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Introduction
The aim of this daylight and sunlight impact assessment report is to support the planning application for the proposed development at Sidcup Library in the London Borough of Bexley. This report will evaluate the potential impact of the proposed development on the daylight and sunlight received by the neighbouring buildings. The proposal is to demolish the existing building to develop a three-storey building consisting of 23 new residential units.

The daylight and sunlight impact has been calculated and assessed according to the BRE guidance "Site layout planning for daylight and sunlight - A guide to good practice" (second edition).

The calculations are based on plans of the proposed development provided by Stitch Architects, issued in September 2021.

Best practice guidance
According to the BRE guidance "Site layout planning for daylight and sunlight - A guide to good practice" (second edition), the impact of the new development on the daylight and sunlight received by the neighbouring buildings has to be analysed according to the loss of light from the sky and the loss of sunlight. The loss of daylight and sunlight to existing neighbouring buildings needs to be analysed where:

- The distance of each part of the new development from the existing window is less than three times its height above the centre of the existing window.
- Or the angle from the centre of the existing window to the top of the new proposed building is more than $25^{\circ}$.


## Light from the sky

The loss of light from sky to the living room, kitchen and bedroom of existing buildings has to be assessed.

Vertical Sky Component (VSC) - This is the ratio of the direct sky illuminance on the centre of the outside pane of a window, to the simultaneous illuminance on an unobstructed horizontal plane. This must be:

- Greater than $27 \%$.
- Or more than 0.8 times its former value (before the new development).

No-Sky Line (NSL)- This is the outline of the area that has a direct view of the sky on a working plane. Where room layouts are known, in each of the main rooms, the area that receives direct light from the sky must be:

- More than 0.8 times its former value (before the new development)


## Sunlight

The loss of sunlight to the living room of existing buildings that has a window facing within $90^{\circ}$ of due south has to be assessed.

Annual Probable Sunlight Hours (APSH) - This is the average of total number of hours during a year in which direct sunlight reaches the centre of a window. This must be

- More than $25 \%$ of the total Annual sunlight hours (unobstructed horizontal plane), including at least $5 \%$ of the total annual sunlight hours (unobstructed horizontal plane) in the winter months (21 September-21 March).
- Or more than 0.8 times its former value for the whole year and the winter period (before the new development)

Sunlight on gardens and open spaces:

- At least half of the garden space should receive at least 2 hours of sunlight on $21^{\text {st }}$ March.
- The area that receives at least 2 hours of sunlight on $21^{\text {st }}$ March is more than 0.8 times its former area (before the new development).

Where a detailed calculation cannot be carried out, the centre of the garden should receive 2 hours of sunlight on $21^{\text {st }}$ March.
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## Site Layout

The figure below shows the site plan of the proposed development. The red outline indicates the boundary of the proposed development. The neighbouring buildings that could possibly experience impacts to their daylight and sunlight levels are:

- Travelodge
- 9-11 High St
- 13-15 High S
- 17 High St
- 19 High St
- 21 High St
- 23 High St
- 1 Hadlow Road
- 2 Hadlow Road
- 3 Hadlow Road
- 40 St. John's Road



# Existing and Proposed D\&S Impact Assessment Sidcup Library 

Existing and proposed development
The daylight conditions of the assessed windows will be analysed with the existing building (before) and with the proposed development (after). The figures in the side shows the two cases, before and afte the proposed development. The red building is the existing and blue is the proposed development.

Impact on neighbouring buildings
3D illustrations of the assessed properties and windows are presented in Appendix A.
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## Rationale and Methodology

According to the BRE guidance, the VSC and NSL must be calculated to assess the loss of light from the sky.

## Vertical Sky Component (VSC)

According to BRE guidance, dwellings lit by windows with a VSC of less than $27 \%$ or less than 0.8 times its former value are likely to appear more gloomy and electric light will be needed more of the time. Please note that the room type and layout of each window is unknown. A table with the VSC results is presented in Appendix B. A summary of the results is presented below:

- Travelodge: All windows meet the VSC recommendations.
- 9-11 High St: All windows meet the VSC recommendations except two windows, however they are both marginally outside of the guidelines, therefore the daylight levels of this property should be acceptable.
- 13-15 High St: Four windows fail to meet the VSC recommendations, however they serve non-residential rooms, which are not considered primary living spaces and the losses are marginally above the guidelines. Therefore, the daylight levels of this property should be acceptable.
- 17 High St: All windows meet the VSC recommendations
- 19 High St: All windows meet the VSC recommendations.
- 21 High St: All windows meet the VSC recommendations
- 23 High St: All windows meet the VSC recommendations.
- 1 Hadlow Road: All windows meet the VSC recommendations.
- 2 Hadlow Road: All windows meet the VSC recommendations except three windows, however, two of the failing windows serve rooms that have additional windows providing daylight access and therefore the daylight of these rooms will not be significantly affected. The third failing windows (W46) has a minor loss of VSC but meets the APSH recommendations. Therefore, the daylight levels of this property should be acceptable.
- 3 Hadlow Road: All windows meet the VSC recommendations.
- 40 St. John's Road: All windows meet the VSC recommendations.

In summary, $87.7 \%$ of the assessed windows meet the recommendations for the VSC

## No-Sky Line (NSL)

According to BRE guidance, the area of the room that receives direct light from the sky must be more than 0.8 times its former value (before the new development). Please note that where the room layouts are unknown, reasonable room dimensions have been assumed.

A table with the full NSL results is presented in Appendix B. A summary of the results is presented below:

- Travelodge: All rooms meet the NSL recommendations
- 9-11 High St: All rooms meet the NSL recommendations
- 13-15 High St: All rooms meet the NSL recommendations except two rooms, however they are non-residential rooms, which are not considered primary living spaces and lower daylight levels should be acceptable.
- 17 High St: All rooms meet the NSL recommendations except two non-domestic rooms, however both rooms meet the VSC criteria. Therefore, results should be acceptable.
- 19 High St: Two rooms fail to meet the NSL recommendations, however, they are both marginally outside of the guidelines, therefore the daylight level of this property should be acceptable.
- 21 High St: All rooms meet the NSL recommendations.
- 23 High St: All rooms meet the NSL recommendations.
- 1 Hadlow Road: Two rooms fail to meet the NSL recommendations, however, they are both marginally outside of the guidelines, therefore the daylight level of this property should be acceptable.
- 2 Hadlow Road: All rooms meet the NSL recommendations except one room (Room 46) which will have a loss up to $66.3 \%$. However, room44, at this same property, will have an improvement of $43.4 \%$ of NSL. Moreover, this window is unlikely to serve a living room.
- 3 Hadlow Road: All rooms meet the NSL recommendations.
- 40 St. John's Road: All rooms meet the NSL recommendations.

In summary, $82.0 \%$ of the assessed rooms meet the recommendations for the no-sky line.
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## Annual Probable Sunlight Hours (APSH)

The calculation of the APSH is only applicable for windows of living rooms that face $90^{\circ}$ of due south The room use is unknown and therefore, the APSH will be calculated for all south facing windows.

According to BRE guidance, where living rooms are lit by windows with APSH of at least $25 \%$ of the total annual sunlight hours (unobstructed horizontal plane) including at least $5 \%$ of the total annual sunlight hours (unobstructed horizontal plane) in the winter months ( 21 September - 21 March) or more than 0.8 times its former value for the whole year and the winter period (before the new development) then the room should still receive enough sunlight. A table with the full APSH results is presented in Appendix B. A summary of the results is presented below:

- Travelodge: There are no potentially affected south-facing windows.
- 9-11 High St: There are no potentially affected south-facing windows.
- 13-15 High St: There are no potentially affected south-facing windows.
- 17 High St: There are no potentially affected south-facing windows.
- 19 High St: There are no potentially affected south-facing windows.
- 21 High St: All windows meet the APSH recommendations.
- 23 High St: All windows meet the APSH recommendations.
- 1 Hadlow Road: All windows meet the APSH recommendations.
- 2 Hadlow Road: All windows meet the APSH recommendations except three windows. all these failing windows serve rooms that have additional windows providing daylight access and therefore the daylight of these rooms will not be significantly affected
- 3 Hadlow Road: All windows meet the APSH recommendations.
- 40 St. John's Road: All windows meet the APSH recommendations.

In summary, $90.0 \%$ of the analysed south facing windows meet the recommendations for the APSH.

Sunlight reaching gardens and open spaces
According to BRE guidance, gardens that receive less than 2 hours of daylight on 21 March at more than $50 \%$ of their area, or less than 0.8 times its former value will tend to look more heavily overshadowed. An illustration of the sunlight hours is presented in Appendix A. A table with the sunlight hours results is presented in Appendix B.

In summary, all gardens meet the recommendations for sunlight hours.
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## Conclusions

Based on the results of this analysis and according to the recommendations provided in the BRE guidance "Site layout planning for daylight and sunlight - A guide to good practice" (second edition), the study concludes that:

- Vertical Sky Component (VSC): $87.7 \%$ of the existing windows could meet the BRE recommendation for VSC. Nine windows do not meet the BRE guidance for VSC, however results should be acceptable as:
- Two windows at 9-11 High St are marginally outside of the guidelines, therefore the daylight level of this property should be acceptable.
- Four windows at 13-15 High St fail to meet the VSC recommendations, however they serve non-residential rooms, which are not considered primary living spaces and lower daylight levels should be acceptable
- Three failing windows at. 2 Hadlow Road, serve to rooms that have additional windows providing daylight access and/or meet other daylight metrics. Therefore the daylight of these rooms will not be significantly affected.
- No-Sky Line (NSL): $82 \%$ of the existing rooms could meet the BRE recommendation for NSL. Nine rooms do not meet the BRE guidance for NSL, however results should be acceptable as:
- Two rooms at 13-15 High St fail to meet the NSL, however they are nonresidential rooms, which are not considered primary living spaces and lower daylight levels should be acceptable
- Two failing rooms at 17 High St, meet the VSC criteria and therefore results should be acceptable.
- Four failing rooms (19 High St and 1 Hadlow Road) are marginally outside of the guidelines; therefore, the daylight level of these properties should be acceptable.
- One room (Room 46) at 2 Hadlow Road will have a loss up to $66.3 \%$. However, room44, at this same property, will have an improvement of $43.4 \%$ of NSL. Moreover, this window is unlikely to serve a living room.
- Annual Probable Sunlight Hours (APSH): The APSH have been calculated for all existing south facing windows. $90 \%$ of the existing windows meet the APSH recommendations. All the three failing windows serve rooms that have additional windows providing daylight access and therefore the daylight of these rooms will not be significantly affected.
- The sunlight of all existing gardens would not be affected by the proposed development.

According to BRE report paragraph I6, the impact is assessed as 'minor' when only a small number of windows are affected, or the loss of light is only marginally outside the guidelines. The proposed development will have a 'minor' impact on the daylight and sunlight received by the neighbouring amenities.

Note: the BRE report is a guide for good practice and not an assessment of "Pass" and "Fail" Therefore, the failure to meet the recommended values for the VSC and APSH, do not indicate that the development is unsuitable. According to the BRE guidance the VSC does not include the light reflected by the ground and neighbouring buildings. Therefore, in reality, the reduction of the light would be less, and the neighbouring properties would receive more daylight and sunlight than numerically stated in this report.
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| Property |  |  | VSC Calculations |  |  |  | APSH Calculations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property | Window | Room type | VSC (\%) |  | Loss | Compliance | Year (\%) |  |  | Winter (\%) |  |  | Compliance |
|  |  |  | Before | After |  |  | Before | After | Loss | Before | After | Loss |  |
| Travelodge | W. 1 | Unknown | 36.67 | 34.77 | 5.2\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W. 2 | Unknown | 36.82 | 34.41 | 6.5\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W. 3 | Unknown | 36.73 | 33.66 | 8.4\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W. 4 | Unknown | 35.57 | 32.52 | 8.6\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W. 5 | Unknown | 38.53 | 37.26 | 3.3\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W. 6 | Unknown | 38.6 | 36.99 | 4.2\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W. 7 | Unknown | 38.64 | 36.53 | 5.5\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W. 8 | Unknown | 38.67 | 36 | 6.9\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 9-11 High St | W. 9 | Unknown | 32.19 | 25.23 | 21.6\% | FAIL | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W.10a | Unknown | 35.54 | 27.6 | 22.3\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W.10b | Unknown | 34.71 | 25.29 | 27.1\% | FAIL | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W.11a | Unknown | 34.12 | 29.79 | 12.7\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W.11b | Unknown | 33.78 | 30.06 | 11.0\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W.11c | Unknown | 31.87 | 28.7 | 9.9\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 13-15 High St | W.12a | non-domestic | 23.47 | 15.89 | 32.3\% | FAIL | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W.12b | non-domestic | 26.42 | 18.61 | 29.6\% | FAIL | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W.13a | non-domestic | 28.47 | 20.11 | 29.4\% | FAIL | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W.13b | non-domestic | 28.83 | 20.13 | 30.2\% | FAIL | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W. 14 | Unknown | 35.27 | 29.16 | 17.3\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W. 15 | Unknown | 36.06 | 28.94 | 19.7\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
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| Property |  |  | VSC Calculations |  |  |  | APSH Calculations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property | Window | Room type | VSC (\%) |  | Loss | Compliance | Year (\%) |  |  | Winter (\%) |  |  | Compliance |
| Property |  |  | Before | After |  |  | Before | After | Loss | Before | After | Loss |  |
| 17 High St | W. 16 | Unknown | 26.53 | 25.26 | 4.8\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W. 17 | Unknown | 33.11 | 31.92 | 3.6\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W. 18 | Unknown | 35.68 | 30.56 | 14.3\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W. 19 | Unknown | 35.05 | 29.97 | 14.5\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 19 High St | W. 20 | Unknown | 34.9 | 29.96 | 14.2\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W. 21 | Unknown | 31.99 | 27.48 | 14.1\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 21 High St | W. 22 | Unknown | 26.54 | 24.91 | 6.1\% | PASS | 32.4 | 30.5 | 5.9 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | PASS |
|  | W. 23 | Unknown | 31.61 | 28.41 | 10.1\% | PASS | 48.2 | 44.3 | 8.1 | 19.1 | 19.0 | 0.5 | PASS |
| 23 High St | W. 24 | Unknown | 33.85 | 31.48 | 7.0\% | PASS | 53.5 | 47.4 | 11.4 | 21.4 | 21.3 | 0.5 | PASS |
|  | W. 25 | Unknown | 34.3 | 30.56 | 10.9\% | PASS | 55.3 | 47.5 | 14.1 | 23.6 | 23.4 | 0.8 | PASS |
|  | W. 26 | Unknown | 34.09 | 29.11 | 14.6\% | PASS | 54.3 | 45.6 | 16.0 | 23.1 | 22.0 | 4.8 | PASS |
|  | W. 27 | Unknown | 36.34 | 34.99 | 3.7\% | PASS | 58.0 | 54.0 | 6.9 | 24.4 | 24.4 | 0.0 | PASS |
|  | W. 28 | Unknown | 36.26 | 34.59 | 4.6\% | PASS | 58.8 | 53.8 | 8.5 | 25.2 | 24.8 | 1.6 | PASS |
|  | W.29a | Unknown | 36.27 | 34.19 | 5.7\% | PASS | 59.1 | 52.5 | 11.2 | 25.4 | 25.0 | 1.6 | PASS |
|  | W.29b | Unknown | 36.25 | 33.67 | 7.1\% | PASS | 58.6 | 52.1 | 11.1 | 24.8 | 24.7 | 0.4 | PASS |
|  | W.29c | Unknown | 36.19 | 33.01 | 8.8\% | PASS | 58.7 | 50.8 | 13.5 | 24.9 | 24.3 | 2.4 | PASS |
|  | W.29d | Unknown | 36.05 | 31.91 | 11.5\% | PASS | 58.2 | 49.3 | 15.3 | 24.6 | 23.2 | 5.7 | PASS |
|  | W. 30 | Unknown | 32.91 | 31.14 | 5.4\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W. 31 | Unknown | 35.16 | 33.1 | 5.9\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 Hadlow Road | W.32a | Unknown | 27.69 | 24.46 | 11.7\% | PASS | 50.5 | 42.4 | 16.0 | 20.6 | 14.1 | 31.6 | PASS |
|  | W.32b | Unknown | 33.85 | 28.92 | 14.6\% | PASS | 53.4 | 45.4 | 15.0 | 20.4 | 13.8 | 32.4 | PASS |
|  | W.32c | Unknown | 27.05 | 24.99 | 7.6\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W.33a | Unknown | 26.82 | 23.42 | 12.7\% | PASS | 47.5 | 40.1 | 15.6 | 20.6 | 13.8 | 33.0 | PASS |
|  | W.33b | Unknown | 34.12 | 29.87 | 12.5\% | PASS | 53.7 | 46.7 | 13.0 | 21.2 | 14.4 | 32.1 | PASS |
|  | W.33c | Unknown | 28.49 | 27.17 | 4.6\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W. 34 | Unknown | 36.94 | 33.28 | 9.9\% | PASS | 60.6 | 54.5 | 10.1 | 25.5 | 19.5 | 23.5 | PASS |
|  | W. 35 | Unknown | 36.95 | 33.77 | 8.6\% | PASS | 60.9 | 55.5 | 8.9 | 25.6 | 20.2 | 21.1 | PASS |
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| Property |  |  | VSC Calculations |  |  |  | APSH Calculations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Window | Room type | VSC (\%) |  | Loss | Compliance | Year (\%) |  |  | Winter (\%) |  |  | Compliance |
| Property | Window |  | Before | After |  |  | Before | After | Loss | Before | After | Loss |  |
| 2 Hadlow Road | W.36a | Unknown | 35.02 | 35.04 | -0.1\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W.36b | Unknown | 36.24 | 35.84 | 1.1\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W.36c | Unknown | 30.64 | 29.79 | 2.8\% | PASS | 24.9 | 23.7 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 3.6 | 25.0 | FAIL |
|  | W. 37 a | Unknown | 30.62 | 30.63 | 0.0\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W.37b | Unknown | 35.48 | 34.17 | 3.7\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W.37c | Unknown | 25.51 | 17.53 | 31.3\% | FAIL | 29.5 | 18.2 | 38.3 | 5.5 | 1.6 | 70.9 | FAIL |
|  | W.38a | Unknown | 36.89 | 36.88 | 0.0\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W.38b | Unknown | 37.92 | 37.44 | 1.3\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W.38c | Unknown | 35.57 | 33.85 | 4.8\% | PASS | 41.6 | 37.4 | 10.1 | 10.9 | 6.7 | 38.5 | PASS |
|  | W. 39 | Unknown | 32.4 | 32.4 | 0.0\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W. 40a | Unknown | 34.01 | 33.99 | 0.1\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W.40b | Unknown | 37.77 | 36.3 | 3.9\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W. 40c | Unknown | 33.13 | 21.32 | 35.6\% | FAIL | 46.5 | 27.6 | 40.6 | 17.1 | 2.6 | 84.8 | FAIL |
|  | W. 41 | Unknown | 35.54 | 36.21 | -1.9\% | PASS | 52.7 | 56.0 | -6.3 | 17.4 | 20.8 | -19.5 | PASS |
|  | W. 42 | Unknown | 37.34 | 37.56 | -0.6\% | PASS | 58.7 | 58.6 | 0.2 | 23.4 | 23.3 | 0.4 | PASS |
|  | W. 43 | Unknown | 23.87 | 23.6 | 1.1\% | PASS | 47.4 | 45.6 | 3.8 | 16.9 | 21.5 | -27.2 | PASS |
|  | W. 44 | Unknown | 17.63 | 19.43 | -10.2\% | PASS | 36.9 | 41.2 | -11.7 | 14.9 | 20.9 | -40.3 | PASS |
|  | W. 45 | Unknown | 32.93 | 34.56 | -4.9\% | PASS | 44.7 | 48.7 | -8.9 | 16.2 | 21.1 | -30.2 | PASS |
|  | W. 46 | Unknown | 28.74 | 18.73 | 34.8\% | FAIL | 59.1 | 44.4 | 24.9 | 18.6 | 14.6 | 21.5 | PASS |
| 3 Hadlow Road | W. 47 | Unknown | 34.39 | 31.21 | 9.2\% | PASS | 54.3 | 48.4 | 10.9 | 21.1 | 15.2 | 28.0 | PASS |
|  | W.48a | Unknown | 29.24 | 26.45 | 9.5\% | PASS | 52.7 | 47.8 | 9.3 | 23.8 | 18.8 | 21.0 | PASS |
|  | W.48b | Unknown | 33.95 | 31.62 | 6.9\% | PASS | 56.7 | 52.1 | 8.1 | 22.3 | 17.7 | 20.6 | PASS |
|  | W.48c | Unknown | 31.34 | 31.23 | 0.4\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 40 St. John's Road | W. 49 | Unknown | 34.36 | 32.87 | 4.3\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W. 50 a | Unknown | 34.57 | 32.96 | 4.7\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | W.50b | Unknown | 34.54 | 32.82 | 5.0\% | PASS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  | Total number of windows |  |  | 73 | Total number of windows |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |
|  |  |  | Pass |  |  | 64 | Pass |  |  |  |  |  | 27 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 87.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90.0\% |
|  |  |  | Fail |  |  | $\frac{9}{12.3 \%}$ | Fail |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{3}{10.0 \%}$ |
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| Property |  |  | NSL Calculations |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property | Window | Room type | NSL (\%) |  | Loss | Compliance |
|  |  |  | Before | After |  |  |
| Travelodge | R. 1 | Non-domestic | 98.4 | 98.4 | 0.0\% | PASS |
|  | R. 2 | Non-domestic | 98.9 | 98.9 | 0.0\% | PASS |
|  | R. 3 | Non-domestic | 98.9 | 98.9 | 0.0\% | PASS |
|  | R. 4 | Non-domestic | 99.6 | 99 | 0.6\% | PASS |
|  | R. 5 | Non-domestic | 98.6 | 98.6 | 0.0\% | PASS |
|  | R. 6 | Non-domestic | 99 | 99 | 0.0\% | PASS |
|  | R. 7 | Non-domestic | 99.1 | 99.1 | 0.0\% | PASS |
|  | R. 8 | Non-domestic | 99.8 | 99.8 | 0.0\% | PASS |
| 9-11 High St | R. 9 | Unknown | 98.5 | 98.5 | 0.0\% | PASS |
|  | R. 10 | Unknown | 100 | 87.2 | 12.8\% | PASS |
|  | R. 11 | Unknown | 100 | 100 | 0.0\% | PASS |
| 13-15 High St | R. 12 | Non-domestic | 84.4 | 41.9 | 50.4\% | FAIL |
|  | R. 13 | Non-domestic | 78.7 | 39.9 | 49.3\% | FAIL |
|  | R. 14 | Unknown | 98 | 98 | 0.0\% | PASS |
|  | R. 15 | Unknown | 97.9 | 97.9 | 0.0\% | PASS |
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| Property |  |  | NSL Calculations |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property | Window | Room type | NSL (\%) |  | Loss | Compliance |
| Property |  |  | Before | After |  |  |
| 17 High St | R. 16 | Unknown | 87.5 | 83.5 | 4.6\% | PASS |
|  | R. 17 | Unknown | 86.8 | 82.3 | 5.2\% | PASS |
|  | R. 18 | Unknown | 99 | 59.3 | 40.1\% | FAIL |
|  | R. 19 | Unknown | 99.8 | 58.9 | 41.0\% | FAIL |
| 19 High St | R. 20 | Unknown | 99.9 | 75.2 | 24.7\% | FAIL |
|  | R. 21 | Unknown | 99.8 | 73.6 | 26.3\% | FAIL |
| 21 High St | R. 22 | Unknown | 96.3 | 96.3 | 0.0\% | PASS |
|  | R. 23 | Unknown | 99.6 | 99.3 | 0.3\% | PASS |
| 23 High St | R. 24 | Unknown | 99.4 | 99.4 | 0.0\% | PASS |
|  | R. 25 | Unknown | 99.3 | 97.3 | 2.0\% | PASS |
|  | R. 26 | Unknown | 99.2 | 81.8 | 17.5\% | PASS |
|  | R. 27 | Unknown | 98.5 | 98.5 | 0.0\% | PASS |
|  | R. 28 | Unknown | 97.3 | 97.3 | 0.0\% | PASS |
|  | R. 29 | Unknown | 98.4 | 98.4 | 0.0\% | PASS |
|  | R. 30 | Unknown | 98.3 | 98.3 | 0.0\% | PASS |
|  | R. 31 | Unknown | 99.9 | 99.9 | 0.0\% | PASS |
| 1 Hadlow Road | R. 32 | Unknown | 98.3 | 70.6 | 28.2\% | FAIL |
|  | R. 33 | Unknown | 98.6 | 76.5 | 22.4\% | FAIL |
|  | R. 34 | Unknown | 99.1 | 99.1 | 0.0\% | PASS |
|  | R. 35 | Unknown | 99.1 | 99.1 | 0.0\% | PASS |

## Appendix B - Results <br> D\&Sunlight Impact Assessment Sidcup Library

| Property |  |  | NSL Calculations |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property | Window | Room type | NSL (\%) |  | Loss | Compliance |
|  |  |  | Before | After |  |  |
| 2 Hadlow Road | R. 36 | Unknown | 98.3 | 98.3 | 0.0\% | PASS |
|  | R. 37 | Unknown | 99.1 | 98.8 | 0.3\% | PASS |
|  | R. 38 | Unknown | 97.8 | 97.8 | 0.0\% | PASS |
|  | R. 39 | Unknown | 98.8 | 98.8 | 0.0\% | PASS |
|  | R. 40 | Unknown | 99.4 | 98.5 | 0.9\% | PASS |
|  | R. 41 | Unknown | 96.5 | 97.1 | -0.6\% | PASS |
|  | R. 42 | Unknown | 91.9 | 91.9 | 0.0\% | PASS |
|  | R. 43 | Unknown | 70.2 | 70.6 | -0.6\% | PASS |
|  | R. 44 | Unknown | 58.5 | 83.9 | -43.4\% | PASS |
|  | R. 45 | Unknown | 95.3 | 96.4 | -1.2\% | PASS |
|  | R. 46 | Unknown | 97.2 | 32.8 | 66.3\% | FAIL |
| 3 Hadlow Road | R. 47 | Unknown | 100 | 99.9 | 0.1\% | PASS |
|  | R. 48 | Unknown | 98.6 | 93.3 | 5.4\% | PASS |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline 40 \text { St. John's } \\ \text { Road } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | R. 49 | Unknown | 99.2 | 97 | 2.2\% | PASS |
|  | R. 50 | Unknown | 100 | 100 | 0.0\% | PASS |
|  |  |  | Total number of rooms |  |  | 50 |
|  |  |  | Pass |  |  | 41 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 82.0\% |
|  |  |  | Fail |  |  | 9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 18.0\% |

## Appendix B - Results <br> D\&Sunlight Impact Assessment Sidcup Library

| Garden |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area with at least 2 hours of sunlight (\%) |  |  |  | Loss | Compliance |
| Property | Garden | Before | After |  |  |
| 9-15 High St | G. 1 | 45.8 | 45.8 | 0.0\% | PASS |
| 17-19 High St | G. 2 | 63.9 | 63.9 | 0.0\% | PASS |
| 2 Hadlow Road | G. 3 | 97.3 | 97.3 | 0.0\% | PASS |
|  | Total number of gardens |  |  |  | 3 |
|  |  | Pass |  |  | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 100.0\% |
|  |  | Fail |  |  | 0 |

