



295 Green Lanes, N13

Daylight and Sunlight Assessment

Job No: 5283

Issued: November, 2023

Issue No.: 2

Contents

1.0	Introduction.....	3
2.0	Project Summary.....	4
3.0	Methodology.....	5
4.0	Modelling & Data Sources.....	6
5.0	BRE Guidance Targets.....	7
6.0	Window Schedules.....	8
7.0	Daylight Impact Results.....	9
8.0	Conclusions.....	10

Document Prepared By:

Ollie Westover

Dated:

07.11.23

Signed:



Document Authorised By:

Bernice Waterman

Dated:

07.11.23

Signed:



This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the commissioning party and may not be reproduced without prior written permission from T16 Design.

All work has been carried out within the terms of the brief using all reasonable skill, care, and diligence. No liability is accepted by T16 Design for the accuracy of data or opinions provided by others in the preparation of this report, or for any use of this report other than for the purpose for which it was produced.

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 This daylight and sunlight assessment has been prepared to support a planning application for the proposed extension of the site at 295 Green Lanes, London N13.
- 1.2 The report assesses the proposals in respect of daylight, sunlight and overshadowing matters, having regard to industry standard guidance. The report concludes that the proposal is acceptable and in accordance with planning policy requirements in relation to daylight and sunlight.
- 1.3 There is no existing specific National Planning Policy relating to the prospective impacts of developments on daylight and sunlight on their surrounding environment.
- 1.4 However, the BRE Report 'Site Layout Planning for Daylight and Sunlight: A Guide to Good Practice' (3rd Edition, 2022) is the established National guidance to aid the developer to prevent and/or minimise the impact of a new development on the availability of daylight and sunlight in the environs of the site.
- 1.5 This reference document is accepted as the authoritative work in the field on daylight, sunlight and overshadowing and is specifically referred to in many Local Authorities' planning policy guidance for daylighting. The methodology therein has been used in numerous lighting analyses and the standards of permissible reduction in light are accepted as the industry standards.

2.0 Project Summary

- 2.1 The proposal site is at 295 Green Lanes, London N13 and is occupied by a two-storey building used for commercial purposes. It lies in an area of predominantly commercial buildings, with flats above.
- 2.2 The proposal is for vertical extensions to the existing building forming a terraced, staggered 4-storey building with rear roof terrace and courtyard, providing 35 bedrooms
- 2.3 The impacts of the scheme have been assessed, in line with BRE guidance. Generally, it is the impacts on residential neighbours which are of primary concern.
- 2.4 Further details on the location of the assessed neighbours and their windows are given in Section 5.0

Non-residential use

Non-residential use



Non-residential use

Site Location

3.0 Methodology

- 3.1 For this analysis, we have undertaken the most common calculations for the change in daylight and sunlight to existing buildings, as recommended in BRE Digest 209. These are:
- Vertical Sky Component (VSC) daylight impacts
 - Annual Probable Sunlight Hours and Winter Probable Sunlight Hours (A/WPSH) for sunlight impacts
- 3.2 The VSC method measures the general amount of light available on the outside plane of the window as a ratio (%) of the amount of total unobstructed sky viewable following introduction of visible barriers such as buildings. The maximum value is just under 40% for a completely unobstructed vertical wall.
- 3.3 The VSC is calculated using computer simulation under a CIE overcast sky. This works by simulating the amount of visible sky from the centre point of each window. It is not affected by orientation and so all potentially affected windows are assessed.
- 3.4 Annual Probable Sunlight Hours (APSH) and Winter Probable Sunlight Hours (WPSH) are a measure of the amount of potential direct sunlight that is available to a given surface. APSH covers sunlight over the whole year and WPSH from September 21st to March 21st. The number of total available hours is calculated from a data file in the software, built up over a number of years of actual weather data records.
- 3.5 Only windows which face within 90° of due south need be assessed for sunlight. In this instance, no windows face within this orientation and therefore no calculations for sunlight loss have been undertaken.
- 3.6 APSH can also be used to assess the impact on external spaces such as gardens. Due to the distances to nearby gardens, and the modest scale of the proposal, there is not foreseen to be any impact on neighbouring gardens,



4.0 Modelling & Data Sources

- 4.1 The first stage of the analysis is to create the analysis model of the existing site condition and the proposal. This allows us to analyse the impact of the proposal when compared to the existing condition.
- 4.2 2D drawings have been provided by the design team. These drawings are used to construct a 3D analysis model which is exported into the specialist daylight software. Calculations are then run, for both existing and proposed scenarios.
- 4.3 Sufficient detail is added to the model for the analysis. In accordance with BRE recommendations, trees and foliage have been omitted from the calculations.
- 4.4 Information on the properties has been provided to us by the design team in the form of drawings giving the site as existing and proposed and photographs of the site and surroundings.
- 4.5 Web-based mapping sources and planning records for neighbouring buildings, have also been used.

5.0 BRE Guidance Targets

- 5.1 The reference document for this analysis, BRE Digest 209, gives the methodology for undertaking the calculations. It also provides benchmark figures for the acceptable reduction in the daylight on existing properties which might be affected by development.
- 5.2 Specifically, the guidance gives figures for the VSC and APSH, as a percentage reduction that is "permissible" for the effect on existing windows.
- 5.3 It is worth noting the following statement in the Guidance introduction:
- 5.4 "The guide is intended for building designers and their clients, consultants and planning officials. The advice given here is not mandatory and this document should not be seen as an instrument of planning policy. Its aim is to help rather than constrain the developer.
- 5.5 Although it gives numerical guidelines, these should be interpreted flexibly because natural lighting is only one of the many factors in site layout design.
- 5.6 The relevant BRE recommendations for daylight and sunlight are:
- The Vertical Sky Component measured at the centre of a window should be no less than 27, or if reduced to below this, no less than 0.8 times the former value.
 - The window should receive at least 25% of available annual sunlight hours and more than 5% during the winter months (September 21st to March 21st), or, where this is not the case, 80% of its former value.

6.0 Window Schedules



297 Green Lanes (Rear Elevation)



238 Green Lanes (Front Elevation)

7.0 Daylight Impact Results

- 7.1 The Vertical Sky Component has been calculated for the 6 assessed windows for both the existing and proposed conditions.
- 7.2 As can be seen in the results below, the windows retain 80% of their current values.
- 7.3 The scheme is therefore compliant with BRE recommendations in relation to daylight impacts using the VSC test.
- 7.4 As no floor plans for the neighbours could be obtained, the No Sky Line (NSL) test cannot be undertaken.
- 7.5 The windows face within 90° of north and so do not need to be assessed for sunlight.

Vertical Sky Component				
Window	Existing VSC	Proposed VSC	% Retained	Meets BRE Guidance?
1	15.165	12.151	80.13%	Yes
2	36.456	29.522	80.98%	Yes
3	37.800	30.468	80.60%	Yes
4	33.118	31.853	96.18%	Yes
5	33.309	31.996	96.06%	Yes
6	33.532	32.210	96.06%	Yes

8.0 Conclusions

- 8.1 Using industry standard methodology, we have made numerical analyses to ascertain the effects of the proposal at 195 Green Lanes and the levels of change in daylight and sunlight for the windows of the neighbouring property.
- 8.2 The main criteria used in this analysis to show compliance are the Vertical Sky Component for daylight impacts.
- 8.3 As has been shown, the effect on VSC is within the 80% guidance value in all cases.
- 8.4 There will therefore be no adverse impact on neighbouring residents in terms of daylight.
- 8.5 In terms of sunlight, the assessed windows face outside of 90° from south and so do not need to be assessed for sunlight impacts.
- 8.6 No neighbouring gardens are close enough to the proposal to warrant assessment.
- 8.7 The scheme is therefore compliant with BRE guidance in relation to sunlight impacts.
- 8.8 From a planning perspective therefore, it is the conclusion of this report that the proposed development is entirely acceptable for planning, in daylight and sunlight terms.



T16 Design Ltd.

T: 01206 572452

E: info@t16design.com

W: www.t16design.com

© 2023