

Ecology Arboriculture GIS and Mapping Landscape Architecture

## Tree Condition Survey Report (VTA)

- Site: Norewood Lodge, 72 Nore Road, Portishead, North Somerset, BS20 8DU
- Client: Bupa

### **Document Control Sheet**

Title	Tree Rep	· ·									
Client	Вира	Bupa Norewood Lodge Care Home									
Site Name	Norewoo										
GC Document Reference	J220786	1att Parkinson TechArborA									
Author	Arboricul M: 07543 T: 012777 E: Matt.Pa Ground C Essex, CN www.gro	Arboricultural Consultant, GC Professional Services M: 07543 311179 T: 01277 714699 E: Matt.Parkinson@ground-control.co.uk Ground Control Limited, Kingfisher House, Radford Way, Billeric Essex, CM12 0EQ www.ground-control.co.uk									
Reviewed			MArborA. MCIHort Consultancy, GC Professic	onal Services							
	Issue	Date	Status	Revision							
Issue Status	7	10/07/2023	Final	-							
Disclaimer	profession experienc All data of factors pro- visual ins, possible of situations approprice As dynam observation considere otherwise after a sig safety. The report use of the been com Ground C of this do originally Use of the	al opinions, in e ed and qualified recorded and re esent at the time of pection only. W due to reasons s that the survey the notes within the nic living organis ons and recom d valid for a n by the Arboricus gnificant, strong thas been prepare e client and for the missioned. ontrol accepts no cument other the commissioned ar	ntained in this report represer exercising the duty of care rec Arboricultural Surveyor/ Arbori commendations made are ba of inspection. Inspections consis here access to conduct a fu uch as vegetation, topograph or feels are unsafe, the Arbor ne survey schedule. sms, trees can change over t mendations provided in this naximum period of 12 month turist. Additionally, it is advisa wind event to ensure their co red by Ground Control Ltd for th he specific purpose for which of or responsibility or liability for an an by the client for the purpos and prepared. other person is unauthorised ar	quired of a suitably cultural Consultant. used on observable st of a ground based Il inspection is not ry, fencing or other riculturist will make ime. Therefore, the report should be report should be so, unless specified ble to inspect trees intinued health and e sole and exclusive Ground Control has my use that is made res for which it was							

### **Table of Contents**

1.0	Executive Summary	.4
2.0	Introduction	.5
3.0	Tree Survey & Methodology	.6
4.0	Conclusions and Recommendations	.9
Apper	ndix A – Tree Survey Schedule	10
Apper	ndix B – Tree Survey Plans	15
Apper	ndix C – Tree Work Recommendations	17
Apper	ndix D – Photographs	19

### 1.0 Executive Summary

- 1.1 The tree survey was undertaken on the 6<sup>th</sup> July 2023 by Matt Parkinson, Ground Control's Arboricultural Surveyor at Norewood Lodge, 72 Nore Road, Portishead, North Somerset, BS20 8DU; hereafter referred to as the 'site'.
- 1.2 A summary of the tree work actions required from this survey alongside a summary of the site's tree stock species composition is included below. Detailed information of required tree works for this site can be found within section 4.0 of this report.

	Inspection Records:	45						
	Action Records:							
ies he bre he	IMMEDIATE/ URGENT	0						
Priorities provides a mary of the ed tree work lation to the Priority' pmes.	Within 1 month	0						
	Within 1 - 3 months	1						
Action The table ollated sun scommend ctions in re timefr	Within 3 - 6 months	1						
Act The t Mate Mate com com com com	Within 6 - 12 months	0						
cq rec	Within 12 - 18 months	1						

Table 1 - Tree Works Actions Summary Table




Diagram 1 - Species Composition Across the Site




Diagram 2 - Tree Age Class Across the Site

### 2.0 Introduction

- 2.1 Ground Control Ltd were instructed by Bupa to undertake a Visual Tree Assessment (VTA) of all trees within the boundaries of the site.
- 2.2 The owner of land on which a tree or trees stand has a legal duty of care under the Occupiers' Liability Act 1957 and generally under the Health and Safety at Work Act 1974, to ensure people using the site (whether invited or not) are not exposed to tree related hazards that may present a risk to their health and safety or their property. This duty requires tree owners to take reasonably practicable steps to avoid foreseeable risk, including inspections of trees and the implementation of works where deemed necessary.
- 2.3 The purpose of this report is to assess the current condition of trees and significant vegetation within the site and to make recommendations for works based upon the risks of causing harm or damage to persons, property or equipment located at the site address.

### 3.0 Tree Survey & Methodology

- 3.1 The tree survey was undertaken on the 6<sup>th</sup> July 2023 by Matt Parkinson *TechArborA*, LANTRA PTI., Arboricultural Surveyor for Ground Control.
- 3.2 The trees have been assessed from ground level only using the Visual Tree Assessment methodology and assessed with regards to:
  - Structural Condition
  - Current H&S Implications
  - Recommendations for Remedial Works
  - Priority for Works & Indicative Cost Implications
- 3.3 A total of 45 records including 38 tree(s) and 7 group(s) have been inspected. The detail of these inspections can be found within the tree survey schedules in Appendix A and their locations shown on the tree survey plans enclosed within Appendix B.
- 3.4 Tree data inventory records species, height banding, stem diameter banding, age class, condition, structural defects, and recommendations for remedial work. Where possible the number of trees and species found within groups and woodland areas have been recorded. Approximate numbers have been used where access was not possible.
- 3.5 Trees with a stem diameter over 300mm and located within 'High' and 'Medium' risk zones have been tagged as part of this survey to aid identification for site staff.
- 3.6 Recommendations for remedial work are set out within the following Action Priority Class categorisation & time limits (Table 2).

	Wor	k Action Priority Class Categorisation						
Work Priority	Time Limits (As detailed on survey schedule)	Details						
URGENT	IMMEDIATE	Separate to this report all urgent work (immediate) has been phoned / emailed through immediately to the client						
HIGH	Within 3 Months	Covers trees within target distance of High- Risk Zone likely to cause injury, death, or substantial damage.						
MEDIUM	Within 6 Months	Covers trees within target distance of High-Risk Zone likely to cause an inconvenience such as pruning to clear buildings or phone lines. Covers trees within target distance of Medium Risk Zone likely to cause injury or damage.						
LOW	Within 12 Months	Covers trees within target distance of High or Medium Risk Zones with regards to tree works that are necessary to be programmed to promote the future health and well-being of tree stock, such as re-reductions whereby higher categories are not necessary.						

Table 2 - Work Action Priority Class Classifications

- 3.7 The location of trees have been categorised as High (Red), Medium (Orange) or Low (Green). This is determined by accessibility to the general public and frequency of use. If the client has not provided risk zone maps specific to each site, then categorisation is based solely on the Arboricultural Surveyor's discretion from observations gained during the site visit only. Guidelines for this subject come from Common Sense Risk Management of Trees National Tree Safety Group (NTSG). Due consideration has been given to the principles set out below:
  - Public impact Numbers of public using site.
  - Site usage Location of roads, footpaths, buildings
  - Business Risk Risk of damage to property

	Site Risk Zone Classifications
HIGH	Adjacent property including gardens, parks or schools, public roads and footpaths, car parks. Buildings, infrastructure, or plant. Any internal access roads or footpaths leading to buildings or infrastructure used on a regular basis.
MEDIUM	Internal access roads and footpaths used on a limited basis, open grassland.
LOW	Woodlands with limited access or fenced inaccessible areas with no surrounding targets.

Table 3 – Site Risk Zone Definitions

3.8 It is recommended that upon receipt of this report the client reviews the survey schedule and mapping to check that the survey area, risk zones/ hazard classes noted by the Arboricultural Surveyors are in line with clients' own views of that site. Ground Control cannot be held liable for any incorrect categorisation of risk/hazard zones or extent of the survey area.

### 4.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

#### Recommended Tree Works:

- 4.1 A total of 45 survey inspections were recorded from which a total of 3 tree work actions have been recommended. A summary of the tree work actions recommended for the site are set out in Appendix C with photographic records in Appendix D, where appropriate.
- 4.2 All tree works specified within this report should be conducted in accordance with BS 3998:2010 by suitably skilled, experienced, and qualified operatives that are Arboricultural Association Approved Contractors.
- 4.3 Prior to the completion of any tree works the contractors should check for statutory tree protection and obtain the necessary permissions where required. This should include but not be limited to Tree Preservation Orders, Conservation Areas, and any requirements for Forestry Commission Felling Licenses where relevant.
- 4.4 Any works to third party trees will require written consent from the tree owner except those branches that apply to common law and overhanging branches without access into tree.
- 4.5 It is recommended that tree works are undertaken outside of the bird nesting season (March to September inclusive). Where works are undertaken during this period appropriate checks should be made prior to commencement by a suitably trained and competent individual.

#### Recommended Re-Survey:

4.6 Due to the intensity of site usage, it is recommended that trees are inspected annually, or after a significant, strong wind event or as per surveyor recommendation regarding specific trees.

# Appendix A – Tree Survey Schedule


									Tree Survey Schedule								
Tree ID	Tag No	Common Name	Scientific Name	Tree Height (bands)	Stem Diameter (mm)	Life Stage	Physiological Condition	Structural Condition	Observation Comments	Root/Base Observations	Trunk Observations	Crown Observations	Pests, Pathogens & Diseases	Target	Risk Zone	Access	Ownership
1	962	Leyland cypress	Cupressus x leylandii	16-20m	500	Mature	Good	Good		None Significant	Included Fork, Stub Cuts	Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Pruning Wound, Stub Cuts	None Observed	Building, Footpath, Road	High	Good	Client
2	989	Leyland cypress	Cupressus x leylandii	16-20m	500	Mature	Good	Good		None Significant	Included Fork, Stub Cuts	Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Pruning Wound, Stub Cuts	None Observed	Building, Footpath, Road	High	Good	Client
3	969	Pedunculate oak	Quercus robur	11-15m	620	Mature	Fair	Good		None Significant	Forked, Decay on Stem	Low Branches, Crown Dieback, End Weighting of Branches/Stems, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Pruning Wound	None Observed	Car park, Footpath, Road	High	Good	Client
4	N/A	American sweet gum	Liquidambar styraciflua	5-10m	150	Semi- mature	Good	Good		None Significant	None Significant	Low Branches, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Pruning Wound	None Observed	Car park	High	Good	Client
5	N/A	Pear species	Pyrus sp.	5-10m	450	Over- mature	Poor	Poor	Third party ownership. Tree in significant decline.	None Significant	Forked, Ivy - Significant (limits assessment)	Crown Dieback, Major Dead Wood Over 50mm Diameter	None Observed	Building, Car park	High	Good	Third party
6	N/A	Katsura	Cercidiphyllum japonicum	5-10m	200	Semi- mature	Good	Fair	Included co-dominating stems from base.	None Significant	Included Co-Dominant Stem, Multi-Stem	Low Branches, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter	None Observed	Car park	High	Good	Client
7	N/A	Whitebeam	Sorbus aria	0-5m	90	Semi- mature	Poor	Fair	Significant wound to base of stem, likely caused by mowing. Tree defoliated, possible drought damage due to prolonged dry period.	None Significant	Bark Wounding/Damage, Strimmer/Mower Damage	Drought Damage, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Pruning Wound, Foliage -Defoliated, Foliage - Sparse	None Observed	Car park	High	Good	Client
8	948	Silver birch	Betula pendula	11-15m	500	Mature	Good	Fair	Included co-dominating stems from base.	Exposed Roots	Co-dominant Stem, Significant Buttressing	Branch Rip Wound, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Pruning Wound	None Observed	Car park	High	Good	Client
9	949	Common walnut	Juglans regia	11-15m	500	Mature	Fair	Good		Exposed Roots	Forked	Low Branches, End Weighting of Branches/Stems, Major Dead Wood Over 50mm Diameter, Pruning Wound	None Observed	Car park	High	Good	Client
10	948	Apple	Malus domestica	5-10m	250	Mature	Fair	Good		None Significant	Leaning Stem - Minor	Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Pruning Wound	None Observed	Building, Car park, Garden	High	Good	Client
11	N/A	Holm oak	Quercus ilex	5-10m	100	Semi- mature	Good	Good		Exposed Roots	Leaning Stem - Minor	Suppressed Growth, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Pruning Wound	None Observed	Open space	High	Good	Client
12	941	Pedunculate oak	Quercus robur	16-20m	670	Mature	Good	Good		Exposed Roots	Pruning Wounds - Occluded	Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Pruning Wound	None Observed	Building, Car park	High	Good	Client
13	N/A	Rowan	Sorbus aucuparia	0-5m	100	Mature	Fair	Good		Exposed Roots	Decay on Stem, Included Fork, Multi-Stem	Crossing/Rubbing Branches, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter	None Observed	Building, Car park	High	Good	Client
14	N/A	Smooth arizona cypress	Cupressus glabra	0-5m	100	Young	Good	Good	Situated on top of wall.	None Significant	Multi-Stem	None Significant	None Observed	Car park	High	Good	Client
15	N/A	Smooth arizona cypress	Cupressus glabra	0-5m	70	Semi- mature	Good	Good		None Significant	Multi-Stem	None Significant	None Observed	Car park	High	Good	Client
16	996	Norway maple	Acer platanoides	5-10m	450	Mature	Good	Good		Exposed Roots	Forked, Pruning Wounds- Occluding	Crown Close to Building and/or Infrastructure, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Pruning Wound	None Observed	Building, Car park, Garden	High	Good	Client
17	908	Norway maple	Acer platanoides	5-10m	450	Mature	Good	Good	Historical wounding to base now fully occluded.	Exposed Roots	Forked, Occluding Tissues/Wood, Reactive Growth	Branch Rip Wound, Crown Close to Building and/or Infrastructure, Decay Pockets, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter	None Observed	Building, Garden	High	Good	Client
18	N/A	Scots pine	Pinus sylvestris	5-10m	100	Young	Good	Good	Asymmetrical crown due to surrounding vegetation.	None Significant	None Significant	Asymmetrical, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Pruning Wound	None Observed	Building	High	Good	Client

19	N/A	Scots pine	Pinus sylvestris	5-10m	150	Semi- mature	Good	Good		None Significant	Included Fork	Crossing/Rubbing Branches, Crown Close to Building and/or Infrastructure, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm	None Observed	Building, Garden	High	Good	Client
20	N/A	Goat willow	Salix caprea	5-10m	100	Semi- mature	Good	Good	Third party ownership.	None Significant	None Significant	Diameter Branch Rip Wound, Crown Close to Building and/or Infrastructure, Minor Dead Wood Under S0mm Diameter	None Observed	Building	High	Good	Third party
21	N/A	Scots pine	Pinus sylvestris	5-10m	400	Mature	Good	Fair	Third party ownership. Tree is part of wider group causing tree to grow towards Bupa property. Crown in close contact with roof.		Leaning Stem - Major	Crown Close to Building and/or Infrastructure, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter	None Observed	Building, Garden	High	No access - crown health only assessed	Third party
22	N/A	Rowan	Sorbus aucuparia	0-5m	100	Mature	Good	Good		None Significant	None Significant	None Significant	None Observed	Garden	High	Good	Client
23	N/A	Silver birch	Betula pendula	5-10m	290	Semi- mature	Good	Good		Exposed Roots	Included Fork	Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter	None Observed	Building, Garden	High	Good	Client
24	N/A	Himalayan birch 'Silver Grace'	Betula utilis 'Silver Grace'	5-10m	120	Semi- mature	Good	Good		None Significant	None Significant	Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Previous Poor Pruning, Previously Reduced, Pruning Wound	None Observed	Garden	High	Good	Client
25	N/A	Himalayan birch 'Silver Grace'	Betula utilis 'Silver Grace'	5-10m	150	Semi- mature	Good	Good		None Significant	Forked	Crossing/Rubbing Branches, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Previously Reduced, Pruning Wound	None Observed	Garden	High	Good	Client
26	N/A	Pittosporum	Pittosporum	0-5m	60	Semi- mature	Good	Good		None Significant	None Significant	Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter	None Observed	Garden	High	Good	Client
27	N/A	Cockspur hawthorn	Crataegus crus-galli	0-5m	100	Mature	Good	Good	Tree staked and tied - no longer required.	None Significant	None Significant	Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Pruning Wound	None Observed	Garden	High	Good	Client
28	N/A	Common hawthorn	Crataegus monogyna	0-5m	80	Mature	Good	Good	Tree tie being enveloped by stem.	None Significant	Fence, Guard or Other Item Enveloped by Stem, Strimmer/Mower Damage	Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Pruning Wound	None Observed	Garden	High	Good	Client
29	N/A	Common hawthorn	Crataegus monogyna	0-5m	80	Mature	Good	Good		None Significant	Forked, Strimmer/Mower Damage	Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Pruning Wound	None Observed	Garden	High	Good	Client
30	N/A	Hybrid poplar	Populus x canadensis	20+m	600	Mature	Good	Good	Unable to clarify ownership with maintenance. No access due to steep embankment and dense vegetation.		Forked, Ivy - Insignificant	Branch Rip Wound, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter	None Observed	Open space	High	No access to base/stem	Client
31	N/A	Common hazel	Corylus avellana	0-5m	100	Young	Good	Good	Recently coppiced. Unable to clarify ownership with maintenance. No access due to steep embankment and dense vegetation.	None Significant	None Significant	None Significant	None Observed	Car park	High	No access to base/stem	Client
32	N/A	Lawson cypress	Chamaecyparis lawsoniana	5-10m	250	Mature	Fair	Fair	Unable to clarify ownership with maintenance. No access due to steep embankment and dense vegetation. Previously topped.	None Significant	Included Fork	Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Previous Poor Pruning, Previously Reduced, Pruning Wound	None Observed	Building, Car park	High	No access to base/stem	Client
33	N/A	Lawson cypress	Chamaecyparis lawsoniana	5-10m	300	Mature	Fair	Fair	Unable to clarify ownership with maintenance. No access due to steep embankment and dense vegetation. Previously topped.	None Significant	Included Fork	Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Previous Poor Pruning, Previously Reduced, Pruning Wound	None Observed	Building, Car park	High	No access to base/stem	Client
34	N/A	Sycamore	Acer pseudoplatanus	11-15m	400	Mature	Good	Good	Unable to clarify ownership with maintenance. No access due to steep embankment and dense vegetation. Gardener requested lower limbs over car park be removed to improve visibility. Multi stemmed from base without included bark.	None Significant	Multi-Stem	Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Previously Reduced, Pruning Wound	None Observed	Building, Car park, Garden	High	No access to base/stem	Client

35	N/A	Hybrid poplar	Populus x canadensis	16-20m	600	Mature	Fair	Good	Unable to clarify ownership with maintenance. No access due to steep embankment and dense vegetation.		Forked	Branch Rip Wound, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Pruning Wound	None Observed	Building, Car park, Garden	High	No access to base/stem	Client
36	N/A	Lawson cypress	Chamaecyparis lawsoniana	5-10m	350	Mature	Fair	Fair	Unable to clarify ownership with maintenance. No access due to steep embankment and dense vegetation.		Included Fork, Multi-Stem	Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Previously Reduced, Pruning Wound	None Observed	Building, Car park, Garden	High	No access to base/stem	Client
37	N/A	Sumach species	Rhus sp.	0-5m	120	Mature	Fair	Fair		Exposed Roots, Suckering/basal growth	Leaning Stem - Minor	Low Branches, Branch Rip Wound, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Previous Poor Pruning	None Observed	Garden	High	Good	Client
38	N/A	Lawson cypress	Chamaecyparis lawsoniana	0-5m	80	Semi- mature	Fair	Good		None Significant	Multi-Stem	Crown Close to Building and/or Infrastructure, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter	None Observed	Building, Car park	High	Good	Client

									Tree	Group Survey Schedule								
Group ID	Tag No	Common Name	Scientific Name	Number of Trees	Tree Height (bands)	Stem Diameter (bands)	Life Stage	Physiological Condition	Structural Condition	Observation Comments	Root/Base Observations	Trunk Observations	Crown Observations	Pests, Pathogens & Diseases	Target	Risk Zone	Access	Ownership
1	N/A	Common hazel, Cherry laurel	Corylus avellana, Prunus laurocerasus	20+	5-10m	150-300mm	Mature	Mixed	Good		None Significant	Multi-Stem	Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter	None Observed	Car park, Garden	High	No access to base/ste m	Client
2	N/A	Lawson cypress, Cherry laurel, Mixed species	Chamaecyparis lawsoniana, Prunus laurocerasus, Mixed species	5	5-10m	300-450mm	Mature	Mixed	Good		None Significant	Included Fork, Ivy Covered Stem	Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Pruning Wound, Stub Cuts	None Observed	Building, Car park	High	Good	Client
3	N/A	Lawson cypress, Bay laurel	Chamaecyparis lawsoniana, Laurus nobilis	6	5-10m	150-300mm	Semi- mature	Mixed	Fair	Cypress in physiological decline. Standing deadwood within group, posing little risk to persons or property at this time.	None Significant	Included Co-Dominant Stem	Suppressed Growth, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Suppressed Crown	None Observed	Building, Garden	High	Good	Client
4	N/A	Lawson cypress, Common hazel, Photinia	Chamaecyparis lawsoniana, Corylus avellana, Photinia x fraseri	7	5-10m	150-300mm	Semi- mature	Mixed	Good		None Significant	Multi-Stem	Low Crown, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Pruning Wound	None Observed	Building, Garden	High	Good	Client
5	N/A	Mixed species	Mixed species	20+	5-10m	150-300mm	Semi- mature	Mixed	Good	Situated on steep embankment.	None Significant	Multi-Stem	Low Crown, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Pruning Wound	None Observed	Building, Garden	High	Good	Client
6	N/A	Sycamore, Lawson cypress, Common hazel, Common ash, Hybrid poplar, Mixed species	Acer pseudoplatanus, Chamaecyparis lawsoniana, Corylus avellana, Fraxinus excelsior, Populus x canadensis, Mixed species	30+	11-15m	300-450mm	Semi- mature	Mixed	Fair	Unable to clarify ownership with maintenance. No access due to steep embankment and dense vegetation.		Ivy Covered Stem, Multi-Stem	Branch Rip Wound, Included Fork, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter	None Observed	Building, Car park, Garden	High	No access to base/ste m	Client
7	N/A	Mixed species	Mixed species	5	5-10m	150-300mm	Mature	Good	Good		None Significant	Multi-Stem	Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Pruning Wound	None Observed	Building, Car park, Road	High	Good	Client

# Appendix B – Tree Survey Plans



# Appendix C – Tree Work Recommendations

Tree / Group ID	Common Name	Work Task	Work Priority	Description	Tag No
9	Common walnut	Remove Dead Wood Over 50mm Diameter	Within 3 - 6 Months	Remove significant deadwood over 50mm	949
21	Scots pine	Client Action/Client to inform owner	Within 1 - 3 Months	Inform owner of proximity of crown to roof and significant lean and end weighting to stem.	N/A
34	Sycamore	Remove Specific Branch/Limb	Within 12 - 18 Months	Gardener has requested that the lower limbs identified on picture be removed to stem to improve visibility to main road/entrance.	N/A

# Appendix D – Photographs

### Sycamore Tree ID #16010

Inspection Details	
Inspection ID:	6212
Inspected By:	MattP
Inspection Date:	06/07/2023
Inspection Notes:	
Stem Diameter [mm]:	400
Common Name:	Sycamore
Scientific Name:	Acer pseudoplatanus
Physiological Condition:	Good

T**34** 

#### Photos



### Scots pine Tree ID #15997

Inspection Details	
Inspection ID:	6175
Inspected By:	MattP
Inspection Date:	06/07/2023
Inspection Notes:	
Stem Diameter [mm]:	400
Common Name:	Scots pine
Scientific Name:	Pinus sylvestris
Physiological Condition:	Good

**⊺21** 

### Photos




## Common walnut Tree ID #15985

Inspection Details	
Inspection ID:	6135
Inspected By:	MattP
Inspection Date:	06/07/2023
Inspection Notes:	
Stem Diameter [mm]:	500
Common Name:	Common walnut
Scientific Name:	Juglans regia
Physiological Condition:	Fair

T**9** 

#### Photos



### Whitebeam Tree ID #15983

Inspection Details	
Inspection ID:	6126
Inspected By:	MattP
Inspection Date:	06/07/2023
Inspection Notes:	
Stem Diameter [mm]:	90
Common Name:	Whitebeam
Scientific Name:	Sorbus aria
Physiological Condition:	Poor

**T7** 

#### Photos





Ecology Arboriculture GIS and Mapping Landscape Architecture

Ground Control Limited Kingfisher House Radford Way Billericay Essex CM12 0EQ

T: 01277 650 697 E: info@ground-control.co.uk

www.ground-control.co.uk