

# 181 Union Street, Aberdeen (200474/DPP) Air Quality Assessment

September 2020

# 181 Union Street, Aberdeen (200474/DPP) Air Quality Assessment

Client: Sava Estates

Document number:9262Project number:373949Status:Final

Author:Bryan CassidyReviewer:Emma Cormack

Date of issue:11 September 2020Filename:373949\_181UnionStreet\_AQA

Glasgow	Aberdeen	Inverness	Edinburgh
Craighall Business Park	Banchory Business	Alder House	1st Floor
8 Eagle Street	Centre	Cradlehall Business	Sirius Building
Glasgow	Burn O'Bennie Road	Park	The Clocktower
G4 9XA	Banchory	Inverness	Estate
0141 341 5040	AB31 5ZU	IV2 5GH	South Gyle
info@envirocentre.co.uk	01330 826 596	01463 794 212	Crescent
www.envirocentre.co.uk			Edinburgh
			EH12 9LB

This report has been prepared by EnviroCentre Limited with all reasonable skill and care, within the terms of the Contract with Sava Estates ("the Client"). The report is confidential to the Client, and EnviroCentre Limited accepts no responsibility of whatever nature to third parties to whom this report may be made known.

No part of this document may be reproduced or altered without the prior written approval of EnviroCentre Limited.









0131 370 4070

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

EnviroCentre Ltd has been commissioned by Sava Estates to undertake an Air Quality Assessment to support a planning application for the conversion of a property at 181 Union Street, Aberdeen into residential apartments.

Union Street forms part of the Aberdeen City Centre Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) which has been declared due to measured exceedances of the relevant Air Quality Objective levels for Nitrogen Dioxide ( $NO_2$ ) and Particulate Matter ( $PM_{10}$ ). The primary long-term concern in relation to air quality is the potential to expose future residents of the development to pollutant concentrations greater than the relevant objective levels.

As such an ADMS-Roads model was utilised to assess the potential for National Air Quality Objectives to be exceeded across the Union Street façade of the development. Should exceedances be determined, additional forms of ventilation to replace open windows (such as mechanical ventilation) would be required to mitigate exposure, with a fresh air intake system providing air from another source.

Consultation was carried out with Aberdeen City Council Environmental Health in August 2020 during which the scope and methodology of the assessment was confirmed.

The model predicts that no residents will be exposed to concentrations above relevant objective levels and consequently there will be **no requirement for additional ventilation** at any of the Union Street facing windows.

### Contents

Exe	cutive	e Summary	i
1	Intro	oduction	1
	1.1	Terms of Reference	1
	1.2	Report Usage	1
2	Legi	ilsation & Guidance	2
	2.1	Legislative Background	2
	2.2	Air Quality Standards and Objectives	2
3	Des	cription & Potential Impacts	5
	3.1	Site Location & Proposed Development	5
	3.2	Air Quality Impacts	5
	3.3	Consultation	5
4	ADN	/IS-Roads Dispersion Modelling	6
	4.1	Assessed Years & Scenarios	6
	4.2	Sensitive Receptors	6
	4.3	Modelled Roads	6
	4.4	Committed Developments	8
	4.5	Background Air Quality	8
	4.6	Measured Results	9
	4.7	Weather Conditions	9
	4.8	Additional Input Data1	0
5	Мос	lelled Results1	1
	5.1	Model Verification1	1
	5.2	Model Results	1
6	Con	clusions1	3
Refe	erenc	ces1	4

## Appendices

- A Drawings
- B Traffic Data

### Figures

Figure 4-1: Wind rose for	r 2019 Dyce Weather	Station Wind Speed Data	10
---------------------------	---------------------	-------------------------	----

### Tables

Table 2-1: Summary of Objectives of the UK Air Quality Strategy	3
Table 4-1: Air Quality Sensitive Receptors	6
Table 4-2: Diurnal Time Varying Emission Factors	7
Table 4-3: Monitored 2019 Urban Background Concentrations – Errol Place	8
Table 4-4: Monitored 2019 Annual Average Pollutant Concentrations	9
Table 5-1: Measured & Modelled Concentrations of NO <sub>2</sub> , PM <sub>10</sub> and PM <sub>2.5</sub> for 2018	11
Table 5-2: 2019 Baseline Results	12
Table 5-3: 2021 Baseline + Committed Development Results	12

## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Terms of Reference

EnviroCentre Ltd have been commissioned by Sava Estates to undertake an Air Quality Assessment in support of a planning application for the redevelopment of a property at 181 Union Street, Aberdeen: refer to Drawing No. 373949-001, Appendix A for site location.

### 1.2 Report Usage

The information and recommendations contained within this report have been prepared in the specific context stated above and should not be utilised in any other context without prior written permission from EnviroCentre.

If this report is to be submitted for regulatory approval more than 12 months following the report date, it is recommended that it is referred to EnviroCentre for review to ensure that any relevant changes in data, best practice, guidance or legislation in the intervening period are integrated into an updated version of the report.

Whilst the Client has a right to use the information as appropriate, EnviroCentre Ltd retain ownership of the copyright and intellectual content of this report. Any distribution of this report should be controlled to avoid compromising the validity of the information or legal responsibilities held by both the Client and EnviroCentre Ltd (including those of third party copyright). EnviroCentre do not accept liability to any third party for the contents of this report unless written agreement is secured in advance, stating the intended use of the information.

EnviroCentre accept no liability for use of the report for purposes other than those for which it was originally provided, or where EnviroCentre have confirmed it is appropriate for the new context.

## 2 LEGILSATION & GUIDANCE

### 2.1 Legislative Background

Air quality in the UK is protected by national and regional legislation. In the UK, Part IV of the Environment Act 1995 places a statutory duty on local authorities to periodically review and assess the air quality within their area. This involves consideration of present and likely future air quality against air quality standards and objectives. Guidelines on the "Review and Assessment" process of local air quality were published in the 1997 National Air Quality Strategy (NAQS) and associated guidance and technical guidance. In 2000, the Government reviewed the 1997 Strategy and produced a revised Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland, which resulted in the production of air quality standards and objectives. The most current revision of the Strategy available is dated March 2011 (DEFRA, 2011).

The objectives adopted in Scotland are contained within the Air Quality (Scotland) Regulations 2000 and Air Quality (Scotland) Amendment Regulations 2002 for the purpose of Local Air Quality Management and consolidate the provisions of the previous Air Quality Regulations. The Air Quality Standards (Scotland) Regulations 2010 introduce objectives for Particles (PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>), Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons and lead with the Air Quality (Scotland) Amendment Regulations 2016 amending the Air Quality (Scotland) Regulations 2000 to bring into statute an objective for PM<sub>2.5</sub>.

## 2.2 Air Quality Standards and Objectives

### 2.2.1 Air Quality Definitions

Standards for air pollution are concentrations over a given time period that are considered to be acceptable in light of what is known about the effects of each pollutant on health and on the environment. They can also be used as a benchmark to see if air pollution is getting better or worse.

An exceedance of a standard is a period of time (which is defined in each standard) where the concentration is higher than that set down by the standard. In order to make useful comparisons between pollutants, for which the standards may be expressed in terms of different averaging times, the number of days on which an exceedance has been recorded is often reported

### 2.2.2 National Air Quality Objectives

Table 2-1 provides a summary of the air quality objectives from the Air Quality (Scotland) Regulations 2000, as amended 2016. An objective is the target date on which exceedances of a standard must not exceed a specified number. The results of air quality modelling will be compared against these objectives.

Pollutant	Objective	Measured as	To be achieved by
Benzene (All Authorities)	16.25 μg/m³	Running Annual Mean	31 December 2003
Benzene (Scotland and Northern Ireland Only)	3.25 μg/m³	Running Annual Mean	31 December 2010
1,3 Butadiene	2.25 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	Running Annual Mean	31 December 2003
Carbon Monoxide (Authorities in Scotland Only)	10.0 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	Running 8-Hour Mean	31 December 2003
Lead	0.5 μg/m³	Annual Mean	31 December 2004
	0.25 μg/m³	Annual Mean	31 December 2008
Nitrogen Dioxide	200 µg/m <sup>3</sup> Not to be exceeded more than 18 times per year	1 Hour Mean	31 December 2005
	40 μg/m <sup>3</sup>	Annual Mean	31 December 2005
Particles (PM <sub>10</sub> ) (gravimetric) All authorities	50 μg/m <sup>3</sup> Not to be exceeded more than 35 times per year	24 Hour Mean	31 December 2004
	40 μg/m³	Annual Mean	31 December 2004
Particles (PM <sub>10</sub> ) (gravimetric) Scotland Only	50 μg/m <sup>3</sup> Not to be exceeded more than 7 times per year	24 Hour Mean	31 December 2010
	18 μg/m³	Annual Mean	31 December 2010
Particles (PM <sub>2.5</sub> ) (gravimetric) All authorities	25 μg/m <sup>3</sup> (target) 15% cut in urban background exposure	Annual Mean Annual Mean	2020 2010 – 2020
Particles (PM <sub>2.5</sub> ) (gravimetric) Scotland Only	10 μg/m <sup>3</sup> (Limit)	Annual Mean	2020
Sulphur Dioxide	350 μg/m <sup>3</sup> not to be exceeded more than 24 times a year	1-Hour Mean	31 December 2004
	125 µg/m³not to be exceeded more than 3 times a year	24 Hour Mean	31 December 2004
	266 µg/m <sup>3</sup> not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	15-Minute Mean	31 December 2005
PAH *	0.25 ng/m <sup>3</sup>	Annual Mean	31 December 2010
Ozone *	100 μg/m³	8 hourly running or hourly mean *	31 December 2005

Table 2-1: Summa	v of Objectives	of the LIK A	ir Quality	Strategy
Table Z-1. Sullilla		of the OK A		Jualey

### 2.2.3 Air Quality Guidance

#### LAQM.TG16 and LAQM.PG16

Technical Guidance (LAQM.TG(09)) was issued on behalf of the Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA) in February 2009 (DEFRA, 2009a). A Policy Guidance (LAQM.PG09) was also issued at the same time (DEFRA, 2009b). This guidance is designed to guide local authorities through the Review and Assessment process and will also be adhered to for the purpose of the air quality assessment.

DEFRA and The Scottish Government have recently updated LAQM Technical Guidance (LAQM.TG16) (The Scottish Government, 2018). The main change is in the approach with a greater emphasis on action planning to bring forward improvements in air quality and to include local measures as part of EU reporting requirements. The reporting requirements for Local Authorities also changed with the adoption of an Annual Progress Report. Local Authorities continue to appraise pollutant concentrations of Nitrogen Dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>), Particulate Matter (PM<sub>10</sub>) and Sulphur Dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>). Local Authorities are also required to work towards reducing levels of PM<sub>2.5</sub>.

### 2.2.4 Air Quality Management Area

The process of review and assessment has raised the profile of air quality assessment as a material planning consideration in development-related projects. For example, where it is known through the review and assessment process that problems in the achievement of air quality standards and objectives exist, the declaration of an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) can conflict with permissions to develop. That is, the local authority is under a duty to improve air quality within an AQMA due to further breaches of air quality standards and objectives.

Aberdeen City Council have declared three AQMA's within their boundary area as follows:-

- City Centre;
- Anderson Drive; and
- Wellington Road.

All three of these AQMA's have been declared due to measured exceedances of the National Air Quality Objectives for Nitrogen Dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) and Particulate Matter (PM<sub>10</sub>).

The proposed development is located within the City Centre AQMA.

## **3 DESCRIPTION & POTENTIAL IMPACTS**

### 3.1 Site Location & Proposed Development

The site, located at 181 Union Street, Aberdeen is currently utilised as offices on the basement, first, second and third floors with a bookmakers and vacant shop unit taking up the ground floor.

The proposal would see the offices on the basement level converted to provide 17 parking spaces with the first, second and third floor offices converted into residential apartments. The ground floor use would remain as non-residential.

### 3.2 Air Quality Impacts

Union Street forms part of the Aberdeen City Centre Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) which has been declared due to measured exceedances of the relevant Air Quality Objective levels for Nitrogen Dioxide ( $NO_2$ ) and Particulate Matter ( $PM_{10}$ ). The primary long-term concern in relation to air quality is therefore the potential for future residents being exposed to high levels of pollutant concentrations through opening of apartment windows which face onto Union Street. The assessment will determine if there is a requirement for additional forms of ventilation to replace open windows (such as mechanical ventilation) in order to mitigate exposure to these pollutants.

## 3.3 Consultation

Consultation was carried out with Aberdeen City Council Environmental Health in August 2020 during which the scope and methodology of the assessment was agreed. The following considerations were requested and included within the assessment.

- Traffic data for the year 2018 would be obtained for automatic counter No.1042 on Union Street from the DfT website and projected forward to 2019 to allow for verification against the most recent monitoring data available using a 'Central' NRTF Growth Factor of 1.2%. The data was then projected to the year of development opening (2021) using a 'Central' NRTF Growth Factor of 2.4%. Factors were obtained from the NRTF factors workbook;
- Assessment of impact for the year of development opening should include contributions from traffic generated by additional developments within the Aberdeen City Centre AQMA. Full details are provided in Section 4.4;
- As the development will introduce only 17 parking spaces, it was agreed that consideration of the impact of development generated traffic would be negligible and was therefore discounted from the assessment;
- Inclusion of Sensitive Receptors across the Union Street façade of the development corresponding to individual windows on each floor of the property and positioned at heights corresponding to the point of opening for each window. Full details are provided in section 4.2;
- Verification of the ADMS-model against 2019 measured concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> at automatic monitoring station CM2, located at 226 Union Street within the City Centre AQMA;
- Utilisation of meteorological data from Dyce weather station for the year 2019; and
- Background NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub> and ozone concentrations were obtained from the Errol Place urban background automatic monitor for the year 2019.

## 4 ADMS-ROADS DISPERSION MODELLING

The ADMS Roads dispersion model is approved for use in detailed assessment dispersion modelling in technical guidance LAQM.TG16 (DEFRA, 2016). The model has been subject to extensive validation and inter-model comparison studies.

### 4.1 Assessed Years & Scenarios

The air dispersion modelling exercise considered the impact on future residents within the development and the possible requirement for mechanical ventilation in order to limit exposure of pollutant concentrations above national air quality targets coming into apartments through open windows which face on to Union Street.

The following assessment scenarios were considered:

- 2019 Baseline (for model verification only); and
- 2021 Baseline + Committed Developments.

Full details of committed developments included within the model are outlined in Section 4.4.

### 4.2 Sensitive Receptors

The sensitive receptors used in the model were selected to provide details on pollutant concentrations at the windows of the apartments of the proposed development which face on to Union Street. The receptors therefore correspond to windows on the first, second and third floors of the development at height of 6, 10 and 14m respectively.

The location of each receptor along with the considered road network was input to the air dispersion model using the GIS software ArcMap 10.7 on a digital OS tile of the surrounding area. The sensitive receptors assessed within the model are listed in Table 4-1 below and shown in Drawing No. 373949-001, Appendix A.

Table 4-1: Air Qu	ality Sensitive	Receptors
-------------------	-----------------	-----------

Receptor ID	Receptor Description
SR1	Receptor 1 - Modelled at Heights of 6, 10 & 14m
SR2	Receptor 2 – Modelled at Heights of 6, 10 & 14m

### 4.3 Modelled Roads

For local impact assessments the roads included in the calculations should be all those expected to make a significant contribution to pollution at the receptor locations in question. In practise, roads more than 200m away from the receptor can be excluded. Minor roads can also be excluded even when they are closer than 200m to receptors due to their relatively small pollutant contributions. No industrial sources were modelled.

The only road link included within the assessment was Union Street itself. Counts were obtained from the Department for Transport website for Automatic Traffic Counter (ATC) No. 1042, which is located in Union Street approximately 750m west of the proposed development site.

The traffic information obtained by EnviroCentre from the DfT website consisted of Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) flows which were then divided by 24 to provide traffic flows per hour, as required by the ADMS-Roads model. The traffic figures from DfT also included values for Light Duty Vehicles (LDV) <3.5t and Heavy Duty Vehicles (HDV) >3.5t.

The obtained data was projected forward to 2019 to allow for verification against the most recent monitoring data available using a 'Central' NRTF Growth Factor of 1.2%. The data was then projected to the year of development opening (2021) using a 'Central' NRTF Growth Factor of 2.4%;

The width of the road (calculated using ArcMap 10.7) and all other road input data can be found in Appendix B. The Traffic Distribution by time of day on all roads: 2018 table in the National Statistics of the Department For Transport (2019) Statistics Bulletin was also used to derive a diurnal variation pattern for all the roads considered in the assessment, see Table 4-2.

Full details of the traffic data included in the model can be found in Appendix B.

Local Time (Hrs)	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Wednesday Thursday		Saturday	Sunday
(							
00:00 - 01:00	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.19	0.25	0.27
01:00 - 02:00	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.17	0.17
02:00 - 03:00	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.12
03:00 - 04:00	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.11
04:00 - 05:00	0.21	0.19	0.19	0.20	0.20	0.15	0.11
05:00 - 06:00	0.55	0.49	0.49	0.48	0.46	0.25	0.16
06:00 - 07:00	1.20	1.18	1.16	1.15	1.06	0.42	0.26
07:00 - 08:00	1.83	1.86	1.84	1.83	1.69	0.67	0.39
08:00 - 09:00	1.82	1.86	1.85	1.84	1.71	0.99	0.57
09:00 - 10:00	1.50	1.53	1.54	1.54	1.48	1.30	0.93
10:00 – 11:00	1.44	1.40	1.41	1.43	1.53	1.56	1.31
11:00 – 12:00	1.47	1.40	1.43	1.46	1.63	1.70	1.53
12:00 – 13:00	1.49	1.43	1.46	1.50	1.72	1.70	1.61
13:00 – 14:00	1.49	1.46	1.49	1.54	1.77	1.62	1.57
14:00 – 15:00	1.56	1.56	1.60	1.64	1.84	1.52	1.52
15:00 – 16:00	1.70	1.74	1.77	1.80	1.94	1.44	1.51
16:00 – 17:00	1.92	1.98	2.00	2.00	2.01	1.42	1.51
17:00 – 18:00	1.91	1.98	1.99	1.99	1.92	1.37	1.39
18:00 – 19:00	1.45	1.55	1.58	1.61	1.59	1.18	1.21
19:00 – 20:00	0.97	1.03	1.08	1.15	1.21	0.91	1.02
20:00 - 21:00	0.68	0.72	0.76	0.82	0.87	0.68	0.80
21:00 - 22:00	0.51	0.55	0.57	0.62	0.64	0.53	0.58
22:00 - 23:00	0.37	0.42	0.44	0.46	0.51	0.46	0.40
23:00 - 00:00	0.23	0.26	0.27	0.30	0.37	0.37	0.25

#### **Table 4-2: Diurnal Time Varying Emission Factors**

## 4.4 Committed Developments

Following consultation with Aberdeen City Council Environmental Health, it was agreed that assessment of impact for the year of development opening should include contributions from traffic generated by additional development within the Aberdeen City Centre AQMA. In the case of committed developments scheduled to open after the proposed development, predicted flow increases would be included in order to present a conservative assessment. The developments requested to be considered in the assessment as follows:

- Union Square Shopping Centre extension (Planning Ref 152005);
- Merchant Building redevelopment (Planning Ref 190312/DPP);
- Bon Accord Centre expansion / mixed use development (Planning Ref. 170353/PPP);
- Woolmanhill hospital conversion (Planning Ref 160801/DPP); and
- Union Terrace Gardens redevelopment (Planning Ref 170497/DPP);

An air quality assessment was completed for the Union Square Shopping Centre extension in 2015, including traffic projections for Aberdeen City Centre from 2014 to 2017 both with and without the development. These traffic projections predicted a significant loss of flow on Union Street for both scenarios due to various traffic control measures being introduced in that time. Aberdeen City Council granted Planning Permission in Principle in which it is stated that "Car parking has been limited to a level such that there should be 'no additional trips generated on the local road network". Therefore, there are no additional flows included in this assessment attributed to this development.

The Merchant Building redevelopment has also had an air quality assessment completed, including a transport assessment which considers the following scenarios: 2017 baseline, 2022 without development, and 2022 with development. Using this approach, an additional 759 AADT flow has been included on Union Street.

In the cases of the Bon Accord Centre expansion and the Woolmanhill hospital conversion, the respective transport assessments/statements did not consider Union Street. To date there has been no transport assessment completed for the Union Terrace Gardens redevelopment, therefore no additional AADT flows have been attributed to these developments and consequently these developments are not considered further within the assessment. This approach to the committed traffic developments to be included in this air quality assessment was agreed with Aberdeen City Council during consultation.

### 4.5 Background Air Quality

As agreed through consultation, background air quality concentrations were obtained from Aberdeen City Council urban background air quality automatic monitor, which is located on Errol Place. The measured background pollutant concentrations for 2019 are outlined in Table 4-3 below:

Table	rabie 4 et monitor da zo to et ban Baokground concentratione - Etter Habe							
	Site	Site Type	OS Grid	2019 Annual	2019 Annual	2019 Annual		
ID	Name		Referen	Mean NO <sub>2</sub>	Mean PM <sub>10</sub>	Mean PM <sub>2.5</sub>		
			ce	Concentration	Concentration	Concentration		
				(µg/m3)	(µg/m3)	(µg/m3)		
CM1	Errol	Urban	394397,	17	11	7		
CIVIT	Place	Background	807392	17	14	I		

Table 4-3. Monitored	2019 Urhan	Background	Concentrations -	Frrol Place
	2019 UI Dali	Dackyrounu	Concentrations -	LITUIFIACE

In order to ensure a conservative assessment no improvement in background pollutant concentrations was assumed between the 2019 and 2021 scenarios.

### 4.6 Measured Results

In order to verify the accuracy of the ADMS-Roads model, measured pollutant concentrations were obtained from Aberdeen City Council's automatic air quality monitor on Union Street. Details of the automatic monitor are provided in Table 4-4 below. The verification process is outlined in Section 5-1.

IUDIO	abio 4 41 Monter ed 2010 / Annual / Werage Fendual Contentiatione							
ID	Site Name	Site Type	OS Grid Reference	2019 Annual Mean NO <sub>2</sub> Concentration (μg/m3)	2019 Annual Mean PM <sub>10</sub> Concentration (μg/m3)	2019 Annual Mean PM <sub>2.5</sub> Concentration (μg/m3)		
CM2	226 Union Street	Roadside	393656, 805967	36	12	8		

Table 4	-4:	Monitored	2019	Annual	Average	Pollutant	Concentra	ations
					/	· ····	••••••	2010110

On review of the measured results it is of note that the pollutant concentrations for NO<sub>2</sub>,  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  at automatic monitoring station CM2 are all below their respective national Air Quality Objective levels of  $40\mu g/m^3$ ,  $18\mu g/m^3$  and  $10\mu g/m^3$ .

### 4.7 Weather Conditions

Meteorology data purchased from ADM Ltd specifically for use in ADMS-Roads was used in this assessment. The data was for the year 2019 and was obtained from the nearest meteorological weather station to the site recording a full suite of meteorological parameters, which is located at Dyce, Aberdeen.

This weather station has an altitude of 66m and is located on open ground at Aberdeen Airport approximately 9km north-west of the proposed development site. The data provided by the meteorological station was fully ratified and validated for the year 2019 and included all the meteorological parameters required by the model comprising hourly sequential recordings of:

- Surface temperature;
- Precipitation;
- Wind speed;
- Wind direction;
- Relative humidity; and
- Cloud cover.

The corresponding wind rose for this year is provided in Figure 4-1. It indicates two prominent wind directions of between 170 - 190° and 310 - 320°, with the greatest percentage of wind speed lying between 10-15 knots.



Figure 4-1: Wind rose for 2019 Dyce Weather Station Wind Speed Data

## 4.8 Additional Input Data

Additional input data was confirmed through a verification process designed to result in a model which most closely represented conditions at the existing monitoring location and therefore gave a conservative indication of the pollutant concentrations the assessed sensitive receptors would be exposed to. The following additional input data were therefore utilised in the model:

The chemical reaction scheme option was utilised in the assessment so that the model took into account photochemical reactions between NO,  $NO_2$  and  $O_3$ .

The model was run using the 2019 annual average  $O_3$  concentration for Aberdeen Errol Place. The respective value is  $48\mu g/m^3$ .

A surface roughness length is used in the dispersion modelling study to characterise the land use of the surrounding area in terms of the frictional effect that will occur due to the interaction of wind with the surface; this is a key component in the generation of atmospheric turbulence, which influences dispersion. A surface roughness length of 1 (representative of cities and woodlands) was used to characterise the proposed development site, whilst a surface roughness length of 0.5 (representative of parkland/open suburbia) was used for the meteorological site.

A minimum Monin-Obukhov length is used in the dispersion model to represent the effects of buoyancy on turbulent flows as a result of surface temperature and mechanical mixing in the lower atmosphere. The minimum Monin-Obukhov length used for both the model and the meteorological site was 30m which is considered representative of cities and large towns.

Both gridded and specified points output were selected in the model so that emissions could be displayed as both contour plots and as values at particular sensitive receptors in the surrounding area. For a full list of the sensitive receptors refer to Section **Error! Reference source not found.**. The annual average concentrations of  $NO_2$ ,  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  were modelled in this assessment.

## 5 MODELLED RESULTS

### 5.1 Model Verification

Verification refers to the process of comparing model predicted concentrations to measured pollutant concentrations. It provides a means of determining how the model is performing and can allow for a reduction in model uncertainty.

The model was verified using the measured NO<sub>2</sub>,  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations detailed in Table 4-4 above.

As atmospheric nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) is produced as a result of reactions of nitric oxide (NO) and ozone it is most appropriate to verify the model for atmospheric NO<sub>x</sub> which is a combination of NO and NO<sub>2</sub>.

The model was therefore run to predict annual mean road NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations at the automatic monitoring site with the resultant NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations then determined by inputting the predicted road NO<sub>x</sub> concentration into the DEFRA NO<sub>x</sub> to NO<sub>2</sub> calculator.

Table 5-1 below details the percentage difference in the pollutant concentrations measured at the monitoring location CM2 and the concentrations predicted by the ADMS-Roads model.

Site ID	Site Name	Pollutant	Measured Concentration (µg/m³)	Modelled Concentration (µg/m³)	% Difference [(modelled- measured)/measured]*
0140		NO <sub>2</sub>	36	38.4	6.72
CM2	226 Union Street	<b>PM</b> <sub>10</sub>	12	15.15	26.3
		PM <sub>2.5</sub>	8	7.74	-3.25

#### Table 5-1: Measured & Modelled Concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> for 2018

Note: \*Positive numbers indicate an over prediction and negative numbers an under prediction by the model.

The obtained modelled concentrations for NO<sub>2</sub>, and  $PM_{2.5}$  were found to be within 10% of measured concentrations at the automatic monitoring site and therefore in accordance with LAQM.TG (16) no adjustment of the model was necessary.

For  $PM_{10}$ , the model was found to over predict measured concentrations by 26%. This is due to measured urban background  $PM_{10}$  concentrations at Errol Place (See Table 4-3) exceeding those at the Union Street roadside automatic monitor. It is of note that the modelled concentration of  $PM_{10}$ shows good agreement with the  $PM_{10}$  concentrations measured at CM2 during 2018 ( $15\mu g/m^3$ ). Therefore, as good agreement was achieved between measured and modelled concentrations of  $NO_2$ and  $PM_{2.5}$  and the predicted PM10 concentration shows good agreement with previous measured results the modelling has proceeded with this over prediction for  $PM_{10}$  in place. Modelled concentrations of  $PM_{10}$  at the included sensitive receptors are therefore considered to be conservative.

### 5.2 Model Results

The following sections detail the modelled results for each of the investigated scenarios for the pollutants  $NO_2$ ,  $PM_{10}$  and  $PM_{2.5}$ .

As outlined in above, the model has not assumed any improvement in background pollutant concentrations between assessment scenarios.

**PM**<sub>2.5</sub> 7.64 7.65 7.58 7.58 7.55

7.55

Receptor 1 – Third Floor

#### 5.2.1 **2019 Baseline Results**

Table 5-2 summaries the results from the ADMS-Roads model for the '2019 Baseline' scenario for NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>.

Table 5-2: 2	2019 Baseline Results			
ID	Receptor Description	Pollutan	t Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup>	3)
	-	NO <sub>2</sub>	<b>PM</b> <sub>10</sub>	
SR1 6m	Receptor 1 – First Floor	35.91	15.01	
SR2 6m	Receptor 2 – First Floor	36.03	15.02	
SR1 10m	Receptor 1 – Second Floor	34.1	14.91	
SR2 10m	Receptor 2 – Second Floor	34.19	14.91	
SR1 14m	Receptor 1 – Third Floor	33.36	14.86	

SR2 14m

The predicted NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations for the 2019 Baseline scenario were found to meet the relevant Air Quality Objectives of 40, 18 & 10µg/m<sup>3</sup> at all Sensitive Receptors.

33.39

14.87

#### 5.2.2 2021 Baseline + Committed Development Results

Table 5-3 summarises the results from the ADMS-Roads model for the '2021 Baseline + Committed + Development' scenario for NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>.

ID	Receptor Description	Pollutan	t Concentration (µ	g/m³)
	_	NO <sub>2</sub>	<b>PM</b> <sub>10</sub>	<b>PM</b> <sub>2.5</sub>
SR1 6m	Receptor 1 – First Floor	34.57	15.02	7.62
SR2 6m	Receptor 2 – First Floor	34.68	15.03	7.63
SR1 10m	Receptor 1 – Second Floor	32.88	14.92	7.56
SR2 10m	Receptor 2 – Second Floor	32.96	14.92	7.56
SR1 14m	Receptor 1 – Third Floor	32.18	14.87	7.53
SR2 14m	Receptor 1 – Third Floor	32.21	14.87	7.53

#### Table 5-3: 2021 Baseline + Committed Development Results

As with the '2019 Baseline' scenario, the predicted NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations for the 2021 Baseline + Committed Development scenario were found to meet the relevant Air Quality Objectives of 40, 18 & 10µg/m<sup>3</sup> at all Sensitive Receptors.

# 6 CONCLUSIONS

An air quality assessment was undertaken utilising an ADMS-Roads air quality model to investigate if there was potential for traffic emissions to lead to future residents of the apartments of the proposed development with windows facing on to Union Street being exposed to pollutant concentrations in exceedance of their relevant National Air Quality Objectives. Should predicted pollutant concentrations exceed the relevant National Air Quality Objectives at any of the considered receptors there would be a requirement for mechanical ventilation to be installed at the windows with a fresh air intake system providing air from a source away from Union Street.

Predicted concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> for the 2021 Baseline + Committed Development scenario were found to meet the relevant Air Quality Objectives of 40, 18 &  $10\mu g/m^3$  at all assessed Sensitive Receptors.

The results therefore indicate that there will be no requirement for mechanical ventilation at any of the Union Street facing windows of the development in order to meet National Air Quality targets for future residents.

## REFERENCES

Air Quality in Scotland (2020, August). Data for Local Authority Review and Assessment purposes. Air Quality in Scotland. Retrieved from http://www.scottishairquality.co.uk/data/mapping?view=data

DEFRA (2020, August). Background mapping data for local authorities. DEFRA. Retrieved from: https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/data/laqm-background-home

DEFRA (2009a). Local Air Quality Management; Technical Guidance (TG09) (No. LAQM.TG(09)). London: Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs.

DEFRA (2009a). Local Air Quality Management; Technical Guidance (TG16) (No. LAQM.TG(16)). London: Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs.

DEFRA (2009b). Local Air Quality Management; Policy Guidance (PG09) (No. LAQM.PG(09)). London: Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs.

DEFRA (2011). The Air Quality Strategy for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland (Volume 2). Norwich: Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs.

Department For Transport (2019, June). Traffic distribution on all roads by time of day in Great Britain, annual from 2006. Department For Transport. Retrieved from https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/road-traffic-statistics

EPUK, & IAQM (2015). Land-Use Planning & Development Control: Planning For Air Quality. London: Environmental Protection UK and Institute of Air Quality Management

EPUK, & IAQM (2017). Land-Use Planning & Development Control: Planning For Air Quality. London: Environmental Protection UK and Institute of Air Quality Management

Aberdeen City Council (2019) 2019 Air Quality Annual Progress Report (APR) for Aberdeen City Council.

DEFRA (2016). Local Air Quality Management; Technical Guidance (TG16) (No. LAQM.TG(16)). London: Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs.

# **APPENDICES**

#### September 2020

## A DRAWINGS



-	
<u>n</u>	
5	
0	
-	
- 1	
1	
1 12	
11	
11	
R	
92	
-01	
1. Contraction 1. Con	
N 2	
5	
-	
1	
1	
1650	
THE	
-	
11	
11	
11	
11	
1 1	
111	
1	
11	
11	
right 2010	
igit 2013	

Legen	d			
	Site Bo	undary		
	Sensitiv	e Receptor		
•	Automa	tic Monitor		
Do not s Client SAVA I	cale this ma Estates	p		
				]
181 Ur	nion Stree	t, Aberdeen		
Title Air Qua	ality Sens	itve Receptor F	Plan	
Status		Final		
Drawing 373949	<b>j No.</b> 9-001	Revision -	Date 12 A	ugust 2020
Drawn	-	Checked FC	Appr	oved
Scale			20 20	)
1:1,50	0 @A3		Metre	es
-	Date -	Amendment		-
<b>J</b>	aighall Busine	nviro	Cer	ntre <sub>9XA</sub>
1: 0141 34	1 5040 E: inf	o@envirocentre.co.uk	W: www.er	wrocentre.co.uk



(			
Legen	d		
	Site Bou	Indary	
•	Sensitiv	e Receptor	
NO2 C	Concentra	ation (µg/m3)	
	<25		
	25 - 30		
	30 - 35		
	35 - 40		
	>40		
			_
Do not so	cale this map	1	
SAVA E	states		
Project 181 Ur	nion Street	, Aberdeen	
Title			
Predcit	ed NO2 C	concentrations	
Status		Final	
Drawing	No.	Revision	Date
Drawing 373949	<b>No.</b> 9-002	Revision -	Date 09 Sept 2020
Drawing 373949 Drawn BC	<b>No.</b> 9-002	Revision - Checked EC	Date 09 Sept 2020 Approved EC
Drawing 373949 Drawn BC Scale	No. 9-002	Revision - Checked EC 0 2.5 5	Date 09 Sept 2020 Approved EC
Drawing 373949 Drawn BC Scale 1:500	No. 9-002 @A3	Revision - Checked EC 0 2.5 5	Date 09 Sept 2020 Approved EC
Drawing 373945 Drawn BC Scale 1:500	No. 0-002 @A3 Date	Revision - Checked EC 0 2.5 5 - Amendment -	Date 09 Sept 2020 Approved EC
Drawing 373945 Drawn BC Scale 1:500 Rev -	No. 2-002 @A3 Date -	Revision - Checked EC 0 2.5 5 - Amendment -	Date 09 Sept 2020 Approved EC 10 15 Metres Initials -
Drawing 373949 Drawn BC Scale 1:500	No. 0-002 @A3 Date -	Revision - Checked EC 0 2.5 5 - Amendment -	Date 09 Sept 2020 Approved EC 10 15 Metres Initials -
Drawing 373949 Drawn BC Scale 1:500	No. 9-002 @A3 Date -	Revision - Checked EC Amendment - -	Date 09 Sept 2020 Approved EC 10 15 Metres Initials
Drawing 373949 Drawn BC Scale 1:500 Rev -	No. 0-002 @A3 Date -	Revision - Checked EC 0 2.5 5 Amendment -	Date 09 Sept 2020 Approved EC 10 15 Metres Initials
Drawing 373945 Drawn BC Scale 1:500 Rev -	No.           0-002           @A3           Date           -	Revision - Checked EC Amendment - NVIROC	Date 09 Sept 2020 Approved EC Initials -
Drawing 373949 Drawn BC Scale 1:500 Rev -	No. 9-002 @A3 Date -	Revision - Checked EC 0 2.5 5 Amendment - Amendment - S Park, Eagle Street, Gl @envirocentre.co.uk	Date 09 Sept 2020 Approved EC 10 15 Metres Initials - Centre asgow, G4 9XA W: www.envirocentre.co.uk



Legen	d				
	Site Bou	undary			
•	Sensitiv	e Receptor			
NO2 (	Concentra	ation (µg/m3	3)		
	<25				
	25 - 30				
	30 - 35				
	35 - 40				
	>40				
	10				
Do not s	cale this map	)			)
Client	Estatos				
SAVAI	_318163				J
Project					
181 Ur	nion Street	t, Aberdeen			
<b>T</b> :41-					
Predci	ted NO2 C	concentration	IS		
2021 E	3aseline +	Committed S	Scena	rio	
Status					
		Final			
Drawing 373949	<b>, No</b> . 9-003	Revision -		Date 09 Sep	ot 2020
Drawn		Checked		Approve	ed .
BC		EC		EC	)
<b>Scale</b> 1:500	@A3	0 2.5	5	10	15 Metres
Rev	Date	Amendment	!	-	 Initials
-	-	-			-
	_		_		
(E	200	nvirc	C	ent	re
16	i≣e	nvirc	C	ent	tre
Cr T: 0141 34	aighall Busines	nvirc	et, Glasge	ent ow, G4 9XA www.enviro	cre



Lege	end		
C	Site Bo	undary	
	Sensitiv	/e Receptor	
PM1	0 Concent	tration (µg/m3	3)
	<14.25		-
	14.25 -	14.5	
	) 14.5 - 1	4.75	
	14.75 -	15	
	>15		
Do no	t scale this ma	p	)
Clien	t A Estatas	r	
SAV	AESIAles		
Proje	ct		
181	Union Stree	et, Aberdeen	
			]
( Title Pred	lcited PM10	Concentration	s
2019	) Baseline S	Scenario	5
Statu	s		)
		Final	
Draw 3739	ing No. 049-004	Revision	Date
Draw	n	- Checked	Approved
BC		EC	EC
Scale	0 @A3	0 2.5 5	10 15
Rev	Date	Amendment	Initials
-	-	-	-
1		nviro	contro
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		centre
			AL
120 T: 014	Craighall Busine 1 341 5040 E: inf	ss Park, Eagle Street, I lo@envirocentre.co.uk	Glasgow, G4 9XA W: www.envirocentre.co.uk



		1
	Legend	
	Site Boundary	
-	Sensitive Receptor	
	PM10 Concentration (µg/m3)	
	<14.25	
	14.25 - 14.5	
	14.5 - 14.75	
2	14.75 - 15	
	>15	
	Do not scale this map	
	SAVA Estates	
	Project 181 Union Street, Aberdeen	
	Title	
1	Predcited PM10 Concentrations	
	Status Final	
	Drawing No. Revision Date	
Ν.	373949-005 - 09 Sept 2020	
	BC EC EC	
	Scale	
2	1:500 @A3	
Υ.	Rev         Date         Amendment         Initials           -         -         -         -         -	
1		
	Sonvirocontro	
	envirocentie	
20	Craighall Business Park, Eagle Street, Glasgow, G4 9XA T: 0141 341 5040 E: info@envirocentre.co.uk W: www.envirocentre.co.uk	



Legen	d		
	Site Bo	oundary	
•	Sensitiv	ve Receptor	
PM2.5	5 Concer	ntration (µg/m3	3)
	<7.2		
	7.2 - 7.4	4	
	7.4 - 7.	6	
	7.6 - 7.	8	
	>7.8		
Do not s	cale this ma	ιp	
Do not s Client SAVA	cale this ma Estates	ıp	
Do not s Client SAVA	cale this ma Estates	ιp	
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur	cale this ma Estates nion Stree	et, Aberdeen	
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur	cale this ma Estates nion Stree	p et, Aberdeen	
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title	cale this ma Estates nion Stree	et, Aberdeen	
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title Predci	cale this ma Estates nion Stree	et, Aberdeen	  S
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title Predci 2019 E	cale this ma Estates nion Stree ted PM2. Baseline S	et, Aberdeen 5 Concentration Scenario	S
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title Predci 2019 E Status	cale this ma Estates nion Stree ted PM2. Baseline S	et, Aberdeen 5 Concentration Scenario Final	S
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title Predci 2019 E Status Drawing	cale this ma Estates nion Stree ted PM2. Baseline S	et, Aberdeen 5 Concentration Scenario Final Revision	S
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title Predci 2019 E Status Drawing 37394:	cale this ma Estates nion Stree ted PM2. Baseline S Baseline S	et, Aberdeen 5 Concentration 5 Concentration Final Revision - Charled	S Date 09 Sept 20
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title Predci 2019 E Status Drawing 37394 Drawn BC	cale this ma Estates nion Stree ted PM2. Baseline S 9-006	et, Aberdeen 5 Concentration 5 Concentration 5 Concentration 5 Final Final Checked EC	S Date 09 Sept 20 Approved EC
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title Predci 2019 E Status Drawing 37394 Drawn BC Scale	cale this ma Estates nion Stree ted PM2. Baseline S	et, Aberdeen 5 Concentration Scenario Final Revision - Checked EC	S Date 09 Sept 20 Approved EC
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title Predci 2019 E Status Drawing 37394 Drawn BC Scale 1:500	cale this ma Estates nion Stree ted PM2. Baseline S No. 9-006	et, Aberdeen 5 Concentration 5 Concentration 5 Concentration 5 Concentration 5 Concentration 6 Checked EC 3 0 2.5 5	S Date 09 Sept 20 Approved EC 10 15 Met
Do not s Client SAVA   Project 181 Ur Title Predci 2019 E Status Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37394: Drawing 37395 Dr	cale this ma Estates nion Stree ted PM2. Baseline S 9-006 @A3 Date	et, Aberdeen 5 Concentration 5 Concentration 5 Concentration 5 Concentration 5 Concentration 6 Checked EC 3 0 2.5 5 Amendment	S Date 09 Sept 20 Approved EC 10 15 In
Do not s Client SAVA   Project 181 Ur Title Predci 2019 E Status Drawn BC Scale 1:500 Rev -	cale this ma Estates hion Stree ted PM2. Baseline S 9-006 @A3 Date -	et, Aberdeen 5 Concentration Scenario Final Revision - Checked EC 3 0 2.5 5 Amendment -	S Date 09 Sept 20 Approved EC 10 15 Met In -
Do not s Client SAVA   Project 181 Ur Title Predci 2019 E Status Drawing 37394: Drawn BC Scale 1:500 Rev -	cale this ma Estates hion Stree ted PM2. Baseline S 9-006 9-006 @A3 Date	et, Aberdeen 5 Concentration 5 Concentration 6 Checked 5 Concentration 6 Checked 6 C	S Date 09 Sept 20 Approved EC 10 15 In
Do not s Client SAVA   Project 181 Ur Title Predci 2019 E Status Drawing 37394 Drawn BC Scale 1:500 Rev -	cale this ma Estates hion Stree ted PM2. Baseline S 9-006 9-006	et, Aberdeen 5 Concentration 5 Concentration 5 Concentration 5 Concentration 5 Concentration 6 Checked EC 3 0 2.5 5 Amendment -	S Date O9 Sept 20 Approved EC 10 15 In
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title Predci 2019 E Status Drawn BC Scale 1:500 Rev -	cale this ma Estates hion Stree ted PM2.1 Baseline S 9-006 @A3 Date -	et, Aberdeen  5 Concentration  5 Concent	S Date 09 Sept 20 Approved EC 10 15 Met
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title Predci 2019 E Status Drawing 37394 Drawn BC Scale 1:500 Rev -	cale this ma Estates hion Stree ted PM2. Baseline S 9-006 @A3 Date -	et, Aberdeen  5 Concentration  5 Concent	S Date O9 Sept 20 Approved EC I0 I0 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I



Legen	d		
	Site Bo	oundary	
•	Sensitiv	ve Receptor	<b>、</b>
PM2.5	Concer	ntration (µg/m3	5)
	<7.2		
	7.2 - 7.4	4	
	7.4 - 7.	6	
	7.6 - 7.	8	
	>7.8		
Do not o	cale this ma	20	
Do not s Client	cale this ma	ap	
Do not s Client SAVA I	cale this ma Estates	ар	
Do not s Client SAVA I Project	cale this ma Estates	ър	
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur	cale this ma Estates nion Stree	ap et, Aberdeen	
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur	cale this ma Estates nion Stree	ap et, Aberdeen	
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title	cale this ma Estates nion Stree	et, Aberdeen	
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title Predci 2021 E	cale this ma Estates nion Stree ted PM2.	ap et, Aberdeen 5 Concentrations	S
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title Predci 2021 E	cale this ma Estates nion Stree ted PM2. Baseline +	ap et, Aberdeen 5 Concentrations ⊦ Committed Sce	s enario
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title Predci 2021 E Status	cale this ma Estates nion Stree ted PM2.	ap et, Aberdeen 5 Concentrations ⊦ Committed Sce Final	s enario
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title Predci 2021 E Status Drawinc	cale this ma Estates nion Stree ted PM2. Baseline +	ap et, Aberdeen 5 Concentrations ⊦ Committed Sce Final Revision	S enario Date
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title Predcir 2021 E Status Drawing 37394	cale this ma Estates nion Stree ted PM2.3 Baseline +	ap et, Aberdeen 5 Concentrations ⊢ Committed Sce Final Revision -	S enario Date 09 Sept 2
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title Predci 2021 E Status Drawing 373941 Drawn BC	cale this ma Estates nion Stree ted PM2. Baseline +	ap et, Aberdeen 5 Concentrations ⊢ Committed Sce Final Revision - Checked EC	Senario Date 09 Sept 2 Approved EC
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title Predcir 2021 E Status Drawing 373943 Drawn BC	cale this ma Estates nion Stree ted PM2.3 Baseline +	ap et, Aberdeen 5 Concentrations ⊢ Committed Sce Final Revision - Checked EC	S enario Date 09 Sept 2 Approved EC
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title Predci 2021 E 2021 E Status Drawing 373949 Drawn BC Scale 1:500	cale this ma Estates nion Stree ted PM2. Baseline + 9-007	et, Aberdeen 5 Concentrations • Committed Sce Final Revision - Checked EC 3	Senario Date 09 Sept 2 Approved EC 10 15
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title Predci 2021 E Status Drawing 373945 Drawn BC Scale 1:500 Rev	cale this ma Estates nion Stree ted PM2. Baseline + 9-007 @A3 Date	ap et, Aberdeen 5 Concentrations ► Committed Sce Final Revision - Checked EC 3 0 2.5 5	Senario Date 09 Sept 2 Approved EC 10 15 M
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title Predci 2021 E Status Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 3745 Drawing 37575 Drawing	cale this ma Estates hion Stree ted PM2. Baseline + 9-007 @A3 Date -	et, Aberdeen 5 Concentrations 6 Committed Sce Final Revision - Checked EC 3 0 2.5 5 Amendment -	Senario Date 09 Sept 2 Approved EC 10 15 1 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title Predci 2021 E Status Drawing 373945 Drawn BC Scale 1:500 Rev -	cale this ma Estates nion Stree ted PM2. Baseline + 9-007 @A3 Date -	ap et, Aberdeen 5 Concentrations ← Committed Sce Final Revision - Checked EC 3 0 2.5 5 Amendment -	Senario Date 09 Sept 2 Approved EC 10 15 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title Predci 2021 E Status Drawing 373945 Drawing 373945 Drawn BC Scale 1:500 Rev -	cale this ma Estates hion Stree ted PM2. Baseline + 9-007 @A3 Date -	et, Aberdeen 5 Concentrations - Committed Sce Final Revision - Checked EC 3 <u>2.5 5</u> Amendment -	Senario Date 09 Sept 2 Approved EC 10 15 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title Predci 2021 E Status Drawing 373943 Drawn BC Scale 1:500 Rev -	cale this ma Estates hion Stree ted PM2. Baseline + 9-007 @A3 Date -	ap et, Aberdeen 5 Concentrations Final Revision - Checked EC 3 0 2.5 5 Amendment -	Senario Date 09 Sept 2 Approved EC 10 15 1 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title Predcir 2021 E Status Drawing 373949 Drawn BC Scale 1:500 Rev -	cale this ma Estates hion Stree ted PM2. Baseline + 9-007 @A3 Date -	et, Aberdeen 5 Concentrations • Committed Sce Final Revision - Checked EC 3 0 2.5 5 Amendment -	Senario Date 09 Sept 2 Approved EC 10 15 1 -
Do not s Client SAVA I Project 181 Ur Title Predci 2021 E Status Drawing 373949 Drawn BC Scale 1:500 Rev	cale this ma Estates hion Stree ted PM2. Baseline + 9-007 @A3 Date -	ap et, Aberdeen 5 Concentrations • Committed Sce Final Revision - Checked EC 3 <u>2.5 5</u> Amendment -	Senario Date 09 Sept 2 Approved EC 10 15 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

#### September 2020

## **B** TRAFFIC DATA

### Sava Estates

181 Union Street, Aberdeen (200474/DPP); Air Quality Assessment

Road Name	Road Type	Canyon Height (m)	Road Width (m)	Vehicle Speed (km/h)		2019 Baseline (Hourly)		2021 Baseline + Committed Development (Hourly)	
				LGV	HGV	LGV	HGV	LGV	HGV
Union Street	Urban	15	28	5	5	479	70	518	75