



Background

Producing biodiversity reports that meet required professional standards reduces the risks of delay with associated planning applications through the planning process.

In our experience the quality and adequacy of biodiversity reports submitted to local planning authorities to support planning applications is – across the whole of the UK - extremely varied and inconsistent. Where reports are inadequate, this can lead to failure to achieve desired outcomes for biodiversity conservation as well as running the risk of delays, increased costs and uncertainty for applicants over whether planning consent will be granted. In the worst case, a planning consent that is granted based upon inadequate information may be open to legal challenge.

Purpose

The purpose of this form is to ensure a competent review of the biodiversity information provided to support a planning application by the applicant has been undertaken. The form is designed to encourage those responsible for providing biodiversity reports to ensure they follow good professional practice and are fit for their intended purpose, i.e. is in accordance with Clauses 6 and 8.1 of BS42020:2013 and therefore adequate to enable determination by the relevant competent authority. This is based on the Ecological Impact Assessment (EcIA) Checklist available on the Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management (CIEEM) website https://cieem.net/resource/ecological-impact-assessment-ecia-checklist/

Use

This form shall be used for all full and outline applications where there are likely to be implications for biodiversity. Consequently, the form shall be used for all types of development, whether the proposed development is listed on Schedule 2 of the EIA Regulations or not. In line with the Validation Checklist, biodiversity information would be submitted in the form of either a Preliminary Ecological Appraisal or an Ecological Impact Assessment (EcIA) Report (in accordance with CIEEM guidelines; see Endnote vii).

The Local Planning Authority will only accept biodiversity information in the form of a Preliminary Ecological Appraisal Report (PEA) Report where all 3 of the following apply:

- 1. No further surveys* beyond those that are complete and reported fully in the PEA Report are required;
- *A PEA Report will normally be based on a desk study and extended Phase 1 habitat survey (or equivalent), but may also include the results of Phase 2 surveys.
- 2. And either:
- a. The report provides an adequate assessment of biodiversity impacts; or
- b. The report is able to conclude robustly that there would be no significant residual biodiversity impacts.
- 3. And the report provides adequate information about the biodiversity mitigation, compensation and enhancement measures proposed; and these are capable of being secured through a planning condition, obligation and/or protected species licence.



The terms 'Ecological Impact Assessment '(EcIA), 'EcIA Report', 'Preliminary Ecological Appraisal '(PEA), 'PEA Report', 'Extended Phase 1 habitat survey 'and 'Phase 2 surveys 'are defined by the Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management (CIEEM) in the 'Guide to Ecological Surveys and Their Purpose (December 2017), available at https://cieem.net/resource/guide-to-ecological-surveys-and-their-purpose/

How to complete this form

Part A of this form provides general background information and a signed declaration. It should be completed by the Ecologist representing the Applicant.

Part B of this form is a declaration that should be completed by the Applicant to demonstrate that they have read and understood the content of the biodiversity report and also agree to any recommendations that have implications for the proposed development, i.e. implementation of necessary biodiversity mitigation measures.

Part C should be completed by the Ecologist representing the Applicant (it is expected that, in most cases, this will be the lead author of the biodiversity report). Part C shall act as a checklist of the issues which should be addressed in the biodiversity report. The Ecologist should confirm that the information requested has been provided in the report and provide the appropriate paragraph reference numbers to allow the Local Planning Authority to quickly confirm that each criterion has been met.

Where the Ecologist finds that they cannot justifiably answer Yes or Not applicable, or where they cannot cross-refer to a paragraph of the report which demonstrates that they have complied with a given criterion, they should revisit the work undertaken and revise the report accordingly, prior to its submission.

Part D of the form is to be completed by the Local Planning Authority s nominated person with biodiversity expertise (i.e. a qualified ecologist or a planner with responsibility/expertise for biodiversity matters) during the Local Planning Authority s determination of the planning application.



PART A – GENERAL I	NFORMATION AND ECOLOG	GIST'S DECLARATION		
Name of Applicant: Harshul Measuria Site Name: Old Ullenwood Lodge				
Site Location (Post Cod	e/Grid Reference): GL53 9QX (0	Ordnance Survey Grid Refer	ence SO 945 165)	
Brief Description of Proposed Development: Single storey extension and internal modifications, creation of garage and bin store				
	n of stone built agricultural barn v sely to be affected include bats, k			20cm thick.
Details of Biodiversity R	Report			
Report title: Bat Surve	y Report	Name and Qualifications of I CIEEM, Natural England Le reference 2015-106-CLS-CL	evel 2 Bat licensed eco	
Date: October 2023	Reference Number: C632			
Type of Biodiversity Report Submitted with the Planning Application (see Sections 3 and 4 in Purpose above) • Full Ecological Impact Assessment (EcIA) • A Preliminary Ecological Appraisal Report (PEAR)				
Summary and Recommendations: Brief description of key biodiversity features likely to be affected and mitigation required. A Lesser Horseshoe night roost is present in the existing garage and compensatory bat roosting provision will be provided. A Brown Long-eared Bat roost is present in the Main House and some of the existing access points may no longer be used due to the creation of the singlestorey extesension. Works would be timed to reduce potential impacts. Alternative roosting locations are present and a hot box created in the loft space.				
Is a Protected Species Licence from Natural England required? If so, what species and which type of licence? Destruction of Lesser Horseshoe night roost, disturbance of roosting bats.				
Are planning conditions If so, what for? Click or tap here to en	s required to secure proposed n	nitigation?	Y□	N⊠
Ecologist's Professional Declaration (lead author or person responsible for final QA of the report).				
Are full details of professional memberships, qualifications and experience for <u>all</u> staff involved in the preparation of this biodiversity report, provided in the EcIA / PEAR? Y N □				
I hereby confirm that the information provided in this form is accurate and is a true record of the work undertaken.				
Name of Ecologist: James Pattenden	Signo	ed:	Date: 23.11.23	



Qualifications and Experience of the above Ecologist (if different from Lead Author identified above): N/a

PART B - APPLICANT'S DECLARATION

I hereby confirm that I have read and understand the findings, implications and recommendations for impact avoidance, mitigation, compensation and enhancement set out in the report referred to in Part A above. I understand that the mitigation, compensation and enhancement measures set out in the report may be secured through a licence from the appropriate statutory conservation body and/or through condition(s) or obligations imposed by the Local Planning Authority, or other decision making authority.

Name of Applicant (or Agent):

Harshul Measuria

Signed: H Measuria

Date: 26th November

2023





PAR	TC·	- BIODIVERSITY REPORT FORM (checklist)		
	Che	ecklist to ensure decisions are based on adequate information in accordance with Clauses 6.2 and 8.1 of BS42020:2013	Y, N, N/A?	Report Ref para no.(s)
Pre- app	1.	Where pre-app advice has been received from the LPA and/or an NGO and/or statutory body (e.g. NE DAS), it has been fully accounted for in the report.	☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ N/A	No pre app advice was sought regarding ecology
	2.	The scope, structure and content of the report is in accordance with published good practice. and .	⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A	Click or tap here to enter text.
Surv eys, Spec ies & Habi tats	3.	Adequate and up-to-date: a. Desk study has been undertaken; b. Phase 1 habitat survey has been undertaken ⁷ ; and c. Phase 2 surveys have been undertaken (where necessary).	⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A	A phase 1 habitat survey was not required given the habitats to be affected. Phase 2 bat surveys were carried out.
	4.	All statutory and non-statutory sites likely to be significantly affected are clearly and correctly identified.	□ Yes □ No ⊠ N/A	No statutory or non- statutory designated sites will be affected.
	5.	All protected or priority species and priority habitats likely to be significantly affected are clearly and correctly identified, and adequate surveys have been undertaken to inform the baseline.	⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A	Click or tap here to enter text.
	6.	Any invasive non-native plant species present are clearly and correctly identified.	□ Yes □ No 図 N/A	Click or tap here to enter text.
	7.	Where a separate preliminary ecological appraisal (phase 1) report states that Phase 2 surveys are required, these have been undertaken in full and results submitted with the application (or lack of such surveys is justified).	□ Yes □ No 図 N/A	Click or tap here to enter text.
Impa cts & Effec ts	8. 9.	The assessment is based on clearly defined development proposals along with relevant drawings/plans (and any plans used are the same version number as those submitted with the application); OR The biodiversity effects are considered to be not significant at any geographical scale	⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A	Click or tap here to enter text.
	, ·	irrespective of the detailed development proposals, and the assessment is based on a worst-case-scenario.	⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A	Click or tap here to enter text.





	10. The report describes and assesses all likely significant biodiversity effects (including cumulative effects) clearly stating the geographical scale of significance (where relevant).	⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A	Click or tap here to enter text.
Miti gatio n, Com pens atio n & Enha nce men t	11. The mitigation hierarchy has been clearly followed.	⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A	Click or tap here to enter text.
	 12. The report: a. Clearly identifies the proposed mitigation and compensation measures, and explains how these will adequately address all likely significant adverse effects; b. Includes, where necessary, proposals for post-construction monitoring; and c. Recommends how proposed measures may be secured through planning conditions/obligations and/or necessary licences. 	⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A	Click or tap here to enter text.
	13. A summary table of proposed mitigation and compensation measures has been provided.	□ Yes ⊠ No □ N/A	The mitigation has been summarised in the executive summary and detailed in the report.
	14. The need for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified.	⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A	Click or tap here to enter text.
	15. A Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment has been provided where required	□ Yes □ No 図 N/A	Click or tap here to enter text.
Com pete nce / Goo d Prac tice	16. Limitations of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly identified and the implications explained.	⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A	Click or tap here to enter text.
	17. All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may constrain or adversely affect the proposed timing of development have been identified.	⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A	Click or tap here to enter text.
	18. All biodiversity surveys and mitigation measures accord with published good practice methods and guidelines OR deviation from such guidelines is made clear and fully justified, and the implications for subsequent conclusions and recommendations made explicit in the report.	⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A	Click or tap here to enter text.
	19. All ecologists and surveyors hold appropriate species licences (where relevant) and/or have all necessary competencies to carry out the work undertaken.	⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A	Click or tap here to enter text.
Conc lusio ns	20. The report clearly identifies where the proposed development complies with relevant legislation and policy, highlighting any possible non-compliant issues, and highlighting circumstances where a conclusion cannot be drawn as it requires an assessment of non-biodiversity issues (such as socio-economic ones).	⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A	Click or tap here to enter text.





commencement)

	- DISTRICT COUNCIL DISTRIBUSERIGE COUNCIL	bloatversity Report Declaration of Adequa	Cy	
		es a clear summary of losses and gains for biodiversity and a justifies all net gain for biodiversity		Click or tap here to enter text.
	significance of effe	ons based on sound professional judgement have been drawn as to the cts on any designated site, protected or priority habitat/species or leature, and a justified scale of significance has been stated.	⊠ Yes □ No □ N/A	Click or tap here to enter text.
PART REPO		OF THE LOCAL PLANNING AUTHORITY'S REVIEW OF THE BIC	DIVER	SITY
	ope, structure and conte mination of the plannin	ent of the biodiversity report submitted is fit and adequate to infor g application.	m the	□Yes □No
	e table below to identi ue on a separate sheet	ify the implications for the grant or refusal of planning consent. if necessary.		
Planni	ng Recommendation	Comments – including reference to any corresponding criteria fro Click or tap here to enter text. Where adequacy of information provided dictates what recommendation.		
1.	Approval (no biodiversity issues)	 — □ No outstanding ecological issues — □ And no requirement for any conditions/obligations or I 	EPS licei	nce
2.	Approval (conditional with no likely delays to commencement)	 □ Biodiversity report follows good practice □ Conditions are required to secure implementation of mitigodommencement conditions) □ No delay to commencement of development arising from the commencement of the commencement arising from the commencement of the commencement arising from the commencement of the commencement arising from the commencement are commencement arising from the commencement are commen		
3.	Approval (Conditional with possible delays to commencement)	 □ Biodiversity report follows good practice □ Condition(s) are required to secure the submission of information for approval before commencement □ Development delayed until these conditions are discharged 		
4.	Approval (Conditional with likely significant delays to	 □ Biodiversity report does not meet requirements of good □ May only be approved subject to significant pre-commend potentially also implementation conditions) 	-	





5.	Deferral (pending submission of further essential information)	- - - -	 □ Biodiversity report currently does not meet good practice requirements and is inadequate □ Further information must be submitted prior to determination □ Application cannot yet be conditioned □ Potential substantial delays and/or costs inevitable 	
6.	Refusal – insufficient information, inadequate biodiversity report	_ _	☐ Biodiversity report very poor and provides inadequate information to inform lawful determination of the application ☐ Not capable of being conditioned to secure necessary information (i.e. against policy)	
7.	Refusal – other biodiversity reasons for refusal	_	☐ Biodiversity report is sufficient, but there are other reasons for refusal based on biodiversity (e.g. objection in principle to the proposal)	
Details of the individual reviewing the biodiversity report on behalf of the Local Planning Authority				
Botans	or the marriagar overthing	9		
Name: Click or tap here to enter Role: Click or tap here to enter text. text.				
Qualifications and Experience: Click or tap here to enter text.				
Signature: Click or tap here to enter text. Date: Click or tap here to enter text.				

