
**Document:** Remediation Verification Report

Project: Needham Market Quarry


Reference No.: GN17820\_RV36

Date: January 2023

Prepared for: Hopkins Homes Limited



# harrisongeotechnical ENGINEERING



## HARRISON GROUP ENVIRONMENTAL LIMITED

Document: Remediation Verification Report

Project: Needham Market Quarry

Reference No.: GN17820\_RV36

Date: January 2023

Prepared For: Hopkins Homes Limited

#### **REPORT STATUS:**

Revision	Comments	Prepared By	Approved By	Issued By	Audited By
0	First issue	INIT MR SIGN	INIT SW SIGN	INIT MR SIGN	INIT SW SIGN
		COMMENTS DATE 17/01/23	COMMENTS DATE 20/01/23	COMMENTS DATE 20/01/23	COMMENTS DATE 20/01/23
		INIT SIGN	INIT SIGN	INIT SIGN	Init Sign
		COMMENTS DATE	COMMENTS DATE	COMMENTS DATE	COMMENTS DATE
		INIT SIGN	INIT SIGN	Init Sign	Init Sign
		COMMENTS DATE	COMMENTS DATE	COMMENTS DATE	COMMENTS DATE
		INIT SIGN	INIT SIGN	INIT SIGN	Init Sign
		COMMENTS DATE	COMMENTS DATE	COMMENTS DATE	COMMENTS DATE

#### **CONTENTS**

FΟ			

1 TERMS OF R	EFERENCE & INTRODUCTION	1
2 BACKGROUN	ND INFORMATION	1
2.1 Verification I	Report Structure	1
2.2 Site Setting,	History and Investigation	2
3 SOIL REMED	IATION	2
3.1 Cover Syste	m Material	3
3.1.1 Site Won	Subsoil	3
3.1.2 Imported	Topsoil	3
3.1.3 Soil Cove	r Thickness	4
4 CONCLUSIO	NS	4

# APPENDICES – Supporting Documentation

Remediation Plans and Drawings

Trial Pit Logs

Soil Chemical Analysis Reports

Photo sheet

#### **FOREWORD**

#### General Conditions Relating to a Verification Report

This investigation has been devised to generally comply with the relevant principles and requirements of B.S.10175:2011+A2:2017 'Investigation of potentially contaminated sites - Code of practice', science report SC050021/SR3 'Updated Technical Background to the CLEA Model' (Environment Agency, 2008), and DEFRA/Environment Agency (EA), 2021 'land contamination: risk management'. The recommendations made and opinions expressed in this report are based on the information obtained from the sources described using a methodology intended to provide reasonable consistency and robustness.

The opinions expressed in this report are based on the ground conditions revealed by the site works, together with an assessment of the site and of laboratory test results. Whilst opinions may be expressed relating to sub-soil conditions in parts of the site not investigated, for example between exploratory positions, these are only for guidance and no liability can be accepted for their accuracy.

Boring and sampling procedures are undertaken in accordance with B.S.5930:2015+A1:2020 'Code of Practice for Ground Investigations'. Likewise, in-situ and laboratory testing complies with B.S.1377:1990 'Methods of Tests for Soils for Civil Engineering Purposes' and B.S.22475:2011, unless stated otherwise in the text. Chemical testing has been undertaken by a UKAS accredited laboratory.

Some items of the investigation have been provided by third parties and whilst Harrison Group have no reason to doubt the accuracy, the items relied on have not been verified. No responsibility can be accepted for errors within third party items presented in this report.

This report is produced in accordance with the scope of Harrison Group's appointment and is subject to the terms of appointment. Harrison Group accepts no liability for any use of this document other than by its client and only for the purposes, for which it was designed and produced. No responsibility can be accepted for any consequences of this information being passed to a third party who may act upon its contents/recommendations.

Any advice, opinions, or recommendations within this document should be read and relied upon only in the context of the document as a whole. The contents of this document are not to be construed as providing legal, business or tax advice or opinion.

#### REMEDIATION VERIFICATION REPORT

#### FOR REMEDIAL ACTIVITY

ΑT

#### **NEEDHAM MARKET QUARRY (Plots 112-117)**

#### 1 TERMS OF REFERENCE & INTRODUCTION

The work covered by this document was undertaken on behalf of Hopkins Homes Ltd, in accordance with an emailed instruction to proceed from Hopkins Homes Ltd dated 3<sup>rd</sup> October 2018.

The work described in this report represents validation and verification of remediation comprising a suitable soil cover (600mm of combined subsoil and topsoil, with a minimum thickness of topsoil to be 150mm) to the areas of soft landscaping around plots 112-117. A remediation method statement (RMS) for the site was compiled and provided for the client to submit to the regulatory authorities in December 2017. The RMS (reference GN17820\_RMS1) detailed the method of remediation to be undertaken, based on the ground investigations and assessment previously completed, and provided the planned principles for verification. We believe that the RMS was issued to Mid Suffolk District Council and the NHBC for their review and comment on the planned remediation verification.

The plots which require validation of the suitability of the soils in gardens and soft landscaping can be identified in drawing GN17820\_DR402 (appended to this report), which highlights the development phasing plan (phases 1A, 1B, 2 and 3). Specifically, plots which require confirmation of the suitability of the near-surface soils, and the need for a soil cover, includes plot numbers 1-136, 161-170, 184-193, 197-211, 218-266 and soft landscaping areas in these development phases.

To date, potentially unsuitable material (as outlined in the RMS) has only been encountered in two plots in the western portion of phase 2 of the development (see verification reports GN17820\_RV14 and GN17820\_RV15) and in both instances further chemical testing of the material determined this was chemically suitable to remain. Following discussions between the client, the regulator and the NHBC it was agreed that a reduction in the number of plots that are to be tested as part of the remaining validation was sensible. The agreed strategy involved a reduction in the testing frequency to 1 in every 2 plots across the remainder of phase 2, as this was within an area formally used as part of the landfill, then reducing to 1 in 4 plots for phase 3 where it is understood the landfill did not extend into. This proposal was caveated that should potentially unsuitable material be encountered then the frequency of plots tested would be locally increased until confidence can be gained that no further plots are impacted, before returning to the agreed upon frequency.

Subsequent remediation verification reports are in production for additional affected plots/areas, as each area is remediated.

#### 2 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

#### 2.1 Verification Report Structure

This document has been set out as follows:

- A brief background of the site, the findings of previous investigations and nature of the remediation planned.
- A description of the activities undertaken.
- Details of soil sampling undertaken to date.
- Concluding with an assessment of the suitability for use.

Appended to this document is supporting evidence as follows:

- Photographs taken during the verification of the suitability of the cover system material.
- Chemical analysis of the material used within the cover system (topsoil).

#### 2.2 Site Setting, History and Investigation

Harrison Group Environmental Limited (HGE) provided ground investigation for Hopkins Homes (HH) under the direction of Coffey Geotechnics Limited (CG) as part of their interpretative report on contamination and geotechnical aspects of the former chalk quarry, which was completed to provide HH with information for their development prior to acquiring the site. Reference should be made to CG report (reference 02095AA\_R\_003A-InterpReport v6, dated 23<sup>rd</sup> May 2014). Part of CG recommendations were for ground improvement in parts of the site, where deep fill material was placed as part of quarrying activity, and for two zones to require ground gas protection measures.

HGE were contacted by HH in 2017 to assess the ground gas regime during and after earthworks, and to assess the exposure of made ground soils by their earthworks contractor (Breheny Civil Engineering) when they removed approximately 2m thickness of surcharge toward the conclusion of ground improvement. We were also asked to consider the suitability of topsoil and subsoil stockpiled by Breheny Civil Engineering (BCE) as part of earthworks for reuse within the development.

The HGE report on the ground gas regime (reference GN17820\_SI\_GGrev1, dated November 2017) should be referred to for the details. In summary, the concentrations of ground gases were not found to significantly differ during or after earthworks. The two zones requiring protection measures to CS2 in accordance with BS8485 were refined to specifically include plots 109-111, 116 and 121-126 in zone 1 and plots 1, 230, 234-239, 240-249, 251-256, 265 and 266 in zone 2. Drawing GN17820\_DR104 (appended to the RMS document) identifies the two zones referred to and the plots affected.

HGE report on the suitability of identified soil for use within the residential development (GN17820\_SI\_Soilrev1, also dated November 2017) should be referred to for details. Based on the available ground investigation information the quarry backfill material was generally found to comprise reworked glacial drift and structureless chalk but was also noted to include some organic soils with low levels of PAH compounds in some soil samples analysed. It was considered likely that where the darker organic/ashy material was encountered it may potentially be unsuitable to remain where exposed in the near surface of domestic gardens. These areas approximately correspond to development phases 1B and 2, but may extend to areas within phase 3. It was recommended that where unsuitable material is exposed or is present within the near surface of domestic gardens and soft landscaping areas, that a suitable soil cover system is implemented. In order to determine the affected gardens and soft landscaping areas, it was proposed that HGE undertake shallow trial pit excavations.

A stockpile of topsoil (S02) was imported from Hopkins Homes' Bramford site during the summer of 2018. The topsoil was considered physically suitable for reuse in gardens with chemical analysis of this material confirming its chemical suitability. There was a minimal amount of anthropogenic content to the stockpiled topsoil, which was inert and does not present a significant risk to end users, but may be physically undesirable. It was recommended that this undesirable content is removed from topsoil planned for use in gardens and areas of public open space, where observed during moving and placing the topsoil.

A remediation method statement (RMS) for the site was compiled following completion of the site investigation works and submitted to the regulatory authorities in December 2017 (reference GN17820\_RMS1). The method for ensuring soil suitability is detailed in sections 4, 5 and 6 of the RMS. Section 3 within the RMS document details the need for gas protection measures. HH have confirmed that gas protection measures are being installed where required and verification of these works is being undertaken by others.

The plots which require validation of the suitability of the soils in gardens and soft landscaping can be identified in drawing GN17820\_DR402 (appended to this report), which highlights the development phasing plan (phases 1A, 1B, 2 and 3). Specifically, plots which require investigation before the suitability of the near-surface soils (and the need for a soil cover) can be confirmed includes plot numbers 1-136, 161-170, 184-193, 197-211, 218-266 and soft landscaping areas in these development phases.

#### 3 SOIL REMEDIATION

As described above, the verification process was planned to comprise confirmation that there is sufficient thickness of suitable cover soil within the garden areas of plots 1-136, 161-170, 184-193, 197-211, 218-266 and soft landscaping areas in these development phases, as indicated on drawing GN17820\_DR402 within the appendix. The work described in this report represents validation and verification of remediation comprising a suitable soil cover system (subsoil and topsoil) within areas of soft landscaping surrounding plots 112-117.

An engineer from HGE visited site on 13/01/23 to undertake hand dug trial pits within the soft landscaped areas surrounding plots 112-117 to confirm that suitable topsoil and subsoil was present in the gardens (HDTP113-01 to HDTP113-02, HDTP115-01, and HDTP116-01 to HDTP116-02). Specifically, plots 113, 115 and 116 were targeted for investigation, however, the findings are considered representative of plots 112-117 inclusive.

During the visit, the following observations were made:

- Concrete edging was observed adjacent to footpaths and curb sides at approximately 45° angle.
- A maximum depth of excavation of 500mm was completed within HDTP115-01 due to encountering
  pea shingle assumed to have been placed above a service as a warning layer. Pea shingle was also
  encountered in the base of HDTP116-02 at a depth of 550mm.
- The rear gardens of plots 112-117 were terraced with two elevations. A small wooden retaining post
  retaining wall separated the two levels. Across the rear gardens, a larger area of hardstanding was
  present than is shown on the appended drawing GN17820-DR502am. This is shown on the appended
  photosheet.
- At the time of the site visit topsoil had not been placed up to edge of the front gardens of plots 112-117 as the paving had not yet been completed. However, since our site visit HH have provided photographs of the topsoil being placed within these plots, which have been reviewed and are deemed suitable.

The following sections of this report outline the remediation completed for plots 112-117.

#### 3.1 Cover System Material

The material used for the cover system included site won subsoil and imported topsoil (from previously verified stockpile S02 as mentioned in section 2.2 of this report).

The fieldwork locations are shown on drawings GN17820-DR502am included within the appendix. Hand excavated trial pits were undertaken to record the thickness and physical descriptions of the materials present and to confirm material suitability.

#### 3.1.1 Site Won Subsoil

The material was generally described as made ground consisting of the following.

- MADE GROUND. Yellowish brown slightly sandy gravelly clay. Gravel is sub-angular to sub-rounded fine to medium flint and chalk.
- MADE GROUND. Greyish brown slightly gravelly very sandy clay. Gravel is sub-angular to sub-rounded fine to medium flint and chalk.

The materials encountered were considered suitable for use as subsoil from visual inspection. The dark material identified elsewhere on site that contained low levels of contaminants was not encountered in the soft landscaping surrounding plots 113, 115 or 116 and is therefore not considered likely to be encountered within plots 112-117.

#### 3.1.2 Imported Topsoil

Topsoil from stockpile S02 (imported from Hopkins Homes' Bramford Site) was previously considered suitable for reuse in gardens and chemical analysis of this material has confirmed its chemical suitability. The results of the chemical analysis are appended to this report. The material was previously deemed physically suitable for use as a topsoil, as the soil appeared to be an appropriate consistency for use in garden areas. The material within S02 was described as dark brown slightly gravelly slightly silty sand with fine to medium subangular to subrounded flint. A total of ten samples were submitted to a laboratory in May 2019 for testing of a general suite of contaminants and an asbestos screen. No asbestos was detected, and the levels of all other contaminants were below the screening criteria adopted at the time.

During the verification works, the material was generally described as brown slightly gravelly slightly clayey to silty fine to medium sand. Gravel is angular to rounded fine to coarse flint. This recent description is generally consistent with the previous description, allowing for some variability, and therefore has been confirmed as the same material. This material was used for the topsoil (ground level up to 400mm depth) within the soft landscaping areas surrounding plots 112-117. The minimum thickness of 150mm of topsoil was encountered in all of the trial pits during the verification exercise.

#### 3.1.3 Soil Cover Thickness

A thickness of suitable soil was stated in the RMS to need to be at least 600mm. This was on the basis that the underlying soils were generally suitable, but where darker material is present, 600mm of soil cover will be appropriate and sufficient. The dark material identified elsewhere on site that contained low levels of contaminants was not encountered within the upper 600mm in the soft landscaping surrounding plots 113, 115 and 116 and is therefore not considered likely to be encountered within plots 112-117.

The thickness of suitable soil was recorded to be at least 600mm within the majority of the trial pits completed, excluding HDTP115-01 where the trial pit was terminated at 500mm due to encountering pea shingle.

#### 4 CONCLUSIONS

Harrison Group Environmental Limited considers that a suitable cover system of suitable thickness, comprising chemically and physically suitable material, has been implemented. We are satisfied that there will be no significant risk to human health from residual contamination in the soft landscaping that surrounds plots 112-117 at the development known as Needham Market Quarry.

This report should be submitted to the regulators in order to conclude the remediation process.

Plots 3-69, 96-103, 118, 119, 121-126, 161-170, 197-203 and 218-266 have previously been validated and are shown as green on appended drawing GN17820-DR502 which shows a summary of the validation completed to date. The requirement for remediation in other plots is currently being assessed and the remediation undertaken as appropriate. Further remediation verification reports are in production for additional affected plots/areas on the whole development, as each area is built and remediated. These include plots 1, 2, 70-95, 104-111, 120, 127-136, 184-193 and 204-211.

Public open space and soft landscaping areas within the development phases are yet to be verified.

Report by:

Checked and approved by:

Mark Rivett BSc (hons.) FGS

Senior Geoenvironmental Engineer

Stephen Williams BSc (hons.) FGS

Managing Director

**APPENDICES - Supporting Documentation** 

Drawings: GN17820-DR402

GN17820-DR502am

GN17820-DR502


Hand Dug Trial Pit Logs HDTP113-01 and HDTP113-02

HDTP115-01


HDTP116-01 and HDTP116-02.

Chemical Analysis Reports: 19-41738-1

Photo Sheet: GN17820 RV36 Photo Sheet 1







harrisongrou	NTAL	Tria	Pit I	Reco	rd	HDTP113-0	<b>)1</b> Sheet 1 of 1		
Project ID: GN17820	Client:	Hopk	ins Homes	Limited		E: 609349.71	N: 254016.95		
Location: Needham Market Quarry	Consult	tant:							
	Plant u	sed: Hand	Excavated			Date: 13/01/2023			
				Elevation	Sa	 mple / In-Situ Test Information	Installation &		
Geology Description		Legend	Depth	(maOD)	Type Dep		Васкии		
TOPSOIL. Brown slightly gravelly slightly clayey fine SAND. Gravel is sub-angular to sub-rounded fine to with rare brick.  MADE GROUND. Firm yellowish brown slightly sand	dy gravelly		0.40	-	-				
CLAY. Gravel is sub-angular to sub-rounded fine to r and chalk.	medium flint		- -	† 	-				
Trial pit terminated at 0.60m.			0.60	İ					
Weather: Sunny and dry				l	Water Strike				
Pit Stability: Stable	Date	Wate	r Strike (m)	Time	Elapsed (mins)	Standing Level (m)	Remarks		
Shoring Ucad									
Norwich Office: 01603 613111 London Office: 020 7537 9233 Cambridge Office: 01223 781585 Colchester Office: 01206 986675 Testing Services: 01603 416333 E-mail: info@harrisongroupuk.com	emarks Backfill: GL to 0.60 Approximate coor	dinates.		Ch	ecked by: MR		Fm-Hn-R-3069-Rev E		

harrisongroup	O AL	Trial	Pit I	Reco	rd	Н	DTP113-02	2 Sheet 1 of	f 1
Project ID: <b>GN17820</b>	Client:	Hopk	ins Homes	Limited		E:	609352.38	N: 254018.4	13
Location: Needham Market Quarry	Consult	ant:							
	Plant us	lant used: Hand Excavated Date: 13/01/2023							
				Elevation		Sample / I	n-Situ Test Information	n Installa	
Geology Description		Legend	Depth	(maOD)		epth	Results / Rem	васі	кпіі
TOPSOIL. Brown slightly gravelly slightly clayey fine to SAND. Gravel is sub-angular to sub-rounded fine to co.					-				
MADE GROUND. Firm yellowish brown slightly sandy g CLAY. Gravel is sub-angular to sub-rounded fine to med and chalk.	gravelly dium flint		0.30		-				
Trial pit terminated at 0.60m.			0.60						
Weather: Sunny and dry					Water Strike				
Pit Stability: Stable	Date	Wate	r Strike (m)	Time	Elapsed (mins	s) St	anding Level (m)	Remarks	
Shoring Used:									
Rem	kfill: GL to 0.60 proximate coord	dinates.		Ch	acked by: 1	1 1 1		Em. Un D 2000 0-	w F
vvcusite. www.iiairisongroupuk.com Log	gged by: Mi	۲		Ch	ecked by: N	ΊΚ		Fm-Hn-R-3069-Re	ev E

harrisongroup		Tria	l Pit F	Reco	rd	ŀ	HDTP115-0	Sheet 1 of 1
Project ID: <b>GN17820</b>	Client:	Hopk	ins Homes	Limited		E	: 609361.68	N: 254029.17
Location: Needham Market Quarry	Consult	ant:						
	Plant us		Excavated			Da	ate: 13/01/2	2023
	I lant us	Tianu	Excavated	Elevation	Ī			Installation
Geology Description		Legend	Depth	(maOD)			/ In-Situ Test Information	n Backfill
Geology Description  TOPSOIL. Brown slightly gravelly slightly clayey fine to SAND. Gravel is sub-angular to sub-rounded fine to co with rare brick.  MADE GROUND. Yellowish brown slightly sandy gravel Gravel is sub-angular to sub-rounded fine to medium flint.  MADE GROUND. Pea shingle.  Trial pit terminated at 0.50m.	arse flint	Legend	Depth	(maOD)		epth	Results / Rem	Васкии
Norwich Office: 01603 613111	Date  Jarks  kfill: GL to 0.60  proximate coor	Om arisings.	or Strike (m)	Time	Water Strike	(s)	Standing Level (m)	Remarks
Cambridge Office: 01223 781585 Colchester Office: 01206 986675 Testing Services: 01603 416333 E-mail: info@harrisongroupuk.com Website: www.harrisongroupuk.com	gged by: MI	R		Ch	ecked by: N	1R		Fm-Hn-R-3069-Rev E

harrisongrou	UD ENTAL	Trial Pit Record					HDTP116-01		<b>1</b> Sh	eet 1 of 1	
Project ID: <b>GN17820</b>	Clien	t: Hopk	ins Homes	Limited			E: 6	509347.51	N:	254031.81	
Location: Needham Market Quarry	Cons	ultant:									
	Plant	used: Hand	sed: Hand Excavated					Date: 13/01/2023			
				Elevation		Sam	ple / In-Situ	Test Information	n	Installation &	
Geology Description		Legend	Depth	(maOD)	Туре	Depth		Results / Rem		Backfill	
TOPSOIL. Brown slightly gravelly slightly clayey fin SAND. Gravel is sub-angular to sub-rounded fine t with rare brick.				-	-						
MADE GROUND. Firm yellowish brown slightly sar CLAY. Gravel is sub-angular to sub-rounded fine to and chalk.			0.35	-	-						
Trial pit terminated at 0.60m.			0.00	ļ							
			-			-					
Weather: Sunny and dry					Water St	trike					
Pit Stability: Stable	Date	Wate	r Strike (m)	Time	e Elapsed	(mins)	Standing	g Level (m)	Re	marks	
Shoring Used:											
Pit Dimensions:         L: 0.30m x W: 0.30m         F           Norwich Office:         01603 613111         1	Remarks  L. Backfill: GL to 0  Approximate co	ordinates.		Ch	ecked b	y: MR			Fm-Hn-	R-3069-Rev E	

harrisongrou	JD INTAL	Trial	Pit	Reco	rd	HDTP116-0	<b>)2</b> Sheet 1 of 1
Project ID: <b>GN17820</b>	Client:	Hopk	ins Homes	Limited		E: 609349.82	N: 254038.02
Location: Needham Market Quarry	Consult	ant:					
	Plant us	sed: Hand	Excavated	Date: 13/01,	/2023		
				Elevation	Sa	 Imple / In-Situ Test Information	on Installation &
Geology Description		Legend	Depth	(maOD)	Type Dep		Васкии
TOPSOIL. Brown slightly gravelly slightly clayey fine SAND. Gravel is sub-angular to sub-rounded fine to with rare brick.					-		
MADE GROUND. Greyish brown slightly gravelly ve Gravel is sub-angular to sub-rounded fine to mediu chalk.			0.25 0.55	-	-		
MADE GROUND. Pea shingle.  Trial pit terminated at 0.60m.			0.60	-	-		
Weather: Sunny and dry					Water Strike		
Pit Stability: Stable	Date	Wate	r Strike (m)	Time	Elapsed (mins)	Standing Level (m)	Remarks
Shoring Used:  Pit Dimensions: 1:0.30m v W: 0.30m	omarks						
Norwich Office: 01603 613111 1.	emarks Backfill: GL to 0.50 Approximate coor						
Website: www.harrisongroupuk.com	Logged by: M	R		Ch	ecked by: MF	R	Fm-Hn-R-3069-Rev E





#### **Jamie Cushing**

Harrison Group Kimbeley Street Norwich NR2 2RJ

**t:** 01603 613111 **f:** 01603 618120

e: jamiec@harrisongroupuk.com

i2 Analytical Ltd.
7 Woodshots Meadow,
Croxley Green
Business Park,
Watford,
Herts,
WD18 8YS

**t:** 01923 225404 **f:** 01923 237404

e: reception@i2analytical.com

### **Analytical Report Number: 19-41738**

Project / Site name: Needham Market Quarry Samples received on: 17/05/2019

Your job number: GN17820 Samples instructed on: 17/05/2019

Your order number: GN17820-33605-JC Analysis completed by: 24/05/2019

**Report Issue Number:** 1 **Report issued on:** 24/05/2019

Samples Analysed: 10 soil samples

Signed:

Zina Abdul Razzak Senior Quality Specialist

For & on behalf of i2 Analytical Ltd.

Standard Geotechnical, Asbestos and Chemical Testing Laboratory located at: ul. Pionierów 39, 41 -711 Ruda Śląska, Poland.

Accredited tests are defined within the report, opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of accreditation.

Standard sample disposal times, unless otherwise agreed with the laboratory, are : soils - 4 weeks from reporting

leachates - 2 weeks from reporting waters - 2 weeks from reporting asbestos - 6 months from reporting

Excel copies of reports are only valid when accompanied by this PDF certificate.

Any assessments of compliance with specifications are based on actual analytical results with no contribution from uncertainty of measurement. Application of uncertainty of measurement would provide a range within which the true result lies. An estimate of measurement uncertainty can be provided on request.





Lab Sample Number				1224213	1224214	1224215	1224216	1224217
Sample Reference				SO2-01	SO2-02	SO2-03	SO2-04	SO2-05
Sample Number				1	1	1	1	1
Depth (m)				0.00-0.50	0.00-0.50	0.00-0.50	0.00-0.50	0.00-0.50
Date Sampled				15/05/2019	15/05/2019	15/05/2019	15/05/2019	15/05/2019
Time Taken				None Supplied				
Analytical Parameter (Soil Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status					
Stone Content	%	0.1	NONE	< 0.1	25	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Moisture Content	%	N/A	NONE	8.8	7.6	8.8	8.7	9.4
Total mass of sample received	kg	0.001	NONE	0.47	0.50	0.48	0.49	0.49
T								
Asbestos in Soil	Туре	N/A	ISO 17025	Not-detected	Not-detected	Not-detected	Not-detected	Not-detected
General Inorganics								
pH - Automated	pH Units	N/A	MCERTS	8.1	8.1	9.0	7.9	7.8
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	%	0.1	MCERTS	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
Speciated PAHs								
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Fluorene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.64	< 0.05	< 0.05
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.87	< 0.05	< 0.05
Pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.83	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.46	< 0.05	< 0.05
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.26	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.26	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.19	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.18	< 0.05	< 0.05
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(ghi)perylene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Total PAH								
Speciated Total EPA-16 PAHs	mg/kg	0.8	MCERTS	< 0.80	< 0.80	3.69	< 0.80	< 0.80
Heavy Metals / Metalloids					_			
Arsenic (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	5.2	10	14	7.2	6.5
Boron (water soluble)	mg/kg	0.2	MCERTS	1.2	1.3	1.0	1.2	1.1
Cadmium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.2	MCERTS	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
Chromium (hexavalent)	mg/kg	4	MCERTS	< 4.0	< 4.0	< 4.0	< 4.0	< 4.0
Chromium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	11	11	8.7	12	13
Copper (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	15	16	18	13	14
Lead (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	28	25	25	25	24
Mercury (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.3	MCERTS	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3
Nickel (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	10	11	11	11	11
Selenium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.2
Zinc (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	40	39	55	37	38





Lab Sample Number				1224213	1224214	1224215	1224216	1224217
Sample Reference		SO2-01	SO2-02	SO2-03	SO2-04	SO2-05		
Sample Number	Sample Number						1	1
Depth (m)		0.00-0.50	0.00-0.50	0.00-0.50	0.00-0.50	0.00-0.50		
Date Sampled		15/05/2019	15/05/2019	15/05/2019	15/05/2019	15/05/2019		
Time Taken	None Supplied							
Analytical Parameter (Soil Analysis)  Accreditation Status Units								
Monoaromatics & Oxygenates								
Benzene	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Toluene	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Ethylbenzene	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
p & m-xylene	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
o-xylene	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
MTBE (Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether)	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0

#### **Petroleum Hydrocarbons**

Petroleum nyurocarbons								
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC5 - EC6	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC6 - EC8	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC8 - EC10	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC10 - EC12	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC12 - EC16	mg/kg	2	MCERTS	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC16 - EC21	mg/kg	8	MCERTS	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC21 - EC35	mg/kg	8	MCERTS	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic (EC5 - EC35)	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC5 - EC7	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC7 - EC8	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC8 - EC10	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC10 - EC12	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC12 - EC16	mg/kg	2	MCERTS	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC16 - EC21	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC21 - EC35	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	15	14	13	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aromatic (EC5 - EC35)	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	19	18	19	< 10	< 10





Lab Sample Number				1224218	1224219	1224220	1224221	1224222
Sample Reference				SO2-06	SO2-07	SO2-08	SO2-09	SO2-10
Sample Number				1	1	1	1	1
Depth (m)				0.00-0.50	0.00-0.50	0.00-0.50	0.00-0.50	0.00-0.50
Date Sampled				15/05/2019	15/05/2019	15/05/2019	15/05/2019	15/05/2019
Time Taken				None Supplied				
Analytical Parameter (Soil Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status					
Stone Content	%	0.1	NONE	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Moisture Content	%	N/A	NONE	8.9	9.0	8.5	9.4	11
Total mass of sample received	kg	0.001	NONE	0.51	0.51	0.50	0.58	0.59
Asbestos in Soil	Type	N/A	ISO 17025	Not-detected	Not-detected	Not-detected	Not-detected	Not-detected
General Inorganics								
pH - Automated	pH Units	N/A	MCERTS	7.7	7.8	8.0	7.5	7.8
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	%	0.1	MCERTS	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.9	0.8
Speciated PAHs								
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Fluorene	ma/ka	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(ghi)perylene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Total PAH								
Speciated Total EPA-16 PAHs	mg/kg	0.8	MCERTS	< 0.80	< 0.80	< 0.80	< 0.80	< 0.80
Heavy Metals / Metalloids								
Arsenic (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	7.5	11	12	9.1	8.7
Boron (water soluble)	mg/kg	0.2	MCERTS	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.9	1.3
Cadmium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.2	MCERTS	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
Chromium (hexavalent)	mg/kg	4	MCERTS	< 4.0	< 4.0	< 4.0	< 4.0	< 4.0
Chromium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	11	14	9.2	11	13
Copper (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	13	14	15	11	12
Lead (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	24	23	22	24	23
Mercury (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.3	MCERTS	< 0.3	< 0.3	0.6	0.5	< 0.3
Nickel (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	10	11	11	10	11
Selenium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Zinc (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	37	35	31	35	37





Lab Sample Number		1224218	1224219	1224220	1224221	1224222		
Sample Reference	SO2-06	SO2-07	SO2-08	SO2-09	SO2-10			
Sample Number	1	1	1	1	1			
Depth (m)	0.00-0.50	0.00-0.50	0.00-0.50	0.00-0.50	0.00-0.50			
Date Sampled	15/05/2019	15/05/2019	15/05/2019	15/05/2019	15/05/2019			
Time Taken				None Supplied				
Analytical Parameter (Soil Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status					
Monoaromatics & Oxygenates	fonoaromatics & Oxygenates							
Benzene	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Toluene	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Ethylbenzene	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
p & m-xylene	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
o-xylene	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
MTBE (Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether)	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0

Petroleum Hydrocarbons								
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC5 - EC6	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC6 - EC8	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC8 - EC10	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC10 - EC12	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC12 - EC16	mg/kg	2	MCERTS	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC16 - EC21	mg/kg	8	MCERTS	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC21 - EC35	mg/kg	8	MCERTS	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0	< 8.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic (EC5 - EC35)	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC5 - EC7	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC7 - EC8	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC8 - EC10	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC10 - EC12	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC12 - EC16	mg/kg	2	MCERTS	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC16 - EC21	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC21 - EC35	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aromatic (EC5 - EC35)	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	< 10	< 10	12	< 10	< 10





Analytical Report Number : 19-41738 Project / Site name: Needham Market Quarry

\* These descriptions are only intended to act as a cross check if sample identities are questioned. The major constituent of the sample is intended to act with respect to MCERTS validation. The laboratory is accredited for sand, clay and loam (MCERTS) soil types. Data for unaccredited types of solid should be interpreted with care.

Stone content of a sample is calculated as the % weight of the stones not passing a 10 mm sieve. Results are not corrected for stone content.

Lab Sample Number	Sample Reference	Sample Number	Depth (m)	Sample Description *
1224213	SO2-01	1	0.00-0.50	Brown loam and sand with vegetation and gravel.
1224214	SO2-02	1	0.00-0.50	Brown loam and sand with gravel and stones.
1224215	SO2-03	1	0.00-0.50	Brown loam and sand with gravel.
1224216	SO2-04	1	0.00-0.50	Brown loam and sand with vegetation and gravel.
1224217	SO2-05	1	0.00-0.50	Brown loam and sand with vegetation and gravel.
1224218	SO2-06	1	0.00-0.50	Brown loam and sand with vegetation and gravel.
1224219	SO2-07	1	0.00-0.50	Brown loam and sand with vegetation and gravel.
1224220	SO2-08	1	0.00-0.50	Brown loam and sand with gravel.
1224221	SO2-09	1	0.00-0.50	Brown loam and sand with vegetation and gravel.
1224222	SO2-10	1	0.00-0.50	Brown loam and sand with gravel.





Analytical Report Number: 19-41738 Project / Site name: Needham Market Quarry

Water matrix abbreviations: Surface Water (SW) Potable Water (PW) Ground Water (GW) Process Water (PrW)

Analytical Test Name	Analytical Method Description	Analytical Method Reference	Method number	Wet / Dry Analysis	Accreditation Status
Asbestos identification in soil	Asbestos Identification with the use of polarised light microscopy in conjunction with disperion staining techniques.	In house method based on HSG 248	A001-PL	D	ISO 17025
Boron, water soluble, in soil	Determination of water soluble boron in soil by hot water extract followed by ICP-OES.	In-house method based on Second Site Properties version 3	L038-PL	D	MCERTS
BTEX and MTBE in soil (Monoaromatics)	Determination of BTEX in soil by headspace GC-MS.	In-house method based on USEPA8260	L073B-PL	W	MCERTS
Hexavalent chromium in soil	Determination of hexavalent chromium in soil by extraction in water then by acidification, addition of 1,5 diphenylcarbazide followed by colorimetry.	In-house method	L080-PL	W	MCERTS
Metals in soil by ICP-OES	Determination of metals in soil by aqua-regia digestion followed by ICP-OES.	In-house method based on MEWAM 2006 Methods for the Determination of Metals in Soil.	L038-PL	D	MCERTS
Moisture Content	Moisture content, determined gravimetrically.	In-house method based on BS1377 Part 2, 1990, Chemical and Electrochemical Tests	L019-UK/PL	W	NONE
pH in soil (automated)	Determination of pH in soil by addition of water followed by automated electrometric measurement.	In-house method based on BS1377 Part 3, 1990, Chemical and Electrochemical Tests	L099-PL	D	MCERTS
Speciated EPA-16 PAHs in soil	Determination of PAH compounds in soil by extraction in dichloromethane and hexane followed by GC-MS with the use of surrogate and internal standards.	In-house method based on USEPA 8270	L064-PL	D	MCERTS
Stones content of soil	Standard preparation for all samples unless otherwise detailed. Gravimetric determination of stone > 10 mm as % dry weight.	In-house method based on British Standard Methods and MCERTS requirements.	L019-UK/PL	D	NONE
Total organic carbon (Automated) in soil	Determination of organic matter in soil by oxidising with potassium dichromate followed by titration with iron (II) sulphate.	In-house method based on BS1377 Part 3, 1990, Chemical and Electrochemical Tests"	L009-PL	D	MCERTS
TPHCWG (Soil)	Determination of hexane extractable hydrocarbons in soil by GC-MS/GC-FID.	In-house method with silica gel split/clean up.	L088/76-PL	W	MCERTS

For method numbers ending in 'UK' analysis have been carried out in our laboratory in the United Kingdom.

For method numbers ending in 'PL' analysis have been carried out in our laboratory in Poland.

Soil analytical results are expressed on a dry weight basis. Where analysis is carried out on as-received the results obtained are multiplied by a moisture correction factor that is determined gravimetrically using the moisture content which is carried out at a maximum of 30oC.

#### GN17820 - Needham Market Quarry Verification Report 36 - Photo Sheet 1







**Photographs 1-3**, taken on the 13<sup>th</sup> January 2023 showing examples of hand dug trial pits and the nature of the subsoil and topsoil within the soft landscaping of plot 116/117.









Photographs 4-7, taken on the 13<sup>th</sup> January 2022 showing the rear gardens of plots 112-115.



**Photographs 8-11**, taken on the 13<sup>th</sup> January 2023 showing examples of hand dug trial pits and the nature of the subsoil and topsoil within the soft landscaping of plot 113 (representative of plots 112-117).









**Photographs 12-15**, taken on the 13<sup>th</sup> January 2023 showing examples of hand dug trial pits and the nature of the subsoil and topsoil within the soft landscaping of plot 114 (representative of plots 112-117).



Norwich (Registered Office)

Kimberley Street Norwich Norfolk NR2 2RJ

Tel: 01603 613111

London

12 Waterways Business Centre Navigation Drive South Ordnance Road Enfield, EN3 6JJ

Tel: 020 7537 9233

Cambridge

Future Business Centre Kings Hedges Road Cambridge CB4 2HY

Tel: 01223 781585

Colchester

Colchester Business Centre 1 George Williams Way Colchester CO1 2JS

Tel: 01206 986675