

Broad Oak Tree Consultants Limited



Laurel House, Burwash Road, Broad Oak, Heathfield, East Sussex TN21 8SS Tel: 01435 862444 <u>office@broadoaktrees.co.uk</u>

> 2023 UPDATE REPORT ON TREE INSPECTIONS

> > AT

CORDRIC NO. 4 THE AVENUE RADLETT HERTS WD7 7DJ

ΒY

Tim Laddiman BSc.(Hons) M.I.C.For. M.Arbor.A. Chartered Arboriculturist

> Our ref: J48.05 5th September 2023

CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	GENERAL SITE DESCRIPTION	1
3.	SCOPE OF TREE SURVEY	1
4.	DATA COLLECTION	1
5.	RISK ASSESSMENT - INFORMATIVES	2
6.	RESULTS OF TREE INSPECTIONS	3
7.	BS CALCULATED ROOT PROTECTION AREAS (RPAs)	4
8.	TREES COVERED BY TPO NO. 1094/2003	5
9.	TREE SAFETY WORK RECOMMENDATIONS	7
10.	SUMMARY	7
11.	INFORMATIVES	8

APPENDICES:

- EXPLANATORY SHEETS, TREE INSPECTION SHEETS 1.
- 2.
- TREE CONSTRAINTS PLAN, DRAWING NO. J48.05/01 REV A TABLE OF BS CALCULATED ROOT PROTECTION AREAS (RPAS) 3.

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Broad Oak Tree Consultants Ltd. received instructions from Mr. M. Mitzman to undertake an update assessment of the trees located within and immediately adjoining the grounds of Cordric, No. 4 The Avenue, Radlett, Herts, WD7 7DJ.
- 1.2 The trees were previously inspected in January 2014.
- 1.3 The update inspections were undertaken on the 30th August 2023 by Tim Laddiman, BSc.(Hons) M.I.C.For. M.Arbor.A., Chartered Arboriculturist and Principal Consultant of Broad Oak Tree Consultants Ltd.
- 1.4 It is understood that certain trees on the site are covered by a Tree Preservation Order (TPO) No. (The Avenue, Radlett, Herts) No. 1094/2003. Details of the trees included in the TPO are included in a later section.

2. GENERAL SITE DESCRIPTION

- 2.1 Cordric is a detached residential property located on the east side of The Avenue with further residential properties to the north and south, including a property to the northeast built since the previous site visit. To the east the grounds adjoin "The Warren", an access road for residential properties.
- 2.2 The property has an in/out drive, detached garage and outbuildings with extensive grounds to the east and south. These include areas of lawn and extensive tree cover mainly to the east and south. An infilled swimming pool is situated to the east with a pond to the south.

3. SCOPE OF TREE SURVEY

3.1 All trees previously inspected in 2014 were reassessed.

4. DATA COLLECTION

- 4.1 All trees were inspected from the ground and no climbing or specialist investigations were undertaken. Only those trees within the site boundary could be basally inspected, with the structural integrity of the trees located outside the site unconfirmed. Each tree was inspected to the requirements of Section 4.4 "Tree Survey" of BS 5837:2012 "Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction Recommendations".
- 4.2 The tree survey followed the numbered sequence from G1 to G131 inclusive. Tree numbers, together with BS recommended colour coding of condition, have been added to the Tree Constraints Plan, our drawing no. J48.05/01 Rev A in Appendix 2. This drawing also includes crown spreads based on four compass points and BS calculated root protection areas.

- 4.3 The following categories of information were obtained for each tree. Separate detailed tree survey sheets are attached in Appendix 1, together with comprehensive explanatory sheets which cover the details of the categories listed below.
 - (1) Tree reference number
 - (2) Species
 - (3) Height in metres
 - (4) Stem count
 - (5) Stem diameter or equivalent in millimetres
 - (6) Branch spread in metres
 - (7) Age class
 - (8) Height of crown clearance in metres
 - (9) Physiological condition
 - (10) Estimated remaining contribution in years
 - (11) Category grading
 - (12) Structural condition
 - (13) Preliminary management recommendations
- 4.4 Within the assessment of physiological condition and remaining contribution, a visual inspection of each tree was undertaken to assess the crown and stem for any weak structures, deadwood, hollows, forks or other defects that might affect its stability and safety. The base of each tree was also visually inspected, together with tapping and probing, to search for signs of root lifting, bark death or decay. Where stems were heavily ivy clad, no full assessment of structural integrity could be undertaken. Clearance of the ivy would be necessary for confirmation of tree condition.

5. RISK ASSESSMENT - INFORMATIVES

- 5.1 Although the potential risk to someone passing beneath a tree when the tree or part of it fails is relatively remote, the risk is present. This increases significantly in areas of consistent and regular usage on a year round basis, such as footpaths, gardens and roadways. Where static structures exist, the risks become constant and an assessment is made as to whether complete or partial failure of a tree could potentially cause physical damage to such structures.
- 5.2 Within the scope of any tree survey it is a fact that not all risks of stem or crown failure can be covered, particularly in relation to freak occurrences of weather when even healthy trees can suffer stem snap or windblow. There is also a well known propensity for mature trees to occasionally shed limbs for no discernible reason, even on calm days. Although relatively rare, limbs may occasionally be shed and this should be acknowledged as a risk that cannot entirely be mitigated.

6. **RESULTS OF TREE INSPECTIONS**

- 6.1 A total of 131 individual trees and groups were reassessed with all but the Oak T120 believed to have been planted since the house was built. Planting in several phases has resulted in dense belts of trees to the east and around the pond to the south. A dense linear belt along the verge of The Avenue includes original plantings of Cedars and later infill planting of trees and shrubs.
- 6.2 Of the trees originally inspected 20 have been felled. These include trees in the adjoining grounds to the north (T4, T23 and T118) and a belt of trees along the southern boundary. This was presumably instigated by the property to the south to remove overhanging foliage and reduce shading/proximity concerns. Trees T70 and T74 appear to have died and subsequently collapsed.
- 6.3 A number of the trees have declined considerably in condition due to factors such as Honey Fungus (T22), Ash Dieback (numerous trees) and decline in Western Red Cedars of unconfirmed cause. Beetle presence on a number of Western Red Cedars is unusual and a query has been lodged with Forest Research to confirm whether they are a cause of concern. The results may affect retention of any of the Western Red Cedars.
- 6.4 Ash Dieback is an airborne pathogen that was relatively new in 2014 but is now widespread across the UK. It is always fatal and resistance in the Ash population is low. Any clearly infected will decline over only a few years. Those not showing clear signs of decline have been given the benefit of the doubt but if they do become infected could decline rapidly.
- 6.5 Within the original inspections T100 and T105 have been removed but the tree numbers have been repurposed to include trees immediately adjoining that were previously too small for inclusion.
- 6.6 In general most of the healthier trees have increased in overall dimensions since the previous inspections. Some have improved in condition and amenity value.

BS Category	Tree No.	Sub Total
A	T125, T129	2
В	T2, T5, T13, G24, T25, T40, T44, T46, T81, T90, T104, T111, T116, T117, T120, T123, T124, T127, T128, T130	20
С	G1, T3, T6, T8, T9, T10, T14, T16, T17, T20, G21, T26, T27, G28, T29, G30, T33, T35, G36, T37, T38, T39, T41, T42, T43, G45, T49, T55, T56, T60, T62, T63, T64, T65, T72, T73, T75, T77, T78, T79, T80, T82, T83, T84, T85, T86, T87, T88, T91, T92, T93, T94, T95, T96, G100, T102, T105, T106, T107, T108, T110, G113, T114, T115, T119, G121, T122, T126, G131	69
C/U	G109	1
U	T7, T12, T18, T22, T31, T32, T34, T61, T66, T67, T68, T69, T71, T76, T89, T101, T112	17
Felled	T4, T11, T15, T19, T23, T47, T48, T50, T51, G52, T53, T54, T57, T58, G59, T97, G98, T99, T103, T118	20
Collapsed	T70, T74	2
	TOTAL	131

6.7 Of the trees inspected, the following is a breakdown of the various numbers of trees and groups in each BS Category.

6.8 *Interpretation of table*

- *Category A* Retention most desirable. Of high quality and value and in such a condition as to be able to make a substantial contribution (a minimum of 40 years is suggested).
- *Category B* Retention desirable. Of moderate quality and value and in such a condition as to make a significant contribution (a minimum of 20 years is suggested).
- **Category C** Could be retained of low quality and value. Poor crown form, heavily asymmetric, large numbers of similar species/size. Currently in adequate condition to remain until new planting could be established (a minimum of 10 years is suggested) or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm.
- *Category C/U* Trees that would be included in category C but have structural faults, areas of decay, etc. that require more detailed investigations or climbing inspections to ascertain whether or not they can be safely retained. Groups that include dead/dying/dangerous individuals.
- **Category U** Trees for removal. Dead/dying/dangerous trees due to structural defects, fungal decay or root plate uplift. Those in such a condition that any existing value would be lost within 10 years and which should, in the current context, be removed for reasons of sound arboricultural management.

7. BS CALCULATED ROOT PROTECTION AREAS (RPAs)

- 7.1 To provide an indication of the critical areas of root plate necessary for tree survival and longevity, BS 5837:2012 requires the calculation of RPAs for trees in the BS Categories A, B and C. Calculations are not made for Category U trees which will require removal on safety grounds within 10 years.
- 7.2 The table attached in Appendix 3 has been calculated using the measured stem diameters and the formula as described in Section 4.6 in BS 5837:2012. These are represented as basic circles on the Tree Constraints Plan. Where buildings, walls, services and hard surfacing exist within the indicated RPAs it is likely that the architecture of root systems will have been affected. Foundations to walls and buildings can completely obstruct root development, depending on their depth and the nature of the underlying soils. In the absence of detailed site investigations the indicated RPA circles should be used for guidance only within any redevelopment proposals.

8. TREES COVERED BY TPO NO. 1094/2003

- 8.1 The supplied copy of the Hertsmere Borough Council Tree Preservation Order (TPO) (The Avenue, Radlett, Herts) No. 1094/2003 indicates a total of 44 individually listed trees and eight groups. Of these five individuals and three groups are located within the grounds of the property with one linear group located in the roadside verge.
- 8.2 The following table attempts to compare the listed TPO trees with those included in the tree survey. Some species discrepancies with broad leaved tree species may arise where no buds or leaves were available to make a positive identification. With the TPO Plan at 1:1250 scale and locations typically inaccurate, comparisons are best fits for what was found on site.

		BOTC	BOTC Species	BS
TPO No.	TPO Species	Tree No.	(if different)	Category
T7	Sycamore	104?	Norway Maple	В
T8	Silver Birch	101	-	U
Т9	Cypress	112	-	U
T10	Cypress	114	-	С
T11	Oak	120	-	В
G1 (part)	28 Cedars	122	-	С
		124	-	В
		125	-	А
		127	-	В
		128	-	В
		129	-	A
		130	-	В
G3	3 Silver Birch	106	-	С
		107	-	С
		G109 (part of)	-	U
G4	3 Cypress	64?	Western Red Cedar	С
		81	Western Red Cedar	В
		89	Western Red Cedar	U
	1 Acer Negundo	14	-	С
	2 Lime	46	-	В
		47	-	felled
	4 Cypress	9/10?	-	С
		16	-	С
C 5		17	-	С
00		20	-	С
	2 Purple Beech	13	-	В
		?		
	2 Sycamore	22	Norway Maple	U
		27	Norway Maple	С
	1 Horse Chestnut	25	-	В

8.3 The above comparison indicates a number of potential misinterpretations of species, such as T7, Sycamores in G5 and Cypress in G4, together with problems over which trees are actually included in the groups.

- 8.4 For Group 1 it is presumed that the road front Cypress, BOTC no. T123, does not form part of the group as it is the wrong species and not visually close to being the listed species. Many of the TPO'd trees have declined considerably in health since the 2014 inspections, with T47 felled and T22 recommended for felling (see later section) on safety grounds.
- 8.5 Group 4 has the largest potential discrepancy. It is assumed that the three largest trees were those intended to be covered by the TPO, namely BOTC numbers T64, T81 and T89. However, these are all Western Red Cedar, not Cypress.
- 8.6 Within the area of Group 4 there are also a further six Western Red Cedar and two Cypress (BOTC nos. T68 and T71). This group is so poorly defined and incorrect regarding species it is not enforceable and requires clarification by the Council.
- 8.7 It is unclear where the second purple beech is within Group 5 but this and the rest of Group 5 would potentially become clearer once the trees are in leaf.
- 8.8 Within the TPO there are a number of trees that are not considered worthy of inclusion. These are listed below.
- 8.9 Critical elements for inclusion in a TPO are public visibility and contribution to local landscape. Many of the trees internal to the site and those of poor form would not be considered to meet these criteria. With the decline of some of the trees and the low quality/confusion with others a review should be requested from the Council to clarify which trees are actually worthy of inclusion in the TPO.
- 8.10 Inclusion in a TPO does not necessarily mean they can't be removed for development but additional weight is placed on their value. It should also be noted that non TPO trees are given consideration within any planning application.

9. TREE SAFETY WORK RECOMMENDATIONS

9.1 T22 Norway Maple has been identified as having declined significantly in condition due to Honey Fungus. Extensive dead bark is present around the base and failure at the root plate could occur. Due to its location its removal is recommended on safety grounds. As it forms part of G5 of the TPO, planning permission for its removal will be required from the local Council.

10. SUMMARY

- 10.1 A total of 131 individual trees and groups were reinspected. Of these 20 have been felled, two died and subsequently collapsed and two felled trees have had their numbers repurposed for trees previously too small for inclusion in the survey.
- 10.2 In general the healthier trees have increased in overall dimensions since the 2014 inspections though a considerable number of trees have declined in health or died since due to various factors. One tree is recommended for felling on safety grounds and as it is included in the TPO, consent from the local Council will be necessary.
- 10.3 The Tree Constraints Plan produced provides guidance on the potential influence above and below ground elements of trees could have on any redevelopment proposals. Account should also be taken of future growth potential and shading by the trees.

11. INFORMATIVES

- 11.1 Instructing a firm to perform tree work or felling should only be carried out once it has been established that the tree is not covered by a Tree Preservation Order or stands within a Conservation Area. In either case it would be necessary to obtain local authority consent if the tree is covered. Heavy fines are imposed for transgression of TPOs.
- 11.2 All tree work should be carried out by a competent tree surgeon to comply with BS3998:2010 "Tree Work Recommendations".
- 11.3 All trees recommended for felling or tree surgery works should be checked for the presence of bats or nesting birds prior to works commencing. Disturbance to bats or nesting birds could contravene the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 and result in prosecution.

Tim Laddiman Chartered Arboriculturist Broad Oak Tree Consultants Ltd.

APPENDIX 1

TREE SURVEY EXPLANATORY SHEET

- **Height** in metres (estimated where ground uneven or access restricted).
- Stem count number of stems
- **Stem diameter** in mm. at 1.5m. above ground level.
- **Branch spread** radial spread in metres at four main compass points (estimated where no access).
- Age classYoung-YSemi Mature-SMMature-MOver mature-OMVeteran-V
- Height of crownin metres.Normally range of heights of outer branchesclearanceabove ground level, e.g. 2-4m.
- Physiological condition Good, Fair, Poor, Dead, Variable
- Estimated remaining
contributionin years
e.g. less than 10, 10-20, 20-40, 40+
- **Category grading** see attached sheet
- Structural condition comment on presence of defects, decay, crown form, past management, deadwood, other features worthy of note. N.B. If trees are ivy clad, no full structural assessment will have been possible.
- Preliminary
management
recommendationsrequirements of further investigations, works necessary to
alleviate potential hazards based on current setting and
levels of access.NB: Works that may be necessary in relation to development
are not included here

CASCADE CHART FOR TREE QUALITY ASSESSMENT

		TREES FOR REMOVAL		
Category and definition		Criteria		Identification on plan
Category U Those in such a condition that any existing value would be lost within 10 years and which should, in the current context, be removed for reasons of sound arboricultural management	 Trees that have a serious, irremediable become unviable after removal of other R by pruning) Trees that are dead or are showing signative suppressing adjacent trees of better NOTE Habitat reinstatement may be appressing adjacent trees appressing adjacent trees of better 	e, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected category trees (i.e. where, for whatever reason, the loss of ons of significant, immediate and irreversible overall declin ficance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby (quality propriate (e.g. R category tree used as a bat roost: install	due to collapse, including those that will of companion shelter cannot be mitigated ne. e.g. Dutch elm disease), or very low quality ation of bat box in nearby tree.)	DARK RED
	TREE	S TO BE CONSIDERED FOR RETENTION		
		Criteria - Subcategories		
Category and definition	1. Mainly arboricultural values	2. Mainly landscape values	3. Mainly cultural values, including conservation	Identification on plan
Category A Those of high quality and value: in such a condition as to be able to make a substantial construction (a minimum of 40 years is suggested)	Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual, or essential components of groups, or of formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)	Trees, groups or woodlands which provide a definite screening or softening effect to the locality in relation to views into or out of the site, or those of particular visual importance (e.g. avenues or other arboricultural features assessed as groups)	Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood- pasture)	LIGHT GREEN
Category B Those of moderate quality and value: those in such a condition as to make a significant contribution (a minimum of 20 years is suggested)	Trees that might be included in the high category, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of remediable defects including unsympathetic past management and minor storm damage)	Trees present in numbers, usually as groups or woodland, such that they form distinct landscape features, thereby attracting a higher collective rating than they might as individuals but which are not, individually, essential components of formal or semi- formal arboricultural features (e.g. trees of moderate quality within an avenue that includes better, A category specimens), or trees situated mainly internally to the site, therefore individually having little visual impact on the wider locality	Trees with clearly identifiable conservation or other cultural benefits	MID BLUE
Category C Those of low quality and value: currently in adequate condition to remain until new planting could be established (a minimum of 10 years is suggested), or young trees with a	Trees not qualifying in higher categories	Trees present in groups or woodland, but without this conferring on them significantly greater landscape value, and/or trees offering low or only temporary screening benefit.	Trees with very limited conservation or other cultural benefits	GREY
stem diameter below 150mm.	a stem diameter of less than 150mm shou	I not be retained where they would impose a significant co Id be considered for relocation	onstraint on development, young trees with	

				Stem	Br	anch sp	oread (r	n.)		Ht. of		Estimated			
Tree ref. no.	Species	Height (m.)	Stem Count	diameter or equivalent (mm.)	N	Е	s	w	Age class	crown clearance (m.)	Physiological condition	remaining contribution (vears)	Category	Structural condition	Preliminary management recommendations
G1	1 no. Robinia, 3no. Leyland Cypress	<17	1	<370	<2	<3	<5	<7	Y	1.6+	Fair	20-40	C2	Robinia leaning out to W. Overgrown screen - one dominant to E. Heavily crowded.	
T2	Western Red Cedar	21	1	c750	c4	4	3.5	4	М	2+	Unconfirmed	20-40	B2	Thin upper crown. Located in adjoining garden therefore no basal inspection.	
T3	Pissard Plum	7	1	c250	c4	4	3.5	1	М	2+	Unconfirmed	20-40	C1	Located in adjoining garden therefore no basal inspection. Multi stemmed at 2m. Overtopped.	
T4	Unconfirmed										Felled.				
Т5	Atlas Cedar	20	1	c750	с7	3.5	7	6	М	4+	Unconfirmed	20-40	B2	Cut back to E in past year. Located in adjoining garden therefore no basal inspection. Topped in past.	
Т6	Cypress	18	1	c300	c2	2	2.5	2	SM	5+	Unconfirmed	20-40	C1	Located in adjoining garden therefore no basal inspection. Heavily crowded.	
T7	Himalayan Cotoneaster Tree	8	Multi	260	5.5	1.5	1.5	4	М	2.5+	Poor	<10	U	Multi stemmed at under 1m with weak unions. Part ivy clad . Past removal of stems and decay in remainder.	
Т8	Crimson Norway Maple	16	1	360	3.5	1	6	5.5	SM	2+	Fair	20-40	C2	Lean to W. Crowded. Part ivy clad.	
Т9	Leyland Cypress	14	1	350	2.5	1	2.5	3	SM	0+	Fair	20-40	C2	Crowded.	
T10	Leyland Cypress	15	1	290	2.5	2.5	2	2.5	SM	0+	Poor	10-20	C1	Part overtopped. Heavily crowded.	

TREE INSPECTIONS AT NO. 4 THE AVENUE, RADLETT, HERTS, QD7 7DJ

				Stem	m Branch spread (m.)			m)		Ht of		Estimated			
Tree ref. no.	Species	Height (m.)	Stem Count	diameter or equivalent (mm.)	N	E	S	w	Age class	crown clearance (m.)	Physiological condition	remaining contribution (years)	Category grading	Structural condition	Preliminary management recommendations
T11	Ornamental Cherry							-			Felled.				
T12	Apple	4.5	1	160	1	4	1.5	0	М	4+	Dead	-	U	Leaning E. Main stem removed. One offshoot. Dead.	
T13	Beech	20	1	430	5	6.5	8	7	SM	3+	Good	40+	B2	Becoming ivy clad.	
T14	Box Elder	15	1	410	3	1	0	8	SM	3.5+	Poor	10-20	C1	Deadwood. Crowded. Decayed wound at 1.7m to E. Stem contorted to W.	
T15	Acer sp.		Felled.												
T16	Levland Cypress	13	1	600	1	2.5	4.5	4.5	М	1.8+	Poor	10-20	C1	Lean to S. Reduced in past five years. Upper crown dieback. Heavily crowded	
T17	Leyland Cypress	12	1	580	4.5	2	0.5	6	M	1.3+	Poor	10-20	C1	Heavily reduced in past five years. Crowded. Crown dieback. Lean to N.	
T18	Prunus sp.	8	1	190	3	2.5	1	3.5	SM	3+	Poor	<10	U	Multi stemmed at 1.8m. Extensive deadwood. Dieback.	
T19	Prunus sp.	Felled.													
T20	Leyland Cypress	15	1	590	4	4	2	0.5	М	1.1+	Poor	10-20	C1	Reduced in past five years. Lean to E. Crowded. Crown dieback.	
G21	Cherry Laurel	<7	Multi	<300	<4	<4	<6	<8	м	0+	Fair	40+	C2	Sprawling multi stemmed shrubs.	

				Stem	Branch spread (m.)				Ht. of		Estimated				
Tree ref. no.	Species	Height (m.)	Stem Count	diameter or equivalent (mm.)	N	Е	S	w	Age class	crown clearance (m.)	Physiological condition	remaining contribution (years)	Category grading	Structural condition	Preliminary management recommendations
T22	Norway Maple	10	1	620	5	5.5	5	7.5	м		Poor	.10		Extensive dead bark around circa 70% base. Possibly Honey Fungus. Twin stemmed at 3.5m. Deadwood	Foll
122		10		020	5	5.5	5	7.5	IVI	41	FUUI	<10	0	Deauwoou.	ren.
T23	Sycamore		1	1	1					1	Felled.		r	1	
G24	Sycamore	<23	1	<300	<6	<7	<5	<7	SM	2+	Variable	20-40	B2	Crowded linear group in adjoining road verge. Drawn up crowns. No basal inspection.	
														Slight lean to W. Leaf miner. Twin stemmed at	
T25	Horse Chestnut	20	1	500	6	3	5	6.5	М	0+	Good	40+	B2	5m-6m.	
T26	Deodar Cedar	22	1	500	1.5	2.5	6	7	SM	1.2+	Good	40+	C2	Crowded. Slight lean to SW.	
T27	Norway Maple	18	2	770	4.5	7	6	10	Μ	3+	Poor	10-20	C1	Bleeding on lower stems. Vertical strip dead bark to E from ground level to 1.2m. Possible internal fracture from stem join. Secondary small stem to SW. Twin stemmed at 3.5m with potentially weak join. Deadwood. Gnarled base.	
														Heavily crowded. Poorly	
G28	Cypress, Cedar	<10	1	<170	<2.5	<1.5	<2	<2.5	Y	0+	Poor	10-20	C2	formed group.	
T29	Holly	8	2	210	3	3	4	4	SM	0+	Fair	10-20	C1	Twin stemmed from ground level. Basal wounding. Crowded.	
G30	2no. Norway Maple	15	1	<150	3	0	4	5	Y	6+	Poor	10-20	C1	Drawn up. Leaning W. Heavily crowded.	

				Stem	Br	anch sr	oread (i	n.)		Ht. of		Estimated			
Tree ref.		Height	Stem	diameter or equivalent				,	Age	crown	Physiological	remaining	Category		Preliminary management
no.	Species	(m.)	Count	(mm.)	Ν	Е	s	w	class	(m.)	condition	(years)	grading	Structural condition	recommendations
T31	Atlas Cedar	5	1	140	15	1	15	35	v	1.2+	Dead	_		Dead	
101	71103 00001	0		140	1.0		4.0	0.0		1.21	Dedd		0	Dodd.	
T32	Ash	14	1	250	2	0	2.5	6.5	Y	3+	Poor	<10	U	Ash Dieback.	
Т33	Goat Willow	12	Multi	410	1	0	6	7.5	SM	2+	Poor	10-20	C1	Deadwood. Thinning crown. Multi stemmed from under 1.2m. Leaning heavily W.	
T34	Cherry Plum	4	Multi	250	2	0	4	7	SM	2+	Poor	<10	U	Three stems from under 50cm with weak unions. Leaning heavily W. Part collapsed. Ivy clad.	
T35	Lawson Cypress	10	1	220	0.5	1	4	4.5	Y	2+	Fair	20-40	C2	Crowded. Becoming ivy clad.	
G36	Cherry Laurel	<8	Multi	<200	<3	<2	<4	<6	SM	0+	Fair	20-40	C2	Crowded overgrown shrubs. Mostly leaning W.	
T37	Norway Maple	15	2	290	2	0.5	4.5	4	SM	5+	Fair	20-40	C2	Twin stemmed from ground level. Leaning to W. Heavily crowded. Ivy clad.	
T38	Norway Maple	14	1	270	2.5	0	2	8	SM	5+	Fair	20-40	C2	Heavily crowded. Heavy lean W. Ivy clad.	
T39	Sycamore	20	1	c280	3.5	4	0	3	SM	3+	Unconfirmed	40+	C2	Drawn up. Crowded. Located in road verge.	
T40	Sycamore	<23	Multi	c800	4	7	4	6	М	3+	Unconfirmed	20-40	B2	Crown dieback and deadwood. Part ivy clad. Three stems from ground level. Located in road verge. Deadwood.	

				Stem	Branch spread (m.)			n.)		Ht. of		Estimated			
Tree ref. no.	Species	Height (m.)	Stem Count	diameter or equivalent (mm.)	N	E	s	w	Age class	crown clearance (m.)	Physiological condition	remaining contribution (years)	Category grading	Structural condition	Preliminary management recommendations
T41	Norway Maple	17	1	c300	0	2.5	4	8	SM	3+	Unconfirmed	20-40	C1	Becoming ivy clad. Heavily crowded. Located in road verge. Upper crown curved to W. Deadwood.	
T42	Ash	10	1	200	1	5	2.5	0	Y	4+	Unconfirmed	10-20	C1	Heavily crowded. Located in road verge. Pollarded at 5m in past.	
T43	Norway Maple	21	1	c350	3	5	4	1	М	8+	Unconfirmed	40+	C2	Crowded. Drawn up crown. Located in road verge. Becoming ivy clad.	
T44	Norway Maple	c24	2	430	2	6	4	5	м	8+	Unconfirmed	40+	B2	Twin stemmed near ground level. Ivy clad. Located in road verge. Deadwood.	
G45	Norway Maple, Ash, Holly	<10	Multi	<180	<3	<2	<2	<9	Y	0+	Poor	10-20	C1	Crowded. Leaning N/W. Several part collapsed. Ivy clad.	
T46	Lime	20	1	c900	7	7	4	9	М	1.5+	Fair	20-40	B2	Heavily ivy clad into canopy. Deadwood.	
T47	Lime										Felled.				
T48	Cherry	Felled.													
T49	Norway Maple	9	1	c250	4.5	5	4	3	Y	2+	Poor	10-20	C2	Twin stemmed at 2m. Located in road verge. Ivy clad.	
T50	Unconfirmed										Felled.				

TREE INSPECTIONS AT NO. 4 THE AVENUE, RADLETT, HERTS, QD7 7DJ

				Stem	Br	anch sp	read (r	n.)		Ht. of		Estimated			
Tree ref. no.	Species	Height (m.)	Stem Count	diameter or equivalent (mm.)	N	E	s	w	Age class	crown clearance (m.)	Physiological condition	remaining contribution (years)	Category grading	Structural condition	Preliminary management recommendations
T51	Unconfirmed										Felled.				
G52	Cherry Laurel										Felled.				
T53	Hazel										Felled.				
T54	Cherry		Felled.												
T55	Hazel	4	Multi	250	3.5	2.5	3	3	М	0+	Fair	20-40	C2	Densely multi stemmed from ground level. Several dead stems. Ivy clad.	
T56	Hazel	7	Multi	310	4.5	4	4	4.5	М	1+	Fair	20-40	C2	Densely multi stemmed from ground level. Several dead stems. Part ivy clad.	
T57	Holly										Felled.				
T58	Cherry Laurel										Felled.				
G59	Hawthorn		ſ	I	I				ſ		Felled.	Γ	1		
Т60	Holly	11	Multi	220	6.5	4	3	2	SM	0+	Fair	10-20	C1	Multi stemmed near ground level. One stem leaning NW. Slight crown thinning.	
T61	Rowan	8	1	120	2	2.5	2	2	Y	3+	Dead	-	U	Heavily crowded. Dead.	
T62	Magnolia	8	Multi	320	4	5	5	4	М	1.2+	Fair	20-40	C2	Three stems from under 1m. Crowded.	
Т63	Common Oak	20	1	c300	3	2	4	5.5	SM	8+	Good	40+	C2	Crowded. Ivy clad.	

				Stom	D.	anah ar	wood (m)		Lit of		Estimated			
Tree ref. no.	Species	Height (m.)	Stem Count	diameter or equivalent (mm.)	N	E	S	w.,	Age class	crown clearance (m.)	Physiological condition	remaining contribution (years)	Category grading	Structural condition	Preliminary management recommendations
T64	Western Red Cedar	25	1	980	6	5.5	4.5	4.5	М	1.2+	Poor	10-20	C1	Dead lower crown. Extensive beetle boring in lower stem to S. Partially crowded.	
T65	Western Red Cedar	20	1	380	4.5	3.5	1.5	2	SM	2+	Poor	10-20	C1	Crowded. Thin crown.	
T66	Ash	20	1	270	4.5	6	1	3	SM	4+	Poor	<10	U	Minor dieback. Early Ash Dieback. Crowded. Ivy clad.	
T67	Apple	5	1	420	4	4	6	3.5	М	1.5+	Dead	-	U	Overtopped. Heavily pruned in past. Dead.	
Т68	Cypress	10	3	260	2.5	1	1	2.5	SM	0+	Dead	-	U	Leaning W. Extensive crown death. Multi stemmed near ground level. Crowded.	
T69	Hawthorn	1	3	170	2	8	1	0	SM	1+	Poor	<10	U	Collapsed to E.	
T70	Elm										Collapsed				
T71	Lawson Cypress	10	2	300	3	2	2	2	Y	1+	Dead	-	U	Twin stemmed at under 1m. Crowded. Dead.	
T72	Yew	7	1	180	3.5	4	3	1	Y	1+	Fair	20-40	C2	Heavily crowded.	
T73	Norway Maple	13	1	220	4	3	3	4	Y	3+	Fair	40+	C2	Leaning W. Heavily crowded.	
T74	Cherry Laurel	Collapsed.													
T75	Hawthorn	4	Multi	160	4.5	4	3	2	SM	1.3+	Fair	20-40	C2	Crowded. Multi stemmed	

				Stem	Br	anch sr	oread (i	n.)		Ht. of		Estimated			
Tree ref. no.	Species	Height (m.)	Stem Count	diameter or equivalent (mm.)	N	E	s	w	Age class	crown clearance (m.)	Physiological condition	remaining contribution (years)	Category grading	Structural condition	Preliminary management recommendations
T76	Ash	18	Multi	360	5	5	5	2	SM	4+	Poor	<10	U	Ash Dieback. Crowded. Three stems from under 1.1m. Drawn up crown.	
T77	Western Red Cedar	22	1	590	4.5	4	1	5	SM	1.5+	Poor	10-20	C1	Some beetle boring on lower stem. Crowded.	
T78	Western Red Cedar	16	1	260	0.5	4	4	0.5	SM	1+	Poor	10-20	C1	Some beetle boring in lower stem. Heavily crowded.	
T79	Western Red Cedar	22	1	510	1	4	5	3.5	SM	4+	Poor	10-20	C1	Some beetle boring in lower stem. Crowded.	
T80	Western Red Cedar	9	1	130	0.5	1	4	1	Y	1+	Poor	10-20	C1	Dead lower canopy. Heavily crowded.	
T81	Western Red Cedar	25	1	970	6	4	3.5	6	М	0+	Fair	20-40	B2	Some beetle activity on lower stem.	
T82	Yew	4.5	2	130	2	0	3	6	Y	0+	Poor	20-40	C2	Suppressed. Overtopped. Curved low to W. Twin stemmed at 1.2m.	
T83	Yew	8	Multi	230	3	5	4	6	Y	0+	Fair	40+	C2	Deadwood. Heavily crowded. Three stems from under 1m.	
T84	Common Oak	19	1	410	9	2.5	2	7.5	SM	3+	Good	40+	C2	Long slender limbs. Several snapped limbs. Deadwood. Crowded. Slight lean to W.	
T85	Cherry Laurel	5	Multi	200	4.5	0	5	6	М	0+	Poor	20-40	C1	Cut back to W. Collapsed to W.	
T86	Norway Maple	15	1	240	3.5	2	2	2	Y	8+	Fair	20-40	C2	Heavily crowded. High crown.	
T87	Norway Maple	20	1	440	3.5	1	5	8.5	SM	4+	Fair	40+	C2	Crowded. Leaning W. Deadwood.	

				Stem	Br	anch sp	oread (r	n.)		Ht. of		Estimated			
Tree ref. no.	Species	Height (m.)	Stem Count	diameter or equivalent (mm.)	N	E	s	w	Age class	crown clearance (m.)	Physiological condition	remaining contribution (years)	Category grading	Structural condition	Preliminary management recommendations
T88	Snakebark Maple	7	Multi	290	4	7	2.5	0	SM	3+	Fair	40+	C2	Three stems from under 1.2m. Squat crown. Overtopped.	
T89	Western Red Cedar	25	1	1200	5	5	4.5	5	М	1+	Poor	<10	U	Decay in open union between stems and dead bark to S. Three stems from 2m-3m. Past upper stem snap out. Extensive dieback in crown.	
Т90	Western Red Cedar	19	1	810	3.5	4	4	4	М	0+	Fair	20-40	B2		
T91	Norway Maple	14	1	280	5	6	1.5	0	Y	2+	Fair	40+	C2	Leaning S. Heavily crowded.	
T92	Hazel	12	Multi	270	1.5	2	5	7.5	М	1+	Good	40+	C2	Multi stemmed from ground level. Crowded.	
T93	Norway Maple	14	1	230	1.5	1.5	5	4.5	Y	3+	Good	40+	C2	Heavily crowded.	
T94	Azalea	6	2	190	1.5	2	4	3	М	1.5+	Fair	40+	C2	Two stems from ground level. Overtopped.	
T95	Rowan	11	2	150	1.5	2.5	3	3	Y	4+	Fair	10-20	C2	Heavily ivy clad. Twin stemmed near ground level. Crowded. Slight crown thinning.	
T96	Holly	10	1	c160	2.5	3	2.5	2.5	Y	1+	Fair	10-20	C2	Heavily ivy clad. Fine deadwood.	
T97	Cherry Laurel										Felled.				
G98	Holly, Rowan										Felled.				
Т99	Yew										Felled.				
G100	Beech, Hazel	<10	Multi	<180	<5	<5	<1.5	<2.5	Y	0+	Good	20-40	C2	Crowded. Multi stemmed near ground level.	

				Stem	Br	anch sp	pread (m.)		Ht. of		Estimated			
Tree ref. no.	Species	Height (m.)	Stem Count	diameter or equivalent (mm.)	N	Е	s	w	Age class	crown clearance (m.)	Physiological condition	remaining contribution (years)	Category grading	Structural condition	Preliminary management recommendations
T101	Silver Birch	15	1	560	5	4	1	2	М	3+	Poor	<10	U	Decayed at 3m to S. Gnarled base and dense epicormics. Deadwood. Contorted stem. Dieback. Second stem to S removed.	
T102	Norway Maple	14	1	240	6	3	3	4	Y	1.6+	Good	40+	C2	Crowded. Drawn up.	
T103	Norway Maple										Felled.				
T104	Norway Maple	17	1	520	4.5	3	7.5	4.5	м	4+	Good	40+	B2	Twin stemmed at 3.5m.	
T105	Common Oak	7	1	140	3	2.5	2.5	3	Y	3+	Good	40+	C2		
T106	Silver Birch	17	1	320	3.5	7	4.5	0	М	5+	Fair	10-20	C1	Crowded. Leaning heavily E. Minor dieback.	
T107	Silver Birch	21	1	310	4.5	4	3	0.5	м	7+	Fair	10-20	C1	Crowded. Leaning N/NE. High crown. Minor dieback.	
T108	Common Oak	12	1	250	6	6	3	4	Y	2+	Good	40+	C2	Deadwood. Crowded. Overtopped.	
G109	Birch, Rowan, Oak	<16	1	<280	<4	<8	<2	<4	Y/SM	1.6+	Variable	<10-40+	C/U1	Crowded group. Drawn up stems. Birch and Rowan dead.	
T110	Leyland Cypress var.	11	1	150	1	1.5	1.5	1	Y	4+	Poor	10-20	C1	Lean to E. Very crowded. High crown.	
T111	Eucalyptus	30	1	740	3	8.5	8	1	SM	7+	Good	40+	B2	Deadwood. Leaning E. Crowded.	
T112	Leyland Cypress	23	1	790	5	5	4.5	3	М	2+	Poor	<10	U	Twin stemmed at 2m with weak union. Part overtopped.	

				Stem	Br	anch sp	oread (m.)		Ht. of		Estimated			
Tree ref. no.	Species	Height (m.)	Stem Count	diameter or equivalent (mm.)	N	E	s	w	Age class	crown clearance (m.)	Physiological condition	remaining contribution (years)	Category grading	Structural condition	Preliminary management recommendations
G113	Shrubs	<4	Multi	100	<2.5	<3.5	<2	<2	м	1+	Fair	20-40	C2	Multi stemmed from ground level. Overtopped. Bowed out to E.	
T114	Leyland Cypress	25	1	980	6	5	4.5	4.5	м	3.5+	Poor	10-20	C1	Twin stemmed at 1.5m. With potentially weak union. Four stems at 3m with potentially weak union.	
T115	Cypress var.	9	Multi	390	2.5	3.5	3.5	3	SM	2	Fair	40+	C2	Surface root damage to S. Three stems from under 1.5m. Crown cut back from house.	
T116	Western Red Cedar	21	1	c450	c4	4	4.5	3	м	2+	Unconfirmed	40+	B2	Located in adjoining garden therefore no basal inspection.	
T117	Pine	20	1	c450	c4	3	5	4	м	5+	Unconfirmed	40+	B2	Located in adjoining garden therefore no basal inspection.	
T118	Cherry Laurel					-		-			Felled.				
T119	Robinia	12	1	c350	c2.5	5.5	9.5	3	SM	2+	Unconfirmed	20-40	C2	Clad in dead ivy. Leaning heavily S. Located in adjoining garden therefore no basal inspection. Twin stemmed at 4m. Deadwood.	
T120	Common Oak	19	1	1170	3	6.5	6.5	7	М	3+	Fair	20-40	B2	Deadwood. Fine dieback. Crowded. Dead ivy in canopy.	

TREE INSPECTIONS AT NO. 4 THE AVENUE, RADLETT, HERTS, QD7 7DJ

				Stem	Br	anch sp	pread (m.)		Ht. of		Estimated			
Tree ref. no.	Species	Height (m.)	Stem Count	diameter or equivalent (mm.)	N	Е	s	w	Age class	crown clearance (m.)	Physiological condition	remaining contribution (years)	Category grading	Structural condition	Preliminary management recommendations
G121	Cherry Laurel	<4	Multi	<200	<1	<5	<1.5	<3	М	0+	Good	40+	C2	Overgrown shrubs.	
T122	Blue Atlas Cedar	9	1	280	3	2.5	2.5	4.5	Y	1.2+	Good	40+	C2	Becoming crowded.	
T123	Lawson Cypress	16	1	660	3	4	2.5	3.5	М	2+	Good	40+	B2	Crowded.	
T124	Atlas Cedar	21	1	680	5	6	3.5	6	М	3+	Good	40+	B2	Deadwood. Fine dieback. Crown raised in past.	
T125	Atlas Cedar	25	1	c920	3.5	6.5	8	6	М	3+	Unconfirmed	40+	A2	Becoming ivy clad. Deadwood.	
T126	Holly	9	1	c250	4	5.5	2.5	1.5	SM	1+	Poor	10-20	C2	Leaning E. Twin stemmed at 2.5m. Crown thinning. Ivy clad.	
T127	Atlas Cedar	21	1	c620	4	6.5	2	4	М	4+	Unconfirmed	40+	B2	Fine dieback and deadwood. Crowded. Ivy clad.	
T128	Atlas Cedar	21	1	c640	4	9	2.5	4	М	5+	Unconfirmed	40+	B2	Fine dieback and deadwood. Crowded.	
T129	Atlas Cedar	24	1	820	5	9	3	6.5	М	4+	Good	40+	A2	Fine dieback and deadwood. Crowded.	
T130	Atlas Cedar	24	2	830	3	8	5	4	М	6+	Unconfirmed	40+	B2	Crowded. Smaller secondary stem from ground level to W. Located in adjoining garden therefore no basal inspection. Fine dieback and deadwood.	
G131	Cherry Laurel	<6	Multi	<200	<3	<5	<3	<4	м	0+	Good	40+	C2	Overgrown shrubs.	

APPENDIX 2



14	TA3	T42	T 41	T 40	30		T37	G36	T35	T34	į	133	T32			T29	G28	T27	T26	T25	G24	T23	T22	G21	T20	T19	T18	T17	T16	114 T15	T13	T12	T11	T10	бL	8	Т	ы	5	۲4	ст t		<u>6</u>	ref. no.
Norway Maple	Nonway Maple	Ash	Norway Maple	Sycamore	Svcamore	Norway Maple		Cherry Laurel	Lawson Cypress	Cherry Plum		Goat Willow	Ash	Atlas Cedar	2no.	Holly	Cypress, Cedar	Norway Maple	Deodar Cedar	Horse Chestnut	Sycamore	Sycamore	Norway Maple	Cherry Laurel	Leyland Cypress	Prunus sp.	Prunus sp.	Leyland Cypress	Leyland Cypress	Acer sp.	Beech	Apple	Cherry	Leyland Cypress	Leyland Cypress	Crimson Norway Maple	Cotoneaster Tree	Cypress	Atlas Cedar	Unconfirmed	Cedar	Western Red	1 no. Kobinia, 3no. Leyland Cypress	Species
<u>Р</u>	3	õ	õ	B2	3 8	3 6	3	Q 2	ß	с	4	<u>0</u>	-	<u>د</u> ر		C1	C2	õ	C2	B2	B2	Felled.	с	ន	C1	Felled.	c	õ	C1	Felled	B2	с	Felled.	õ	C2	C2	с	ũ	B2	Felled.	B2		S N	Category grading
	T87	T 86	78 5	184	83	8 2	T ₂₂ 1	T 80	779	841		7	977	775		773	772	17	77	T 69	T 68	T67	T 66	T65	T64	Т63	Т62	Т61	100	G59	157	T56	T55	T 54	T53	G52	T51	T50	T49	T48	T46		G45	ref. no.
Snakehark Manle	Nonway Manla	Norway Maple	Cherry Laurel	Common Oak	Yew	Veual	Western Red	vvestern Red Cedar	Cedar	Cedar	Western Red	Western Red	Ash	Cherry Laurel Hawthorn		Norway Maple	Yew	Lawson Cypress	Elm	Hawthorn	Cypress	Apple	Ash	Western Red Cedar	Vvestern Red Cedar	Common Oak	Magnolia	Rowan	Holly	Cherry Laurel Hawthorn	Holly	Hazel	Hazel	Cherry	Hazel	Cherry Laurel	Unconfirmed	Unconfirmed	Norway Maple	Cherry	Lime		Norway Maple, Ash. Hollv	Species
3 8	3	C2	õ	ន	3 8	3 5	D S	õ	õ	C1	4	<u>0</u>	c	Collapsed.		C2	02	с	Collapsed.	С	с	c	с	õ	C1	22	22	с	C1	Felled.	Felled.	C2	C2	Felled.	Felled.	Felled.	Felled.	Felled.	C2	Felled.	B2		õ	Category grading
0	G131	T130	T129	T128	1127	T122	T105	T124	T123	T122		G121	T120	T118		T117	T116	T115	T114	G113	T112	T111	T110	G109	T108	T107	T106	T105	T104	T102	1101	G100	Т99	G98	T 97	T9 6	T95	T 94	Т93	1 91	06L		681	ref. no.
	Charny	Atlas Cedar	Atlas Cedar	Atlas Cedar	Atlas Cedar			Atlas Cedar	Lawson Cypress	Blue Atlas Cedar		Cherry Laurel	Common Oak	Cherry Laurel Robinia		Pine	Western Red Cedar	Cypress var.	Leyland Cypress	Shrubs	Leyland Cypress	Eucalyptus	Leyland Cypress var.	Birch, Rowan, Oak	Common Oak	Silver Birch	Silver Birch	Common Oak	Norway Maple	Norway Maple	Silver Birch	Beech, Hazel	Yew	Holly, Rowan	Cherry Laurel	Holly	Rowan	Azalea	Norway Maple	Hazel	Cedar	Western Red	Western Red Cedar	Species
0	3	B2	A2	B2	B)	3 2	2	B2	B2	C2	C F	ß	B2	Felled.		B2	B2	C2	<u>0</u>	C2	с	B2	õ	C/U1	C2	01	5	C2	B2	Felled	3 C	C2	Felled.	Felled.	Felled.	C2	C2	C2	C2	ន្ត្	B2		C	Category grading

06/09/2023	Added to by: NL	Scale: 1:200 at A1	DRAWING NO. J48.05/01 Rev
------------	-----------------	--------------------	---------------------------

APPENDIX 3

TABLE OF BS CALCULATED ROOT PROTECTION AREAS (RPAs) AT

NO. 4 THE AVENUE, RADLETT, HERTS, QD7 7DJ

Tree	Species	BS	Stem diameter or calculated equivalent	BS calc. radial equiv. root protection	BS calc. total RPA
10.	1 no Robinia 3no	Calegory	(11111.)	area (III.)	(111-)
G1	Levland Cypress	C2	~370	-11	~61
T2	Western Red Cedar	B2	<370 c 750	<4.4 c 0	<pre><01</pre>
T2	Discord Dlum	C1	c 250	0.3	c.235
	Linconfirmed		0.200	Eollod	0.20
T5	Atlas Cedar	B2	c 750		c 255
T6		C1	c 300	C.9	c.200
10	Himalayan	01	0.000	0.0.0	0.41
Т7	Cotoneaster Tree	11	-	_	_
17	Crimson Norway	0			
тя	Manle	C2	360	13	58
Та		C2	350	4.0	55
T10	Levland Cypress	C1	290	3.5	38
T11	Ornamental Cherry	01	230	Felled	50
T12		11	_	-	_
T12	Beech	B2	/30	5.2	85
T14	Boy Elder	C1	410	<u> </u>	75
T15			410	Felled	15
T16	Levland Cypress	C1	600	7.2	163
T17	Levland Cypress	C1	580	7	154
T18			-	-	-
T19	Prunus sp.	0		Felled	
T20	Levland Cypress	C1	590	7 1	158
G21	Cherry Laurel	C2	<300	-3.6	<41
T22	Norway Maple	11			
T23	Sycamore	0		Felled	
G24	Sycamore	B2	<300	<3.6	<41
T25	Horse Chestnut	B2	500	6	113
T26	Deodar Cedar	C2	500	6	113
T27	Norway Maple	C1	770	92	266
G28	Cypress Cedar	C2	<170	<2	<13
T29	Holly	C1	210	2.5	20
	2no.	•		2.0	
G30	Norway Maple	C1	<150	<1.8	<10
T31	Atlas Cedar	U	-	-	-
T32	Ash	Ŭ	-	-	-
T33	Goat Willow	C1	410	4.9	75
T34	Cherry Plum	U	-	-	-
T35	Lawson Cypress	C2	220	2.6	21
G36	Cherry Laurel	C2	<200	<2.4	<18
T37	Norway Maple	C2	290	3.5	38
T38	Norway Maple	C2	270	3.2	32
T39	Sycamore	C2	c.280	c.3.4	c.36
T40	Sycamore	B2	c.800	c.9.6	c.290
T41	Norway Maple	C1	c.300	c.3.6	c.41
T42	Ash	C1	200	2.4	18
T43	Norway Maple	C2	c.350	c.4.2	c.55
T44	Norway Maple	B2	430	5.2	85
	Norway Maple, Ash,				
G45	Holly	C1	<180	<2.2	<15

TABLE OF BS CALCULATED ROOT PROTECTION AREAS (RPAs) AT

NO. 4 THE AVENUE, RADLETT, HERTS, QD7 7DJ

			Stem		
			diameter or	BS calc. radial	
			calculated	equiv, root	
Tree		BS	equivalent	protection	BS calc. total RPA
no	Species	Category	(mm)	area (m.)	(m ²)
T/6		B2	c 900		<u> </u>
T40		DZ	0.300	Felled	0.000
T/8	Cherry			Follod	
T/Q	Norway Manle	C2	c 250		c 28
T50		02	0.200	Eelled	0.20
T51	Unconfirmed			Felled	
G52	Cherry Laurel			Felled	
T53	Hazel			Felled	
T54	Cherry			Follod	
T55	Hazal	C2	250	3	28
T56	Hazel	C2	200	37	13
T57	Holly	02	510	5.7 Folled	40
T58	Cherry Laurel			Felled	
G50	Hawthorn			Follod	
T60	Holly	C1	220	2.6	21
T61	Powon		220	2.0	21
T62	Magnalia		- 220	- 20	-
T62	Iviagriolia	C2	320	3.0	40
163	Common Oak	62	C.300	C.3.0	C.41
164	Western Red Cedar		980	11.8	437
165	western Red Cedar	<u> </u>	380	4.6	66
166	Ash	U	-	-	-
167	Apple	U	-	-	-
168	Cypress	U	-	-	-
169	Hawthorn	U	-	-	-
170	Elm		1	Collapsed.	
1/1	Lawson Cypress	U	-	-	-
172	Yew	C2	180	2.2	15
T73	Norway Maple	C2	220	2.6	21
T74	Cherry Laurel	-	r	Collapsed.	
T75	Hawthorn	C2	160	1.9	11
T76	Ash	U	-	-	-
T77	Western Red Cedar	C1	590	7.1	158
T78	Western Red Cedar	C1	260	3.1	30
T79	Western Red Cedar	C1	510	6.1	117
T80	Western Red Cedar	C1	130	1.6	8
T81	Western Red Cedar	B2	970	11.6	423
T82	Yew	C2	130	1.6	8
T83	Yew	C2	230	2.8	25
T84	Common Oak	C2	410	4.9	75
T85	Cherry Laurel	C1	200	2.4	18
T86	Norway Maple	C2	240	2.9	26
T87	Norway Maple	C2	440	5.3	88
T88	Snakebark Maple	C2	290	3.5	38
T89	Western Red Cedar	U	-	-	-
T90	Western Red Cedar	B2	810	9.7	296
T91	Norway Maple	C2	280	3.4	36
T92	Hazel	C2	270	3.2	32
T93	Norway Maple	C2	230	2.8	25
T94	Azalea	C2	190	2.3	17
T95	Rowan	C2	150	1.8	10
T96	Holly	C2	c.160	c.1.9	c.11

TABLE OF BS CALCULATED ROOT PROTECTION AREAS (RPAs) AT

NO. 4 THE AVENUE, RADLETT, HERTS, QD7 7DJ

			Stem diameter or	BS calc. radial	
			calculated	equiv. root	
Tree		BS	equivalent	protection	BS calc. total RPA
no.	Species	Category	(mm.)	area (m.)	(m²)
T97	Cherry Laurel			Felled.	
G98	Holly, Rowan			Felled.	
199	Yew		100	Felled.	
G100	Beech, Hazel	C2	<180	<2.2	<15
1101	Silver Birch	U	-	-	-
1102	Norway Maple	C2	240	2.9	26
1103	Norway Maple			Felled.	
T104	Norway Maple	B2	520	6.2	121
T105	Common Oak	C2	140	1.7	9
T106	Silver Birch	C1	320	3.8	45
T107	Silver Birch	C1	310	3.7	43
T108	Common Oak	C2	250	3	28
G109	Birch, Rowan, Oak	C/U1	<280	<3.4	<36
T110	Leyland Cypress var.	C1	150	1.8	10
T111	Eucalyptus	B2	740	8.9	249
T112	Leyland Cypress	U	-	-	-
G113	Shrubs	C2	100	1.2	5
T114	Leyland Cypress	C1	980	11.8	437
T115	Cypress var.	C2	390	4.7	69
T116	Western Red Cedar	B2	c.450	c.5.4	c.92
T117	Pine	B2	c.450	c.5.4	c.92
T118	Cherry Laurel			Felled.	
T119	Robinia	C2	c.350	c.4.2	c.55
T120	Common Oak	B2	1170	14	616
G121	Cherry Laurel	C2	<200	<2.4	<18
T122	Blue Atlas Cedar	C2	280	3.4	36
T123	Lawson Cypress	B2	660	7.9	196
T124	Atlas Cedar	B2	680	8.2	211
T125	Atlas Cedar	A2	c.920	c.11	c.380
T126	Holly	C2	c.250	c.3	c.28
T127	Atlas Cedar	B2	c.620	c.7.4	c.172
T128	Atlas Cedar	B2	c.640	c.7.7	c.186
T129	Atlas Cedar	A2	820	9.8	302
T130	Atlas Cedar	B2	830	10	314
G131	Cherry Laurel	C2	<200	<2.4	<18