

From: [Michael Tagg](#)
To: [Planning](#)
Subject: FW: Ref: 22/00673/LBA
Date: 25 May 2022 15:40:12

From: Paul Damms <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 25 May 2022 12:57
To: Michael Tagg <Michael.Tagg@bassetlaw.gov.uk>
Cc: Ellie Grant <Ellie.Grant@bassetlaw.gov.uk>; Neil Lewis <Neil.Lewis@bassetlaw.gov.uk>;
Jamie Wignall <Jamie.Wignall@bassetlaw.gov.uk>
Subject: Ref: 22/00673/LBA

External Message - Be aware that the sender of this email originates from outside of the Council. Please be cautious when opening links or attachments in email

Ref: 22/00673/LBA

Dear Michael

We refer to the above application as posted on the Bassetlaw Planning Portal on 23rd May 2022. Please consider this to be a formal complaint.

As adjoining neighbour to 6 Mantles Court Ranskill Road Blyth DN22 8PB we wish to object to the solar panel application identified above due to the information listed below that we received from yourselves in 2016.

When we applied to install Heritage Velux windows in 2016 at 7 Mantles Court, it was rejected with the following reason being given, quote

“The roof is a low pitch hipped roof covered with clay pantiles. The building being mostly single storey ensures that the roof is a notable feature with both front and rear elevations being prominent and is seen in the context and setting of the adjacent Grade II listed stable range. The insertion of four rooflights to enable the attic space to be used as a sunroom shall significantly change the appearance of the roofscape by interrupting the unbroken expanse of pantiles. The insertion of rooflights is not seen to preserve the special interest of the roof. It should be noted that the roofscape is one element that has not changed unlike the elevations as part of the residential conversion scheme and considered worthy to continue to preserve.” Ref. Your report No. 15/01554/LBA Page 4.

We would assume this statement applies equally to solar panels in respect that they affect the roofscape by interrupting the unbroken expanse of pantiles especially when the roofscape is the one element that has not changed unlike the elevations and is highly visible from both front and back.

Would it not be more appropriate to ground mount the solar panels in the rear garden of No 6 as we were advised to do with our satellite dish. Or alternatively they could be mounted on the industrial units to the south also owned by the Kitchens.

Please take our comments into consideration during your assessment.

Kind Regards

Paul Damms BEng (Hons) CEng MIStructE MICE
Michelle Damms BEng MCQI CQP PIEMA GRAD IOSH

Michael Tagg
Conservation Manager