Osian John

From:	lewis gould <lewis83@hotmail.com></lewis83@hotmail.com>
Sent:	07 July 2022 17:04
То:	Osian John
Subject:	Fwd: proposed amendments to current application
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Completed

From: Andrew Bevan [mailto:andrew@abplanning.co.uk]
Sent: 09 March 2020 13:11
To: Roger Field
Cc: 'Jess Jones'
Subject: proposed amendments to current application

Good afternoon Roger,

As per our discussion regarding the amendment of the plans - I refer to our various exchanges with the LPA on this and it is obviously disappointing following the pre-app.

The response from the case officer is:

'I have discussed the suggestions that you outline with Tamsin. Our position is that the option that takes this application forward is option 3, that is, that the rural enterprise dwelling element is removed. Options 1 and 2 are not acceptable. The LPA does not dispute the success or level of investment at the site but considers that a rural enterprise dwelling is premature at this time given there are only five units currently on site. The LPA must guard against the possibility that a dwelling could be given consent and after it being built the business may cease trading leading to inappropriate development in the open countryside. The LPA must also be assured that the business can support the labour associated with the business and the proposed project. With regard to option 2 clearly a plumbing business does not need to be located on site as required by TAN6 and therefore, it cannot be considered a relevant part of the business and/or business finances at this site.

We will require new plans to be submitted for option 3 to proceed including a new red line plan and all references to a rural enterprise dwelling removed from the plans. We will also require a new description for the development.

I would be grateful if you would get these revised plans and information to me by Friday 13th March but do let me know if you have problems with this timescale.'

Thanks for stating you can get plans to me for tomorrow and then subject to lewis confirming that he maintains his agreement to remove the house to secure the permission for the business development element of the scheme without the Rural Enterprise dwelling.

Regards Andrew