# **BS 5837 Arboricultural Report**

& Impact Assessment



at Staples Corner Vauxhall N Circular Road Brent Cross, London NW2 1LY

> Dated 3<sup>rd</sup> October 2023



Branching out through England and Wales

# **Contents**

1.	Introduction	3
1.1.		3
1.2.	Purpose of this Report	3
1.3.	Author	3
2.	The Survey	4
2.2.	Methodology	4
2.3.	Survey Extent	5
2.4.	Summary of Observations	5
3.	Vegetation Overview (independent of proposals)	6
3.1.	Preliminary Management Recommendations	6
3.2.	Future Inspections	6
4.	Arboricultural Impact Assessment	7
4.1.	Overview	7
4.2.	Tree Removal	7
4.3.	Mitigation Planting	8
4.4.	Tree Pruning	8
4.5.	Impact of Foundations	8
4.6.	Impact of Surfacing	8
4.7.	Underground Services:	8
4.8.	Changes in Ground Levels:	8
4.9.	Demolition Activities	8
4.10.	Waste and Materials Storage	8
4.11.	Cabins and Site Facilities	9
4.12.	Boundary Treatments	9
4.13.	Impact of Retained Trees on the Development	9
4.14.	Arboricultural Method Statement	9
5.	Photographs	10
_		
Appen	ndix 1: BS 5837: 2012 – Interpretation Guide	12
Appen	ndix 2: Glossary of Tree Data	13
Appen	ndix 3: Author& Surveyor's Qualifications	14
Appen	ndix 4: Tree Data Schedule and Drawings	15

#### Introduction 1.

#### Instruction 1.1.

- We are instructed by .Big Yellow Self Storage Company Limited to: 1.1.1.
  - Undertake a Tree Survey to BS 5837 at Staples Corner Vauxhall and assess all trees potentially within influencing distance of proposed development within the site.
  - Plot the trees on a Tree Constraints Plan and record the data in a Tree Data Schedule.
  - Provide preliminary management recommendations for the tree stock (independent of development proposals).
  - Assess the potential impact of the development proposals and provide guidance as to appropriate mitigation measures.
  - Produce an Arboricultural Impact Assessment for submission to the local authority.

#### **Purpose of this Report** 1.2.

- 1.2.1. This report is produced according to the guidance and recommendations within BS 5837: 2012 - Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition, and Construction. It is tailored to accompany a planning application. It assesses the impact of all proposed construction works on the tree population. Tree removal, canopy pruning, and the impact upon roots from various groundworks are all considered in detail. Best practice mitigation is specified wherever appropriate.
- This document should not be used to inform management decisions relating to liability or risk management. 1.2.2. Such decisions should be based on a more detailed inspection of the trees than was carried out for this report.

#### Author 1.3.

1.3.1. This report was compiled by Emma Hoyle FDSc (Arboriculture), ED (Forestry & Arboriculture), M. Arbor. A. Emma's resumé can be found in Appendix 3.

## 2. The Survey

2.1.1. A visual ground-level assessment of all trees was undertaken on the 21st September 2023 by Carl Lothian. No climbed inspections or specialist decay detection were undertaken.

#### 2.2. Methodology

- 2.2.1. Structural condition was assessed by inspecting the stem and scaffold branches, looking for weak branch junctions, symptoms of decay, or other structural defects. Any recommended works were made to ensure the trees are in acceptable structural condition. The position of the tree and its potential targets were taken into account.
- 2.2.2. Physiological condition was assessed by inspecting the stem, branches and foliage for symptoms of disease. The vigour of the tree was also taken into account.
- 2.2.3. Key measurements were obtained using a diameter tape, clinometer, distometer and loggers tape. Where this was not practical, measurements were estimated.
- 2.2.4. Some trees may be surveyed as groups, though this is usually avoided close to areas likely to be developed.
- 2.2.5. The tree locations shown on the accompanying drawings are based on a measured drawing of the site supplied to Crown Tree Consultancy. This drawing had the tree positions already plotted. Where applicable, additional trees have been plotted by us according to measurements taken on-site.
- 2.2.6. Finally, a Retention Category is allocated as prescribed within BS5837 2012. The relevant cascade chart is duplicated below.

Category and definition	definition Criteria (including subcategories where appropriate)						
Trees unsuitable for retention	(see Note)						
Category U	• Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse,						
Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically	including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning)						
be retained as living trees in	<ul> <li>Trees that are dead or are showing s</li> </ul>	signs of significant, immediate, and irreversibl	e overall decline				
land use for longer than	<ul> <li>Trees infected with pathogens of sig quality trees suppressing adjacent tr</li> </ul>	nificance to the health and/or safety of other ees of better quality	trees nearby, or very low				
io years	NOTE Category U trees can have existin see 4.5.7.	g or potential conservation value which it mig	ght be desirable to preserve;				
	1 Mainly arboricultural qualities 2 Mainly landscape qualities 3 Mainly cultural values, including conservation						
Trees to be considered for rete	ention						
Category A	Trees that are particularly good	Trees, groups or woodlands of particular	Trees, groups or woodlands	See Table 2			
Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years	examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)	visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features	of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture)				
Category B	Trees that might be included in	Trees present in numbers, usually growing	Trees with material	See Table 2			
Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years	category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remediable defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category A designation	as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality	conservation or other cultural value				
Category C	Unremarkable trees of very limited	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but	Trees with no material	See Table 2			
Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm	merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories	without this conterring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefits	conservation or other cultural value				

Table 1 Cascade chart for tree quality assessment

2.2.7. Further guidance on interpreting BS 5837 and our survey methodology is given in Appendix 1.

Crown Consultants Ltd trading as Crown Tree Consultancy, Crown House, Newton Terrace, Halifax, W Yorks, HX6 3PS. Tel: 01422 316660. Email: <u>Info@crowntrees.co.uk</u> Website: **www.crowntrees.co.uk** 

## 2.3. Survey Extent

2.3.1. The area indicated below<sup>1</sup> shows the extent of the survey. Our survey included all trees within the curtilage of the property and those adjacent to it.



### 2.4. Summary of Observations

- 2.4.1. The site, known as Staples Corner, is a commercial car sales forecourt. The site is primarily occupied by hard surfacing.
- 2.4.2. Within the curtilage of the site, we identified eight small Retention Category C specimens (T1-T8), and occasional mixed shrubs and Buddleia adjacent to the north and western boundaries.
- 2.4.3. No significant vegetation grows immediately adjacent to the site.
- 2.4.4. The Tree Constraints Plan and Tree Data Schedule (see Appendix 6) should be referred to for descriptions and locations of all trees.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Image taken from Google Earth and may not be current

# 3. Vegetation Overview (independent of proposals)

This section summarises all the recommendations within the Tree Data Schedule regardless of whether trees are to be retained, felled or pruned to facilitate the proposed development. It does not specify works that may be required to facilitate the development proposals.

### 3.1. Preliminary Management Recommendations

3.1.1. The trees were all deemed to be in an acceptable condition, and no significant defects were observed. Consequently, no remedial works have been recommended.

### 3.2. Future Inspections

3.2.1. The table below suggests a schedule of future inspections based on the condition and location of each tree:

Inspection	Tree Number
Frequency	
(years)	
0.5	None
1	None
1.5	None
3	T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, T7 and T8

3.2.2. The trees should be inspected sooner if there is a noticeable decline in their condition or following extreme weather events.

# 4. Arboricultural Impact Assessment

## 4.1. Overview

- 4.1.1. It is proposed to demolish the existing building and construct a new detached commercial property as indicated on the drawings in Appendix 4. The existing layout is indicated in black, and the footprint of the proposed ground floor layout is indicated in pink.
- 4.1.2. The table below summarises the potential impact on trees due to various activities.

Activity	Trees Potentially Affected
Tree Removal: Retention Category A	None
Tree Removal: Retention Category B	None
Tree Removal: Retention Category C	T1 – T8
Tree Removal: Retention Category U	None
Tree Pruning	None
RPA: Building Foundations	None
RPA: New Hard Surface	None
RPA: Replace Existing Hard Surface	None
RPA: Underground Services	None
RPA: Change of Ground Levels	None
RPA: Soil Compaction	None

4.1.1. Other potentially damaging activities often associated with construction sites include demolition or the careless use of plant machinery, hazardous materials, or fires. All of the above potential impacts are considered in detail throughout this Section.

## 4.2. Tree Removal

4.2.1. To enable the development, it is proposed to remove eight Retention Category C specimens (T1 – T8). Trees to be removed include seven 3m tall Hornbeam (see Photograph below) and one 5m tall Buddleia (T8).



4.2.2. The trees to be removed are considered to have a low amenity value and the impact on local amenity levels due to their loss shall be minimal.

## 4.3. Mitigation Planting

4.3.1. As part of the proposed development, a variety of new vegetation is proposed throughout the site. The planting of new vegetation shall not only create a significant increase in tree cover throughout the site but will also improve levels of amenity and biodiversity.

#### 4.4. Tree Pruning

4.4.1. No tree pruning works are required to facilitate the proposed development.

### 4.5. Impact of Foundations

4.5.1. No foundations are proposed within the Root Protection Area of any retained tree. Consequently, no restrictions on foundation design or implementation are considered necessary from an arboricultural perspective.

#### 4.6. Impact of Surfacing

4.6.1. No new hard surfaces are proposed within the Root Protection Areas of any tree.

### 4.7. Underground Services:

4.7.1. The proposal requires no underground services to be excavated through any Root Protection Areas.

#### 4.8. Changes in Ground Levels:

4.8.1. No changes to ground levels are proposed over Root Protection Areas.

#### Soil Compaction:

- 4.8.2. The majority of tree roots lie within the upper soil horizons. This is because the availability of oxygen decreases with depth, and roots need to breathe to stay alive. In addition, nutrients are more readily available in the form of organic matter close to the soil surface.

a name of the Martin State of the State of t

- 4.8.3. Healthy soils contain about 25% air space between solid particles. Increased loading of the soil caused by construction activity causes air to be squeezed out as the soil becomes compacted, preventing roots from breathing. Even an increase in pedestrian activity may cause some soil compaction.
- 4.8.4. It is important therefore that ground compaction and soil disturbance over Root Protection Areas should be avoided during the construction phase. Where access is required over Root Protection Areas, suitable ground protection measures must be installed.

### 4.9. Demolition Activities

4.9.1. No demolition is proposed close to trees.

### 4.10. Waste and Materials Storage

- 4.10.1. All hazardous materials (including cement and petrochemical products) will need to be controlled according to COSHH regulations in order to ensure there is no detrimental impact on tree health. Provision shall need to be made to ensure that cement spillage avoids all Root Protection Areas.
- 4.10.2. Areas designated for the storage of building materials and waste products will need to be approved by the local authority. Root Protection Areas should be avoided. Where this is not possible, suitable ground protection measures will need to be installed.

#### **Cabins and Site Facilities** 4.11.

Any cabins and welfare facilities should be located outside of Root Protection Areas wherever possible. 4.11.1. Otherwise, the project arborist should be consulted, and approval obtained from the local authority.

#### 4.12. Boundary Treatments

4.12.1. We are not aware of any changes proposed to the existing boundary features that might impact on trees.

#### 4.13. Impact of Retained Trees on the Development

The foundations and any new surfaces should be designed to accommodate all potential impacts due to 4.13.1. future tree rooting activity. These include potential vegetation-related subsidence, vegetation-related heave, and lifting of surfaces / light structures due to direct root pressure.

### 4.14. Arboricultural Method Statement

Given that there are no trees to be retained within the site, it is considered that an Arboricultural Method 4.14.1. Statement should not be required to support this planning application.

#### Photographs 5.

Photo 1.



Refer also to the Tree Constraints Plan for photo locations.



Photo 3.







Photo 6.



Crown Consultants Ltd trading as Crown Tree Consultancy, Crown House, Newton Terrace, Halifax, W Yorks, HX6 3PS. Tel: 01422 316660. Email: Info@crowntrees.co.uk Website: www.crowntrees.co.uk Page 10 of 15

Photo 7.



Photo 8.









## Appendix 1: BS 5837: 2012 – Interpretation Guide

This Standard prescribes the principles to be applied to achieve a satisfactory juxtaposition of trees and structures. It sets out to assist those concerned with planning applications to form balanced judgments.

#### Stage 1: Survey Details and Notes

A ground-level visual survey is undertaken. Only trees with a stem diameter over 75mm, which lie within the site boundary or relatively close to it, are included.

Where applicable, trees with significant defects are highlighted and appropriate remedial works are recommended.

Wherever practicable dimensions are obtained using diameter tapes, logger's tapes, distometers and clinometers. Where obstacles prevent accurate measurement, dimensions are estimated. Trees on privately owned third-party land are surveyed from the best available vantage point and observations relating to the condition of these trees should be treated accordingly. All height measurements should be regarded as approximate.

Data is recorded for each tree and is presented in a Tree Data Schedule. Each tree is allocated a **Retention Category** according to its size, amenity value, condition, and safe useful life expectancy. The categories are allocated independently of development proposals. Our interpretation of the Retention Categories is explained below:

#### **Retention Categories**

**A Category:** Trees of high quality and amenity value. Usually, mature trees with a significant life expectancy which would enhance any development. Retention of these trees is strongly encouraged.

**B Category:** Trees of moderate quality and amenity value. Usually these are maturing trees or younger trees with exceptional form. Retention of these trees is desirable though the removal of occasional specimens may be acceptable.

**C** Category: Trees of low quality or small specimens with a relatively low amenity value. These trees are not considered to be a material planning constraint and their removal will generally be seen as acceptable in order to facilitate development.

**U Category:** Trees of such low quality that their removal is recommended regardless of development proposals.

Occasionally trees are borderline and do not fall neatly into one of these categories. In such cases we apply a superscript (+/-) such that:

C<sup>+</sup> Indicates borderline C/B, though Category C is deemed to be most appropriate.

**B**<sup>-</sup> Indicates borderline C/B, though Category B is deemed to be most appropriate.

The British Standard suggests that each of the A, B and C categories may be further subdivided (A1, A2, A3, B1, B2, B3 etc) such that subcategory 1 denotes mainly arboricultural values, subcategory 2 denotes mainly landscape values and subcategory 3 denotes mainly cultural values (including conservation). Multiple subcategories may be used.

Our experience suggests that these subdivisions lack clarity and can be confusing. Within this report subcategories are **not** denoted. Where appropriate, the use of phrases such as 'Part of a formal group', or 'Has a high ecological value', or 'Offers good screening to the site' are incorporated into the observation section of the Tree Data Schedule. We believe this conveys all relevant landscape and cultural information without any confusion.

**Tree Constraints Plan (TCP).** This indicates the position, crown spread, Retention Category and Root Protection Area of each tree. It is used to inform where development may proceed without causing damage to trees.

**Root Protection Area (RPA).** This is the area around each tree likely to contain the majority of roots. It should ideally remain undisturbed to avoid a detrimental impact on tree health. For single stemmed trees It is calculated according to the formula "radius of RPA" = "12 x stem diameter". Where a tree has more than one stem, the equivalent-single-stem diameter is usually recorded. This is calculated by adding the squares of the stems and then finding the square root of this total. The radius of the Root Protection Area is then calculated by multiplying the equivalent-stem-diameter by 12.

#### Stage 2: Arboricultural Impact Assessment

After the initial survey and the production of the Tree Constraints Plan, arborists and designers are encouraged to work together to establish a design proposal with minimal impact on the high-quality trees. An assessment should be made of all possible impacts including the impact that the trees may have on the proposal. The arborist may recommend mitigation strategies to minimise these impacts and help achieve a more harmonious juxtaposition between buildings and trees.

#### Stage 3: Arboricultural Method Statement

This type of report specifies the measures necessary to protect trees against damage from construction activity. The Method Statement should be written in a manner that it may be conditioned and enforced by the local authority upon granting of planning permission. The site manager should be familiar with all aspects of the Method Statement and should ensure that all persons working on the site are aware of those aspects which appertain to their work. This includes service installation engineers and operators of plant machinery.

Page 12 of 15

## Appendix 2: Glossary of Tree Data

This section explains the terms used in the Tree Data Schedule (see Section 3 and Appendix 6).

#### A2.1 General Observations

Numbering System:	Each item of vegetation has its own unique number prefixed by a letter such that T1=Tree 1, G2=Group 2, H3=Hedge 3 and W4=Woodland 4, S5=Shrub
Age Categories:	
Young Semi-Mature Early-Mature Mature Veteran Over Mature	Usually less than 10 years old. Significant future growth to be expected, both in height and crown spread (typically below 30% of life expectancy). Full height almost attained. Significant growth may be expected in terms of crown spread (typically 30-60% of life expectancy). Full height attained. Crown spread will increase but growth increments will be slight (typically 60% or more of life expectancy). A level of maturity whereby significant management may be required in order to keep the tree in a safe condition. As for veteran except management is not considered worthwhile.
Species:	Common names and Latin names are given.
Height:	Measured from ground level to the top of the crown.
Stem Diameter:	Taken at 1.5m above ground level where possible. On multi-stemmed trees this measurement may be taken at ground level, though usually an indicat of the number of stems and average diameter is given, e.g. 3 x 30cm.
Crown Height:	Measured from ground level to the height at which the main crown begins. Where the crown is unbalanced it is measured on the side deemed to be m relevant. This is usually the side facing the area of anticipated development.
Tree Diagram:	This scaled drawing is computer generated based on measurements taken for stem diameter, crown height and spread, and overall height. It is design to help the reader rapidly assess the data. It is not an accurate representation of the form of the tree.
Crown Spread:	Measured N, E, S & W, taken from the centre of the stem and usually rounded up to the nearest metre.
Observations:	If a tree's position is considered to be relevant it will be commented upon (e.g. overhanging a children's play area). Tree form and pruning history are a recorded along with an account of any significant defects. Defects and descriptive terms are dealt with in more detail at the end of this section.
Recommendations:	Usually based on any defects observed and intended to ensure that the tree is in an acceptable condition.
Priority Scale:	Depending upon the threat posed by the tree, and the likelihood of failure, recommendations should be carried out according to the following prior scale:
Urgent Very High High Moderate Low	To be carried out as soon as possible. To be carried out within 1 month. To be carried out within 3 months. To be carried out within 1 year. To be carried out within 3 years.
Inspection Frequency:	An interval of 6 months, 1 year, 1.5 years or 3 years is allocated before the next inspection is due. Wherever practical, consideration should be given seasonal changes so that deciduous trees are not always surveyed in winter when they have no leaves, or in summer when leaves may obscure branch within the upper crown.
Vigour:	An indication of growth rate and the tree's ability to cope with stresses:
High Moderate Low Verv Low	Having above average vigour. Having average vigour. Having below average vigour. Tree is struggling to survive and may be dving.
Physiological Condition:	
Good Fair Poor Very Poor	Healthy and with no symptoms of significant disease. Disease present or vigour is impaired. Significant disease present or vigour is extremely low. Tree is dying.
Structural Condition:	
Good Fair Poor Very Poor	Having no significant structural defects. Some defects observed though no high priority works are required. Significant defects found. Tree requires monitoring or remedial works. Major defects which will usually require significant remedial works or tree removal.
Amenity Value:	
Very High High Moderate Low	Exceptional specimen, observable by a large number of people. Attractive specimen, observable by a significant number of people. One of the above factors is not applicable. Unattractive specimen or largely hidden from view.
Life Expectancy:	The estimated number of years before the tree may require removal. Classified as (<10), (10 – 20), (20 – 40), or (40+).
Potention Category	These are explained in detail in Appendix 1

#### A2.2 Evaluation of Defects

Cavities, wounds, dea	dwood etc are all evaluated as follows:
Major	Such that structural integrity is, or will become, compromised and the tree is, or will inevitably become, hazardous.
Significant	A defect that may over time become a major defect, though not necessarily so. This will depend on the vigour of the tree and its ability to deal with decay
	etc.
Minor	A defect thatis unlikely to develop into a major defect.

## **Appendix 3: Author& Surveyor's Qualifications**

#### Qualifications & Experience of Emma Hoyle FDSc (Arboriculture), ED (Forestry & Arboriculture), M. Arbor. A.

Emma is a qualified Arboricultural Consultant educated to Level 5 in Arboriculture at Askham Bryan College, is a professional member of the Arboricultural Association and is a LANTRA-accredited *Professional Tree Inspector*. She has worked for Crown Consultants since 2015 and has since written numerous reports relating to all aspects of arboriculture including; planning and development, vegetation-related subsidence, tree preservation orders and tree risk assessment. Emma regularly attends seminars and events in order to keep abreast with current knowledge and best practice in Arboriculture.

Prior to becoming an arboricultural consultant, Emma worked for two reputable tree surgery firms from 2008 and became an NPTC Qualified tree surgeon after completing a Level 3 Extended Diploma in Forestry and Arboriculture at Askham Bryan College. Emma also has experience in other areas of arboriculture such as forest clearance, tree planting, tree maintenance and landscaping.

#### Qualifications & Experience of Carl Lothian – BSc (Hons) (Arboriculture).

Carl began his career undertaking a Level 3 extended diploma in arboriculture and forestry at Merrist Wood College in 2015. Upon completion of his diploma, Carl worked with several tree surgery firms completing a range of arboricultural works. In 2018 Carl began his BSc (Hons) in arboriculture and urban forestry, graduating with a first-class degree and attaining the Institute of Chartered Foresters student of the year award.

After graduating, Carl worked as a TreeRadar technician where he carried out tree root and decay surveys with specialist ground-penetrating radar equipment. During this time Carl was fortunate enough to work at prestigious sites, such as the Palace of Westminster and the National Maritime Museum.

Whilst working at Crown, Carl has undertaken a range of tree surveys and written reports relating to development, safety, subsidence, and decay detection. Carl is a professional member of the Consulting Arborist Society and an associate member of the Institute of Chartered Foresters.

## **Appendix 4: Tree Data Schedule and Drawings**

The Tree Data Schedule and any drawings accompanying this report follow this page. They are also provided as separate documents for ease of printing and screen viewing.

e <b>nce</b> oup edge		(m):	<b>Ht</b> (m)	r (cm)	Crown Spread (m)	Scaled Tree Diagram (m)	Natar			ndations ent of any	Vigour	Amenity Value		
tefere i = Gr I = He	Age & Species	leight	- Invo	mete	N W E			Notes	development proposals)		Physiological Condition	Life Expectancy (yrs)		
αOΞ		Ξ	Ŭ	Dia	S	9 9			Priority	Inspect Freq (yrs)	Structural Condition	Retention Category		
	Young					25	Form	Nowly planted placebod tree			Moderate	Low		
T1	Hornbeam	3	2	7	0.5 0.5 0.5	-	History: Defects:	Notification of the second sec	No action i	required.	Good	40+		
	Carpinus betulus.				0.5	- o <b>\$</b>	other:	Sull Staked.	n/a	3	Good	C		
	Young					25					Moderate	Low		
T2	Hornbeam	3	2	8	0.5 0.5 0.5		Form: History: <b>Defects:</b>	Newly planted, pleached tree. Multiple pruning wounds due to crown lifting. Significant bark wound to stem on south side (acceptable condition at	No action i	required.	Good	40+		
	Carpinus betulus.				0.5	- 0		present).	n/a	3	Good	С		
	Young					25	Form	Newly planted pleached tree			Moderate	Low		
T3	Hornbeam	3	2	8	0.5 0.5 0.5		History:       Multiple pruning wounds due to crown lifting.       No action required.         Defects:       Significant bark wound to stem on south side (acceptable condition at       God		Good	40+				
	Carpinus betulus.				0.5	- 0		present).		3	Good	С		
	Young	Young		5				25	Form	Newly planted, pleached tree,			Moderate	Low
Т4 <sup>н</sup>	Hornbeam 3		2	9	9	0.5 0.5 0.5	-	History:Multiple pruning wounds due to crown lifting.Defects:Significant bark wound to stem on south side (acceptable condition at	No action required.		Good	40+		
	Carpinus betulus.				0.5			present).	n/a	3	Good	С		
	Young					225				-	Moderate	Low		
T5	Hornbeam	3	2	9	0.5 0.5 0.5		Form:       Newly planted, pleached tree.         History:       Multiple pruning wounds due to crown lifting.         Defects:       Significant bark wound to stem on south side (acceptable condition at a stem on south side)	No action required.		Good	40+			
	Carpinus betulus.				0.5			present).		-	Good	С		
	Young					_0 <b>I</b> [25			n/a	3				
	Hornhoom				0.5		Form:	Newly planted, pleached tree.	Noaction	roquirod	Moderate	Low		
Т6	nombeam	3	2	8	0.5 0.5		Defects:	Nultiple pruning wounds due to crown lifting. Significant bark wound to stem on south side (acceptable condition at	No action	equireu.	Good	40+		
	Carpinus betulus.				0.5	0		present).	n/a	3	Good	С		
	Young					25	Form	Nowly planted placebod tree			Moderate	Low		
Т7	Hornbeam	3	2	10	0.5 0.5 0.5		History:       Multiple pruning wounds due to crown lifting.         Defects:       Significant bark wound to stem on south side (acceptable condition at	No action required.		Good	40+			
.,	Carpinus betulus.				0.5	- O		present).	n/a	3	Good	С		

: <b>nce</b> oup dge	Ê		lt (m)	r (cm)	Crown Spread (m)	Scaled Tree Diagram (m)		Recommendations		Vigour	Amenity Value	
<b>efere</b> i = Gr = He	Age & Species	eight	Huwo	mete	N W E		Notes	development	proposals)	Physiological Condition	Life Expectancy (yrs)	
<b>2</b> O I		т	J J	Dia	S	9 9 9		Priority	Inspect Freq (yrs)	Structural Condition	Retention Category	
	Young				3.5	25	Position: Growing behind garage.			Moderate	Low	
Т8	Buddiela	5	0.5	0.5	5 9	3.5 1.5		Form:Single stemmed and vertical with a slightly unbalanced crown.History:No evidence of significant pruning.	No action required.		Good	40+
	Buddleia sp.				3.5		Defects: No significant defects observed.	n/2	-	Good	C	
	buduleia sp.					0		n/a	3	0000		

			Buddleia - Ht: 3m Growing on top of water action of the second boundary fen House youd boundary fen Hitsde shruf 39.77 Action water 39.73 Action water 39.75 Action water 39.75 Acti	II Ce. 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05 39,05	39.69 99.66 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99.96 99	PALISADE FILNCE H 3.0 984/540 986/540 39.76 39.76 39.76 39.75 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 39.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.90 30.9	39.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50.65 50
Drawing No: Title: Site: Scale: 1:300	CCL 11636       / TCP Rev: 1         Tree Constraints Plan (Existing Layout)       Plan         Staples Corner Vauxhall N Circular Road, NW2 1LY       1         Staples Corner Vauxhall N Circular Road, NW2 1LY       1         Paper Size:       A1	CROWN Arboricultural Consultants 01422 316660	etention Categories ns & canopies shown Category A tree Category B tree Category C tree Category U tree	<ul> <li>Trees of high quality with an est Usually large trees with signific excellent form. Retention of th</li> <li>Trees of moderate quality with Usually maturing trees, or your of these trees is desirable thou</li> <li>Unremarkable trees of low qua are not considered to be a mat</li> <li>Trees unsuitable for retention</li> </ul>	timated life expectancy of 40+ years. ant presence or smaller trees with lese trees is highly desirable. a life expectancy of 20+ years. nger trees with good form. Retention gh less than Category A trees slity and merit. Individual specimens erial planning consideration. due to their very poor condition.		







				Tree Def	Enocios	Hoight (m)	Root Pro	tecti	ion Area
				Thee Kell	species	Height (III)	Radius (m)	m²	Square (m)
ection Area (radius = 12xstem diameter)			MN = Measured North: Canopy spreads are sometimes measured to an approximate N defined by site features. Often more accurate, especially where rows of trees are not aligned NS or F.W	T1	Hornbeam	3	0.8	2	1.5
	~ 7			T2	Hornbeam	3	1.0	3	1.7
rea needing amendment due to site	<b>71</b> '	Photo 1		Т3	Hornbeam	3	1.0	3	1.7
esence of exising road or building.				T4	Hornbeam	3	1.1	4	1.9
rea baying been amonded to account				T5	Hornbeam	3	1.1	4	1.9
ions				T6	Hornbeam	3	1.0	3	1.7
				T7	Hornbeam	3	1.2	5	2.1
Group No 2 H3 = Hedge No 3				T8	Buddleia	5	1.1	4	1.9

		39.72	× 2 39 Ě3	
ALT 39.77 39.75 ×	39	39,72	39.59 39.58	BC
39.	75 39.71 × 30.72		39,69 ×	
×39.75	39.66	30 39.59 B0 9.67 B0	<b>\</b>	
39.76 39.72	39.68 59.74 10 39.78 39.78	39.73 IC 21, 39.76 39.50	2	
	39.6	4		
39 39.77				
9.83		39.82 <sub>×</sub>		
ASPHALT AREA OUTLINE ONLY	/			
	39.99			



# Site Boundary (Red) Existing Layout (Black) Proposed Ground Floor Layout (Pink)

30 Å1 AREA COVER BY VEHICLE UNABLE TO SURVEY FULL

Buddleia - Ht: 3m

Growing on top of wall beyond boundary fence.

EDGERMARE, MARE, POAD

39.91 X 39.78

ASPHALT AREA OUTLINE ONLY





# Impact Assessment Plan

Status: Final - for submission



				Tree Def	Enocios	Hoight (m)	ROOT Pro	tect	ion Area
				Thee Ker.	species	neight (III)	Radius (m)	m²	Square (m)
ction Area (radius = 12xstem diameter)			MN = Measured North:	T1	Hornbeam	3	0.8	2	1.5
,				T2	Hornbeam	3	1.0	3	1.7
ea needing amendment due to site			Canopy spreads are sometimes	Т3	Hornbeam	3	1.0	3	1.7
sence of exising road or building.	V	Tree to be removed to	d to defined by site features. Often more accurate, especially where rows of trees are not	T4	Hornbeam	3	1.1	4	1.9
as baying been amonded to account	X	facilitate the proposal		T5	Hornbeam	3	1.1	4	1.9
ea having been amended to account		Tree to be removed		T6	Hornbeam	3	1.0	3	1.7
	$\sim$			T7	Hornbeam	3	1.2	5	2.1
Group No 2 H3 = Hedge No 3		Proposea pruning		Т8	Buddleia	5	1.1	4	1.9

- ----