

6.2 Barriers and ground protection

6.2.1 General

6.2.1.1 All trees that are being retained on site should be protected by barriers and/or ground protection (see 5.5) before any materials or machinery are brought onto the site, and before any demolition, development or siting of tall construction. Where all activity can be excluded from the RPA, vertical barriers are not essential to create a construction exclusion zone. Where, due to site constraints, construction activity cannot be fully or permanently excluded in this manner from all or part of a tree's RPA, appropriate ground protection should be installed (see 6.2.3).

6.2.1.2 Areas of retained structural planting, or designated for new structural planting, should be similarly protected, based on the extent of the soft landscaping shown on the approved drawings.

6.2.1.3 The protected area should be regarded as sacrosanct, and, once installed, barriers and ground protection should not be removed or altered without prior recommendation by the project arboriculturist and, where necessary, approval from the local planning authority.

6.2.1.4 Where required, pre-development tree work may be undertaken before the installation of tree protection measures, with the agreement of the project arboriculturist or local planning authority if appropriate (see also 6.8.3).

6.2.1.5 It should be confirmed by the project arboriculturist that the barriers and ground protection have been correctly set out on site, prior to the commencement of any other operations.

6.2.2 Barriers

6.2.2.1 Barriers should be fit for the purpose of excluding construction activity and appropriate to the degree and intensity of work taking place around the retained tree(s). Barriers should be maintained to ensure that they remain rigid and complete.

6.2.2.2 The default specification should consist of a vertical and horizontal scaffold framework, well braced to resist impacts, as illustrated in Figure 2. The vertical tubes should be spaced at a maximum interval of 3 m and driven securely into the ground. Into this framework, welded mesh panels should be securely fixed. Care should be exercised when locating the vertical poles to avoid emergence points (i.e. in the case of tree emerging poles, who in connection with structural roots). If the presence of underground services precludes the use of driven poles, an alternative specification should be engaged in consultation with the project arboriculturist that provides an equal level of protection. Such alternatives could include the attachment of the panels to a free-standing scaffold support framework.

6.2.2.3 Where the site circumstances and associated risk of damaging foundation into the RPA do not necessitate the default level of protection, an alternative specification should be prepared by the project arboriculturist and, where relevant, agreed with the local planning authority. For example, 2 m tall welded mesh panels on cables or concrete feet might provide an adequate level of protection from cars, pedestrians and generally-mounted poles. In such cases, the fence panels should be joined together using a minimum of two anti-tamper couplers, installed so that they can only be removed from inside the fence. The distance between the fence couplers should be at least 1 m and should be uniform throughout the fence. The panels should be supported on the inner side by stabilizer struts, which should normally be attached to a base plate secured with ground pins (Figure 3a). Where the fencing is to be erected

© The British Standards Institution 2012 • 15

on retained hard surfacing or it is otherwise unfeasible to use ground pins, e.g. due to the presence of underground services, the stabilizer struts should be mounted on a block tray (Figure 3b).

NOTE 1 Examples of configurations for steel mesh perimeter fencing systems are given in BS 1722:08.

NOTE 2 In regions or regions on trees sites or use temporary site access systems or components of the tree protection barriers, provided these can be installed and removed without damaging the retained trees or their rooting environment.

6.2.2.4 All weather notices should be attached to the barrier with words such as "CONSTRUCTION EXCLUSION ZONE - NO ACCESS".

Figure 2 Default specification for protective barrier





Figure 3 Examples of above ground stabilizing systems



6.2.3 Ground protection during demolition and construction

6.2.3.1 Where construction loading during temporary construction access is justified within the RPA, this should be facilitated by a set back in the alignment of the tree protection barrier. In such areas, suitable existing hard surfacing that is not proposed for re-use as part of the finished design should be retained to an appropriate ground protection using construction access mats being removed during demolition. The suitability of such surfacing for this purpose should be evaluated by the project arboriculturist and an engineer as appropriate.

© The British Standards Institution 2012 • 21

6.2.3.2 Where the set back of the tree protection barrier would expose unmade ground to construction damage, new temporary ground protection should be installed as part of the implementation of physical tree protection measures prior to work starting on the site.

6.2.3.3 New temporary ground protection should be capable of supporting any loads, including any using site vehicles being directed or causing compaction of underlying soil.

NOTE The ground protection might comprise one of the following:

- a) for pedestrian movements only, a single thickness of cellular board placed either on top of a driven scaffold frame, or as to form a suspended walkway, or on top of a compression resistant type (e.g. 100 mm depth of woodchip), laid onto a geotextile membrane;
- b) for pedestrian movements plus up to a gross weight of 2 t, a single, heavy, steel-like ground protection board placed on top of a compression resistant type (e.g. 100 mm depth of woodchip), laid onto a geotextile membrane;
- c) for wheeled or tracked construction traffic exceeding 2 t gross weight, an alternative option. An alternative system of precast reinforced concrete slabs to an engineering specification designed in conjunction with arboriculturist advice. In accordance with BS 5400:1, which will be subjected.

6.2.3.4 The location of and design for temporary ground protection should be shown on the tree protection plan and detailed within the arboricultural method statement (see 6.4.1).

6.2.3.5 In all cases, the objective should be to avoid compaction of the soil, which can arise from the single passage of a heavy vehicle, especially in wet conditions, so that tree root functions remain unimpaired.

6.2.4 Additional precautions outside the exclusion zone

6.2.4.1 Planning of site operations should take sufficient account of wide loads, tall loads and plant with booms, jibs and counterweights (including drilling rigs), in order that they can operate without coming into contact with retained trees. Such contact can result in serious damage to the tree and might cause their safe retention impossible. Consequently, any loads or forces of plant or machinery to trees should be considered under the supervision of a bankman, to ensure that adequate clearance from trees is maintained at all times. Access facilitation pruning should be undertaken where necessary to maintain this clearance.

NOTE In some instances, local planning authority consent for pruning might be required.

6.2.4.2 Fies on sites should be avoided if possible. Where they are unavoidable, they should not be in a position where they could affect foliage or branches. The potential size of fire and the wind direction should be taken into account when determining its location, and it should be attended at all times until safe enough to leave.

NOTE Local environmental health authorities might have specific restrictions.

6.2.4.3 Any material whose accidental spillage would cause damage to a tree should be stored and handled well away from the outer edge of its RPA.

6.3 Site monitoring

Wherever trees on or adjacent to a site have been identified within the tree protection plan for protective measures, there should be an auditable system of arboricultural site monitoring. This should extend to arboricultural supervision whenever construction and development activity is to take place within or adjacent to any RPA.

NOTE Existing planning regulations include the provision for local authorities to enforce planning requirements. The project arboriculturist appointed by the developer can help monitor site activity, but enforcement is the responsibility of the local authority.