



# Richardson's Botanical Identifications

Root identification  
Vegetation surveys  
Tree/Building investigations  
Plant taxonomy

**Richard Jackson Ltd.**  
**847 The Crescent**  
**Severalls Business Park**  
**COLCHESTER**  
**Essex CO4 9YQ**

**Dr Ian B K Richardson**  
*BSc, MSc, PhD, MRSB, FLS*

**James Richardson**  
*BSc (Hons. Biology)*

**Enterprise House**  
**49-51 Whiteknights Road**  
**Reading**  
**RG6 7BB**

*Your ref:* **53120**

*Our ref:* 81/1102

28/11/2020

Dear Sirs

**The Old Vicarage Ramsey CO12 5EU**

The samples you sent in relation to the above have been examined. Their structures were referable as follows:

<b>BH20, 1.0-2.0m</b>	
1 no.	Examined root: could be either ACER (Maples, Sycamores) - or - CARPINUS (Hornbeam). This sample had NO BARK.
3 no.	Examined root: ACER (Maples, Sycamores).
1 no.	Microscopic examination showed insufficient cells for recognition.
<b>BH20, 2.0-3.5m</b>	
1 no.	Examined root: essentially too immature for identification; definitely NOT a conifer.
3 no.	Unfortunately all with insufficient cells for identification.
<b>BH20, 3.5-5.0m</b>	
1 no.	Examined root: we cannot rule out ACER (Maples, Sycamores). NO BARK.
3 no.	Unfortunately all with insufficient cells for identification.
<b>BH21, 1.0-2.5m</b>	
1 no.	Examined root: could be ACER (Maples, Sycamores). again, without any BARK.
3 no.	Examined root: QUERCUS (Oak) or the related CASTANEA (Sweet Chestnut).
3 no.	Unfortunately all with insufficient cells for identification.

*/ continued overleaf*

<b>BH21, 2.5-4.5m</b>	
1 no.	Examined root: too POOR to identify with confidence. Definitely NOT a coniferous type.
6 no.	Unfortunately all with insufficient cells for identification.
<b>BH22, 1.0-2.5m</b>	
3 no.	Examined root: ACER (Maples, Sycamores).
4 no.	Unfortunately all with insufficient cells for identification.
<b>BH22, 2.5-4.0m</b>	
1 no.	Examined root: definitely NOT a coniferous type.
3 no.	Unfortunately all with insufficient cells for identification.

All of the roots described above were very immature, POOR in condition - or both. This is why the identifications are slightly tentative, in places.

Click here for more information: [ACER](#) [CARPINUS](#) [CASTANEA](#) [QUERCUS](#)

I trust this is of help. Please call us if you have any queries; our Invoice is enclosed.

Yours faithfully



Dr Ian B K Richardson

\*\* Try out our web site on [www.botanical.net](http://www.botanical.net) \*\*