

**103 Pontefract Road Ackworth  
WF7 7EL**

**Heritage Statement**

**Ref: 1212/10/2023**

**October 2023**

**1212 103 Pontefract Road Ackworth  
WF7 7EL**

## **Heritage Statement**

Ref: 1212/10/2023

**NGR: SE 44309 18082**

Sign-off history

<b>issue no.</b>	<b>issue date</b>	<b>prepared by</b>	<b>reviewed by</b>	<b>approved by</b>	<b>reason for issue</b>
1	27/10/2023	RB	MS	-	Draft
2				Client	Issue

# Contents

Contents	iii
Figures	iv
Photos	iv
Executive summary	1
<u>1</u> Introduction	<u>2</u>
1.1 Origin and scope of the report	2
1.2 Designated Heritage Assets	2
1.3 Conservation Area	2
1.4 Nearby Designated Assets	2
1.5 Non-designated assets (NDA)	2
1.6 Setting	3
1.7 Aims and objectives	3
<u>2</u> Site and Environs	<u>5</u>
2.1 Site	5
2.2 Designated Heritage Assets	5
2.3 Conservation Area	5
2.4 Archaeology	5
2.5 Non-designated assets	5
2.6 Setting	5
<u>3</u> Significance	<u>8</u>
3.1 Planning History	8
3.2 Statement of significance	8
<u>4</u> Impact of Development	<u>10</u>
4.1 Site in general	10
4.2 Other designated assets	12
4.3 Conservation Area	12
4.4 Non-designated assets	12
4.5 Setting	12
4.6 Commentary	12
4.7 Harm	12
4.8 The Duty to Preserve or Enhance	12
<u>5</u> Context	<u>13</u>
5.1 Photos	13
<u>6</u> Conclusions and Recommendations	<u>15</u>
6.1 Conclusions	15
6.2 Recommendations	15
<u>7</u> Planning Framework	<u>16</u>
7.1 Statutory protection	16
7.2 National Planning Policy Framework	16
7.3 Local Policy	18
<u>8</u> Determining significance	<u>20</u>

# Figures

Fig 1: Site (not to scale).....	3
Fig 2: Nearby Designated Heritage Assets .....	4
Fig 3: 1914 Ordnance Survey (OS).....	6
Fig 4: 1930 Ordnance Survey (OS).....	6
Fig 5: Existing Front and Side Elevations .....	7
Fig 6: Proposed Front and Side Elevations.....	7
Fig 7: Scheme (2023)(Not to Scale).....	11

**Note:** Site outlines may appear differently on some figures owing to distortions in historic maps. North may not be up the page and is generally approximate on early maps.

Unless otherwise noted, figures are not shown to scale.

# Photos

V 1: The frontage of 101 and 103 (Client Photo).....	13
V 2: Gates to 103 and 103 A (Client Photo).....	13
V 3: Street in front of 101 and 103 (Client Photo).....	14
V 4: No. 99 with two side extensions (Client Photo).....	14

# Executive summary

*We are instructed by M Ward Architectural Drawing Services on behalf of clients, Mr & Mrs Richard Coulson to prepare a Heritage Statement in relation to development at 103 Pontefract Road, Ackworth, WF7 7EL.*

*This desk-based study assesses the possible impacts of the proposed development on built and other heritage assets in the area.*

*Designated built heritage assets which may be affected comprise:*

- The site is in a Conservation Area.*

*Nearby designated assets include properties in proximity.*

## **Conclusions**

- The subject building is situated within a Conservation Area.*
- Whilst it is difficult to assess the impact on the special characteristics of the Conservation Area, it is determined that the proposed development is in accordance with the street scene and conclude that there is no harm to the Conservation Area on this basis.*
- The proposal will have no impact on the significance of any other Designated Asset or NDA and will cause no harm.*
- It is considered that the development will not impact on the contribution that setting makes to the significance of nearby assets and there will be no harm.*
- By virtue of intervening suburban forms, relative disposition, lack of inter-visibility and absence of causal links, it is considered that the setting of other designated and non-designated assets will be materially unaffected by the main scheme. There will be no harm to significance.*
- The proposal is considered to accord in full with legislation, national and local heritage policy and advice.*

## **Recommendations**

*No further heritage-based reporting is required.*

**Mark Strawbridge MRTPI IHBC FRSA**

**FPHS**

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Origin and scope of the report

---

- 1.1.1 We are instructed by M Ward Architectural Drawing Services on behalf of clients Mr & Mrs Richard Coulson to prepare a Heritage Statement in relation to development 103 Pontefract Road, Ackworth, WF7 7EL, in Wakefield Council.
- 1.1.2 The subject site is within a Conservation Area.
- 1.1.3 The proposal is for the demolition of the existing outbuilding and conservatory and two storey extensions to the front and rear.
- 1.1.4 This desk-based study assesses the impact of the scheme on built heritage assets (standing buildings). It forms an initial stage of investigation of the area of proposed development (hereafter referred to as the 'site') and may be required in relation to the planning process in order that the local planning authority (LPA) can formulate an appropriate response in the light of the impact upon any known or potential heritage assets. These are parts of the historic environment which are considered to be significant because of their historic, evidential, aesthetic and/or communal interest.
- 1.1.5 The assessment has been carried out in accordance with the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (DCLG 2023) and to standards specified by the Institute for Archaeologists (ClfA Oct 2012/Nov 2012), English Heritage (2008, 2011), Historic England (2015) and the Institute of Historic Building Conservation (IHBC 2009). The LPA has referred the applicant to the HER database.
- 1.1.6 Note: within the limitations imposed by dealing with historical material and maps, the information in this document is, to the best knowledge of the author, correct at the time of writing.
- 1.1.7 Archaeology is scoped out of this report.

## 1.2 Designated Heritage Assets

---

- 1.2.1 The Site is not listed and is therefore not a designated heritage asset.
- 1.2.2 Fig 2 shows the relative disposition of nearby LBs to the site.

## 1.3 Conservation Area

---

- 1.3.1 According to the Wakefield Council's website, the site is within a "post 1974 Conservation Area" and has been given the title CA15: Ackworth.
- 1.3.2 At the time of writing, there is no designation date or character appraisal available on the council's website.

## 1.4 Nearby Designated Assets

---

- 1.4.1 There are listed buildings in the vicinity (please see below).
- 1.4.2 Due to the nature of the works, relative disposition and lack of inter-visibility these and other assets have been scoped out of consideration.

## 1.5 Non-designated assets (NDA)

---

- 1.5.1 There may be NDAs within the immediate vicinity, but these have been scoped out of consideration as above.

## 1.6 Setting

---

- 1.6.1 Setting in this case is contiguous with that of other nearby assets and comprises the enclosed nature of the built form; there is nothing so suggest that appropriate change will be harmful, as a principle.


## 1.7 Aims and objectives

---

- Identify the presence of any built heritage assets that may be affected by the proposals;
- describe the significance of such assets, as required by national planning policy;
- assess the likely impacts upon the significance of the assets arising from the proposals; and
- provide recommendations for further assessment where necessary of the historic assets affected, and/or mitigation aimed at reducing or removing completely any adverse impacts upon heritage assets and/or their setting.



*Fig 1: Site (not to scale)*



*Fig 2: Nearby Designated Heritage Assets  
LBs shown in BLUE*



## 2 Site and Environs

### 2.1 Site

---

- 2.1.1 The building is located down a private road off the main A628 Pontefract Road which runs between Pontefract and Barnsley. Residential buildings are predominantly located along this road. The north of the site backs onto an open field at the rear elevation, with the front elevation facing the A628. To the west and east of the Site are neighbouring residential buildings.
- 2.1.2 A review of Ordnance Survey (OS) maps (below) indicates that the Site was developed between 1914 and 1930.

### 2.2 Designated Heritage Assets

---

- 2.2.1 The Site is not a designated heritage asset, however there are designated heritage assets within the immediate vicinity.
- 2.2.2 Fig 2 shows the relative disposition of nearby LBs to the site.
- 2.2.3 By virtue of intervening urban forms, relative disposition, lack of inter-visibility and absence of causal links, it is considered that other designated and non-designated assets will be materially unaffected by the proposed scheme.

### 2.3 Conservation Area

---

- 2.3.1 According to the Wakefield Council's website, the site is within a "post 1974 Conservation Area" and has been given the title CA15: Ackworth.
- 2.3.2 At the time of writing, there is no designation date or character appraisal available on the council's website.

### 2.4 Archaeology

---

- 2.4.1 Archaeology is scoped out of this report.

### 2.5 Non-designated assets

---

- 2.5.1 NDAs that may be in the vicinity have been scoped out of consideration as the proposed works are internal and there will be no potential for permanent impact on the setting of any other asset.

### 2.6 Setting

---

- 2.6.1 Setting is generally taken to mean the surroundings in which a heritage asset is experienced. Its extent is not fixed and may change as the asset and its surroundings evolve. Elements of a setting may make a positive or negative contribution to the significance of an asset, may affect the ability to appreciate that significance or may be neutral. (NPPF 2023 Glossary).
- 2.6.2 A setting is not an asset in its own right, nor does it have significance unless part of another heritage designation.
- 2.6.3 The contribution of setting is generally considered with reference to the Historic England document Good Practice Advice in *Planning Note 3 The setting of heritage assets* (3rd edition 2020) (GPA3).
- 2.6.4 It is considered that as the proposed development seeks to utilise natural slate, natural stone and timber framed windows, there will be no impact on setting nor that of other assets and their settings. The contribution of setting to the significance of the site will be unchanged.
- 2.6.5 Proximate views within and the prospect from the asset and will remain materially unaltered.




Fig 3: 1914 Ordnance Survey (OS)



Fig 4: 1930 Ordnance Survey (OS)



FRONT ELEVATION



SIDE ELEVATION

*Fig 5: Existing Front and Side Elevations*



FRONT ELEVATION



SIDE ELEVATION

*Fig 6: Proposed Front and Side Elevations*

## 3 Significance

### 3.1 Planning History

---

3.1.1 A review of the LPA website reveals the following previous applications:

- Detached outbuilding to rear for ancillary use  
Ref. No: 15/01448/FUL | Status: Application Approved
- Two storey side extension  
Ref. No: 02/99/62914 | Status: Application Refused
- Two storey side extension  
Ref. No: 02/99/62914/B | Status: Application Approved
- Single storey extension to rear (Retrospective)  
Ref. No: 03/99/62914/C | Status: Application Approved
- Alterations and extensions to existing garage to form ancillary living accommodation (revision to previous approval)  
Ref. No: 05/99/62914/K | Status: Application Withdrawn
- Single storey extension to rear, extensions & alterations to existing garage to form ancillary living accommodation  
Ref. No: 05/99/62914/J | Status: Application Approved
- Conservatory to rear; detached two storey ancillary building  
Ref. No: 04/99/62914/H | Status: Application Refused
- Conversion of garage to ancillary accommodation  
Ref. No: 04/99/62914/F | Status: Application Approved
- Conservatory to rear & bay window to front  
Ref. No: 04/99/62914/E | Status: Application Approved

### 3.2 Statement of significance

---

3.2.1 The determination of the significance of historic assets is based on statutory designation and/or professional judgement against 4 'values' (English Heritage/Historic England - 2008/2015) restated in the advice document *GPA 2 - Managing Significance in Decisions*

3.2.2 The 4 values are:

- Evidential value
- Aesthetic value
- Historical value
- Communal value

(This is refined by National Planning Policy Guidance (PPG), last updated in September 2023).

3.2.3 The Site is situated within a Conservation Area which is of **high significance**, as a baseline.

3.2.4 The Conservation Area has undergone various changes over the years (there are numerous types and styles of buildings within 200m of the site), and as such retains little of its original aesthetic or historical value. There is no obvious communal value.

3.2.5 The area does have the potential to offer evidential value, however this would largely be revealed through below ground excavation.

3.2.6 Whilst the Conservation Area has been the subject to change over time; its significance has

remained unharmed.


3.2.7 The setting of a building is not a heritage asset itself and hence is of no specific significance.


3.2.8 At the time of writing, there is no character appraisal for the Conservation Area, therefore its significance, and the contribution of setting upon that significance, cannot be fully explored.

# 4 Impact of Development


## 4.1 Site in general

- 4.1.1 The development is as described in the drawing pack submitted with the application.
- 4.1.2 The proposal comprises the demolition of the existing outbuilding and conservatory and two storey extensions to the front and rear.
- 4.1.3 The 'design' is appropriate in scale and detail terms.
- 4.1.4 The development is in keeping with the asset in terms of functionality, materiality and proportionality.





FIRST FLOOR PLAN



ROOF PLAN

Fig 7: Scheme (2023)(Not to Scale)

## 4.2 Other designated assets

---

- 4.2.1 It is considered that the proposed development will have no material impact on the significance of any other designated historic asset.
- 4.2.2 Other assets in the vicinity have been scoped out of consideration. There will be no harm to any nearby designated heritage asset nor its setting attendant on the development proposed.

## 4.3 Conservation Area

---

- 4.3.1 It is difficult to assess the impact on the special characteristics of the Conservation Area without knowing what those characteristics are. We have therefore determined that the proposed development is in accordance with the street scene and conclude that there is no harm to the Conservation Area on this basis.

## 4.4 Non-designated assets

---

- 4.4.1 Nearby NDAs/locally listed buildings that may exist are scoped out. There will be no harm to significance of any other asset.

## 4.5 Setting

---

- 4.5.1 By virtue of lack of intervisibility, relative disposition and intervening suburban forms, the development will not be within and therefore have no material impact on the setting of the other LBs or NDAs in the vicinity and no harm will be caused to significance.

## 4.6 Commentary

---

- 4.6.1 There has been change over time, however the significance of the asset remains unharmed and there is nothing to suggest that a watershed has been reached. The development subject to this application does not take on-going change beyond the tipping point.
- 4.6.2 Change is part of the character of most heritage assets, as is the case here.

## 4.7 Harm

---

- 4.7.1 The NPPF, at paras 201 & 202, refers to harm to the significance of designated heritage assets. At para 203 it refers to NDAs.
- 4.7.2 In this case, if taken in the round, it is considered that the proposal will cause no harm to significance of any asset; there will be change, but overall that change will be in the positive. As there is no harm there is no duty to prove public benefit, etc.

## 4.8 The Duty to Preserve or Enhance

---

- 4.8.1 Through considered design and sympathetic materials, it is considered that the proposal will preserve the character and enhance the appearance of the area in general.



# 5 Context

## 5.1 Photos

5.1.1 The following images show the site in context:



*V 1: The frontage of 101 and 103 (Client Photo)*



*V 2: Gates to 103 and 103 A (Client Photo)*





*V 3: Street in front of 101 and 103 (Client Photo)*



*V 4: No. 99 with two side extensions (Client Photo)*

## 6 Conclusions and Recommendations

### 6.1 Conclusions

---

- 6.1.1 The subject building is situated within a Conservation Area.
- 6.1.2 Whilst it is difficult to assess the impact on the special characteristics of the Conservation Area, it is determined that the proposed development is in accordance with the street scene and conclude that there is no harm to the Conservation Area on this basis.
- 6.1.3 The proposal will have no impact on the significance of any other Designated Asset or NDA and will cause no harm.
- 6.1.4 It is considered that the development will not impact on the contribution that setting makes to the significance of nearby assets and there will be no harm.
- 6.1.5 By virtue of intervening suburban forms, relative disposition, lack of inter-visibility and absence of causal links, it is considered that the setting of other designated and non-designated assets will be materially unaffected by the main scheme. There will be no harm to significance.
- 6.1.6 The proposal is considered to accord in full with legislation, national and local heritage policy and advice.

### 6.2 Recommendations

---

- 6.2.1 No further heritage reporting as required at this stage.

**Mark Strawbridge MRTPI IHBC FRSA**

**FPHS**

# 7 Planning Framework

## 7.1 Statutory protection

### *Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas*

- 7.1.1 The *Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990* sets out the legal requirements for the control of development and alterations which affect buildings, including those which are listed or in conservation areas. Buildings which are listed or which lie within a conservation area are protected by law. Grade I are buildings of exceptional interest. Grade II\* are particularly significant buildings of more than special interest. Grade II are buildings of special interest, which warrant every effort being made to preserve them.

## 7.2 National Planning Policy Framework

- 7.2.1 The Government issued the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) in March 2012 (DCLG 2012) and supporting Planning Practice Guidance in 2014 (DCLG 2014). This advice was updated in 2023.
- 7.2.2 One of the 12 core principles that underpin both plan-making and decision-taking within the framework is to *'conserve heritage assets in a manner appropriate to their significance, so that they can be enjoyed for their contribution to the quality of life of this and future generations.'* It recognises that heritage assets are an irreplaceable resource and requires the significance of heritage assets to be considered in the planning process, whether designated or not. The contribution of setting to asset significance needs to be taken into account.
- 7.2.3 Section 16: *Conserving and enhancing the historic environment*, is key. The policies set out in this section relate, as applicable, to the heritage-related consent regimes for which local planning authorities are responsible under the *Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990*, as well as to plan-making and decision-making. (See also *Planning Practice Guidance – Conserving and enhancing the historic environment* section).
- 7.2.4 Paras 189 – 208 inclusive refer:
189. Heritage assets range from sites and buildings of local historic value to those of the highest significance, such as World Heritage Sites which are internationally recognised to be of Outstanding Universal Value. These assets are an irreplaceable resource and should be conserved in a manner appropriate to their significance, so that they can be enjoyed for their contribution to the quality of life of existing and future generations.
- (Some World Heritage Sites are inscribed by UNESCO to be of natural significance rather than cultural significance; and in some cases they are inscribed for both their natural and cultural significance).*
190. Plans should set out a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment, including heritage assets most at risk through neglect, decay or other threats. This strategy should take into account:
- a) the desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets, and putting them to viable uses consistent with their conservation;
  - b) the wider social, cultural, economic and environmental benefits that conservation of the historic environment can bring;
  - c) the desirability of new development making a positive contribution to local character and distinctiveness; and
  - d) opportunities to draw on the contribution made by the historic environment to the character of a place.
191. When considering the designation of conservation areas, local planning authorities should ensure that an area justifies such status because of its special architectural or historic interest, and that the concept of conservation is not devalued through the designation of areas that lack special interest.
192. Local planning authorities should maintain or have access to a historic environment record. This should contain up-to-date evidence about the historic environment in their area

and be used to:

- a) assess the significance of heritage assets and the contribution they make to their environment; and
- b) predict the likelihood that currently unidentified heritage assets, particularly sites of historic and archaeological interest, will be discovered in the future.

193. Local planning authorities should make information about the historic environment, gathered as part of policy-making or development management, publicly accessible.

7.2.5 Proposals affecting heritage assets are considered under para 194 on:

194. In determining applications, local planning authorities should require an applicant to describe the significance of any heritage assets affected, including any contribution made by their setting. The level of detail should be proportionate to the assets' importance and no more than is sufficient to understand the potential impact of the proposal on their significance. As a minimum the relevant historic environment record should have been consulted and the heritage assets assessed using appropriate expertise where necessary. Where a site on which development is proposed includes, or has the potential to include, heritage assets with archaeological interest, local planning authorities should require developers to submit an appropriate desk-based assessment and, where necessary, a field evaluation.

195. Local planning authorities should identify and assess the particular significance of any heritage asset that may be affected by a proposal (including by development affecting the setting of a heritage asset) taking account of the available evidence and any necessary expertise. They should take this into account when considering the impact of a proposal on a heritage asset, to avoid or minimise any conflict between the heritage asset's conservation and any aspect of the proposal.

196. Where there is evidence of deliberate neglect of, or damage to, a heritage asset, the deteriorated state of the heritage asset should not be taken into account in any decision.

197. In determining applications, local planning authorities should take account of:

- a) the desirability of sustaining and enhancing the significance of heritage assets and putting them to viable uses consistent with their conservation;
- b) the positive contribution that conservation of heritage assets can make to sustainable communities including their economic vitality; and
- c) the desirability of new development making a positive contribution to local character and distinctiveness.

198. In considering any applications to remove or alter a historic statue, plaque, memorial or monument (whether listed or not), local planning authorities should have regard to the importance of their retention in situ and, where appropriate, of explaining their historic and social context rather than removal.

7.2.6 Potential impacts are considered in para 199 on:

199. When considering the impact of a proposed development on the significance of a designated heritage asset, great weight should be given to the asset's conservation (and the more important the asset, the greater the weight should be). This is irrespective of whether any potential harm amounts to substantial harm, total loss or less than substantial harm to its significance.

200. Any harm to, or loss of, the significance of a designated heritage asset (from its alteration or destruction, or from development within its setting), should require clear and convincing justification.

Substantial harm to or loss of:

- a) grade II listed buildings, or grade II registered parks or gardens, should be exceptional;
- b) assets of the highest significance, notably scheduled monuments, protected wreck sites, registered battlefields, grade I and II\* listed buildings, grade I and II\* registered parks and gardens, and World Heritage Sites, should be wholly exceptional.

*(Non-designated heritage assets of archaeological interest, which are demonstrably of equivalent significance to scheduled monuments, should be considered subject to the policies for designated heritage assets.)*

201. Where a proposed development will lead to substantial harm to (or total loss of significance of) a designated heritage asset, local planning authorities should refuse consent,



unless it can be demonstrated that the substantial harm or total loss is necessary to achieve substantial public benefits that outweigh that harm or loss, or all of the following apply:

- a) the nature of the heritage asset prevents all reasonable uses of the site; and
- b) no viable use of the heritage asset itself can be found in the medium term through appropriate marketing that will enable its conservation; and
- c) conservation by grant-funding or some form of not for profit, charitable or public ownership is demonstrably not possible; and
- d) the harm or loss is outweighed by the benefit of bringing the site back into use.

202. Where a development proposal will lead to less than substantial harm to the significance of a designated heritage asset, this harm should be weighed against the public benefits of the proposal including, where appropriate, securing its optimum viable use.

203. The effect of an application on the significance of a non-designated heritage asset should be taken into account in determining the application. In weighing applications that directly or indirectly affect non-designated heritage assets, a balanced judgement will be required having regard to the scale of any harm or loss and the significance of the heritage asset.

204. Local planning authorities should not permit the loss of the whole or part of a heritage asset without taking all reasonable steps to ensure the new development will proceed after the loss has occurred.

205. Local planning authorities should require developers to record and advance understanding of the significance of any heritage assets to be lost (wholly or in part) in a manner proportionate to their importance and the impact, and to make this evidence (and any archive generated) publicly accessible. However, the ability to record evidence of our past should not be a factor in deciding whether such loss should be permitted.

*(Copies of evidence should be deposited with the relevant historic environment record, and any archives with a local museum or other public depository).*

206. Local planning authorities should look for opportunities for new development within Conservation Areas and World Heritage Sites, and within the setting of heritage assets, to enhance or better reveal their significance. Proposals that preserve those elements of the setting that make a positive contribution to the asset (or which better reveal its significance) should be treated favourably.

207. Not all elements of a Conservation Area or World Heritage Site will necessarily contribute to its significance. Loss of a building (or other element) which makes a positive contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area or World Heritage Site should be treated either as substantial harm under paragraph 200 or less than substantial harm under paragraph 201, as appropriate, taking into account the relative significance of the element affected and its contribution to the significance of the Conservation Area or World Heritage Site as a whole.

208. Local planning authorities should assess whether the benefits of a proposal for enabling development, which would otherwise conflict with planning policies but which would secure the future conservation of a heritage asset, outweigh the disbenefits of departing from those policies.

## 7.3 Local Policy

---

7.3.1 Section 38(6) of the Planning & Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 requires that proposals be determined in accordance with the Development Plan unless material considerations indicated otherwise.

7.3.2 Wakefield Council adopted the Core Strategy on 15 April 2009. The Core Strategy includes strategies, policies and proposals to guide the use of land and new development throughout the district for the period to 2026. It replaced the Unitary Development Plan First Alteration (UDP) which was adopted in January 2003.

### Policy CS10: Design, Safety and Environmental Quality

7.3.3 In relation to heritage, the key objectives outlined in this policy are to protect and enhance the historic heritage, character and identity of the individual settlements of the District by ensuring that the scale and location of development in each settlement is in keeping with its size, form and character and ensuring that the buildings and open spaces which create character are

protected, maintained and enhanced.

7.3.4 This policy also outlines the need to ensure high quality, sustainable, design in all new development and the need to ensure that the District's natural environment, including wildlife habitats and landscape character, is conserved and protected. The policy stresses that new development should identify, protect and enhance important assets.

7.3.5 No SPDs found.

## 8 Determining significance

8.1.1 'Significance' lies in the value of a heritage asset to this and future generations because of its heritage interest, which may be archaeological, architectural, artistic or historic. Archaeological interest includes an interest in carrying out an expert investigation at some point in the future into the evidence a heritage asset may hold of past human activity and may apply to standing buildings or structures as well as buried remains. Known and potential heritage assets within the site and its vicinity have been identified from national and local designations, HER data and expert opinion. The determination of the significance of these assets is based on statutory designation and/or professional judgement against four values (EH 2008):

- *Evidential value*: the potential of the physical remains to yield evidence of past human activity. This might take into account date; rarity; state of preservation; diversity/complexity; contribution to published priorities; supporting documentation; collective value and comparative potential.
- *Aesthetic value*: this derives from the ways in which people draw sensory and intellectual stimulation from the heritage asset, taking into account what other people have said or written;
- *Historical value*: the ways in which past people, events and aspects of life can be connected through heritage asset to the present, such a connection often being illustrative or associative;
- *Communal value*: this derives from the meanings of a heritage asset for the people who know about it, or for whom it figures in their collective experience or memory; communal values are closely bound up with historical, particularly associative, and aesthetic values, along with and educational, social or economic values.

8.1.2 Table 1 gives examples of the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets.

*Table 1: Significance of heritage assets*

<b>Heritage asset description</b>	<b>Significance</b>
World heritage sites Scheduled monuments Grade I and II* listed buildings English Heritage Grade I and II* registered parks and gardens Protected Wrecks Heritage assets of national importance	Very high (International/ national)
English Heritage Grade II registered parks and gardens Conservation areas Designated historic battlefields Grade II listed buildings Burial grounds Protected heritage landscapes (e.g., ancient woodland or historic hedgerows) Heritage assets of regional or county importance	High (national/ regional/ county)
Heritage assets with a district value or interest for education or cultural appreciation Locally listed buildings	Medium (District)
Heritage assets with a local (i.e., parish) value or interest for education or cultural appreciation	Low (Local)
Historic environment resource with no significant value or interest	Negligible
Heritage assets that have a clear potential, but for which current knowledge is insufficient to allow significance to be determined	Uncertain