

Ecology Arboriculture GIS and Mapping Landscape Architecture

## **Tree Condition Survey Report (VTA)**

Site: Wilmington Manor Care Home, Common Lane, Wilmington, Datford, Kent, DA2 7BA

**Client:** Bupa

### **Document Control Sheet**

Title	Tree Repo	Tree Report: Tree Condition Report (VTA)								
Client	Вира	Зира								
Site Name	Wilmingto	n Manor Care H	lome							
GC Document Reference	J220786	J220786								
Author	M: 07517 467474 E: Jordan.Croden@ground-control.co.uk Ground Control Limited, Kingfisher House, Radford Way, Billericay, Essex, CM12 0EQ www.ground-control.co.uk									
Reviewed	Kevin Sleza Head of Ar	acek DipArb. MA boricultural Co	ArborA. MCIHort nsultancy, GC Professional Sei	rvices						
	Issue	Date	Status	Revision						
Issue Status	1	29/08/2023	Final	-						
Disclaimer	The recommo opinions, in Arboricultur All data rec at the time Where acce topography Arboricultur As dynamic recomment period of 1. advisable to health and The report in client and for document commission Use of the the user.	mendations contain exercising the dut ral Surveyor/ Arbor corded and recomm of inspection. Insp ss to conduct a full in fencing or othe rist will make appro living organisms, tri dations provided in 2 months, unless sp o inspect trees after safety. has been prepared or the specific purpor other than by the other than by the and prepared. Report by any othe	ned in this report represent Ground y of care required of a suitably exp icultural Consultant. The endations made are based on obse prections consist of a ground based inspection is not possible due to rease er situations that the survey or for priate notes within the survey schee the survey schee this report should be considered precified otherwise by the Arboricultur r a significant, strong wind event to be by Ground Control Ltd for the sole and cose for which Ground Control has be esponsibility or liability for any use e client for the purposes for wh	Control's professional erienced and qualified rvable factors present visual inspection only. ons such as vegetation, eels are unsafe, the dule. e, the observations and valid for a maximum urist. Additionally, it is ensure their continued and exclusive use of the en commissioned. That is made of this nich it was originally se is at the sole risk of						

### **Table of Contents**

1.0	Executive Summary	4
2.0	Introduction	5
3.0	Tree Survey & Methodology	6
4.0	Conclusions and Recommendations	9
Appen	dix A – Tree Survey Schedule	.10
Appen	dix B – Tree Survey Plans	.15
Appen	dix C – Tree Work Recommendations	.17
Appen	dix D – Photographs	.19

### 1.0 Executive Summary

- 1.1 The tree survey was undertaken on the 23<sup>rd</sup> August 2023 by Tom Bevan, Ground Control's Arboricultural Surveyor at Wilmington Manor Care Home, Common Lane, Wilmington, Dartford, Kent, DA2 7BA; hereafter referred to as the 'site'.
- 1.2 A summary of the tree work actions required from this survey alongside a summary of the site's tree stock species composition is included below. Detailed information of required tree works for this site can be found within section 4.0 of this report.

Inspection Records:									
Action Records:									
es ted k e	IMMEDIATE/ URGENT	0							
Driti collar collar che ce wor ty' ty'	Within 1 month	0							
Prio Prides c vides c rry of t red tre elatior rames	Within 1 - 3 months	9							
<b>ion</b> e pro menc nenc kction timef	Within 3 - 6 months	0							
Act su su ecom iction	Within 6 - 12 months	2							
The	Within 12 - 18 months	0							

Table 1 – Tree Works Actions Summary Table



Diagram 1 – Species Composition Across the Site



Diagram 2 – Tree Age Class Across the Site

### 2.0 Introduction

- 2.1 Ground Control Ltd were instructed by Bupa to undertake a Visual Tree Assessment (VTA) of all trees within the boundaries of the site.
- 2.2 The owner of land on which a tree or trees stand has a legal duty of care under the Occupiers' Liability Act 1957 and generally under the Health and Safety at Work Act 1974, to ensure people using the site (whether invited or not) are not exposed to tree related hazards that may present a risk to their health and safety or their property. This duty requires tree owners to take reasonably practicable steps to avoid foreseeable risk, including inspections of trees and the implementation of works where deemed necessary.
- 2.3 The purpose of this report is to assess the current condition of trees and significant vegetation within the site and to make recommendations for works based upon the risks of causing harm or damage to persons, property or equipment located at the site address.

### 3.0 Tree Survey & Methodology

- 3.1 This tree survey was undertaken on the 23<sup>rd</sup> August 2023 by Tom Bevan L4 Dip Arb, TechArborA, Arboricultural Surveyor for Ground Control.
- 3.2 The trees have been assessed from ground level only using the Visual Tree Assessment methodology and assessed with regards to:
  - Structural Condition
  - Current H&S Implications
  - Recommendations for Remedial Works
  - Priority for Works & Indicative Cost Implications
- 3.3 A total of 31 records including 29 tree(s) and 2 group(s) have been inspected. The detail of these inspections can be found within the tree survey schedules in Appendix A and their locations shown on the tree survey plans enclosed within Appendix B.
- 3.4 Tree data inventory records species, height banding, stem diameter banding, age class, condition, structural defects, and recommendations for remedial work. Where possible the number of trees and species found within groups and woodland areas have been recorded. Approximate numbers have been used where access was not possible.
- 3.5 Trees with a stem diameter over 300mm and located within 'High' and 'Medium' risk zones have been tagged as part of this survey to aid identification for site staff.
- 3.6 Recommendations for remedial work are set out within the following Action Priority Class categorisation & time limits (Table 2).

	Work Action Priority Class Categorisation										
Work Priority	<b>Time Limits</b> (As detailed on survey schedule)	Details									
URGENT	IMMEDIATE	Separate to this report all urgent work (immediate) has been phoned / emailed through immediately to the client									
HIGH	Within 3 Months	Covers trees within target distance of High- Risk Zone likely to cause injury, death, or substantial damage.									
MEDIUM	Within 6 Months	Covers trees within target distance of High-Risk Zone likely to cause an inconvenience such as pruning to clear buildings or phone lines. Covers trees within target distance of Medium Risk Zone likely to cause injury or damage.									
LOW	Within 12 Months	Covers trees within target distance of High or Medium Risk Zones with regards to tree works that are necessary to be programmed to promote the future health and well-being of tree stock, such as re- reductions whereby higher categories are not necessary.									

 Table 2 – Work Action Priority Class Classifications

- 3.7 The location of trees have been categorised as High (Red), Medium (Orange) or Low (Green). This is determined by accessibility to the general public and frequency of use. If the client has not provided risk zone maps specific to each site, then categorisation is based solely on the Arboricultural Surveyor's discretion from observations gained during the site visit only. Guidelines for this subject come from Common Sense Risk Management of Trees National Tree Safety Group (NTSG). Due consideration has been given to the principles set out below:
  - Public impact Numbers of public using site.
  - Site usage Location of roads, footpaths, buildings
  - Business Risk Risk of damage to property

	Site Risk Zone Classifications									
HIGH	Adjacent property including gardens, parks or schools, public roads and footpaths, car parks. Buildings, infrastructure, or plant. Any internal access roads or footpaths leading to buildings or infrastructure used on a regular basis.									
MEDIUM	Internal access roads and footpaths used on a limited basis, open grassland.									
LOW	Woodlands with limited access or fenced inaccessible areas with no surrounding targets.									

Table 3 – Site Risk Zone Definitions

3.8 It is recommended that upon receipt of this report the client reviews the survey schedule and mapping to check that the survey area, risk zones/ hazard classes noted by the Arboricultural Surveyors are in line with clients' own views of that site. Ground Control cannot be held liable for any incorrect categorisation of risk/hazard zones or extent of the survey area.

### 4.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

### **Recommended Tree Works:**

- 4.1 A total of 31 survey inspections were recorded from which a total of 11 tree work actions have been recommended. A summary of the tree work actions recommended for the site are set out in Appendix C with photographic records in Appendix D, where appropriate.
- 4.2 All tree works specified within this report should be conducted in accordance with BS 3998:2010 by suitably skilled, experienced, and qualified operatives that are Arboricultural Association Approved Contractors.
- 4.3 Prior to the completion of any tree works the contractors should check for statutory tree protection and obtain the necessary permissions where required. This should include but not be limited to Tree Preservation Orders, Conservation Areas, and any requirements for Forestry Commission Felling Licenses where relevant.
- 4.4 Any works to third party trees will require written consent from the tree owner except those branches that apply to common law and overhanging branches without access into tree.
- 4.5 It is recommended that tree works are undertaken outside of the bird nesting season (March to September inclusive). Where works are undertaken during this period appropriate checks should be made prior to commencement by a suitably trained and competent individual.

#### **Recommended Re-Survey:**

4.6 Due to the intensity of site usage, it is recommended that trees are inspected annually, or after a significant, strong wind event or as per surveyor recommendation regarding specific trees.

# Appendix A – Tree Survey Schedule

									Tree Survey Schedul	e							
Tree ID	Tag No	Common Name	Scientific Name	Tree Height (bands)	Stem Diameter (mm)	r Life Stage	Physiological Condition	Structural Condition	Observation Comments	Root/Base Observations	Trunk Observations	Crown Observations	Pests, Pathogens & Diseases	Target	Risk Zone	Access	Ownership
1	1249	Silver birch	Betula pendula	11-15m	200	Early-mature	Good	Good		None Significant	Stub Cuts	Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter	None Observed	Footpath, Road	High	Good	Client
2	729	Maidenhair tree	Ginkgo biloba	20+m	450	Mature	Good	Good		None Significant	None Significant	Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter	None Observed	Footpath, Road	High	Good	Client
3	1241	Box	Buxus sempervirens	5-10m	300	Semi-mature	Dead	Poor		None Significant	Co-dominant Stem	Crown Dieback, Major Dead Wood Over 50mm Diameter	None Observed	Footpath, Road	High	Good	Client
4	1708	Common holly	Ilex aquifolium	5-10m	300	Semi-mature	Fair	Fair		None Significant	Co-dominant Stem	Crown Dieback, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter	None Observed	Footpath, Road	High	Good	Client
5									Tree does not exist								
6	1703	Common beech	Fagus sylvatica	16-20m	550	Mature	Fair	Fair	Browning of foliage and wilt which would suggest early signs of decline likely drought stress	None Significant	Included Co-Dominant Stem	Crossing/Rubbing Branches, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter	None Observed	Footpath, Road, Wall	High	Good	Client
7	1787	Cabbage palm	Cordyline australis	0-5m	200	Early-mature	Good	Good		None Significant	Multi-Stem	Crown Close to Building and/or Infrastructure	None Observed	Building, Footpath, Road	High	Good	Client
8	1277	Common beech	Fagus sylvatica	11-15m	375	Early-mature	Good	Good		None Significant	No Visible Issues or Defects	Low Crown, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter	None Observed	Car park, Wall	High	Good	Client
9	786	Common beech	Fagus sylvatica	11-15m	375	Early-mature	Good	Good	Tree branches in direct contact with shed which may result in damage due to future incremental growth	None Significant	Bark Wounding/Damage, Decay on Stem	Crossing/Rubbing Branches, Crown Close to Building and/or Infrastructure, Low Crown, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter	None Observed	Car park, Wall	High	Good	Client
10	1253	Ash species	Fraxinus sp.	11-15m	400	Early-mature	Good	Good	Tree branches in direct contact with boundary fence and slight overhanging of neighbours garden	None Significant	Bark Wounding/Damage	Crossing/Rubbing Branches, Low Branches, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter	None Observed	Car park, Wall	High	Good	Client
11	1115	Sycamore	Acer pseudoplatanus	16-20m	600	Mature	Fair	Fair		None Significant	Co-dominant Stem	Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter	None Observed	Building, Wall	High	Good	Client
12	1702	Common holly	Ilex aquifolium	11-15m	600	Mature	Fair	Fair		None Significant	Ivy Covered Stem, Multi- Stem	Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Previous Poor Pruning	None Observed	Building, Fence	High	Good	Client
13	1114	Ash species	Fraxinus sp.						Removed								Client

									Tree Survey Schedul	e							
Tree ID	Tag No	Common Name	Scientific Name	Tree Height (bands)	Stem Diameter (mm)	r Life Stage	Physiological Condition	Structural Condition	Observation Comments	Root/Base Observations	Trunk Observations	Crown Observations	Pests, Pathogens &	Target	Risk Zone	Access	Ownership
14	1244	Horse chestnut	Aesculus hippocastanum	11-15m	300	Early-mature	Good	Good		None Significant	No Visible Issues or Defects	None Significant	None Observed	Fence	High	Good	Client
15	1239	Laurel	Prunus laurocerasus 'Otto Luykens	5-10m	300	Early-mature	Good	Good		None Significant	No Visible Issues or Defects	None Significant	None Observed	Fence	High	Good	Client
16	797	Common hazel	Corylus avellana	5-10m	375	Early-mature	Good	Good		None Significant	Multi-Stem	None Significant	None Observed	Fence	High	Good	Client
17	1713	Norway maple	Acer platanoides	11-15m	350	Semi-mature	Good	Good		None Significant	Bark Wounding/Damage	Squirrel Damage	None Observed	Building, Car park, Fence	High	Good	Client
18		Laurel	Prunus laurocerasus 'Otto Luykens	5-10m	350	Early-mature	Good	Good		None Significant	Multi-Stem	Low Crown	None Observed	Fence	High	Good	Client
19	1243	Cherry species	Prunus sp.	11-15m	300	Early-mature	Good	Good		None Significant	None Significant	Previously Reduced	None Observed	Building, Fence	High	Good	Client
20	1709	Common beech	Fagus sylvatica	16-20m	550	Mature	Fair	Fair		None Significant	Bark Wounding/Damage	Asymmetrical, Minor Dead Wood Under 50mm Diameter, Previous Poor Pruning	· None Observed	Building, Fence	High	Good	Client
21	1245	Pedunculate oak	Quercus robur	20+m	700	Mature	Fair	Fair	Tree has received aggressive pruning to reduce overhanging of neighbouring property, the result is an incredibly unbalanced canopy	None Significant	Bark Wounding/Damage	Asymmetrical, Previous Poor Pruning	None Observed	Building, Car park, Fence	High	Good	Client
22	1121	Common beech	Fagus sylvatica						Removed								Client
23	738	Sycamore	Acer pseudoplatanus	5-10m	150	Semi-mature	Good	Good	Dryad saddle present on stem	None Significant	Multi-Stem	None Significant	Fungus - Other	Footpath, Road	High	Good	Client
24									Tree does not exist								
25									Tree does not exist								
26									Tree does not exist								

									Tree Survey Schedul	e							
Tree ID	Tag No	Common Name	Scientific Name	Tree Height (bands)	Stem Diameter (mm)	Life Stage	Physiological Condition	Structural Condition	Observation Comments	Root/Base Observations	Trunk Observations	Crown Observations	Pests, Pathogens & Diseases	Target	Risk Zone	Access	Ownership
27	1247	Common beech	Fagus sylvatica	20+m	650	Mature	Fair	Poor		None Significant	Included Co-Dominant Stem, Ivy Covered Stem	None Significant	Fungus - Other	Building, Car park, Fence, Footpath, Road	High	Good	Client
28	1705	Sycamore	Acer pseudoplatanus	11-15m	300	Early-mature	Poor	Poor		None Significant	Decay on Stem	Asymmetrical	None Observed	Road	High	Good	Client
29	533	Common beech	Fagus sylvatica	20+m	600	Mature	Fair	Fair	Small area of decay at base of tree, however unable to inspect base more thoroughly due to vegetation	None Significant	Decay on Stem, Bark Necrosis, Ivy Covered Stem	None Significant	Fungus - Other	Building, Car park, Fence, Footpath, Road	High	No access to base/stem	Client

	Tree Group Survey Schedule																	
Group II	D Tag No	Common Name	Scientific Name	Number of Trees	Tree Height (bands)	Stem Diameter (bands)	Life Stage	Physiological Condition	Structural Condition	Observation Comments	Root/Base Observations	Trunk Observations	Crown Observations	Pests, Pathogens & Diseases	Target	Risk Zone	Access	Ownership
1		Mixed species	Mixed species	50	20+m	600-750mm	Mature	Mixed	Fair		None Significant	Bark Wounding/Damage, Decay on Stem, Ivy Covered Stem	Crown Dieback, Major Dead Wood Over 50mm Diameter, Prolific Ivy	None Observed	Footpath, Road	High	No access to base/stem	Client
2		Mixed species	Mixed species	30	16-20m	450-600mm	Mature	Mixed	Fair		None Significant	Bark Wounding/Damage, Decay on Stem, Co-dominant Stem, Ivy Covered Stem	Crown Dieback, Major Dead Wood Over 50mm Diameter, Prolific Ivy	None Observed	Footpath, Road	High	No access to base/stem	Client

# Appendix B – Tree Survey Plans





#### LEGEND

Site Boundary



Ground Control Ltd., Kingfisher House, Radford Way, Billericay, Essex, CM12 0EQ T: 01277650 697 E: info@ground-control.co.uk www.ground-control.co.uk

Client

Bupa

Project (Address)

Wilmington Manor Care Home, Common Lane, Wilmington, Dartford, Kent, DA2 7BA

Figure No

#### Site Layout Plan

#### Project ID J220786

29/08/2023

#### Notes

- 1. © This drawing is the copyright of Ground Control Ltd and cannot be reproduced in any form without express consent of the company.
  2. Tree positions are approximate only and based upon mobile GPS and/or site
- features. b Do not scale off this drawing. All written dimensions are to be checked on site prior to companying works.
- All discrepancies, errors or omissions are to be reported for clarification before proceeding.

# Appendix C – Tree Work Recommendations

Tree / Group ID	Common Name	Work Task	Work Priority	Description	Tag No
3	Box	Fell to Ground Level (Directional Fell)	Within 1 - 3 Months	Fell tree	1241
8	Common beech	Crown Lift Over Car Park/ Footpath to 2.5m	Within 1 - 3 Months	Crown Lift Over Car Park/ Footpath to 2.5m	1277
9	Common beech	Crown Lift Over Car Park/ Footpath to 2.5m	Within 1 - 3 Months	Crown Lift Over Car Park/ Footpath to 2.5m	786
9	Common beech	Prune to Clear structure/wires/buildings by 1m	Within 1 - 3 Months	Prune to Clear structure/wires/buildings by 1m	786
10	Ash species	Prune to Clear structure/wires/buildings by 1m	Within 1 - 3 Months	Prune to clear fence	1253
10	Ash species	Prune Specific Branch/Limb	Within 1 - 3 Months	Reduce overhanging branches by 1m	1253
21	Pedunculate oak	Reduce Crown in Height (by 3m) and Shape (Specify final height and width)	Within 1 - 3 Months	Reduce overhang, BUPA side, only by 3m	1245
27	Common beech	Crown Reduce in Height by Specified Amount & Shape	Within 1 - 3 Months	Reduce crown by 1m	1247
28	Sycamore	Dismantle to ground level	Within 1 - 3 Months	Dismantle to ground level	1705
29	Common beech	Sever and Remove Ivy to 1.5m Above Ground Level	Within 6 - 12 Months	Clear all vegetation from base of tree	533
29	Common beech	Re-Inspect by Arb (Within Specified Timeframe) - Specify reasoning	Within 6 - 12 Months	Reinspect tree once all vegetation is cleared around base of tree	533



# Appendix D – Photographs

### Box Tree ID #3

7 Manor Gate Lane

Вох
Buxus sempervirens
Buxus
300
Dead
Poor
Dead

Tree Location	
Address:	7 Manor Gate Lane
City:	
Land Use:	
Longitude:	0.189815
Latitude:	51.431619



### Common beech Tree ID #8 17 Wilmington Court Road

Tree Details

Common Name:	Common beech
Scientific Name:	Fagus sylvatica
Genus:	Fagus
Tree Height [m]:	
Number of Stems:	
Stem Diameter [mm]:	375
(N) Branch Spread [m]:	
(E) Branch Spread [m]:	
(S) Branch Spread [m]:	
(W) Branch Spread [m]:	
Height of First Significant Branch [m]:	
Height of Canopy Above Ground Level [m]:	
Physiological Condition:	Good
Structural Condition:	Good
Quality Category:	
Quality Sub-Category:	
Status:	Alive
Comments:	
Recommendations:	

Tree Location	
Address:	17 Wilmington Court Road
City:	
Land Use:	
Longitude:	0.189186
Latitude:	51.431155



### Common beech Tree ID #9 11 Wilmington Court Road

Tree Details

Common Name: Common beech Fagus sylvatica Scientific Name: Genus: Fagus Tree Height [m]: Number of Stems: Stem Diameter [mm]: 375 (N) Branch Spread [m]: (E) Branch Spread [m]: (S) Branch Spread [m]: (W) Branch Spread [m]: Height of First Significant Branch [m]: Height of Canopy Above Ground Level [m]: Physiological Good Condition: Structural Condition: Good Quality Category: Quality Sub-Category: Status: Alive Comments: Recommendations:

Tree Location	
Address:	11 Wilmington Court Road
City:	
Land Use:	
Longitude:	0.189138
Latitude:	51.431120



### Ash species Tree ID #10

11 Wilmington Court Road

Ash species

Fraxinus sp.

Fraxinus

Good

Alive

### Tree Details

Common Name:	
Scientific Name:	

Genus:

Tree Height [m]:

Number of Stems:

Stem Diameter [mm]: 400

(N) Branch Spread [m]:

(E) Branch Spread [m]:

(S) Branch Spread [m]:

(W) Branch Spread

[m]:

Height of First Significant Branch [m]:

Height of Canopy Above Ground Level [m]:

Physiological Condition:

Structural Condition: Good

Quality Category:

Quality Sub-Category:

Status:

Comments:

Recommendations:

Tree Location	
Address:	11 Wilmington Court Road
City:	
Land Use:	
Longitude:	0.189129
Latitude:	51.431050



## Pedunculate oak Tree ID #21

7 Manor Gate Lane

Tree Details	
Common Name:	Pedunculate oak
Scientific Name:	Quercus robur
Genus:	Quercus
Tree Height [m]:	
Number of Stems:	
Stem Diameter [mm]:	700
(N) Branch Spread [m]:	
(E) Branch Spread [m]:	
(S) Branch Spread [m]:	
(W) Branch Spread [m]:	
Height of First Significant Branch [m]:	
Height of Canopy Above Ground Level [m]:	
Physiological Condition:	Fair
Structural Condition:	Fair
Quality Category:	
Quality Sub-Category:	
Status:	Alive
Comments:	
Recommendations:	

Tree Location	
Address:	7 Manor Gate Lane
City:	
Land Use:	
Longitude:	0.190315
Latitude:	51.431369



### Common beech Tree ID #29 7 Manor Gate Lane

Tree Details	
Common Name:	Common beech
Scientific Name:	Fagus sylvatica
Genus:	Fagus
Tree Height [m]:	
Number of Stems:	
Stem Diameter [mm]:	600
(N) Branch Spread [m]:	
(E) Branch Spread [m]:	
(S) Branch Spread [m]:	
(W) Branch Spread [m]:	
Height of First Significant Branch [m]:	
Height of Canopy Above Ground Level [m]:	
Physiological Condition:	Fair
Structural Condition:	Fair
Quality Category:	
Quality Sub-Category:	
Status:	Alive
Comments:	
Recommendations:	

Tree Location	
Address:	7 Manor Gate Lane
City:	
Land Use:	
Longitude:	0.190071
Latitude:	51.431698





Ecology Arboriculture GIS and Mapping Landscape Architecture

Ground Control Limited Kingfisher House Radford Way Billericay Essex CM12 0EQ

T: 01277 650 697 E: info@ground-control.co.uk

www.ground-control.co.uk