorded in millimetres. If appropriate, diameter is measured with a diameter tape. Where a tree is multi-stemmed the calculated in accordance with the standard figure is listed.
- Crown Spread: The crown spread visually estimated to the nearest metre from the centre of the trunk to the tips of the live lateral branches, N= north, S= south, E= east and W=west.
- Height & direction of 1st branch: Height in meters of first significant branch and direction expressed as a cardinal point.
- Min. Crown clearance: Clear height in metres of ground clearance at the four cardinal points measured in metres
- Life stage: Age is an <u>estimated range</u> based on visual indicators and should only be taken as a <u>provisional guide</u>. Y=Young: obviously planted/self seeded within the last three years (unless as a heavy or extra-heavy standard). SM=Semi-mature: recently planted and yet to attain mature stature; up to 25% of attainable age. EM=Early mature: almost full height, crown still developing and seed bearing; up to 50% of attainable age. M=Mature: full height, crown spread, seed bearing; over 50% of attainable age. OM=Over mature: full size, die-back, small leaf size, poor growth extension.
- Physiological condition: Physiological health G=good; F= fair; P= poor; D= dead or moribund
- General observations/management recommendations: Information based on visual observations that may influence management proposals or BS 5837 categorisation, where appropriate recommendations are offered.
- Remaining contribution: Estimated remaining contribution in years
- Retention category: The category awarded in accordance with BS 5837:2012 Trees in relation to construction- Recommendations, it is an indication of a trees condition and value.
- RPA-R: Radius of circle (measured from centre of trunk) required to achieve RPA-A, in metres
- Text colour: BS 5837 Category, Green=A, Blue=B, Grey=C, Red=U

		(m)	, îr	Crown spread (m)				Ø		ing Ition	on bry		
Tree no	Species	Tree Height	Sterr Dia. (m	N	E	S	W	Life Stage	General observations Management recommendation	Remain Contribu	Retenti Catego	RPA R (m	RPA A (m <sup>3</sup>
T1	Goat Willow (Salix caprea)	8	350	5	3	4	4	М		20+	C2	4.2	55
T2	Goat Willow	7	150	0	4	4	2	EM		20+	C2	1.8	10
Т3	Sessile Oak (Quercus petraea)	14	500	6	5	6	6	М		40+	B2	6.0	113
Τ4	Goat Willow	12	400	3	5	1	8	OM	Failed co-dominant stem and cavity.	<10	U	-	-
T5	Hazel (Corylus avellana)	8	80	3	5	5	4	М		20+	C2	4.3	58
T6	Ash (Fraxinus excelsior)	13	250 300	4	3	3	4	М	No signs of ash die back at present.	<10	C2	4.7	69
Τ7	Ash	13	180 260	4	2	3	3	М	Poor form. No signs of ash die back at present.	<10	U	-	-
T8	Hazel	7	100	2	3	3	3	М		20+	C2	2.9	27
G1	Goat Willow	15	300	7	6	7	8	OM		10+	C2	6.2	122
G2	Common Alder (Alnus glutinosa)	17	300	7	3	3	4	М		20+	C2	7.2	163
G3	Hazel	6	100	2	2	2	2	Μ		20+	C2	1.7	9
G4	Hawthorn (Crateagus monogyna)	6	120	3	3	3	3	Μ	Scattered trees.	20+	C2	1.4	7

Category and definition

Criteria (including subcategories where appropriate)

Trees unsuitable for retention								
<b>Category U</b> Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context or the current land use for longer than 10 years	Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning) • Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline • Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality. Note: Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which it may be desirable to preserve							
	1. Mainly arboricultural qualities	2. Mainly landscape qualities	3. Mainly cultural values, including conservation					
Trees to be considered for retention								
<b>Category A</b> Trees of high quality with an estimated life expectancy of at least 40 years	Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual: or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)	Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features	Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood- pasture)					
Category B Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years	Trees that might be included in category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remediable defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category A designation	Trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to a wider locality	Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood - pasture					
Category C Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy or at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm	Unremarkable trees of a very limited merit or such an impaired condition that they so not qualify in higher categories	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefits	Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value					