Client Name: EPS Ltd

Reference: UK23.6613

Location: Land at Burwash Manor, Barton

Contact: Tom Androsiuk EMT Job No: 23/13896

VOC Report : Solid

EMT Job No:	23/13896								
EMT Sample No.	1-3	16-18					Ī		
Sample ID	WS01	WS05							
Depth	0.40	1.40					Please se	e attached r	notes for all
COC No / misc	0.40	1.40						ations and a	
Containers	VJT	VJT							
Sample Date	21/08/2023	21/08/2023					ĺ		
Sample Type	Clayey Sand	Clayey Sand							
Batch Number	1	1					LOD/LOR	Units	Method
Date of Receipt	23/08/2023	23/08/2023					LODILOIT	OTILLO	No.
VOC MS							2000		
Dichlorodifluoromethane	<2	<2					<2	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether <sup>#M</sup>	<6	<6					<6	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
Chloromethane # Vinyl Chloride	<3 <2	<3 <2					<3 <2	ug/kg ug/kg	TM15_A/PM10
Bromomethane	<1	<1					<1	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
Chloroethane #M	<6	<6					<6	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
Trichlorofluoromethane #M	<3	<3					<3	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
1,1-Dichloroethene (1,1 DCE)#M	<6	<6					<6	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
Dichloromethane (DCM)#	<7	<7					<7	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
trans-1-2-Dichloroethene#	<3	<3					<3	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
1,1-Dichloroethane #M	<6	<6					<6	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
cis-1-2-Dichloroethene #M	<7	<7					<7	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
2,2-Dichloropropane	<4	<4					<4	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
Bromochloromethane #M	<4	<4					<4	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
Chloroform #M	<5	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
1,1,1-Trichloroethane #M 1,1-Dichloropropene #	<5 <3	<5 <3					<5 <3	ug/kg ug/kg	TM15/PM10 TM15/PM10
1,1-Dichloropropene * Carbon tetrachloride **	<4	<4					<4	ug/kg ug/kg	TM15/PM10
1,2-Dichloroethane #M	<5	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
Benzene #M	<5	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
Trichloroethene (TCE)#M	<5	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
1,2-Dichloropropane #M	<4	<4					<4	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
Dibromomethane #M	<4	<4					<4	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
Bromodichloromethane #M	<4	<4					<4	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
cis-1-3-Dichloropropene	<4	<4					<4	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
Toluene #M	<3	<3					<3	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
trans-1-3-Dichloropropene	<3	<3					<3	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
1,1,2-Trichloroethane #M	<4 <3	<4 <3					<4 <3	ug/kg	TM15/PM10 TM15/PM10
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)# 1,3-Dichloropropane#M	<4	<4					<4	ug/kg ug/kg	TM15/PM10
Dibromochloromethane #M	<5	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
1,2-Dibromoethane #	<3	<3					<3	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
Chlorobenzene #M	<4	<4					<4	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane #M	<5	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
Ethylbenzene *M	<3	<3					<3	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
m/p-Xylene #M	<4	<4					<4	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
o-Xylene #M	<4	<4					<4	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
Styrene	<3	<3					<3	ug/kg	TM15_A/PM10
Bromoform #	<4	<4					<4	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
Isopropylbenzene#	<3 <3	<3 <3					<3	ug/kg	TM15/PM10 TM15/PM10
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane *** Bromobenzene	<3	<2					<3 <2	ug/kg ug/kg	TM15/PM10
1,2,3-Trichloropropane ***	<4	<4			-		<4	ug/kg ug/kg	TM15/PM10
Propylbenzene #	<4	<4					<4	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
2-Chlorotoluene	<3	<3					<3	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene#	<3	<3					<3	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
4-Chlorotoluene	<3	<3					<3	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
tert-Butylbenzene#	<5	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene #	<6	<6					<6	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
sec-Butylbenzene#	<4	<4					<4	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
4-Isopropyltoluene	<4	<4					<4	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
1,3-Dichlorobenzene #M	<4	<4					<4	ug/kg	TM15/PM10 TM15/PM10
1,4-Dichlorobenzene * n-Butylbenzene	<4 <4	<4 <4					<4 <4	ug/kg	TM15/PM10 TM15/PM10
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	<4	<4					<4	ug/kg ug/kg	TM15/PM10
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	<4	<4					<4	ug/kg ug/kg	TM15/PM10
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	<7	<7					<7	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
Hexachlorobutadiene	<4	<4					<4	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
Naphthalene	<27	<27					<27	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	<7	<7					<7	ug/kg	TM15/PM10
Surrogate Recovery Toluene D8	98	96					<0	%	TM15/PM10
Surrogate Recovery 4-Bromofluorobenzene	98	101					<0	%	TM15/PM10

Client Name: EPS Ltd Reference: UK23.6613

Location: Land at Burwash Manor, Barton

Contact: Tom Androsiuk

#### Note:

Asbestos Screen analysis is carried out in accordance with our documented in-house methods PM042 and TM065 and HSG 248 by Stereo and Polarised Light Microscopy using Dispersion Staining Techniques and is covered by our UKAS accreditation. Detailed Gravimetric Quantification and PCOM Fibre Analysis is carried out in accordance with our documented in-house methods PM042 and TM131 and HSG 248 using Stereo and Polarised Light Microscopy and Phase Contrast Optical Microscopy (PCOM). Asbestos subsamples are retained for not less than 6 months from the date of analysis unless specifically requested.

The LOQ of the Asbestos Quantification is 0.001% dry fibre of dry mass of sample.

Where the sample is not taken by a Element Materials Technology consultant, Element Materials Technology cannot be responsible for inaccurate or unrepresentative sampling.

Where trace asbestos is reported the amount of asbestos will be <0.1%.

EMT Job No.	Batch	Sample ID	Depth	EMT Sample No.	Analyst Name	Date Of Analysis	Analysis	Result
23/13896	1	WS01	0.40	3	Emily Anderton	30/08/2023	General Description (Bulk Analysis)	Beige soil with stones
					Emily Anderton	30/08/2023	Asbestos Fibres	NAD
					Emily Anderton	30/08/2023	Asbestos ACM	NAD
					Emily Anderton	30/08/2023	Asbestos Type	NAD
23/13896	1	WS02	0.30	6	Emily Anderton	30/08/2023	General Description (Bulk Analysis)	Brown soil with stones
					Emily Anderton	30/08/2023	Asbestos Fibres	NAD
					Emily Anderton	30/08/2023	Asbestos ACM	NAD
					Emily Anderton	30/08/2023	Asbestos Type	NAD
23/13896	1	WS03	1.00	12	Charlotte Taylor	30/08/2023	General Description (Bulk Analysis)	brown soil/stones
					Charlotte Taylor	30/08/2023	Asbestos Fibres	NAD
					Charlotte Taylor	30/08/2023	Asbestos ACM	NAD
					Charlotte Taylor	30/08/2023	Asbestos Type	NAD
						II.		

Client Name: EPS Ltd Reference: UK23.6613

**Location:** Land at Burwash Manor, Barton

Contact: Tom Androsiuk

Batch	Sample ID	Depth	EMT Sample No.	Analysis	Reason
	,			No deviating sample report results for job 23/13896	
					THE CONTROL OF THE CO

Please note that only samples that are deviating are mentioned in this report. If no samples are listed it is because none were deviating. Only analyses which are accredited are recorded as deviating if set criteria are not met.

# NOTES TO ACCOMPANY ALL SCHEDULES AND REPORTS

EMT Job No.: 23/13896

#### SOILS and ASH

Please note we are only MCERTS accredited (UK soils only) for sand, loam and clay and any other matrix is outside our scope of accreditation.

Where an MCERTS report has been requested, you will be notified within 48 hours of any samples that have been identified as being outside our MCERTS scope. As validation has been performed on clay, sand and loam, only samples that are predominantly these matrices, or combinations of them will be within our MCERTS scope. If samples are not one of a combination of the above matrices they will not be marked as MCERTS accredited.

It is assumed that you have taken representative samples on site and require analysis on a representative subsample. Stones will generally be included unless we are requested to remove them.

All samples will be discarded one month after the date of reporting, unless we are instructed to the contrary. Asbestos samples are retained for 6 months.

If you have not already done so, please send us a purchase order if this is required by your company.

Where appropriate please make sure that our detection limits are suitable for your needs, if they are not, please notify us immediately.

All analysis is reported on a dry weight basis unless stated otherwise. Limits of detection for analyses carried out on as received samples are not moisture content corrected. Results are not surrogate corrected. Samples are dried at 35°C ±5°C unless otherwise stated. Moisture content for CEN Leachate tests are dried at 105°C ±5°C. Ash samples are dried at 37°C ±5°C.

Where Mineral Oil or Fats, Oils and Grease is quoted, this refers to Total Aliphatics C10-C40.

Where a CEN 10:1 ZERO Headspace VOC test has been carried out, a 10:1 ratio of water to wet (as received) soil has been used.

% Asbestos in Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) is determined by reference to HSG 264 The Survey Guide - Appendix 2 : ACMs in buildings listed in order of ease of fibre release.

Sufficient amount of sample must be received to carry out the testing specified. Where an insufficient amount of sample has been received the testing may not meet the requirements of our accredited methods, as such accreditation may be removed.

Negative Neutralization Potential (NP) values are obtained when the volume of NaOH (0.1N) titrated (pH 8.3) is greater than the volume of HCI (1N) to reduce the pH of the sample to 2.0 - 2.5. Any negative NP values are corrected to 0.

The calculation of Pyrite content assumes that all oxidisable sulphides present in the sample are pyrite. This may not be the case. The calculation may be an overesitimate when other sulphides such as Barite (Barium Sulphate) are present.

#### **WATERS**

Please note we are not a UK Drinking Water Inspectorate (DWI) Approved Laboratory .

ISO17025 accreditation applies to surface water and groundwater and usually one other matrix which is analysis specific, any other liquids are outside our scope of accreditation.

As surface waters require different sample preparation to groundwaters the laboratory must be informed of the water type when submitting samples.

Where Mineral Oil or Fats, Oils and Grease is quoted, this refers to Total Aliphatics C10-C40.

# STACK EMISSIONS

Where an MCERTS report has been requested, you will be notified within 48 hours of any samples that have been identified as being outside our MCERTS scope. As validation for Dioxins and Furans and Dioxin like PCBs has been performed on XAD-2 Resin, only samples which use this resin will be within our MCERTS scope.

Where appropriate please make sure that our detection limits are suitable for your needs, if they are not, please notify us immediately.

# **DEVIATING SAMPLES**

All samples should be submitted to the laboratory in suitable containers with sufficient ice packs to sustain an appropriate temperature for the requested analysis. The temperature of sample receipt is recorded on the confirmation schedules in order that the client can make an informed decision as to whether testing should still be undertaken.

# **SURROGATES**

Surrogate compounds are added during the preparation process to monitor recovery of analytes. However low recovery in soils is often due to peat, clay or other organic rich matrices. For waters this can be due to oxidants, surfactants, organic rich sediments or remediation fluids. Acceptable limits for most organic methods are 70 - 130% and for VOCs are 50 - 150%. When surrogate recoveries are outside the performance criteria but the associated AQC passes this is assumed to be due to matrix effect. Results are not surrogate corrected.

# **DILUTIONS**

A dilution suffix indicates a dilution has been performed and the reported result takes this into account. No further calculation is required.

# **BLANKS**

Where analytes have been found in the blank, the sample will be treated in accordance with our laboratory procedure for dealing with contaminated blanks.

EMT Job No.: 23/13896

#### NOTE

Data is only reported if the laboratory is confident that the data is a true reflection of the samples analysed. Data is only reported as accredited when all the requirements of our Quality System have been met. In certain circumstances where all the requirements of the Quality System have not been met, for instance if the associated AQC has failed, the reason is fully investigated and documented. The sample data is then evaluated alongside the other quality control checks performed during analysis to determine its suitability. Following this evaluation, provided the sample results have not been effected, the data is reported but accreditation is removed. It is a requirement of our Accreditation Body for data not reported as accredited to be considered indicative only, but this does not mean the data is not valid.

Where possible, and if requested, samples will be re-extracted and a revised report issued with accredited results. Please do not hesitate to contact the laboratory if further details are required of the circumstances which have led to the removal of accreditation.

Laboratory records are kept for a period of no less than 6 years.

# REPORTS FROM THE SOUTH AFRICA LABORATORY

Any method number not prefixed with SA has been undertaken in our UK laboratory unless reported as subcontracted.

#### **Measurement Uncertainty**

Measurement uncertainty defines the range of values that could reasonably be attributed to the measured quantity. This range of values has not been included within the reported results. Uncertainty expressed as a percentage can be provided upon request.

# **Customer Provided Information**

Sample ID and depth is information provided by the customer.

# ABBREVIATIONS and ACRONYMS USED

#	ISO17025 (UKAS Ref No. 4225) accredited - UK.
SA	ISO17025 (SANAS Ref No.T0729) accredited - South Africa
В	Indicates analyte found in associated method blank.
DR	Dilution required.
М	MCERTS accredited.
NA	Not applicable
NAD	No Asbestos Detected.
ND	None Detected (usually refers to VOC and/SVOC TICs).
NDP	No Determination Possible
SS	Calibrated against a single substance
SV	Surrogate recovery outside performance criteria. This may be due to a matrix effect.
W	Results expressed on as received basis.
+	AQC failure, accreditation has been removed from this result, if appropriate, see 'Note' on previous page.
>>	Results above quantitative calibration range. The result should be considered the minimum value and is indicative only. The actual result could be significantly higher.
*	Analysis subcontracted to an Element Materials Technology approved laboratory.
AD	Samples are dried at 35°C ±5°C
СО	Suspected carry over
LOD/LOR	Limit of Detection (Limit of Reporting) in line with ISO 17025 and MCERTS
ME	Matrix Effect
NFD	No Fibres Detected
BS	AQC Sample
LB	Blank Sample
N	Client Sample
ТВ	Trip Blank Sample
ос	Outside Calibration Range
AA	x5 Dilution
AB	x10 Dilution

# **HWOL ACRONYMS AND OPERATORS USED**

HS	Headspace Analysis.
EH	Extractable Hydrocarbons - i.e. everything extracted by the solvent.
CU	Clean-up - e.g. by florisil, silica gel.
1D	GC - Single coil gas chromatography.
Total	Aliphatics & Aromatics.
AL	Aliphatics only.
AR	Aromatics only.
2D	GC-GC - Double coil gas chromatography.
#1	EH_Total but with humics mathematically subtracted
#2	EU_Total but with fatty acids mathematically subtracted
_	Operator - underscore to separate acronyms (exception for +).
+	Operator to indicate cumulative e.g. EH+HS_Total or EH_CU+HS_Total
MS	Mass Spectrometry.

Test Method No.	Description	Prep Method No. (if appropriate)	Description	ISO 17025 (UKAS/S ANAS)	MCERTS (UK soils only)	Analysis done on As Received (AR) or Dried (AD)	Reported on dry weight basis
PM4	Gravimetric measurement of Natural Moisture Content and % Moisture Content at either 35°C or 105°C. Calculation based on ISO 11465:1993(E) and BS1377-2:1990.	PM0	No preparation is required.			AR	
TM4	Modified USEPA 8270D v5:2014 method for the solvent extraction and determination of PAHs by GC-MS.	PM8	End over end extraction of solid samples for organic analysis. The solvent mix varies depending on analysis required.			AR	Yes
TM4	Modified USEPA 8270D v5:2014 method for the solvent extraction and determination of PAHs by GC-MS.	PM8	End over end extraction of solid samples for organic analysis. The solvent mix varies depending on analysis required.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM4	Modified USEPA 8270D v5:2014 method for the solvent extraction and determination of PAHs by GC-MS.	PM8	End over end extraction of solid samples for organic analysis. The solvent mix varies depending on analysis required.	Yes	Yes	AR	Yes
TM5	Modified 8015B v2:1996 method for the determination of solvent Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (EPH) within the range C8-C40 by GCFID. For waters the solvent extracts dissolved phase plus a sheen if present.	PM8/PM16	End over end extraction of solid samples for organic analysis. The solvent mix varies depending on analysis required/Fractionation into aliphatic and aromatic fractions using a Rapid Trace SPE.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM5	Modified 8015B v2:1996 method for the determination of solvent Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (EPH) within the range C8-C40 by GCFID. For waters the solvent extracts dissolved phase plus a sheen if present.	PM8/PM16	End over end extraction of solid samples for organic analysis. The solvent mix varies depending on analysis required/Fractionation into aliphatic and aromatic fractions using a Rapid Trace SPE.	Yes	Yes	AR	Yes
TM5/TM36	please refer to TM5 and TM36 for method details	PM8/PM12/PM16	please refer to PM8/PM16 and PM12 for method details			AR	Yes
TM5/TM36	please refer to TM5 and TM36 for method details	PM8/PM12/PM16	please refer to PM8/PM16 and PM12 for method details	Yes		AR	Yes
PM13	A visual examination of the solid sample is carried out to ascertain sample make up, colour and any other inclusions. This is not a geotechnical description.	PM0	No preparation is required.			AR	No
TM15	Modified USEPA 8260B v2:1996. Quantitative Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by Headspace GC-MS.	PM10	Modified US EPA method 5021A v2:2014. Preparation of solid and liquid samples for GC headspace analysis.			AR	Yes

Test Method No.	Description	Prep Method No. (if appropriate)	Description	ISO 17025 (UKAS/S ANAS)	MCERTS (UK soils only)	Analysis done on As Received (AR) or Dried (AD)	Reported on dry weight basis
TM15	Modified USEPA 8260B v2:1996. Quantitative Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by Headspace GC-MS.	PM10	Modified US EPA method 5021A v2:2014. Preparation of solid and liquid samples for GC headspace analysis.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM15	Modified USEPA 8260B v2:1996. Quantitative Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) by Headspace GC-MS.	PM10	Modified US EPA method 5021A v2:2014. Preparation of solid and liquid samples for GC headspace analysis.	Yes	Yes	AR	Yes
TM21	Modified BS 7755-3:1995, ISO10694:1995 Determination of Total Organic Carbon or Total Carbon by combustion in an Eltra TOC furnace/analyser in the presence of oxygen. The CO2 generated is quantified using infra-red detection. Organic Matter (SOM) calculated as per EA MCERTS Chemical Testing of Soil, March 2012 v4.	PM24	Preparation of Soil and Marine Sediment Samples for Total Organic Carbon.			AD	Yes
TM26	Determination of phenols by Reversed Phased High Performance Liquid Chromatography and Electro-Chemical Detection.	PM21B	As Received samples are extracted in Methanol: Water (60:40) by reciprocal shaker.			AR	Yes
TM30	Determination of Trace Metals by ICP-OES (Inductively Coupled Plasma – Optical Emission Spectrometry): WATERS by Modified USEPA Method 200.7, Rev. 4.4, 1994; Modified EPA Method 6010B, Rev. 2, Dec. 1996; Modified BS EN ISO 11885:2009: SOILS by Modified USEP 6010B, Rev. 2, Dec. 1996; Modified EPA Method 3050B, Rev. 2, Dec. 1996	PM15	Acid digestion of dried and ground solid samples using Aqua Regia refluxed at 112.5 °C. Samples containing asbestos are not dried and ground.	Yes	Yes	AD	Yes
ТМ36	Modified US EPA method 8015B v2:1996. Determination of Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) in the carbon chain range of C4-12 by headspace GC-FID. MTBE by GCFID coelutes with 3-methylpentane if present and therefore can give a false positive. Positive MTBE results will be re-run using GC-MS to double check, when requested.	PM12	Modified US EPA method 5021A v2:2014. Preparation of solid and liquid samples for GC headspace analysis.			AR	Yes
TM36	Modified US EPA method 8015B v2:1996. Determination of Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) in the carbon chain range of C4-12 by headspace GC-FID. MTBE by GCFID coelutes with 3-methylpentane if present and therefore can give a false positive. Positive MTBE results will be re-run using GC-MS to double check, when requested.	PM12	Modified US EPA method 5021A v2:2014. Preparation of solid and liquid samples for GC headspace analysis.	Yes		AR	Yes
ТМ36	Modified US EPA method 8015B v2:1996. Determination of Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) in the carbon chain range of C4-12 by headspace GC-FID. MTBE by GCFID coelutes with 3-methylpentane if present and therefore can give a false positive. Positive MTBE results will be re-run using GC-MS to double check, when requested.	PM12	Modified US EPA method 5021A v2:2014. Preparation of solid and liquid samples for GC headspace analysis.	Yes	Yes	AR	Yes
ТМ38	Soluble Ion analysis using Discrete Analyser. Modified US EPA methods: Chloride 325.2 (1978), Sulphate 375.4 (Rev.2 1993), o-Phosphate 365.2 (Rev.2 1993), TON 353.1 (Rev.2 1993), Nitrite 354.1 (1971), Hex Cr 7196A (1992), NH4+ 350.1 (Rev.2 1993) – All anions comparable to BS ISO 15923-1: 2013I	PM20	Extraction of dried and ground or as received samples with deionised water in a 2:1 water to solid ratio using a reciprocal shaker for all analytes except hexavalent chromium. Extraction of as received sample using 10:1 ratio of 0.2M sodium hydroxide to soil for hexavalent chromium using a reciprocal shaker.	Yes	Yes	AD	Yes
ТМ38	Soluble Ion analysis using Discrete Analyser. Modified US EPA methods: Chloride 325.2 (1978), Sulphate 375.4 (Rev.2 1993), o-Phosphate 365.2 (Rev.2 1993), TON 353.1 (Rev.2 1993), Nitrite 354.1 (1971), Hex Cr 7196A (1992), NH4+ 350.1 (Rev.2 1993) – All anions comparable to BS ISO 15923-1: 2013l	PM20	Extraction of dried and ground or as received samples with deionised water in a 2:1 water to solid ratio using a reciprocal shaker for all analytes except hexavalent chromium. Extraction of as received sample using 10:1 ratio of 0.2M sodium hydroxide to soil for hexavalent chromium using a reciprocal shaker.	Yes		AR	Yes

Test Method No.	Description	Prep Method No. (if appropriate)	Description	ISO 17025 (UKAS/S ANAS)	MCERTS (UK soils only)	Analysis done on As Received (AR) or Dried (AD)	Reported on dry weight basis
TM42	Modified US EPA method 8270D v5:2014. Pesticides and herbicides by GC-MS	PM8	End over end extraction of solid samples for organic analysis. The solvent mix varies depending on analysis required.			AR	Yes
TM50	Acid soluble sulphate (Total Sulphate) analysed by ICP-OES	PM29	A hot hydrochloric acid digest is performed on a dried and ground sample, and the resulting liquor is analysed.	Yes	Yes	AD	Yes
TM65	Asbestos Bulk Identification method based on HSG 248 Second edition (2021)	PM42	Modified SCA Blue Book V.12 draft 2017 and WM3 1st Edition v1.1:2018. Solid samples undergo a thorough visual inspection for asbestos fibres prior to asbestos identification using TM065.	Yes		AR	
TM73	Modified US EPA methods 150.1 (1982) and 9045D Rev. 4 - 2004) and BS1377-3:1990. Determination of pH by Metrohm automated probe analyser.	PM11	Extraction of as received solid samples using one part solid to 2.5 parts deionised water.	Yes	Yes	AR	No
TM89	Modified USEPA method OIA-1667 (1999). Determination of cyanide by Flow Injection Analyser. Where WAD cyanides are required a Ligand displacement step is carried out before analysis.	PM45	As received solid samples are extracted with 1M NaOH by orbital shaker for Cyanide, Sulphide and Thiocyanate analysis.	Yes	Yes	AR	Yes
NONE	No Method Code	NONE	No Method Code			AD	Yes
TM15_A	Modified USEPA 8260B v2:1996. Quantitative Determination of Volatile Organic Compounds, Vinyl Chloride & Styrene by Headspace GC-MS.	PM10	Modified US EPA method 5021A v2:2014. Preparation of solid and liquid samples for GC headspace analysis.			AR	Yes



Unit 3 Deeside Point

Zone 3

Deeside Industrial Park

Deeside CH5 2UA P: +44 (0) 1244 833780

F: +44 (0) 1244 833781

W: www.element.com

EPS Ltd 7B Caxton House Broad Street Cambourne Cambridgeshire United Kingdom

CB23 6JN









Date: 10th October, 2023

Your reference: UK23.6613

Our reference : Test Report 23/15978 Batch 1

Location: Land at Burwash Manor, Barton

Date samples received : 27th September, 2023

Status: Final Report

Issue:

Five samples were received for analysis on 27th September, 2023 of which five were scheduled for analysis. Please find attached our Test Report which should be read with notes at the end of the report and should include all sections if reproduced. Interpretations and opinions are outside the scope of any accreditation, and all results relate only to samples supplied.

All analysis is carried out on as received samples and reported on a dry weight basis unless stated otherwise. Results are not surrogate corrected.

The greenhouse gas emissions generated (in Carbon – Co2e) to obtain the results in this report are estimated as:

Scope 1&2 emissions - 19.114 kg of CO2

Scope 1&2&3 emissions - 45.17 kg of CO2

Authorised By:

Bruce Leslie

**Project Manager** 

Please include all sections of this report if it is reproduced

Client Name: EPS Ltd

Reference: UK23.6613

Location: Land at Burwash Manor, Barton

Contact: Tom Androsiuk
EMT Job No: 23/15978

Report : Solid

Solids: V=60g VOC jar, J=250g glass jar, T=plastic tub

EMT Job No:	23/15978										
EMT Sample No.	1-3	4-6	7-9	10-12	13-15						
Sample ID	HP01	HP02	HP03	HP04	HP05						
Depth	0.00-0.50	0.00-0.50	0.00-0.15	0.00-0.50	0.00-0.50			/	Diagon on	a attached r	otoo for all
COC No / misc								/		e attached rations and a	
Containers	VJT	VJT	VJT	VIT	VJT						
				VJT							
Sample Date			22/09/2023	22/09/2023	22/09/2023						
Sample Type	Clayey Loam	Clayey Loam	Loam	Clayey Loam	Clayey Loam						
Batch Number	1	1	1	1	1				LOD/LOR	Units	Method
Date of Receipt	27/09/2023	27/09/2023	27/09/2023	27/09/2023	27/09/2023				LOD/LOR	Offics	No.
Arsenic **M	9.1	7.3	6.7	15.5	27.1				<0.5	mg/kg	TM30/PM15
Cadmium #M	<0.1	<0.1	7.7	<0.1	<0.1				<0.1	mg/kg	TM30/PM15
Chromium #M	61.5	96.9	21.8	77.2	58.7				<0.5	mg/kg	TM30/PM15
Copper *M	28	37	438 <sub>AA</sub>	14	12				<1	mg/kg	TM30/PM15
Lead #M	43	49	330	59	19				<5	mg/kg	TM30/PM15
Mercury *M	<0.1	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1				<0.1	mg/kg	TM30/PM15
Nickel #M	11.5	10.3	15.0	16.0	27.3				<0.7	mg/kg	TM30/PM15
Selenium #M	1	<1	1	<1	<1				<1	mg/kg	TM30/PM15
Total Sulphate as SO4 <sup>#M</sup>	4346	332	1913	729	516				<50	mg/kg	TM50/PM29
Zinc #M	122	93	971	91	74				<5	mg/kg	TM30/PM15
PAH MS				50000000	80001020)				85.000		
Naphthalene #M	0.43 <sub>AB</sub>	<0.40 <sub>AB</sub>	NDP	<0.04	<0.04				<0.04	mg/kg	TM4/PM8
Acenaphthylene	2.84 <sub>AB</sub>	0.57 <sub>AB</sub>	NDP	0.09	<0.03				<0.03	mg/kg	TM4/PM8
Acenaphthene #M	0.72 <sub>AB</sub>	<0.50 <sub>AB</sub>	NDP	<0.05	<0.05				<0.05	mg/kg	TM4/PM8
Fluorene #M	0.86 <sub>AB</sub>	<0.40 <sub>AB</sub>	NDP	<0.04	<0.04				<0.04	mg/kg	TM4/PM8
Phenanthrene #M	9.52 <sub>AB</sub>	2.04 <sub>AB</sub>	NDP	0.30	0.06				<0.03	mg/kg	TM4/PM8
Anthracene #	5.25 <sub>AB</sub>	0.65 <sub>AB</sub>	NDP	0.15	<0.04				<0.04	mg/kg	TM4/PM8
Fluoranthene #M	42.06 <sub>AB</sub>	4.67 <sub>AB</sub>	NDP	0.76	0.17				<0.03	mg/kg	TM4/PM8
Pyrene #	41.53 <sub>AB</sub>	4.02 <sub>AB</sub>	NDP	0.70	0.14				<0.03	mg/kg	TM4/PM8
Benzo(a)anthracene #	37.08 <sub>AB</sub>	2.62 <sub>AB</sub>	NDP	0.45	0.10				<0.06	mg/kg	TM4/PM8
Chrysene #M	46.47 <sub>AB</sub>	2.68 <sub>AB</sub>	NDP	0.51	0.10				<0.02	mg/kg	TM4/PM8
Benzo(bk)fluoranthene #M	97.75 <sub>AB</sub>	4.65 <sub>AB</sub>	NDP	1.10	0.17				<0.07	mg/kg	TM4/PM8
Benzo(a)pyrene #	62.25 <sub>AB</sub>	2.68 <sub>AB</sub>	NDP	0.66	<0.04				<0.04	mg/kg	TM4/PM8
Indeno(123cd)pyrene ***	44.14 <sub>AB</sub>	2.12 <sub>AB</sub>	NDP	0.57	0.07				<0.04	mg/kg	TM4/PM8
Dibenzo(ah)anthracene#	9.41 <sub>AB</sub>	0.45 <sub>AB</sub>	NDP	0.21	<0.04				<0.04	mg/kg	TM4/PM8
Benzo(ghi)perylene #	40.61 <sub>AB</sub>	1.80 <sub>AB</sub>	NDP	0.55	0.07				<0.04	mg/kg	TM4/PM8
PAH 16 Total	440.9 <sub>AB</sub>	29.0 <sub>AB</sub>	NDP	6.1	0.9				<0.6	mg/kg	TM4/PM8
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	70.38 <sub>AB</sub>	3.35 <sub>AB</sub>	NDP	0.79	0.12				<0.05	mg/kg	TM4/PM8
Benzo(k)fluoranthene PAH Surrogate % Recovery	27.37 <sub>AB</sub>	1.30 <sub>AB</sub>	NDP NDP	0.31 93	0.05 93				<0.02 <0	mg/kg %	TM4/PM8 TM4/PM8
n Air Surrogate 76 Necovery	101 <sub>AB</sub>	96 <sub>AB</sub>	NDP	93	93				~0	70	11/14/17/1/18
TPH CWG											
Aliphatics											
>C5-C6 (HS_1D_AL) *M	-	-	-	-	<0.1				<0.1	mg/kg	TM36/PM12
>C6-C8 (HS_1D_AL) ***	-	2	-	-	<0.1				<0.1	mg/kg	TM36/PM12
>C8-C10 (HS_1D_AL)	-	-	-	-	<0.1				<0.1	mg/kg	TM36/PM12
>C10-C12 (EH_CU_1D_AL) #M	<0.2	-	<0.2	-	<0.2				<0.2	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16
>C12-C16 (EH_CU_1D_AL) #M	15	-	124	-	<4				<4	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16
>C16-C21 (EH_CU_1D_AL) #M	85	-	3230	-	<7				<7	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16
>C21-C35 (EH_CU_1D_AL) #M	480	-	8461	-	<7				<7	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16
>C35-C40 (EH_CU_1D_AL)	34	-	206	-	<7				<7	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16
		1		1			1				1

Client Name: EPS Ltd

Reference: UK23.6613

Location: Land at Burwash Manor, Barton

Contact: Tom Androsiuk EMT Job No: 23/15978

Report : Solid

Solids: V=60g VOC jar, J=250g glass jar, T=plastic tub

EMT Job No:	23/15978											
EMT Sample No.	1-3	4-6	7-9	10-12	13-15							
Sample ID	HP01	HP02	HP03	HP04	HP05							
Depth	0.00-0.50	0.00-0.50	0.00-0.15	0.00-0.50	0.00-0.50					Please se	e attached n	notes for all
COC No / misc									,		ations and a	
Containers	VJT	VJT	VJT	VJT	VJT							
Sample Date					22/09/2023							
200					Clayey Loam							
Sample Type												
Batch Number	1	1	1	1	1					LOD/LOR	Units	Method No.
Date of Receipt	27/09/2023	27/09/2023	27/09/2023	27/09/2023	27/09/2023							140.
TPH CWG												
Aromatics												
>C5-EC7 (HS_1D_AR)#	-	-	-	-	<0.1					<0.1	mg/kg	TM36/PM12
>EC7-EC8 (HS_1D_AR)#	-	-	-	-	<0.1					<0.1	mg/kg	TM36/PM12
>EC8-EC10 (HS_1D_AR) ***	-	-	-	-	<0.1					<0.1	mg/kg	TM36/PM12
>EC10-EC12 (EH_CU_1D_AR)*	<0.2	-	<0.2	-	<0.2					<0.2	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16
>EC12-EC16 (EH_CU_1D_AR)*	9	-	34	-	<4					<4	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16
>EC16-EC21 (EH_CU_1D_AR)#	135	-	2665	-	<7					<7	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16
>EC21-EC35 (EH_CU_1D_AR)#	1273	-	7826	-	112					<7	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16
>EC35-EC40 (EH_CU_1D_AR)	124	-	441	-	<7					<7	mg/kg	TM5/PM8/PM16
Total aromatics C5-40 (EH+HS_CU_1D_AR)	1541	-	10966	-	112					<26	mg/kg	тмытмэфривритарми
Total aliphatics and aromatics(C5-40) (EH+HS_CU_1D_Total)	2155	2	22989	12	112					<52	mg/kg	TM5/TM36/PM8/PM12/PM16
MTBE#	-	-	-	-	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM36/PM12
Benzene #	-	-	-	-	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM36/PM12
Toluene #	-	-	(=)	-	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM36/PM12
Ethylbenzene #	-	-	-	-	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM36/PM12
m/p-Xylene#	-	-		-	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM36/PM12
o-Xylene #	-	-	-	-	<5					<5	ug/kg	TM36/PM12
Total Phenols HPLC	<0.15	<0.15	0.53	<0.15	<0.15					<0.15	mg/kg	TM26/PM21B
Natural Moisture Content	6.3	11.7	142.3	10.4	10.9					<0.1	%	PM4/PM0
	-0.2	-0.3	<0.3	<0.3	<0.3					-0.2	ma/ka	TM38/PM20
Hexavalent Chromium#	<0.3	<0.3	NDP	0.0340	0.0233					<0.3 <0.0015	mg/kg	TM38/PM20
Sulphate as SO4 (2:1 Ext) ***	0.0126	0.0108		77.2							g/l mg/kg	
Chromium III	61.5	96.9	21.8	11.2	58.7					<0.5	mg/kg	NONE/NONE
Total Cyanide #M	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5					<0.5	mg/kg	TM89/PM45
Organic Matter	0.9	2.4	56.2	1.7	1.3					<0.2	%	TM21/PM24
рН <sup>#М</sup>	8.24	7.67	7.27	7.81	8.23					<0.01	pH units	TM73/PM11
Sample Type		Clayey Loam	Loam		Clayey Loam						None	PM13/PM0
Sample Colour	Medium Brown	Medium Brown	Medium Brown	Medium Brown	Medium Brown						None	PM13/PM0
Other Items	stones, sand and vegertation	stones and vegertation	vegertation	stones, sand and roots	stones and roots						None	PM13/PM0
	1											
						I	1	1				

# **EPH Interpretation Report**

Client Name: EPS Ltd Matrix : Solid

Reference: UK23.6613

Location: Land at Burwash Manor, Barton

Contact: Tom Androsiuk

EMT Job No.	Batch	Sample ID	Depth	EMT Sample No.	EPH Interpretation
23/15978	1	HP01	0.00-0.50	1-3	PAH's & Possible lubricating oil
23/15978	1	HP03	0.00-0.15	7-9	Lubricating oil, Middle distillate and Naturally occurring compounds
23/15978	1	HP05	0.00-0.50	13-15	Possible PAH's

Client Name: EPS Ltd Reference: UK23.6613

Location: Land at Burwash Manor, Barton

Contact: Tom Androsiuk

#### Note:

Asbestos Screen analysis is carried out in accordance with our documented in-house methods PM042 and TM065 and HSG 248 by Stereo and Polarised Light Microscopy using Dispersion Staining Techniques and is covered by our UKAS accreditation. Detailed Gravimetric Quantification and PCOM Fibre Analysis is carried out in accordance with our documented in-house methods PM042 and TM131 and HSG 248 using Stereo and Polarised Light Microscopy and Phase Contrast Optical Microscopy (PCOM). Asbestos subsamples are retained for not less than 6 months from the date of analysis unless specifically requested.

The LOQ of the Asbestos Quantification is 0.001% dry fibre of dry mass of sample.

Where the sample is not taken by a Element Materials Technology consultant, Element Materials Technology cannot be responsible for inaccurate or unrepresentative sampling.

Where trace asbestos is reported the amount of asbestos will be <0.1%.

EMT Job No.	Batch	Sample ID	Depth	EMT Sample No.	Analyst Name	Date Of Analysis	Analysis	Result
23/15978	1	HP01	0.00-0.50	3	Emily Anderton	09/10/2023	General Description (Bulk Analysis)	Brown soil with stones and vegetation
					Emily Anderton	09/10/2023	Asbestos Fibres	NAD
					Emily Anderton	09/10/2023	Asbestos ACM	NAD
					Emily Anderton	09/10/2023	Asbestos Type	NAD
23/15978	1	HP02	0.00-0.50	6	Bart Kuznicki	10/10/2023	General Description (Bulk Analysis)	Brown soil with stones
					Bart Kuznicki	10/10/2023	Asbestos Fibres	NAD
					Bart Kuznicki	10/10/2023	Asbestos ACM	NAD
					Bart Kuznicki	10/10/2023	Asbestos Type	NAD
23/15978	1	HP03	0.00-0.15	9	Catherine Coles	09/10/2023	General Description (Bulk Analysis)	brown vegitation
					Catherine Coles	09/10/2023	Asbestos Fibres	NAD
					Catherine Coles	09/10/2023	Asbestos ACM	NAD
					Catherine Coles	09/10/2023	Asbestos Type	NAD
23/15978	1	HP04	0.00-0.50	12	Bart Kuznicki	10/10/2023	General Description (Bulk Analysis)	Brown soil with stones
					Bart Kuznicki	10/10/2023	Asbestos Fibres	Fibre Bundles
					Bart Kuznicki	10/10/2023	Asbestos ACM	Asbestos Cement Debris
					Bart Kuznicki	10/10/2023	Asbestos Type	Chrysotile
23/15978	1	HP05	0.00-0.50	15	Bart Kuznicki	10/10/2023	General Description (Bulk Analysis)	Brown soil with stones
					Bart Kuznicki	10/10/2023	Asbestos Fibres	NAD
					Bart Kuznicki	10/10/2023	Asbestos ACM	NAD
					Bart Kuznicki	10/10/2023	Asbestos Type	NAD

**NDP Reason Report** 

Client Name: EPS Ltd Matrix : Solid

Reference: UK23.6613

Location: Land at Burwash Manor, Barton

Contact: Tom Androsiuk

EMT Job No.	Batch	Sample ID	Depth	EMT Sample No.	Method No.	NDP Reason
23/15978	1	HP03	0.00-0.15	7-9	TM4/PM8	Sample unsuitable for this test
23/15978	1	HP03	0.00-0.15	7-9		Sample too absorbent for this test

Client Name: EPS Ltd Reference: UK23.6613

**Location:** Land at Burwash Manor, Barton

Contact: Tom Androsiuk

EMT Job No.	Batch	Sample ID	Depth	EMT Sample No.	Analysis	Reason	
	No deviating sample report results for job 23/15978						

Please note that only samples that are deviating are mentioned in this report. If no samples are listed it is because none were deviating. Only analyses which are accredited are recorded as deviating if set criteria are not met.

It is a requirement under ISO 17025 that we inform clients if samples are deviating i.e. outside what is expected. A deviating sample indicates that the sample 'may' be compromised but not necessarily will be compromised. The result is still accredited and our analytical reports will still show accreditation on the relevant analytes.

# NOTES TO ACCOMPANY ALL SCHEDULES AND REPORTS

EMT Job No.: 23/15978

#### SOILS and ASH

Please note we are only MCERTS accredited (UK soils only) for sand, loam and clay and any other matrix is outside our scope of accreditation.

Where an MCERTS report has been requested, you will be notified within 48 hours of any samples that have been identified as being outside our MCERTS scope. As validation has been performed on clay, sand and loam, only samples that are predominantly these matrices, or combinations of them will be within our MCERTS scope. If samples are not one of a combination of the above matrices they will not be marked as MCERTS accredited.

It is assumed that you have taken representative samples on site and require analysis on a representative subsample. Stones will generally be included unless we are requested to remove them.

All samples will be discarded one month after the date of reporting, unless we are instructed to the contrary. Asbestos samples are retained for 6 months.

If you have not already done so, please send us a purchase order if this is required by your company.

Where appropriate please make sure that our detection limits are suitable for your needs, if they are not, please notify us immediately.

All analysis is reported on a dry weight basis unless stated otherwise. Limits of detection for analyses carried out on as received samples are not moisture content corrected. Results are not surrogate corrected. Samples are dried at 35°C ±5°C unless otherwise stated. Moisture content for CEN Leachate tests are dried at 105°C ±5°C. Ash samples are dried at 37°C ±5°C.

Where Mineral Oil or Fats, Oils and Grease is quoted, this refers to Total Aliphatics C10-C40.

Where a CEN 10:1 ZERO Headspace VOC test has been carried out, a 10:1 ratio of water to wet (as received) soil has been used.

% Asbestos in Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs) is determined by reference to HSG 264 The Survey Guide - Appendix 2 : ACMs in buildings listed in order of ease of fibre release.

Sufficient amount of sample must be received to carry out the testing specified. Where an insufficient amount of sample has been received the testing may not meet the requirements of our accredited methods, as such accreditation may be removed.

Negative Neutralization Potential (NP) values are obtained when the volume of NaOH (0.1N) titrated (pH 8.3) is greater than the volume of HCI (1N) to reduce the pH of the sample to 2.0 - 2.5. Any negative NP values are corrected to 0.

The calculation of Pyrite content assumes that all oxidisable sulphides present in the sample are pyrite. This may not be the case. The calculation may be an overesitimate when other sulphides such as Barite (Barium Sulphate) are present.

#### **WATERS**

Please note we are not a UK Drinking Water Inspectorate (DWI) Approved Laboratory .

ISO17025 accreditation applies to surface water and groundwater and usually one other matrix which is analysis specific, any other liquids are outside our scope of accreditation.

As surface waters require different sample preparation to groundwaters the laboratory must be informed of the water type when submitting samples.

Where Mineral Oil or Fats, Oils and Grease is quoted, this refers to Total Aliphatics C10-C40.

# STACK EMISSIONS

Where an MCERTS report has been requested, you will be notified within 48 hours of any samples that have been identified as being outside our MCERTS scope. As validation for Dioxins and Furans and Dioxin like PCBs has been performed on XAD-2 Resin, only samples which use this resin will be within our MCERTS scope.

Where appropriate please make sure that our detection limits are suitable for your needs, if they are not, please notify us immediately.

# **DEVIATING SAMPLES**

All samples should be submitted to the laboratory in suitable containers with sufficient ice packs to sustain an appropriate temperature for the requested analysis. The temperature of sample receipt is recorded on the confirmation schedules in order that the client can make an informed decision as to whether testing should still be undertaken.

# **SURROGATES**

Surrogate compounds are added during the preparation process to monitor recovery of analytes. However low recovery in soils is often due to peat, clay or other organic rich matrices. For waters this can be due to oxidants, surfactants, organic rich sediments or remediation fluids. Acceptable limits for most organic methods are 70 - 130% and for VOCs are 50 - 150%. When surrogate recoveries are outside the performance criteria but the associated AQC passes this is assumed to be due to matrix effect. Results are not surrogate corrected.

# **DILUTIONS**

A dilution suffix indicates a dilution has been performed and the reported result takes this into account. No further calculation is required.

# BI ANKS

Where analytes have been found in the blank, the sample will be treated in accordance with our laboratory procedure for dealing with contaminated blanks.

EMT Job No.: 23/15978

#### NOTE

Data is only reported if the laboratory is confident that the data is a true reflection of the samples analysed. Data is only reported as accredited when all the requirements of our Quality System have been met. In certain circumstances where all the requirements of the Quality System have not been met, for instance if the associated AQC has failed, the reason is fully investigated and documented. The sample data is then evaluated alongside the other quality control checks performed during analysis to determine its suitability. Following this evaluation, provided the sample results have not been effected, the data is reported but accreditation is removed. It is a requirement of our Accreditation Body for data not reported as accredited to be considered indicative only, but this does not mean the data is not valid.

Where possible, and if requested, samples will be re-extracted and a revised report issued with accredited results. Please do not hesitate to contact the laboratory if further details are required of the circumstances which have led to the removal of accreditation.

Laboratory records are kept for a period of no less than 6 years.

# REPORTS FROM THE SOUTH AFRICA LABORATORY

Any method number not prefixed with SA has been undertaken in our UK laboratory unless reported as subcontracted.

#### **Measurement Uncertainty**

Measurement uncertainty defines the range of values that could reasonably be attributed to the measured quantity. This range of values has not been included within the reported results. Uncertainty expressed as a percentage can be provided upon request.

# **Customer Provided Information**

Sample ID and depth is information provided by the customer.

# ABBREVIATIONS and ACRONYMS USED

#	ISO17025 (UKAS Ref No. 4225) accredited - UK.
SA	ISO17025 (SANAS Ref No.T0729) accredited - South Africa
В	Indicates analyte found in associated method blank.
DR	Dilution required.
М	MCERTS accredited.
NA	Not applicable
NAD	No Asbestos Detected.
ND	None Detected (usually refers to VOC and/SVOC TICs).
NDP	No Determination Possible
SS	Calibrated against a single substance
SV	Surrogate recovery outside performance criteria. This may be due to a matrix effect.
W	Results expressed on as received basis.
+	AQC failure, accreditation has been removed from this result, if appropriate, see 'Note' on previous page.
>>	Results above quantitative calibration range. The result should be considered the minimum value and is indicative only. The actual result could be significantly higher.
*	Analysis subcontracted to an Element Materials Technology approved laboratory.
AD	Samples are dried at 35°C ±5°C
CO	Suspected carry over
LOD/LOR	Limit of Detection (Limit of Reporting) in line with ISO 17025 and MCERTS
ME	Matrix Effect
NFD	No Fibres Detected
BS	AQC Sample
LB	Blank Sample
N	Client Sample
ТВ	Trip Blank Sample
OC	Outside Calibration Range
AA	x5 Dilution
AB	x10 Dilution

# **HWOL ACRONYMS AND OPERATORS USED**

HS	Headspace Analysis.
EH	Extractable Hydrocarbons - i.e. everything extracted by the solvent.
CU	Clean-up - e.g. by florisil, silica gel.
1D	GC - Single coil gas chromatography.
Total	Aliphatics & Aromatics.
AL	Aliphatics only.
AR	Aromatics only.
2D	GC-GC - Double coil gas chromatography.
#1	EH_Total but with humics mathematically subtracted
#2	EU_Total but with fatty acids mathematically subtracted
_	Operator - underscore to separate acronyms (exception for +).
+	Operator to indicate cumulative e.g. EH+HS_Total or EH_CU+HS_Total
MS	Mass Spectrometry.

Test Method No.	Description	Prep Method No. (if appropriate)	Description	ISO 17025 (UKAS/S ANAS)	MCERTS (UK soils only)	Analysis done on As Received (AR) or Dried (AD)	Reported on dry weight basis
PM4	Gravimetric measurement of Natural Moisture Content and % Moisture Content at either 35°C or 105°C. Calculation based on ISO 11465:1993(E) and BS1377-2:1990.	PM0	No preparation is required.			AR	
TM4	Modified USEPA 8270D v5:2014 method for the solvent extraction and determination of PAHs by GC-MS.	PM8	End over end extraction of solid samples for organic analysis. The solvent mix varies depending on analysis required.			AR	Yes
TM4	Modified USEPA 8270D v5:2014 method for the solvent extraction and determination of PAHs by GC-MS.	PM8	End over end extraction of solid samples for organic analysis. The solvent mix varies depending on analysis required.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM4	Modified USEPA 8270D v5:2014 method for the solvent extraction and determination of PAHs by GC-MS.	PM8	End over end extraction of solid samples for organic analysis. The solvent mix varies depending on analysis required.	Yes	Yes	AR	Yes
TM5	Modified 8015B v2:1996 method for the determination of solvent Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (EPH) within the range C8-C40 by GCFID. For waters the solvent extracts dissolved phase plus a sheen if present.	PM16	Fractionation into aliphatic and aromatic fractions using a Rapid Trace SPE.			AR	
TM5	Modified 8015B v2:1996 method for the determination of solvent Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (EPH) within the range C8-C40 by GCFID. For waters the solvent extracts dissolved phase plus a sheen if present.	PM8/PM16	End over end extraction of solid samples for organic analysis. The solvent mix varies depending on analysis required/Fractionation into aliphatic and aromatic fractions using a Rapid Trace SPE.			AR	Yes
TM5	Modified 8015B v2:1996 method for the determination of solvent Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (EPH) within the range C8-C40 by GCFID. For waters the solvent extracts dissolved phase plus a sheen if present.	PM8/PM16	End over end extraction of solid samples for organic analysis. The solvent mix varies depending on analysis required/Fractionation into aliphatic and aromatic fractions using a Rapid Trace SPE.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM5	Modified 8015B v2:1996 method for the determination of solvent Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (EPH) within the range C8-C40 by GCFID. For waters the solvent extracts dissolved phase plus a sheen if present.	PM8/PM16	End over end extraction of solid samples for organic analysis. The solvent mix varies depending on analysis required/Fractionation into aliphatic and aromatic fractions using a Rapid Trace SPE.	Yes	Yes	AR	Yes
TM5/TM36	please refer to TM5 and TM36 for method details	PM8/PM12/PM16	please refer to PM8/PM16 and PM12 for method details			AR	Yes
PM13	A visual examination of the solid sample is carried out to ascertain sample make up, colour and any other inclusions. This is not a geotechnical description.	PM0	No preparation is required.			AR	No

Test Method No.	Description	Prep Method No. (if appropriate)	Description	ISO 17025 (UKAS/S ANAS)	MCERTS (UK soils only)	Analysis done on As Received (AR) or Dried (AD)	Reported on dry weight basis
TM21	Modified BS 7755-3:1995, ISO10694:1995 Determination of Total Organic Carbon or Total Carbon by combustion in an Eltra TOC furnace/analyser in the presence of oxygen. The CO2 generated is quantified using infra-red detection. Organic Matter (SOM) calculated as per EA MCERTS Chemical Testing of Soil, March 2012 v4.	PM24	Preparation of Soil and Marine Sediment Samples for Total Organic Carbon.			AD	Yes
TM26	Determination of phenols by Reversed Phased High Performance Liquid Chromatography and Electro-Chemical Detection.	PM21B	As Received samples are extracted in Methanol: Water (60:40) by reciprocal shaker.			AR	Yes
TM30	Determination of Trace Metals by ICP-OES (Inductively Coupled Plasma – Optical Emission Spectrometry): WATERS by Modified USEPA Method 200.7, Rev. 4.4, 1994; Modified EPA Method 6010B, Rev.2, Dec. 1996; Modified BS EN ISO 11885:2009: SOILS by Modified USEP 6010B, Rev.2, Dec. 1996; Modified EPA Method 3050B, Rev.2, Dec. 1996	PM15	Acid digestion of dried and ground solid samples using Aqua Regia refluxed at 112.5 °C. Samples containing asbestos are not dried and ground.	Yes	Yes	AD	Yes
TM36	Modified US EPA method 8015B v2:1996. Determination of Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) in the carbon chain range of C4-12 by headspace GC-FID. MTBE by GCFID coelutes with 3-methylpentane if present and therefore can give a false positive. Positive MTBE results will be re-run using GC-MS to double check, when requested.	PM12	Modified US EPA method 5021A v2:2014. Preparation of solid and liquid samples for GC headspace analysis.			AR	Yes
ТМ36	Modified US EPA method 8015B v2:1996. Determination of Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) in the carbon chain range of C4-12 by headspace GC-FID. MTBE by GCFID coelutes with 3-methylpentane if present and therefore can give a false positive. Positive MTBE results will be re-run using GC-MS to double check, when requested.	PM12	Modified US EPA method 5021A v2:2014. Preparation of solid and liquid samples for GC headspace analysis.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM36	Modified US EPA method 8015B v2:1996. Determination of Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) in the carbon chain range of C4-12 by headspace GC-FID. MTBE by GCFID coelutes with 3-methylpentane if present and therefore can give a false positive. Positive MTBE results will be re-run using GC-MS to double check, when requested.	PM12	Modified US EPA method 5021A v2:2014. Preparation of solid and liquid samples for GC headspace analysis.	Yes	Yes	AR	Yes
TM38	Soluble Ion analysis using Discrete Analyser. Modified US EPA methods: Chloride 325.2 (1978), Sulphate 375.4 (Rev.2 1993), o-Phosphate 365.2 (Rev.2 1993), TON 353.1 (Rev.2 1993), Nitrite 354.1 (1971), Hex Cr 7196A (1992), NH4+ 350.1 (Rev.2 1993) – All anions comparable to BS ISO 15923-1: 2013l	PM20	Extraction of dried and ground or as received samples with deionised water in a 2:1 water to solid ratio using a reciprocal shaker for all analytes except hexavalent chromium. Extraction of as received sample using 10:1 ratio of 0.2M sodium hydroxide to soil for hexavalent chromium using a reciprocal shaker.	Yes	Yes	AD	Yes
ТМ38	Soluble Ion analysis using Discrete Analyser. Modified US EPA methods: Chloride 325.2 (1978), Sulphate 375.4 (Rev.2 1993), o-Phosphate 365.2 (Rev.2 1993), TON 353.1 (Rev.2 1993), Nitrite 354.1 (1971), Hex Cr 7196A (1992), NH4+ 350.1 (Rev.2 1993) – All anions comparable to BS ISO 15923-1: 2013l	PM20	Extraction of dried and ground or as received samples with deionised water in a 2:1 water to solid ratio using a reciprocal shaker for all analytes except hexavalent chromium. Extraction of as received sample using 10:1 ratio of 0.2M sodium hydroxide to soil for hexavalent chromium using a reciprocal shaker.	Yes		AR	Yes
TM50	Acid soluble sulphate (Total Sulphate) analysed by ICP-OES	PM29	A hot hydrochloric acid digest is performed on a dried and ground sample, and the resulting liquor is analysed.	Yes	Yes	AD	Yes
TM65	Asbestos Bulk Identification method based on HSG 248 Second edition (2021)	PM42	Modified SCA Blue Book V.12 draft 2017 and WM3 1st Edition v1.1:2018. Solid samples undergo a thorough visual inspection for asbestos fibres prior to asbestos identification using TM065.	Yes		AR	

Test Method No.	Description	Prep Method No. (if appropriate)	Description	ISO 17025 (UKAS/S ANAS)	MCERTS (UK soils only)	Analysis done on As Received (AR) or Dried (AD)	Reported on dry weight basis
TM73	Modified US EPA methods 150.1 (1982) and 9045D Rev. 4 - 2004) and BS1377-3:1990. Determination of pH by Metrohm automated probe analyser.	PM11	Extraction of as received solid samples using one part solid to 2.5 parts deionised water.	Yes	Yes	AR	No
TM89	Modified USEPA method OIA-1667 (1999). Determination of cyanide by Flow Injection Analyser. Where WAD cyanides are required a Ligand displacement step is carried out before analysis.	PM45	As received solid samples are extracted with 1M NaOH by orbital shaker for Cyanide, Sulphide and Thiocyanate analysis.	Yes	Yes	AR	Yes
NONE	No Method Code	NONE	No Method Code			AD	Yes



# **APPENDIX E**

# **Generic Screening Criteria**



# **EPS Generic Quantitative Risk Assessment**

# Generic Screening Criteria (C4SLs) - All Land Uses

	Soil Targets					
Contaminant	Residential		Allotments	Commercial	Public Open Spaces	
	With Home Grown Produce	Without Home Grown Produce	,		Residential	Parks
Unit				mg/kg		
Arsenic	37	40	49	640	79	168
Benzene	0.87	3.3	0.18	98	140	230
Benzo(a)pyrene	5	5.3	5.7	76	10	21
Cadmium	26	149	4.9	410	220	880
Chromium (VI)	21	21	170	49	23	250
Lead	200	310	80	2330	630	1300
Chloroethene (Vinyl Chloride)	0.017	0.029	0.0058	2.2	7.8	19
Trichloroethene (TCE)	0.043	0.045	0.16	3.4	79	69
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	1.6	1.6	11	130	3400	2500

#### Notes

Targets for Human Health have been taken from the publicly available Category 4 Screening Levels (C4SLs) for assessment of land affected by contamination issued by DEFRA/CL:AIRE in December 2013 and May 2021.

Within the modelling for C4SLs, a Soil Organic Matter content of 6% has been used. Reference to site-specific data should be made where possible.

The C4SLs for the contaminant benzene along with the three chlorinated solvents are the most susceptable to changes in SOM.

May-23



# EPS Generic Quantitative Risk Assessment - Residential Land Use

	Soil Targets					
Contaminant	Human Health	Controlled Waters				
Contaminant	Human Health	Surface Water	Groundwater			
Unit	mg/kg					
Arsenic	See C4SL	n/c	n/c			
Cadmium	See C4SL	n/c	n/c			
Chromium III	910	n/c	n/c			
Chromium VI	See C4SL	n/c	n/c			
Copper	2400	n/c	n/c			
Mercury (elemental)	1.2	0.085	1.22			
Nickel	180	n/c	n/c			
Lead	See C4SL	n/c	n/c			
Selenium Zinc	250 3700	n/c n/c	n/c n/c			
ACCUADOR	110,000000	51500	20080.00			
Benzene	See C4SL	0.064	0.0064			
Toluene	130	1.33	12.6			
Ethylbenzene	47	0.77	11.5			
Xylene (para)	56	1.18	19.6			
MTBE#	49	4.41	0.026			
Benzo(a)Pyrene	See C4SL	n/c	n/c			
Naphthalene	2.3	0.11	0.11			
Aliphatic C5-C6	42	4.06	0.81			
Aliphatic C6-C8	100	17.8	3.57			
Aliphatic C8-C10	27	n/c	n/c			
Aliphatic C10-C12	130(48)*	n/c	n/c			
Aliphatic C12-C16	1100(8.48)**	n/c	n/c			
Aliphatic C16-C35	65000 (8.48)**	n/c	n/c			
Aromatic C8-C10	34	6.71	1.34			
Aromatic C10-C12	74	10.6	2.13			
Aromatic C12-C16	140	21.2	4.23			
Aromatic C16-C21	260	n/c	n/c			
Aromatic C21-C35	1100	n/c	n/c			
Tetrachloroethene	See C4SL	0.24	0.24			
Trichloroethene	See C4SL	0.13	0.13			
cis-1,2 Dichloroethene		0.21	0.21			
Vinyl Chloride	See C4SL	0.0012	0.0012			

	Groundwater Targe Controlle	
Human Health	Surface Water	Groundwater
	μg/l	
n/c	50	10
n/c	2.5#	5
n/c	4.7	50
n/c	3.4	82560
n/c	93.1#	2000
1.1 n/c	1 14.8#	20
n/c	27.7#	10
n/c	10	10
n/c	373#	3000
210	10	1
230,000	74	700
10,000	20	300
9,900	30	500
83,000	2600	15
n/c	0.005 (0.00017)	0.01
220	2	2
1,900	50	10
1,500	50	10
57	50	10
37	50	10
n/c	50	10
n/c	50	10
1,900	50	10
6,800	50	10
39,000	50	10
n/c	50	10
n/c	50	10
34	10	10
5.7	10	10
130	50	50
0.62	0.5	0.5

# Notes:

- f = Oral, dermal and inhalation exposure compared with oral HCV N/C = Not Calculated
- \* = S4UL exceeds vapour saturation limit (in brackets)

  \*\* = S4UL exceeds solubility saturation limit (in brackets)

n/c = not calculated. Under normal conditions contaminant exhibits low solubility /volatility, therefore risks from leaching and or vapour pathways are considered low.

# To establish suitable compliance criteria for Surface Water review of basline groundwater quality in England and Wales was completed following research reported in Shand, P, Edmunds, W M, Lawrence, A R, Smedle y, P L, and Burke, S. 2007. The natural (baseline) quality of groundwater in England and Wales. British Geological Survey Research Report No. RR/07/06. Where compliance criteria was found below the 97.7 percentile of baseline value, the latter was adopted as GAC.

# Soil Targets

Targets for Human Health have been taken from S4ULs 'Suitable For Use Levels for Human Health Risk Assessment' – LQM and CIEH (2014) derived using standard sandy loam soil with 1% SOM, except (#) = EIC/AGS/CL:AIRE GAC 'Soil Generic Assessment Criteria' (2010). For sites where ground conditions differ significantly from sandy loam or site-specific SOM and pH are available, the generic human health targets may be revised.

Targets for Controlled waters have been derived using EA Remedial Targets Worksheet (v3.1) - using standard Sandy Loam ground conditions as described in Science Report SC050021/SR3, assuming no degradation for a 10m compliance distance with criteria of EQS or UKDWS for Surface Water and Groundwater respectively (see notes for GW targets).

# **Groundwater Targets**

For Surface Water, targets have been taken as Freshwater EQS where available. For MTBE Predicted No Effect Concentration (European Risk Assessment Report, 2002) was used. For individual TPH fractions, in absence of UK EQS, a 5 times multiplier of UKDWS has been taken.

For Groundwater, targets have been taken as UKDWS where available. In the absence of UK targets internationally recognised criteria were adopted. For MTBE, WHO taste threshold has been adopted.

Targets for Human Health have been taken from Society of Brownfield Risk Assessment (SoBRA) 'Development of Generic Assessment Criteria for Assessing Vapour Risks to Human Health from Volatile Contaminants in Groundwater' - Version 1.0, February 2017, derived using sandy soil and 1%SOM. GAC were set up assuming source at 50cm below typical ground bearing slab of 15cm thickness. GAC were derived for vapour pathways only. For sites where ground conditions, or differ significantly from described above, the generic human health targets may be revised.



# EPS Generic Quantitative Risk Assessment - Public Open Space (Residential)

	Tier 1 Soil Targets				
Contaminant	Human Health	Controlled Waters			
Contaminant	Hullali Health	Surface Water	Groundwater		
Unit	mg/kg				
Arsenic	See C4SL	n/c	n/c		
Cadmium	See C4SL	n/c	n/c		
Chromium III	1500	n/c	n/c		
Chromium VI	See C4SL	n/c	n/c		
Copper	12000	n/c	n/c		
Mercury (elemental)	16	0.085	1.22		
Nickel	230 See C4SL	n/c	n/c n/c		
Lead Selenium	1100	n/c n/c	n/c n/c		
Zinc	81000	n/c	n/c		
Benzene	See C4SL	0.064	0.0064		
Toluene	56000	1.33	12.6		
Ethylbenzene	24000	0.77	11.5		
Xylene (para)	41000	1.18	19.6		
MTBE#	73	4.41	0.026		
Benzo(a)Pyrene	See C4SL	n/c	n/c		
Naphthalene	4900f	0.11	0.11		
Aliphatic C5-C6	570000(304)**	4.06	0.81		
Aliphatic C6-C8	600000	17.8	3.57		
Aliphatic C8-C10	13000	n/c	n/c		
Aliphatic C10-C12	13000	n/c	n/c		
Aliphatic C12-C16	13000	n/c	n/c		
Aliphatic C16-C35	250000f	n/c	n/c		
Aromatic C8-C10	5000	6.71	1.34		
Aromatic C10-C12	5000	10.6	2.13		
Aromatic C12-C16	5100	21.2	4.23		
Aromatic C16-C21	3800f	n/c	n/c		
Aromatic C21-C35	3800f	n/c	n/c		
Tetrachloroethene	See C4SL	0.24	0.24		
Trichloroethene	See C4SL	0.13	0.13		
cis-1,2 Dichloroethene		0.21	0.21		
Vinyl Chloride	See C4SL	0.0012	0.0012		

Tier 1 Groundwater Targets			
	ed Waters		
Surface Water	Groundwater		
	g/I		
50	10		
2.5#	5		
4.7 3.4	50		
93.1#	2000		
1	1		
14.8#	20		
27.7#	10		
10	10		
373#	3000		
10	1		
74	700		
20	300		
30	500		
2600	15		
0.005 (0.00017)	0.01		
2	2		
50	10		
50	10		
50	10		
50	10		
50	10		
50	10		
50	10		
50	10		
50	10		
50	10		
50	10		
10	10		
10	10		
50	50		
0.5	0.5		

# Notes:

f = Oral, dermal and inhalation exposure compared with oral HCV N/C = Not Calculated

\* = S4UL exceeds vapour saturation limit (in brackets) \*\* = S4UL exceeds solubility saturation limit (in brackets)

n/c = not calculated. Under normal conditions contaminant exhibits low solubility /volatility, therefore risks from leaching and or vapour pathways are considered low.

# To establish suitable compliance criteria for Surface Water review of basline groundwater quality in England and Wales was completed following research reported in Shand, P, Edmunds, W M, Lawrence, A R, Smedle y, P L, and Burke, S. 2007. The natural (baseline) quality of groundwater in England and Wales. British Geological Survey Research Report No. RR/07/06. Where compliance criteria was found below the 97.7 percentile of baseline value, the latter was adopted as GAC.

# Soil Targets

Targets for Human Health have been taken from S4ULs 'Suitable For Use Levels for Human Health Risk Assessment' – LQM and CIEH (2014) derived using standard sandy loam soil with 1% SOM, except (#) = EIC/AGS/CL:AIRE GAC 'Soil Generic Assessment Criteria' (2010). For sites where ground conditions differ significantly from sandy loam or site-specific SOM and pH are available, the generic human health targets may be revised.

Targets for Controlled waters have been derived using EA Remedial Targets Worksheet (v3.1) - using standard Sandy Loam ground conditions as described in Science Report SC050021/SR3, assuming no degradation for a 10m compliance distance with criteria of EQS or UKDWS for Surface Water and Groundwater respectively (see notes for GW targets).

# **Groundwater Targets**

For Surface Water, targets have been taken as Freshwater EQS where available. For MTBE Predicted No Effect Concentration (European Risk Assessment Report, 2002) was used. For individual TPH fractions, in absence of UK EQS, a 5 times multiplier of UKDWS has been taken.

For Groundwater, targets have been taken as UKDWS where available. In the absence of UK targets internationally recognised criteria were adopted. For MTBE, WHO taste threshold has been adopted.

In the absence of recognised human health generic screening criteria for groundwater, it is generally industry's standard to adopt Groundwater targets, based on UKDWS for protection of human health. On this basis, the above Groundwater criteria are also considered protective of human health and further evaluation of these risks should be considered alongside any detailed quantitative risk assessments carried out for groundwater on a site specific basis.



#### EPS Generic Quantitative Risk Assessment - Commercial Landuse

	Soil Targets			
Contaminant	Human Health	Controlled Waters		
11-24		Surface Water	Groundwater	
Unit	0 - 0 101	mg/kg	f-:	
Arsenic	See C4SL	n/c	n/c	
Cadmium	See C4SL	n/c	n/c	
Chromium III	8600	n/c	n/c	
Chromium VI	See C4SL	n/c	n/c	
Copper	68000	n/c	n/c	
Mercury (elemental)	58	0.085	1.22	
Nickel	980	n/c	n/c	
Lead	See C4SL	n/c	n/c	
Selenium	12000	n/c	n/c	
Zinc	730000	n/c	n/c	
Benzene	See C4SL	0.064	0.0064	
Toluene	56000* (869)	1.33	12.6	
Ethylbenzene	5700* (518)	0.77	11.5	
Xylene (Para)	5900** (576)	1.18	19.6	
MTBE#	7900	4.41	0.026	
Benzo(a)Pyrene	see C4SL	n/c	n/c	
Naphthalene	190** (76.4)	0.11	0.11	
Aliphatic C5-C6	3200** (304)	4.06	0.81	
Aliphatic C6-C8	7800** (144)	17.8	3.57	
Aliphatic C8-C10	2000** (78)	n/c	n/c	
Aliphatic C10-C12	9700** (48)	n/c	n/c	
Aliphatic C12-C16	59000**(24)	n/c	n/c	
Aliphatic C16-C35	1600000	n/c	n/c	
Aromatic C8-C10	3500*(613)	6.71	1.34	
Aromatic C10-C12	16000** (364)	10.6	2.13	
Aromatic C12-C16	36000**(169)	21.2	4.23	
Aromatic C16-C21	28000	n/c	n/c	
Aromatic C21-C35	28000	n/c	n/c	
Tetrachloroethene	See C4SL	0.24	0.24	
Trichloroethene	See C4SL	0.13	0.13	
cis-1,2 Dichloroethene		0.21	0.21	
Vinyl Chloride	See C4SL	0.0012	0.0012	

	Groundwater Targets Controlled Waters		
Human Health	Surface Water	Groundwater	
	μg/I	O Countamator	
n/c	50	10	
n/c	2.5#	5	
n/c	4.7	50	
n/c	3.4	30	
n/c	93.1#	2000	
95 (sol)	1	1	
n/c	14.8#	20	
n/c	27.7#	10	
n/c	10	10	
n/c	373#	3000	
20,000	10	1	
21,000,000 (sol)	74	700	
960,000 (sol)	20	300	
980,000 (sol)	30	500	
7,800,000	2600	15	
n/c	0.005 (0.00017)	0.01	
23,000 (sol)	2	2	
190,000 (sol)	50	10	
150,000 (sol)	50	10	
5,700 (sol)	50	10	
3,600 (sol)	50	10	
n/c n/c	50 50	10 10	
	50	10	
190,000 (sol)	50	10	
660,000 (sol)		5,000	
3,700,000 (sol)	50	10	
n/c	50	10	
n/c	50	10	
4,600	10	10	
530	10	10	
13,000	50	50	
63	0.5	0.5	

# Notes:

f = Oral, dermal and inhalation exposure compared with oral HCV N/C = Not Calculated
\* = S4UL exceeds vapour saturation limit (in brackets)
\*\* = S4UL exceeds solubility saturation limit (in brackets)

Human Health Groundwater GAC with (sol) exceed aqueous solubility

n/c = not calculated. Under normal conditions contaminant exhibits low solubility /volatility, therefore risks from leaching and or vapour pathways are considered low.

# To establish suitable compliance criteria for Surface Water review of basline groundwater quality in England and Wales was completed following research reported in Shand, P, Edmunds, W M, Lawrence, A R, Smedle y, P L, and Burke, S. 2007. The natural (baseline) quality of groundwater in England and Wales. British Geological Survey Research Report No. RR/07/06. Where compliance criteria was found below the 97.7 percentile of baseline value, the latter was adopted as GAC.

Targets for Human Health have been taken from S4ULs 'Suitable For Use Levels for Human Health Risk Assessment' - LQM and CIEH (2014) derived using standard sandy loam soil with 1% SOM, except (#) = EIC/AGS/CL:AIRE GAC 'Soil Generic Assessment Criteria' (2010). For sites where ground conditions differ significantly from sandy loam or site-specific SOM and pH are available, the generic human health targets may be revised.

Targets for Controlled waters have been derived using EA Remedial Targets Worksheet (v3.1) - using standard Sandy Loam ground conditions as described in Science Report SC050021/SR3, assuming no degradation for a 10m compliance distance with criteria of EQS or UKDWS for Surface Water and Groundwater respectively (see notes for GW targets).

# **Groundwater Targets**

For Surface Water, targets have been taken as Freshwater EQS where available. For MTBE Predicted No Effect Concentration (European Risk Assessment Report, 2002) was used. For individual TPH fractions, in absence of UK EQS, a 5 times multiplier of UKDWS has been taken.

For Groundwater, targets have been taken as UKDWS where available. In the absence of UK targets internationally recognised criteria were adopted. For MTBE, WHO taste threshold has been adopted.

Targets for Human Health have been taken from Society of Brownfield Risk Assessment (SoBRA) 'Development of Generic Assessment Criteria for Assessing Vapour Risks to Human Health from Volatile Contaminants in Groundwater' - Version 1.0, February 2017, derived using sandy soil and 1%SOM. GAC were set up assuming source at 50cm below typical ground bearing slab of 15cm thickness. GAC were derived for vapour pathways only. For sites where ground conditions, or differ significantly from described above, the generic human health targets may be revised.



# **APPENDIX F**

**Cover Soils Checklist** 

EPS 098

Page: 1 of 2 Version: 1.2

Issue Date: October 2023



# **ADVISORY NOTE**

# SOILS FOR USE IN COVER SYSTEMS

This note applies where there is a need for a control measure in the form of a defined thickness of clean soil to be used in gardens and soft landscaped areas in order to reduce risks from underlying contamination (a 'cover system'). Where a specific thickness has been presented, it is what EPS consider sufficient provided the soil forming the cover system is good quality, as it is assumed that over time this soil will become intermixed with the underlying soils and therefore needs to have the necessary 'dilution' effect. The aim being that an acceptable reduction in exposure to contamination is achieved, rather than absolute prevention.

These simple cover systems are designed in general accordance with the BRE 2004 guidance 'Cover Systems for Land Regeneration, Thickness of Cover Systems for Contaminated Land'. In many cases guidance from a Local Planning Authority or NHBC/Approved Inspector may also apply and need to be taken into account. In most cases, to design the cover system EPS have to assume a certain quality of cover soils in advance. In these circumstances, we utilise what we consider to represent 'good quality soil' in that the soil could never be considered 'contaminated' but is also pragmatic and does not represent an unrealistic or unsustainable objective for much of the soils in the UK. In numerical terms, this standard typically equates to soil containing contaminants at around 25% of their adopted screening values in the risk assessment.

For the cover system itself, EPS would usually carry out a verification process of your site to demonstrate the control measure has been implemented as intended to satisfy all interested parties such as planning authorities, the NHBC and the future property owner. To document this process, EPS will prepare a Verification Report. If you wish for EPS to do this, we will need the following points confirmed relating to the soils preferably before they are installed in gardens.

If there are any queries, please contact EPS before purchasing any soil.

# **EPS Contact Details:**

Tel: 01954 710666

Email: info@epstrategies.co.uk

EPS 098 Page: 2 of 2

Version: 1.2

Issue Date: October 2023



Pre-Verification Cover System Checklist		
Soil Source	The soil must not be a waste. Has it been confirmed that the soil is not a waste and has never been deemed as such in the past?	
	Has the source of the soil been provided?	
	Have all the delivery notes confirming the source, volume and type of soil been provided for all that is to be used? Where suppliers such as British Sugar are used, the soils should be delivered direct to site (not via an intermediary depot).	
Soil Quality  Cover Thickness	The soils are free of invasive plant species such as Japanese Knotweed, and have not been sourced from an affected area?  Do the soils look clean and of a high quality? I.e. the soil must not have an odour or contain any visual evidence of contamination, including oils, asbestos, glass, plastic, rubble, metal, ash, sharp objects or tarmac/bitumen?  Testing to 'BS 3882:2015 Specification for topsoil and requirements for use' is preferable but generally not essential for public health regulation, provided you can confirm the soils comply with the above points, are suitable for their intended purpose and will provide an adequate growing medium?	
	Has the soil been chemically tested prior to it arriving at site?  Any soil test results presented to EPS by a third party must be current and clearly relate to the soil used, i.e. the testing must generally be dated within 6 weeks of delivery. The quantity of testing will be dependent on how much confidence is generated in the quality of the material, as well any specific local regulatory requirements. If the soil is clean soil from an established source such as British Sugar, the testing they provide at source may be sufficient alone without further testing (by EPS).  For other soils, EPS can advise on the likely testing frequencies but as a rule of thumb, anticipate at least one sample per average-sized plot. The testing would usually comprise the following: Heavy Metals, Poly Aromatic Hydrogenborg, Ashertes and Tetal Patrelaum Hydrogenborg at an aggredited	
	Hydrocarbons, Asbestos and Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons at an accredited lab. If adequate testing has not been completed, then EPS will need to test it from stockpiles prior to installation. Installing the soils into gardens without any testing data is not advised, as it may need to all be removed later.  Are all excavations ready which will allow the necessary thickness of cover soils to be installed?	
	If yes, can you provide waste transfer documentation for any excavated soils?	



# **APPENDIX G**

# Method Statement for Encountering Unexpected Contamination

EPS097 Page: 1 of 1 Version: 1.4

Issue Date: October 2023



# **METHOD STATEMENT**

# ACTIONS TO BE TAKEN IN THE EVENT OF DISCOVERING UNEXPECTED CONTAMINATION DURING INTRUSIVE GROUNDWORKS

If at any point during intrusive groundworks at a site, evidence of unforeseen contamination is encountered in the form of significant noxious odours, discolouration, or instability within soils or sheen/discolouration in groundwater, the following actions will be taken:

- Intrusive works in the immediate area of the impacted ground will be suspended and the continuation of work in other areas of the site will be considered within the context of the site specific health & safety plan.
- Environmental Protection Strategies Ltd (EPS) will be contacted and appraised of the situation so
  that arrangements can be made to characterise the impact and determine what action may be
  necessary in addition to the scheduled site works. Where possible / health & safety plan permits,
  digital photographs of the impacted ground will be taken and emailed to EPS at the address below
  to assist in the initial assessment
- It may well be necessary for EPS to attend site to undertake visual inspection and obtain samples
  for field and/or laboratory analysis, although the actions taken will be dependent on the nature of
  what is encountered
- In cases where EPS consider the unforeseen contamination likely to pose a significant risk of significant harm to adjacent site users or local environmental receptors, the local authority and the Environment Agency will be informed of the situation and the actions being taken
- Once appropriate action has been agreed and undertaken, a written summary will be produced by EPS for submission to the Local Authority, (and where relevant, the Environment Agency) in accordance with planning requirements. The submission will include details of work undertaken, analytical results of investigative and validation samples obtained and conclusions and recommendations for any further actions considered necessary
- Where regulatory bodies have been involved, site works should only recommence following their
  agreement and in all cases should only recommence when the site manager considers it safe to do
  so within the context of the site specific health & safety plan.

# **EPS Contact Details:**

Marcus Bell Associate Director Tel: 0787 206 9979

Will Evans Director Tel: 0781 253 9655

Steve Bullock Director Tel: 0786 694 9221

Email: info@epstrategies.co.uk (Automatically forwarded to the above and office-based personnel)









7B Caxton House Broad Street Cambourne Cambridge CB23 6JN

Registered Number: 4330320

T +44(0) 1954 710666 F +44(0) 1954 710677 E info@epstrategies.co.uk W www.epstrategies.co.uk

