





#### **Background**

Producing biodiversity reports that meet required professional standards reduces the risks of delay with associated planning applications through the planning process.

In our experience the quality and adequacy of biodiversity reports submitted to local planning authorities to support planning applications is – across the whole of the UK - extremely varied and inconsistent. Where reports are inadequate, this can lead to failure to achieve desired outcomes for biodiversity conservation as well as running the risk of delays, increased costs and uncertainty for applicants over whether planning consent will be granted. In the worst case, a planning consent that is granted based upon inadequate information may be open to legal challenge.

#### **Purpose**

The purpose of this form is to ensure a competent review of the biodiversity information provided to support a planning application by the applicant has been undertaken. The form is designed to encourage those responsible for providing biodiversity reports to ensure they follow good professional practice and are fit for their intended purpose, i.e. is in accordance with Clauses 6 and 8.1 of BS42020:2013 and therefore adequate to enable determination by the relevant competent authority. This is based on the Ecological Impact Assessment (EcIA) Checklist available on the Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management (CIEEM) website <a href="https://cieem.net/resource/ecological-impact-assessment-ecia-checklist/">https://cieem.net/resource/ecological-impact-assessment-ecia-checklist/</a>

#### Use

This form shall be used for all full and outline applications where there are likely to be implications for biodiversity. Consequently, the form shall be used for all types of development, whether the proposed development is listed on Schedule 2 of the EIA Regulations or not. In line with the Validation Checklist, biodiversity information would be submitted in the form of either a Preliminary Ecological Appraisal or an Ecological Impact Assessment (EcIA) Report (in accordance with CIEEM guidelines; see Endnote vii).

The Local Planning Authority will only accept biodiversity information in the form of a Preliminary Ecological Appraisal Report (PEA) Report where all 3 of the following apply:

- 1. No further surveys\* beyond those that are complete and reported fully in the PEA Report are required;
- \*A PEA Report will normally be based on a desk study and extended Phase 1 habitat survey (or equivalent), but may also include the results of Phase 2 surveys.
- 2. And either:
- a. The report provides an adequate assessment of biodiversity impacts; or
- b. The report is able to conclude robustly that there would be no significant residual biodiversity impacts.
- 3. And the report provides adequate information about the biodiversity mitigation, compensation and enhancement measures proposed; and these are capable of being secured through a planning condition, obligation and/or protected species licence.







The terms 'Ecological Impact Assessment' (EcIA), 'EcIA Report', 'Preliminary Ecological Appraisal' (PEA), 'PEA Report', 'Extended Phase 1 habitat survey' and 'Phase 2 surveys' are defined by the Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management (CIEEM) in the 'Guide to Ecological Surveys and Their Purpose' (December 2017), available at <a href="https://cieem.net/resource/guide-to-ecological-surveys-and-their-purpose/">https://cieem.net/resource/guide-to-ecological-surveys-and-their-purpose/</a>

#### How to complete this form

**Part A** of this form provides general background information and a signed declaration. It should be completed by the Ecologist representing the Applicant.

**Part B** of this form is a declaration that should be completed by the Applicant to demonstrate that they have read and understood the content of the biodiversity report and also agree to any recommendations that have implications for the proposed development, i.e. implementation of necessary biodiversity mitigation measures.

**Part C** should be completed by the Ecologist representing the Applicant (it is expected that, in most cases, this will be the lead author of the biodiversity report). Part C shall act as a checklist of the issues which should be addressed in the biodiversity report. The Ecologist should confirm that the information requested has been provided in the report and provide the appropriate paragraph reference numbers to allow the Local Planning Authority to quickly confirm that each criterion has been met.

Where the Ecologist finds that they cannot justifiably answer 'Yes' or 'Not applicable', or where they cannot cross-refer to a paragraph of the report which demonstrates that they have complied with a given criterion, they should revisit the work undertaken and revise the report accordingly, prior to its submission.

**Part D** of the form is to be completed by the Local Planning Authority's 'nominated person with biodiversity expertise' (i.e. a qualified ecologist or a planner with responsibility/expertise for biodiversity matters) during the Local Planning Authority's determination of the planning application.







PART A – GENERAL INFO	RMATION AND ECOLOGI	ST'S DECLARATION		
Name of Applicant: Carl Chall	linor	Site Name: Tall Trees		
Site Location (Post Code/Grid	Reference): SP 05511 11627	1		
•	•	existing conservatory and replace with a	side extension, as	
	-	a roof light splitting the areas apart. There		
-		southwestern roof aspect and replace wi		
number of modern panels.		<u>.</u>	-	
For instance: Conversion of st	one built agricultural barn wit	th slate tiles and exposed roof timbers greater	than 20cm thick.	
<del>-</del>	_	n owls, and other breeding birds such as swallo		
Details of Biodiversity Report				
Report title: Bat Activity Sur	WANS	Name and Qualifications of Lead Author: Dar	iel Howgego RSc	
neport due. Dat Activity Jul	,3	(Hons), MSc, ACIEEM	iici iiowaceo dae	
		()		
- ato: 00/ =0/ =0=0	rence Number:			
AE0	020/1/1			
Type of Riodiversity Penart Su	hmitted with the Planning And	olication (see Sections 3 and 4 in Purpose abov	۵۱	
Full Ecological Impac	<b>-</b>	Y	•	
	ical Appraisal Report (PEAR)		⊒ N⊒ ⊠ N□	
Summary and Recommendation		-		
Brief description of key biodiv	ersity features likely to be aff	fected and mitigation required.		
Day roosting bats in area of property unaffected by works. PWMS required alongside erection of bat boxes.				
Is a Protected Species Licence	from Natural England require	ed? Y	□ N⊠	
If so, what species and which		•••		
Click or tap here to enter te				
Are planning conditions requi	red to secure proposed mitiga	ation? Y	⊠ N□	
If so, what for? PWMS required to ensure	works limit disturbance			
T TOTAL TEMPORE TO CHISTIE	works milit distuivance			
		responsible for final QA of the report).		
Are full details of professional memberships, qualifications and experience for <u>all</u> staff involved in the preparation of this				
biodiversity report, provided in the EcIA / PEAR? Y⊠ N□				
I hereby confirm that the info	rmation provided in this form	is accurate and is a true record of the work ur	dertaken.	
Name of Ecologist:	Signed	<del>-</del>	te:	
Daniel Howgego	D.HO	WGEGO 10	/10/2023	
	-			
-		rent from Lead Author identified above):		
Click or tap here to enter te	xt.			

#### PART B – APPLICANT'S DECLARATION

I hereby confirm that I have read and understand the findings, implications and recommendations for impact avoidance, mitigation, compensation and enhancement set out in the report referred to in Part A above. I understand that the mitigation, compensation and enhancement measures set out in the report may be secured through a licence from the appropriate statutory conservation body and/or through condition(s) or obligations imposed by the Local Planning Authority, or other decision making authority.







Name of Applicant (or Agent): Signed: Carl Challinor Date: 12/10/23 Carl Challinor







PAR	ГС-	- BIODIVERSITY REPORT FORM (checklist)		
	Ch	ecklist to ensure decisions are based on adequate information in accordance with Clauses 6.2 and 8.1 of BS42020:2013	Y, N, N/A?	Report Ref para no.(s)
	1.	Where pre-app advice has been received from the LPA and/or an NGO and/or statutory	⊠Yes	
Pre-app	1.	body (e.g. NE DAS) <sup>i</sup> , it has been fully accounted for in the report.	□No	Click or tap
		body (e.g. NE DAS), it has been fully accounted for in the report.		here to
	_	The second of th	□N/A	enter text.
	2.	The scope, structure and content of the report is in accordance with published good practice <sup>ii, iii</sup> and iv.	⊠Yes □No	Click or tap
		practice .	□N/A	here to
	_		⊠Yes	enter text.
	3.	3. Adequate <sup>v</sup> and up-to-date <sup>vi</sup> :		Click or tap
		<ul> <li>Desk study has been undertaken<sup>vii</sup>;</li> <li>Phase 1 habitat survey has been undertaken<sup>7</sup>; and</li> </ul>	□No □N/A	here to
		c. Phase 2 surveys have been undertaken (where necessary)viii.	□ <b>!\</b> //\	enter text.
	4.	All statutory and non-statutory sites likely to be significantly affected are clearly and	□Yes	Click or tap
itats		correctly identified.	□No	here to
Чар		,	⊠N/A	enter text.
8	5.	All protected or priority species and priority habitats <sup>ix</sup> likely to be significantly affected are	⊠Yes	Click or tap
cies	٦.	clearly and correctly identified, and adequate surveys have been undertaken to inform the	□No	here to
Spe		baseline.	□N/A	enter text.
Surveys, Species & Habitats	6.		⊠Yes	
JIVE	0.	Any invasive non-native plant species present are clearly and correctly identified.	□No	Click or tap
S			□N/A	here to
		When a consist well with a consist of the constant of the cons		enter text.
	7.	Where a separate preliminary ecological appraisal (phase 1) report states that Phase 2	⊠Yes □No	Click or tap
		surveys are required, these have been undertaken in full and results submitted with the application (or lack of such surveys is justified).		here to
	0		□N/A	enter text.
	8.	The assessment is based on clearly defined development proposals along with relevant	⊠Yes □No	Click or tap
S		drawings/plans (and any plans used are the same version number as those submitted with the application); OR  The biodiversity effects are considered to be not significant at any geographical scale	□N/A	here to
Impacts & Effects	9.			enter text.
ž Ef	•	irrespective of the detailed development proposals, and the assessment is based on a	□Yes □No	Click or tap
cts &		worst-case-scenario.	□N/A	here to
ıpaα	10	TI		enter text.
=	10.	The report describes and assesses all likely significant biodiversity effects (including	⊠Yes □No	Click or tap
		cumulative effects) clearly stating the geographical scale of significance (where relevant).	□N/A	here to
				enter text.
	11.	The mitigation hierarchy has been clearly followed <sup>x</sup> .	⊠Yes □No	Click or tap
			□NO □N/A	here to
				enter text.
Enhancement	12.	The report:	⊠Yes	Click or tap
		a. Clearly identifies the proposed mitigation and compensation measures, and explains	□No □N/A	here to
Jan		how these will adequately address all likely significant adverse effects; b. Includes, where necessary, proposals for post-construction monitoring; and	□IN/A	enter text.
		c. Recommends how proposed measures may be secured through planning		
⊗ ⊂		conditions/obligations and/or necessary licences.		
Mitigation, Compensation &	13.	A summary table of proposed mitigation and compensation measures has been provided.	⊠Yes	Click or tap
ens			□No	here to
mu			□N/A	enter text.
ς,	14.	The need for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected species is clearly	⊠Yes	Click or tap
tion		identified.	□No	here to
tiga			□N/A	enter text.
Ξ	15.	A Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment has been provided where required	□Yes	Click or tap
		,	□No	here to
			⊠N/A	enter text.
				Citto tone.







o,	16. Limitations <sup>xi</sup> of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly identified and the implications explained.			Click or tap here to enter text.
ood Practice	17. All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may constrain or adversely affect the proposed timing of development have been identified.			Click or tap here to enter text.
Competence / Good Practice	methods and guideli	eys and mitigation measures accord with published good practice ines OR deviation from such guidelines is made clear and fully plications for subsequent conclusions and recommendations made xii.	⊠Yes □No □N/A	Click or tap here to enter text.
8	19. All ecologists and surveyors hold appropriate species licences (where relevant) and/or have all necessary competencies to carry out the work undertaken.			Click or tap here to enter text.
S	20. The report clearly identifies where the proposed development complies with relevant legislation and policy, highlighting any possible non-compliant issues, and highlighting circumstances where a conclusion cannot be drawn as it requires an assessment of non-biodiversity issues (such as socio-economic ones).			Click or tap here to enter text.
Conclusions	21. The report provides	a clear summary of losses and gains for biodiversity and a justifies I net gain for biodiversity	⊠Yes □No □N/A	Click or tap here to enter text.
	the significance of e	ns <sup>xiii</sup> based on sound professional judgement <sup>xiv</sup> have been drawn as to ffects on any designated site, protected or priority habitat/species or ature, and a justified scale of significance has been stated.	⊠Yes □No □N/A	Click or tap here to enter text.
	T D CONCEOSIONS C	OF THE LOCAL PLANNING AUTHORITY'S REVIEW OF THE BIC	DIVEIN	JIII KLFOKI
The s	scope, structure and conte rmination of the planning	nt of the biodiversity report submitted is fit and adequate to inform thapplication.		□Yes □No
The sidete	scope, structure and conte rmination of the planning	nt of the biodiversity report submitted is fit and adequate to inform the application.  The implications for the grant or refusal of planning consent.  necessary.  Comments – including reference to any corresponding criteria from Society or tap here to enter text.	ne Section C	□Yes □No
Use to Continue Plant	scope, structure and conte rmination of the planning the table below to identify inue on a separate sheet if	nt of the biodiversity report submitted is fit and adequate to inform the application.  The implications for the grant or refusal of planning consent.  The implications for the grant or refusal of planning consent.  The implications for the grant or refusal of planning consent.  The implications for the grant or refusal of planning consent.  The implications for the grant or refusal of planning consent.	ne Section C	□Yes □No
The side te dete	scope, structure and conte rmination of the planning the table below to identify inue on a separate sheet if ning Recommendation	nt of the biodiversity report submitted is fit and adequate to inform the application.  The implications for the grant or refusal of planning consent.  Inecessary.  Comments – including reference to any corresponding criteria from Society of the comments	Section C tion can I	□Yes □No  be made below.  e. no pre-
The side term of the si	scope, structure and conte rmination of the planning the table below to identify inue on a separate sheet if ning Recommendation  Approval (no biodiversity issues)  Approval (conditional with no likely delays to	nt of the biodiversity report submitted is fit and adequate to inform the application.  The implications for the grant or refusal of planning consent.  **necessary.**  Comments – including reference to any corresponding criteria from Struck or tap here to enter text.  Where adequacy of information provided dictates what recommendated in the provided dictates what recommen	Section C tion can b nce n, etc. (i.e	□Yes □No  be made below.  e. no pre- ssues







5.	Deferral (pending submission of further essential information)	_ _ _	<ul> <li>☐ Biodiversity report currently does not meet good practice requirements and is inadequate</li> <li>☐ Further information must be submitted prior to determination</li> <li>☐ Application cannot yet be conditioned</li> <li>☐ Potential substantial delays and/or costs inevitable</li> </ul>	
6.	Refusal – insufficient information, inadequate biodiversity report	_ _	<ul> <li>□ Biodiversity report very poor and provides inadequate information to inform lawful determination of the application</li> <li>□ Not capable of being conditioned to secure necessary information (i.e. against policy)</li> </ul>	
7.	Refusal – other biodiversity reasons for refusal	_	$\Box$ Biodiversity report is sufficient, but there are other reasons for refusal based on biodiversity (e.g. objection in principle to the proposal)	
			he biodiversity report on behalf of the Local Planning Authority	
tex	me: Click or tap here to en t.	iter	Role: Click or tap here to enter text.	
Qualifications and Experience: Click or tap here to enter text.				
Sigi	nature: Click or tap here to	o ent	er text. Date: Click or tap here to	







#### **ENDNOTES**

- <sup>1</sup> Natural England's Discretionary Advice Service
- "CIEEM (2017) Guidelines for Ecological Report Writing.
- iii CIEEM (2018) Guidelines for Ecological Impact Assessment.
- iv BS42020:2013 Biodiversity Code of Conduct for Planning and Development.
- Adequate ecological information is defined as being Appropriate (i.e. the right type of surveys for the site and the receptors likely to be found) and Sufficient (i.e. there is sufficient effort in view of the time, size, complexity etc of the site to ensure all likely receptors are adequately accounted for such as abundance and distribution) (refer to BS42020:2013 Clause 6.2).
- vi BS42020:2013 states up-to-date normally means not more than 2/3 years although this may be longer if environmental conditions and features have remained the same and there has been minimal change on site. NOTE: CIEEM currently producing guidance on this
- vii Based on the approach described in Section 2 of CIEEM's Guidelines for Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (2018).
- viii See Section 3, Box 4 and Appendix 5 of CIEEM's Guidelines for Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (2018).
- ix See Section 1 Box 1 of CIEEM's Guidelines for Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (2018).
- <sup>x</sup> In accordance with Paragraph 118 of the National Planning Policy Framework (England; 2018).
- xi An explicit understanding of any limitations for the ecological work should be provided in accordance with Clause 6.7 of BS42020:2013 (including limitations associated with: survey methods, adequacy of equipment, reference to relevant desk top data, interpretation and analysis of results, competency of all ecological surveyors and personnel undertaking the impact assessment and design of mitigation).
- xii Deviation from standard methods and guidance must be reported in accordance with BS42020:2013 (Clauses 4.4, 6.3.6 to 6.3.9 and 6.7) (see also Endnote 9 below). NOTE: CIEEM has a published list of relevant guidance on its website can this be referred to?
- xiii In accordance with CIEEM's Guidelines on Ecological Impact Assessment (2018).
- xiv Further information on how to provide robust justification for any deviation in methods used from those published in good practice guidance is provided in CIEEM (2016) *Pragmatism, Proportionality and Professional Judgement*. In Practice. Issue 91; page 57.