Chichester District Council



Version revised for nutrient impacts

May 2020

Habitat Regulation Assessment (HRA) Screening Matrix and Appropriate Assessment Statement

PLEASE NOTE: Undertaking the HRA process is the responsibility of Chichester District Council as the Competent Authority for the purpose of the Habitats Regulations, however, it is the responsibility of the applicant to provide the Chichester District Council with the information that we require for this purpose. This template is to be used only for potential impacts on Chichester and Langstone Harbours SPA/Ramsar site, Solent Maritime SAC and/or Pagham Harbour SPA/Ramsar site. For assessment of impacts on other SPAs or SACs, please contact the Environmental Strategy Unit for further advice.

This template is for use where a planning application will result in additional overnight accommodation being created and/or a net increase in the population served by a wastewater system, including new homes, student accommodation, tourism attractions and tourist accommodation

Application reference:	23/02463/FUL
Application address:	Old Allotment Site Newells Lane West Ashling West Sussex PO18 8DD
Application description:	Use of land for the stationing of 1 no. caravan/mobile home for residential purposes, together with the formation of hardstanding.
Status of Application:	Pending Decision
Proximity to SPA/SAC/Ramsar. Is the application site:	 A) Within the 5.6km Zone of influence for Chichester and Langstone Harbours SPA / Ramsar site YES B) Within the 3.5km Zone of influence for Pagham Harbour SPA / Ramsar site NO C) Within the Chichester Harbour Fluvial Catchment YES D) Served by a WwTW that discharges to Chichester Harbour NO
Grid Ref: (if no address)	

Stage 1 - details of the plan or project	
1a) European site potentially impacted by planning application, plan or project:	YES
1b) If YES to question (1a) then is the planning application, project or plan directly connected with or necessary to the management of the site?	NO
1c) If NO to question (1b) then other than for applications where recreational disturbance is the only mechanism of impact (where the impact is always in combination with other residential developments), are there any other projects or plans that together with the planning application being assessed could affect the site?	NO

Stage 2 - HRA screening assessment

Test 1: the significance test – The Applicant is to provide evidence so that a judgement can be made as to whether there could be any potential significant impacts of the development on the integrity of the SPA/SAC/Ramsar.

Following a recent CJEU ruling, we can no longer take into account any avoidance and mitigation measures as part of the application at this stage of HRA. For applications where recreational disturbance in the only mechanism of impact, Natural England's advice is that such applications without mitigation will have a likely significant effect on the SPA(s) in combination with other residential development in the zones of influence. Therefore such applications, even where a payment to a scheme of mitigation is proposed, will progress directly to Stage 3.

For applications in categories C and/or D above (potential for nutrient impact), the applicants must provide a nutrient budget for the proposal using the standard Natural England Methodology to be found at https://www.push.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/Advice-on-Achieving-Nutrient-Neutrality-for-New-Deveopment-in-the-Solent-Region-March-2020.pdf

If the budget figure is negative no mitigation is required for nutrient impacts and the proposal can be screened out of further assessment on nutrient aspects If the nutrient budget is positive, proceed to Stage 3. **Neutral (Due to woodland planting).**

For other applications does the evidence submitted show a likely significant effect, without mitigation measures (either alone or in-combination with other plans or projects) **YES**

Stage 3 - HRA - Appropriate Assessment

Test 2: the integrity test – If there are any potential significant impacts, the applicant must provide evidence showing avoidance and/or mitigation measures to allow an Assessment to be made. Other than for Bird Aware Solent and the Pagham Joint Scheme of Mitigation, the Applicant must also provide details which demonstrate any long term management, maintenance and funding of any solution.

The likely effectiveness and long-term financial robustness of both Bird Aware Solent and the Pagham Joint Scheme of Mitigation have already been examined by Natural England, so the applicant does not need to provide their own evidence base on these aspects. Instead evidence should be submitted that a mitigation contribution payment has either:

- been made to the appropriate scheme through a Unilateral Undertaking
- or will be made through a s106 agreement where Heads of Terms have been agreed and the agreement will be signed prior to any permission being granted.

Recreational Disturbance

Section 1: Supporting text

Conservation objectives for the SPA site(s)

Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the site contributes to achieving the aims of the Wild Birds Directive, by maintaining or restoring;

- The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features
- The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features
- The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely
- The population of each of the qualifying features, and,
- The distribution of the qualifying features within the site

Qualifying features (Chichester and Langstone Harbours SPA):

A046a Branta bernicla bernicla; Dark-bellied brent goose (Non-breeding)

A048 Tadorna tadorna; Common shelduck (Non-breeding)

A050 Anas penelope; Eurasian wigeon (Non-breeding)

A052 Anas crecca; Eurasian teal (Non-breeding)

A054 Anas acuta; Northern pintail (Non-breeding)

A056 Anas clypeata; Northern shoveler (Non-breeding)

A069 Mergus serrator, Red-breasted merganser (Non-breeding)

A137 Charadrius hiaticula; Ringed plover (Non-breeding)

A141 Pluvialis squatarola; Grey plover (Non-breeding)

A144 Calidris alba; Sanderling (Non-breeding)

A149 Calidris alpina alpina; Dunlin (Non-breeding)

A157 Limosa lapponica; Bar-tailed godwit (Non-breeding)

A160 Numenius arguata; Eurasian curlew (Non-breeding)

A162 *Tringa totanus*; Common redshank (Non-breeding)

A169 Arenaria interpres; Ruddy turnstone (Non-breeding)

A191 Sterna sandvicensis; Sandwich tern (Breeding)

A193 Sterna hirundo; Common tern (Breeding)

A195 Sterna albifrons; Little tern (Breeding)

Qualifying features (Chichester and :Langstone Harbours Ramsar Site)

- Branta bernicla bernicla, Dark-bellied brent goose
- Charadrius Hiaticula, Ringed plover
- Pluvialis squatarola, Grey plover
- Calidris alpina, Dunlin
- Limosa limosa Black-tailed Godwit

Qualifying Features (Pagham Harbour SPA)

A046a Branta bernicla bernicla; Dark-bellied brent goose (Non-breeding)

A151 Philomachus pugnax; Ruff (Non-breeding)

A193 Sterna hirundo; Common tern (Breeding)

A195 Sterna albifrons; Little tern (Breeding)

Qualifying Features (Pagham Harbour Ramsar Site)

• Branta bernicla bernicla Dark-bellied brent goose

Section 2 Assessment of Effects on Site Integrity

Assessment Matrix (Chichester and Langstone Harbours SPA/Ramsar site – Recreational Disturbance)

Identification of the potential effects and their impacts on the Conservation Objectives

Potential Effect	Cito	Ouglifying	Detential for	Dolovent
Fotential Effect	Site	Qualifying	Potential for	Relevant
	Conservation	features	Impact?	Mitigation
	Objective(s)			Measures
Decrease in	Maintain or	All for	Yes.	Bird Aware
survival rates	restore the	Chichester and	Indirect impact.	Solent Strategy
and populations	population of	Langstone	Without	
of over-wintering	each of the	Harbours SPA	mitigation, bird	The Strategy
birds due to	qualifying	and Chichester	populations	proposes:-
increase in	features.	and Langstone	would be	• a team of 5-7
disturbance,		Harbour Ramsar	reduced and/or	coastal rangers
caused by	Maintain or	Site	re-distributed by	to advise people
increasing	restore the		increased	on how to avoid
human	distribution of the		numbers of	bird disturbance,
population (in	qualifying feature		visitors leading	liaise with
combination with	within the site.		to increased	landowners, host
other plans and			disturbance	school visits, etc.;
projects)				•communications,
				marketing and
				education
				initiatives;
				 initiatives to
				encourage
				responsible dog
				walking;
				 preparation of
				codes of conduct

				for a variety of
				coastal activities;
				site-specific
				projects to better
				manage visitors
				and provide
				secure habitats
				for the birds;
				providing
				new/enhanced
				greenspaces as
				an alternative to
				visiting the coast;
Direct loss of	Maintain or	All for	No.	N/a. No impact
habitat	restore the	Chichester and	Direct effect,	so no mitigation
	extent and	Langstone	however	can be provided.
	distribution of the	Harbours SPA	development	
	habitats of the	and Chichester	within the zone	
	qualifying	and Langstone	of influence but	
	features.	Harbour Ramsar	outside the SPA	
		Site.	boundary will not	
			lead to loss of	
			habitat, either	
			alone or in	
			combination.	

Assessment Matrix (Pagham Harbour SPA/Ramsar site and Medmerry Compensatory Habitat – Recreational Disturbance)

Potential Effect	Site	Qualifying	Potential for	Relevant
	Conservation	features	Impact?	Mitigation
	Objective(s)			Measures
Decrease in	Maintain or	All for Pagham	Yes.	Pagham Joint
survival rates	restore the	Harbour SPA	Indirect impact.	Scheme of
and populations	population of	and Pagham	Without	Mitigation
of over-wintering	each of the	Harbour Ramsar	mitigation, bird	
and breeding	qualifying	Site	populations	The scheme
birds due to	features.		would be	includes:
increase in			reduced and/or	 Provision of
disturbance,	Maintain or		re-distributed by	1.5FTE Visitor
caused by	restore the		increased	Experience
increasing	distribution of the		numbers of	officer (VEO)
human	qualifying feature		visitors leading	post at RSPB
population (in	within the site.		to increased	Pagham. The
combination with			disturbance.	role of the VEO
other plans and				will be to
projects)				educate and
				inform visitors
				and the
				surrounding
				community
				about

Nutrient Neutrality

Section 1: Supporting text

Conservation objectives for the Solent Maritime SAC

Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the site contributes to achieving the Favourable Conservation Status of its Qualifying Features, by maintaining or restoring;

- The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species
- The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats
- The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species
- The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely
- The populations of qualifying species, and,
- The distribution of qualifying species within the site

Qualifying Features for Solent Maritime SAC

Note: not all of these features will be found within Chichester Harbours SSSI

Qualifying habitats: The site is designated under article 4(4) of the Directive (92/43/EEC) as it hosts the following habitats listed in Annex I:

- Annual vegetation of drift lines
- Atlantic salt meadows (Glauco-Puccinellietalia maritimae)
- Coastal lagoons*
- Spartina swards (Spartinion maritimae). (Cord-grass swards)
- Estuaries
- Mudflats and sandflats not covered by seawater at low tide. (Intertidal mudflats and sandflats)
- Perennial vegetation of stony banks. (Coastal shingle vegetation outside the reach of waves)
- Salicornia and other annuals colonising mud and sand. (Glasswort and other annuals colonising mud and sand)
- Sandbanks which are slightly covered by sea water all the time. (Subtidal sandbanks)
- Shifting dunes along the shoreline with *Ammophila arenaria* (white dunes). (Shifting dunes with marram)

Qualifying species: The site is designated under article 4(4) of the Directive (92/43/EEC) as it hosts the following species listed in Annex II:

• Desmoulin's whorl snail Vertigo moulinsiana

Assessment Matrix (Solent Maritime SAC – Nutrient inputs)

Potential Effect	Site	Qualifying	Potential for	Relevant Mitigation
	Conservation	features	Impact?	Measures
	Objective(s)			
There are high	Maintain or	Estuaries,	Yes.	The calculations
levels of nitrogen	restore the	Mudflats and		provided which have
and phosphorus	structure and	Sandflats, and	Without	been undertaken
input to this water	function	Sandbanks	mitigation to	using the Calculator
environment with	(including	(where	achieve	Tool provided by NE
sound evidence that	typical	present in	nutrient	confirm the
these nutrients are	species) of	Chichester	neutrality,	proposed
causing	qualifying	Harbour)	eutrophication	development would
eutrophication	natural		will increase,	be neutral. The
within the SAC.	habitats		leading to	scheme incorporated
These nutrient			further	the use of a highly
inputs currently	Maintain or		decline in the	efficient Premier
mostly come either	restore the		quality and	Tech Aqua PTP
from agricultural	supporting		function of the	which has been

ř				
	sources or from	processes on	qualifying	evidenced to
	wastewater from	which	habitats. This	removes 88.6% of the
	existing housing	qualifying	has the	nitrogen from the
	and other	natural	potential to	waste water, with the
	development. The	habitats and	then impact	removal of the
	resulting dense	the habitats	on the	change from an
	mats of green algae	of qualifying	protected	allotment/ mixed use/
	and other effects on	species rely	species of the	grazing land to a site
	the marine ecology		SPA	with a green space
	from an excessive	Maintain or		and
	presence of	restore the		proposed planting of
	nutrients are	extent and		trees around the
	impacting on the	distribution of		boundary will result
	Solent's protected	qualifying		in a reduction in
	habitats and bird	natural		nitrogen loading that
	species. Any new	habitats		will more than
	development that			account for
	increases nitrogen			additional nitrate
	inputs will			load from the
	exacerbate an			proposed
	already			development.
	unfavourable			
	condition			
	assessment.			
П				

Stage 4 – Summary of the Appropriate Assessment (To be carried out by the Competent Authority (Chichester District Council) in consultation with Natural England)

Conclusion:

Having considered the proposed mitigation and avoidance measures to be provided inperpetuity through:

the secured contribution to the Bird Aware Solent scheme, and by permanently converting agricultural and other land (with higher nitrogen loading), as detailed above, both of which to be secured via S106 legal agreement or planning condition.

Chichester District Council concludes that with mitigation the plan or project will not have an Adverse Effect on the Integrity of the European protected site(s).

Having made this appropriate assessment of the implications of the plan or project for the site(s) in view of that (those) site(s)'s conservation objectives, and having consulted Natural England and fully considered any representation received (see below), the authority may now agree to the plan or project under regulation 63 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017

Natural England Officer:
Summary of Natural England's comments:

Version	Date completed	Delegated sign off / Committee approval
Draft AA issued for NE comment	13/11/2023	
Evidence of Payment provided		
Final Assessment signed off		