

Trevor Heaps

Arboricultural Consultancy Ltd.

12 Plover Drive, Milford-on-Sea, Hampshire, SO41 0XF - Tel: 07957 763 533

Email: trevor@trevorheaps.co.uk • www.trevorheaps.co.uk



Arboricultural Impact Assessment Method Statement & Tree Protection Plan (to BS:5837 2012)

Orchard Cottage, Arkley Lane, Barnet
EN5 3JW

Prepared for Mr & Mrs Panayiotis & Athena Mesaritis

Prepared by Trevor Heaps BSc, MICFor, RC. Arbor. A

Date: 19th December 2023

Ref: TH 4412



Summary

Planning Permission (22/4329/HSE) has recently been granted for the following work:

Two storey side/rear extension. Roof extension involving rear dormer, 3no front facing rooflights and 1no rear facing rooflight. Single storey front extension involving porch and conversion of garage to habitable space.

Tree protection details have been requested by condition (No. 6) because the approved works are within influencing distance of several trees.

This report outlines the tree protection measures and working methodology (in accordance with BS 5837:2012) that will be employed to ensure the trees are not detrimentally affected during works.

The relationship between the approved scheme and trees is sustainable and will not result in any unreasonable pressure to carry out inappropriate tree works.

If the work is implemented in accordance with the recommendations laid out in this report, neither the trees or wider landscape will be adversely affected.

This is an arboriculturally defensible scheme and there are no (arboricultural) reasons why planning condition (No. 6) should not be discharged.


Contents

| | | |
|------|---|---------------|
| 1.0 | Introduction..... | 1 |
| 2.0 | Instruction..... | 1 |
| 3.0 | Drawings provided..... | 1 |
| 4.0 | Report context..... | 1 |
| 5.0 | Statutory tree protection..... | 2 |
| 6.0 | Ecological constraints..... | 3 |
| 7.0 | The site..... | 3 |
| 8.0 | The soil and topography..... | 3 |
| 9.0 | Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) and Tree Protection Methods..... | 3 |
| 11.0 | The Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS)..... | 6 |
| 12.0 | Arboricultural supervision..... | 8 |
| 13.0 | Signature..... | 9 |
| | Appendix 1 - Professional résumé..... | 10 |
| | Appendix 2 - Tree data schedule..... | 11 |
| | Appendix 3 - Tree data schedule explanatory notes..... | 13 |
| | Appendix 4 - Specifications for tree protective measures..... | 15 |
| | Appendix 5 - General precautions and further information..... | 24 |
| | Appendix 6 - Procedure to follow in case of damage to retained trees..... | 26 |
| | Appendix 7 - Induction form for all site personnel..... | 27 |
| | Appendix 8 - Site inspection record..... | 28 |
| | Appendix 9 - Tree Protection Plan..... | End of Report |

1.0 Introduction

1.1 I am Trevor Heaps, Director of Trevor Heaps Arboricultural Consultancy Ltd. I hold a First-Class Honours Degree in Arboriculture; I am a Chartered Arboriculturist and a professional member of the Institute of Chartered Foresters; and I am also a Registered Consultant with the Arboricultural Association. Further information about my qualifications and experience is provided in Appendix 1.

1.2 Contact details:

| Who | Name | Organisation | Details |
|--------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Arboricultural Consultant | Trevor Heaps | THAC Ltd., 12 Plover Drive, Milford-on-Sea, Hampshire, SO41 0XF | Tel: 07377 562 722  |
| Client | Mr & Mrs Panayiotis & Athena Mesaritis | | |
| London Borough of Barnet - LPA | Tree Officer | Planning Service - Trees Barnet House, 1255 High Road, Whetstone, London N20 0EJ | Tel: 020 8359 3000 Email: planningtrees@barnet.gov.uk |

2.0 Instruction

2.1 We are to survey all significant trees that could be affected by the proposed works.

2.2 We are then to prepare a report to appraise the effect these works will have on any nearby trees and the surrounding landscape.

2.3 We are then to set out recommendations for the protection of the trees during development - in accordance with British Standard 5837:2012 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations' (BS5837).

3.0 Drawings provided.

3.1 Site - Proposed - Ref. 2202494 - Dated 20/07/2022 - Drawn by Domus APM

4.0 Report context

4.1 The site was surveyed by Trevor Heaps on the 16th December 2023.

4.2 The trees were surveyed from within the site at ground level. No climbed inspections were carried out and no root/soil samples were taken for analysis.

4.3 The trees were inspected based on the Visual Tree Assessment (VTA) developed by Mattheck & Breloer (The Body Language of Trees, 1994).

4.4 Tree heights, crown spreads and stem diameters were measured with a clinometer, a Disto laser measure and a diameter measuring tape respectively.

4.5 Small trees and shrubs (with stem diameters less than 75mm) were not surveyed.

4.6 This report is based on the information provided (i.e. site plans, proposed drawings, scales, measurements etc.) and our observations during the site visit.

4.7 This report will support a planning application or an application to discharge a tree-related condition and its purpose is to assist and inform the planning process.

4.8 This report does not set out the detailed, working specifications of tree protection measures and engineering / design features, but provides sufficient detail to demonstrate the feasibility of the scheme in principle.

4.9 The report does not assess the potential influence of trees upon load-bearing soils beneath existing and proposed structures (resulting from water abstraction by trees on shrinkable soils).

5.0 Statutory tree protection

5.1 It is not clear from the Council's website (checked 15/12/2023) whether the trees within and adjacent to this site are covered by a Tree Preservation Order (TPO) or growing within a Conservation Area, and so it is advisable to make further inquiries before carrying out any tree works (unless the works are approved by virtue of this report being approved as part of a planning permission – but see 5.2).

5.2 Even if approved by way of this report, the Council's consent IS required for works on trees subject to a TPO / within a Conservation Area if:

Development under a planning permission has not been commenced within the relevant time limit (i.e. the permission has 'expired');

Only outline planning permission has been granted; or

It is not necessary to carry out works on protected trees to implement a full planning permission.

6.0 Ecological constraints

6.1 The Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended by the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000) provides statutory protection to birds, bats and other species that inhabit trees.

6.2 In addition to any tree matters considered in this report, these protected animals could impose significant constraints on the use and timing of access to the site.

7.0 The site

7.1 This property is situated within a leafy, residential part of Barnet.

8.0 The soil and topography

8.1 The soils at this site were determined using information provided by the British Geological Survey and observations during the site visit.

8.2 The site is level with no adverse features, and the soil texture is Claygate Member - Clay, silt and sand.

8.3 Given the information above, the soil has the potential of becoming compacted (which is harmful to tree roots).

9.0 Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) and Tree Protection Methods

9.1 The following section describes the potential effects the construction works will have on the subject trees. Mitigation measures are recommended, and this information should be read in conjunction with the supporting Tree Protection Plan (TPP).

9.2 Further information on the subject trees is provided in Appendices 2 & 3.

9.3 Trees to be removed to facilitate development

9.3.1 The proposals may require the removal of a small Rhododendron.

9.3.2 It is not particularly valuable or visible from outside the site (which is already well stocked with trees, shrubs and hedges); and neither the amenity or arboreal character of the local area will be affected by its removal. Subsequently, there is little justification for replacement planting.

9.4 Physical damage to stems of retained trees

9.4.1 There is a risk that the crowns and stems of some of the retained trees could be accidentally damaged during development.

9.4.2 To minimise this risk, protective fencing will be erected in front of their stems and, where space allows, along their canopy extents.

9.5 Excavations within RPA of retained trees

9.5.1 The excavations required for the new foundations will result in various (minor) RPA incursions (shown with orange shading on the TPP).

9.5.2 Section 5.3.1 (a) of BS 5837:2012 recommends that, if operations (in this case, excavations) are proposed within a tree's RPA then, the project arboriculturist should demonstrate that it can remain viable and that the area lost to encroachment can be compensated for elsewhere, contiguous with its RPA.

9.5.3 An RPA (shown as a dashed magenta circle around each tree) is an estimation of the minimum root system needed to sustain the condition of a tree (if all roots outside it were to be severed); it is not a measure of a tree's entire rooting system.

9.5.4 It is commonly accepted, within the arboricultural industry, that the RPA represents about a third of a tree's actual rooting system and, consequently, whilst the RPA is particularly important to ensure that there are no adverse effects on stability, if an encroachment does not significantly reduce the overall assimilative function of the root system, it is unlikely to cause harm.

9.5.5 Therefore, although there are incursions into the trees' RPAs (minimum root system to sustain them); the percentage of actual rooting systems affected is much less. Furthermore, it is possible to offset these incursions within soft areas contiguous with their RPAs (within the surrounding parts of the garden and within the neighbouring gardens).

9.5.6 In terms of viability, research has shown that healthy trees of most species can withstand the loss of some roots (to a maximum of about 20% of the rooting area) with no long-term detrimental impact (Helliwell & Fordham 1992. None of the rooting areas affected appear to be more than 20%.

9.5.7 The trees appear healthy, and methodology has been provided in the appendices to minimise root disturbance.

9.5.8 So long as the methodology is followed, and the vulnerable parts of the trees' RPAs are protected during construction, they will not be adversely affected.

9.6 Soil compaction around retained trees

9.6.1 Soil compaction can be caused by various construction-related activities such as storage or materials and the use of heavy machinery (or even heavier than normal pedestrian access during works). It is harmful to tree roots because it reduces gaseous exchange and the availability of water and nutrients.

9.6.2 To avoid the roots of the retained trees being affected by soil compaction, all vulnerable areas will be separated from the working area by protective fencing and ground protection.

9.6.3 The existing hard surfaces will provide ample protection for any roots growing beneath and so do not need reinforcing.

9.7 Underground services

9.7.1 The proposals will be designed in such a way as to either connect directly to existing underground services (with no further excavations) or be connected to existing services using a route outside the RPAs of trees shown retained.

9.7.2 If existing services within RPAs require upgrading, care shall be taken to minimise disturbance and where practicable, trenchless techniques employed; only as a last resort should open excavations be considered. Where existing services within RPAs are deemed not satisfactory for any further use, they should be left in situ rather than being excavated or removed.

9.7.3 If, for whatever reason, the proposed services need to be moved (and incursions into RPAs are unavoidable), then the installation works will be carried out under full arboricultural supervision and will, at the very least, comply with the methods and guidelines detailed in the National Joint Utilities Group publication NJUG 4, Guidelines for the Planning, Installation, and Maintenance of Utility Services in Proximity to Trees (November 2007).

11.0 The Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS)

11.1 Effective tree protection relies on following a logical sequence of events and arboricultural supervision. This AMS lays down the methodology for all construction works that may influence significant trees and recommendations for arboricultural supervision are provided in Section 12.

11.2 It is essential that this AMS is observed and adhered to. Therefore, a copy of this AMS must be issued to the building contractor to be integrated into their work schedule and must also be permanently made available on-site for the duration of development.

11.3 This AMS should be read in conjunction with the supporting Tree Protection Plan (TPP), which is found in Appendix 9.

11.4 At this site, operations are to occur in the following sequence (refer to Appendix 4 for further details on underlined methodology; which are listed in alphabetical order):

1. Carry out tree work operations **highlighted yellow** in the tree data schedule (Appendix 2). All tree works are to be carried out by a competent and experienced arborist to current British Standards (see Appendix 5.9 for assistance finding a suitable arborist).
2. Erect protective fencing along the position(s) shown by the dashed red line/s on the TPP.
3. Lay ground protection and/or retain suitably hard-wearing existing hard surfaces within the area(s) shown by the diagonal blue lines on the TPP. Retain all other existing hard surfaces as shown by the sandy coloured squares on the TPP.
4. Provide a photographic record of all tree protection to arboricultural consultant – this will be forwarded to and approved by the Council’s Arboricultural Officer and must demonstrate that all aspects of tree and ground protection measures have been implemented in accordance with this Arboricultural Report. The tree protection measures shall be retained until completion of all works hereby permitted.
5. Working from on top of existing hard surfaces and/or suitable ground protection, excavate traditional strip foundation trenches (methodology to be followed where orange shading is shown).
6. Commence construction.
7. Remove tree protection when all construction activity has ended.
8. Carry out landscaping works.

12.0 Arboricultural supervision

12.1 A suitably-qualified arboriculturalist will provide on-going supervision during construction. The occasions when supervision is required are outlined in Table 2. If the LPA wish to see further supervision, this matter can be dealt with by amending the report and/or by condition.

Table 2: Indicative arboricultural supervision requirements

| Supervision details | Required (Y / N) | When | Details | Nature | Sign off |
|--|------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Pre-commencement site meeting | N | Prior to any site activity | To ensure contractors are briefed & understand the AMS & TPP. A site supervisor will be appointed to oversee tree protection & the reporting of any damage to trees or deviation from the AMS - to the project arboriculturalist / LPA | Informal and open discussions. Induction form signed by attendees | Details of meeting to be sent to LPA within 5 days |
| Meeting with tree contractors | N | Prior to protective measures being installed | To ensure tree work instructions are clear and understood. | Informal meeting | No follow up required |
| Protective measure check | Y | Prior to any site activity | To ensure that protective measures are fit-for-purposed and correctly positioned. | Photos to be provided to consultant | Details of to be sent to LPA within 5 days |
| On-going supervision | N | Every 2 weeks during construction | To ensure that the protective measures have not been moved and continue to be fit-for-purpose. | Site meeting with a site monitoring report to be prepared | Details of to be sent to LPA within 5 days |
| Supervision of excavation works near trees | N | During construction | To supervise key stages of works near trees (insert which / when) | Site meeting with a site monitoring report to be prepared | Details of to be sent to LPA within 5 days |
| Meeting with landscape contractors | N | After construction | To provide advice on tree / shrub selection (if not conditioned) | Informal meeting | No follow up required |

12.2 A site inspection record (see Appendix 8) will be prepared after each visit and will state the condition of tree protection measures and outline any required remedial action (and timescales).

12.3 To demonstrate compliance, and to help the LPA discharge relevant planning conditions, all site monitoring reports will be forwarded to the LPAs arboricultural officer within 5 working days of the visit.

12.4 NOTE: It is the applicant's responsibility to arrange meeting dates with the arboriculturalist.

13.0 Signature

This report represents a true and factual account of the potential arboricultural impacts, and makes recommendations for appropriate protective measures, at the subject property.

Signed



.....

Trevor Heaps
Chartered Arboriculturist
BSc, MICFor, RC. Arbor. A

Dated

19th December 2023

Appendix 1 - Professional résumé

I am Trevor Heaps, Director of Trevor Heaps Arboricultural Consultancy Ltd. I hold a First-Class Honours Degree in Arboriculture; I am a Chartered Arboriculturist and a professional member of the Institute of Chartered Foresters; and I am also a Registered Consultant with the Arboricultural Association.

Professional training

Arboriculture and Bats: Scoping Surveys for Arborists (BCT & AA) – October 2017

Tree Science (AA) – June 2016

OPM (Oak Processionary Moth) Training (FC) – May 2016

Visual Tree Assessment (Arboricultural Association) - October 2015

Trees and the Law (Dr Charles Mynors) - June 2015

Mortgage (Home Buyers) Report Writing (LANTRA / CAS) - February 2015

Tree Preservation Orders - effective application (LANTRA / CAS) - November 2014

Professional Tree Inspection 3-day course (LANTRA / AA) - July 2014

Arboricultural Consultancy Course (AA) - May 2014

Further down the subsidence trail 1-day course (AA) - April 2013

Getting to grips with subsidence 1-day course (AA) - November 2012

AA – Arboricultural Association

BCT – Bat Conservation Trust

CAS – Consulting Arborist Society

FC – Forestry Commission

Appendix 2 - Tree data schedule

| Ref | Name | Age | DBH (mm) | Hgt. (m) | Can. hgt. (m) | Can N (m) | Can E (m) | Can S (m) | Can W (m) | Physio cond. | Struct cond. | Life Exp. | Ret. Cat. | Comments | Rec's (proposed works are highlighted) |
|-----|---|-----|-------------------------|----------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| T1 | Quercus robur (Common Oak) | M | 1250 | 16 | 6 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | Normal | Normal | 40+ | A2 | | |
| T2 | Chamaecyparis lawsoniana 'Ellwoodii' (Lawson's cypress 'Ellwoodii') | EM | 150 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | Normal | Fair | 40+ | B2 | | |
| S3 | Camelia (Camelia) | SM | 100 | 2 | 0 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | Normal | Normal | 40+ | C2 | Insignificant shrub. | |
| H4 | Fagus sylvatica (Beech), Ilex aquifolium (Holly), Prunus laurocerasus (Cherry Laurel) | EM | 200 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | Normal | Normal | 40+ | B2 | Growing on third-party land (dbh estimated). Clipped boundary hedge. | |
| T5 | Chamaecyparis lawsoniana (Lawson Cypress) | M | 950 | 16 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | Normal | Normal | 40+ | A2 | | |
| T6 | Prunus nobilis (Bay) | EM | 250,250,150,100,200,200 | 6 | 3.5 | 2.75 | 2.75 | 2.75 | 2.75 | Normal | Fair | 40+ | B2 | | |
| T7 | Fagus sylvatica (Beech) | EM | 350 | 18 | 3 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | Normal | Fair | 40+ | B2 | Growing on third-party land (dbh estimated). Fused stems | |
| G8 | X Cupressocyparis leylandii 'Castlewellan' (Leyland Cypress 'Castlewellan') | EM | 500 | 16 | 6 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | Normal | Fair | 40+ | B2 | Outgrown boundary hedge. | |
| T9 | Acer platanoides (Norway Maple) | M | 600 | 16 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | Normal | Fair | 40+ | A2 | Growing on third-party land (dbh estimated). Crown reduced in past. | |
| T10 | Taxus baccata (Yew) | SM | 100 | 2 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | Normal | Normal | 40+ | C2 | | |
| T11 | Chamaecyparis lawsoniana (Lawson Cypress) | EM | 150 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | Fair | Fair | 20+ | C2 | Multi-stemmed. | |
| T12 | Chamaecyparis lawsoniana (Lawson Cypress) | EM | 150 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | Normal | Fair | 20+ | B2 | Twin-stemmed. Tight forks noted. | |

| Ref | Name | Age | DBH (mm) | Hgt. (m) | Can. hgt. (m) | Can N (m) | Can E (m) | Can S (m) | Can W (m) | Physio cond. | Struct cond. | Life Exp. | Ret. Cat. | Comments | Rec's (proposed works are highlighted) |
|-----|--|-----|-------------|----------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|---|--|
| T13 | Ilex aquifolium (Holly) | SM | 75 | 2 | 0.5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Normal | Normal | 40+ | C2 | Insignificant shrub. | N/A |
| T14 | Taxus baccata (Yew) | SM | 75 | 2 | 0.5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Normal | Normal | 40+ | C2 | Insignificant shrub. | N/A |
| T15 | Cupressus macrocarpa 'Goldcrest' (Dwarf Conifer 'Goldcrest') | SM | 75 | 2 | 0.5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Normal | Normal | 40+ | C2 | Insignificant shrub. | N/A |
| S16 | Rhododendron (Rhododendron) | SM | 100 | 1.5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Normal | Normal | 40+ | C2 | Insignificant shrub. | Remove (and transplant elsewhere) if needed. |
| H17 | Fagus sylvatica (Beech) | EM | 200 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | Normal | Normal | 40+ | B2 | Growing on third-party land (dbh estimated). Clipped boundary hedge. | N/A |
| T18 | Betula utilis 'Jacquemontii' (Himalayan Birch) | SM | 175 | 12 | 8 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | Normal | Fair | 40+ | B2 | Growing on third-party land (dbh estimated). | N/A |
| T19 | Ilex aquifolium (Holly) | SM | 150 | 8 | 6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | Normal | Normal | 40+ | C2 | Growing on third-party land (dbh estimated). | N/A |
| T20 | Chamaecyparis lawsoniana (Lawson Cypress) | EM | 300,350,150 | 14 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | Normal | Fair | 20+ | B2 | Growing on third-party land (dbh estimated). Multi-stemmed. | N/A |
| H21 | Fagus sylvatica (Beech) | EM | 200 | 12 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | Normal | Normal | 40+ | B2 | Growing on third-party land (dbh estimated). Outgrown boundary hedge. | N/A |
| G22 | Prunus cerasifera (Cherry Plum) | EM | 150 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Fair | Fair | 20+ | C2 | Outgrown boundary hedge. Topped in the past. | N/A |
| T23 | Ilex aquifolium (Holly) | EM | 250,300,100 | 10 | 3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | Normal | Normal | 40+ | B2 | | N/A |
| T24 | Quercus robur (Common Oak) | M | 950 | 16 | 6 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | Normal | Normal | 40+ | A2 | | N/A |

Appendix 3 - Tree data schedule explanatory notes

This section explains the terms used in the Tree data schedule (Appendix 2).

Ref: Each item of vegetation has its own unique number, prefixed by a letter such that:

T1=Tree S2=Shrub or stump G3=Group H4=Hedge W5=Woodland

Species: Latin (and common names in brackets) are given.

Age:

Y - Young - Usually less than 10 years' old

SM - Semi-mature - Significant future growth to be expected, both in height and crown spread (typically below 30% of life expectancy)

EM - Early-mature - Full height almost attained. Significant growth may be expected in terms of crown spread (typically 30-60% of life expectancy)

M - Mature - Full height attained. Crown spread will increase but growth increments will be slight (typically 60% or more of life expectancy)

V - Veteran - A level of maturity whereby significant management may be required to keep the tree in a safe condition

OM - Over-mature - As for veteran except management is not considered worthwhile

DBH (mm): Stem diameter, measured in mm, taken at 1.5m above ground level where possible.

Hgt. (m): Height: Measured from ground level to the top of the crown in metres.

Can Hgt. (m): Crown height: Measured from ground level to the lowest tips of the main crown begins in metres. Where the crown is unbalanced it is measured on the side deemed to be most relevant. This is usually the side facing the area of anticipated development.

Can N, S, E, W: - Canopy extents

Approximate radial crown spread measured to the four cardinal points (for individual trees only)

Physio cond.: Indicates the physiological condition of the tree as one of the following categories:

Normal - Healthy tree with no symptoms of significant disease

Fair - Tree with early signs of disease, small defects, decreased life expectancy, or evidence of less-than-average vigour for the species

Poor - Significant disease present, limited life expectancy, or with very low vigour for the species and evidence of physiological stress

Very poor - Tree is in advanced stages of physiological failure and is dying

Dead - No leaves or signs of life

Struct cond.: Indicates the structural condition of the tree as one of the following categories:

Normal - No significant structural defects noted

Fair - Some structural defects noted but remedial action not required at present

Poor - Significant defects noted resulting in a tree that requires regular monitoring or remedial action

Very poor - Major defects noted that compromise the safety of the tree. Remedial works or tree removal is likely to be required.

Dead - No leaves or signs of life

Life Exp.: The estimated number of years before the tree may require removal (<10), (10 – 20), (20 – 40), or (40+).

Ret. Cat.: - Retention category: BS5837:2012 Category where:

U = Trees unsuitable for retention. Trees in such a condition that cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years. These trees are shown on the tree plans with red centres.

A = Trees of high quality. Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years. These trees are shown on the tree plans with green centres.

B = Trees of moderate quality. Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years. These trees are shown on the tree plans with blue centres.

C = Trees of low quality. Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm. These trees are shown on the tree plans with grey centres.

Trees of notable quality are graded as Category A or Category B. These trees are sometimes divided further into sub-categories:

Sub-category 1 is allocated where it has been assessed that the tree has mainly arboricultural qualities.

Sub-category 2 is allocated where it is assessed that the tree has mainly landscape qualities.

Subcategory 3 is allocated where it is assessed that the tree has mainly cultural qualities, including conservation.

Trees may be allocated more than one sub-category. All sub-categories carry equal weight, with for example an A3 tree being of the same importance and priority as an A1 tree.

Comments: Tree form and pruning history are also recorded along with an account of any significant defects.

Rec's - Recommendations: Usually based on any defects observed and intended to ensure that the tree is in an acceptable condition.

Appendix 4 – Specifications for tree protective measures

Excavation of traditional strip foundation trenches

To minimise root disruption during excavation works, the following guidance shall be followed:

The RPA of the subject tree shall be clearly marked on the ground with fluorescent marker paint - by tying the spray can to a tree's stem using a pre-determined length of string to represent the tree's root protection radius (RPR) and keeping the string taut when spraying the ground. Cross reference the fourth column of the table in Appendix 2 (DBH mm) with the 2nd column in table 1 below to determine the length of string required.

Table 1. The RPRs given below are for single-stemmed trees.
Please contact the project arboriculturist if the subject tree is multi-stemmed.

| Single stem diameter (mm) | Radius of nominal circle (m) / RPR | RPA (m ²) | Single stem diameter (mm) | Radius of nominal circle (m) / RPR | RPA (m ²) | Single stem diameter (mm) | Radius of nominal circle (m) / RPR | RPA (m ²) |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 75 | 0.9 | 3 | 475 | 5.7 | 102 | 875 | 10.5 | 346 |
| 100 | 1.2 | 5 | 500 | 6 | 113 | 900 | 10.8 | 366 |
| 125 | 1.5 | 7 | 525 | 6.3 | 125 | 925 | 11.1 | 387 |
| 150 | 1.8 | 10 | 550 | 6.6 | 137 | 950 | 11.4 | 408 |
| 175 | 2.1 | 14 | 575 | 6.9 | 149 | 975 | 11.7 | 430 |
| 200 | 2.4 | 18 | 600 | 7.2 | 163 | 1000 | 12 | 452 |
| 225 | 2.7 | 23 | 625 | 7.5 | 177 | 1025 | 12.3 | 475 |
| 250 | 3 | 28 | 650 | 7.8 | 191 | 1050 | 12.6 | 499 |
| 275 | 3.3 | 34 | 675 | 8.1 | 206 | 1075 | 12.9 | 523 |
| 300 | 3.6 | 41 | 700 | 8.4 | 222 | 1100 | 13.2 | 547 |
| 325 | 3.9 | 48 | 725 | 8.7 | 238 | 1125 | 13.5 | 572 |
| 350 | 4.2 | 55 | 750 | 9 | 254 | 1150 | 13.8 | 598 |
| 375 | 4.5 | 64 | 775 | 9.3 | 272 | 1175 | 14.1 | 624 |
| 400 | 4.8 | 72 | 800 | 9.6 | 289 | 1200 | 14.4 | 651 |
| 425 | 5.1 | 82 | 825 | 9.9 | 308 | 1225 | 14.7 | 679 |
| 450 | 5.4 | 92 | 850 | 10.2 | 327 | 1250 | 15 | 707 |

To ensure the roots are cut as cleanly as possible, a hand-spade will first be used to cut along the edge of the excavation - to a depth of at least 300mm (spade depth).

Having cleanly severed any roots growing within the upper soil horizons, a mini-digger can then be used to complete the excavation.

Once complete, all severed roots shall be cut cleanly back to a suitable growth point using sharp secateurs or a sharp pull saw.

The foundation trenches shall then be lined with plastic sheeting (to avoid concrete residues leaching into rooting area/s of the retained trees) and back-filled with concrete.

Ground Protection

The following italicised text is based on an extract from British Standard 5837:2012 - Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction– Recommendations.

Temporary ground protection should be able to support any traffic entering or using the site without being distorted or causing compaction of underlying soil and might comprise one of the following:

a) for pedestrian-movements only, a single thickness of scaffold boards placed either on top of a driven scaffold frame, to form a suspended walkway, or on top of a compression-resistant layer (e.g. 100 mm depth of woodchip), laid onto a geotextile membrane;

b) for pedestrian-operated plant up to a gross weight of 2 t, proprietary, inter-linked ground protection boards placed on top of a compression-resistant layer (e.g. 150 mm depth of woodchip), laid onto a geotextile membrane;

c) for wheeled or tracked construction traffic exceeding 2 t gross weight, an alternative system (e.g. proprietary systems or pre-cast reinforced concrete slabs) to an engineering specification designed in conjunction with arboricultural advice, to accommodate the likely loading to which it will be subjected.

The location of the temporary ground protection is shown on the tree protection plan and detailed within the arboricultural method statement.

In all cases, the objective will be to avoid the unnecessary compaction of soil (which can arise from a single passage of a heavy vehicle, especially in wet conditions) so that tree root functions remain unimpaired.

All ground protection is to be maintained in good order, so it is fit for purpose throughout development. The ground protection will not be altered in any way, or prematurely removed without prior consent of the project arboriculturist or the LPA arboricultural officer.

Figure 1: An example of ground protection on work areas within a RPA (BS 5837:2005).




Photo 1. Scaffold boards placed on woodchip




Photo 2. An example of heavy-duty ground protection.



Photo 2 Scaffold framework supporting wooden boards



Protective fencing

The following is based on an extract from British Standard 5837:2012 - Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction- Recommendations.

The framework support (shown in Figure 2 and photo 1) is the usual method of support for 'Heras' fencing. Some variations are possible if site conditions are appropriate; i.e. support by wooden posts (75mm x 75mm x 2.75m) dug or concreted into the ground (dry mix concrete contained within a plastic bag), or if there is no pressure for access, a lighter form of netting on stakes.

Figure 2: Default specification for protective barrier (BS 5837:2012)




Photo 1: A worked example of the default specification for protective barrier (BS 837:2012)



Durable, all-weather signs are to be attached to the fencing (an example sign is provided below). These shall be printed, laminated and attached at regular intervals along the fencing.

Once erected, the protective fencing is to be regarded as sacrosanct and there is to be no access into the area protected by it - the construction exclusion zone (CEZ).

The protective fencing is to be maintained in good order, so it is fit for purpose throughout the construction process. The fencing will not be altered in any way, or prematurely removed without prior consent of the project arboriculturist and/or (if necessary) the LPA arboricultural officer.

Where specified in the AMS, the tree(s) stem/s shall be boxed off with wooden ply boards or wrapped in hessian and chestnut pale fencing / trunk protection (see example below). This will help avoid any direct damage to tree stems from passing machinery (see photo 2).

Photo 2: Trees protected by hessian & chestnut pale fencing / limbs protected by wooden boxing



Photo 2. <https://greengridsystems.com/products/trunk-protecta>



TREE PROTECTION FENCING

KEEP OUT

This fencing must not be removed
or altered in any way without prior
consultation with the project
arboriculturist!

Please report any damage to trees
and/or fencing to the site manager
or the project arboriculturist

Trevor Heaps

07957 763 53

Removal of existing hard surfaces / rubble (IF NEEDED)

Working off either an existing hard surface or suitable ground protection, machinery can be used to carefully peel back and remove existing tarmac or concrete. Other surfaces, such as rubble or block paving, must be removed by hand.

Sub-bases can be removed mechanically if it is unlikely that roots will be found beneath it (this must be approved by the arboricultural consultant). Underlying (soft) ground levels must be retained and will not be excavated.

All newly exposed soil and exposed roots will be covered with damp hessian or 100 mm of topsoil.

Machinery can be used to move the topsoil close to the exposed area, but the topsoil itself will be spread by hand.

Machinery will not be sited on any exposed rooting area / RPA.

Soft landscaping within or close to the Root Protection Areas (RPAs) of retained trees

The following precautions are necessary to avoid damage to trees (where activities are to take place within their RPAs):

Ground levels will not be changed;

Soil must be of good quality and free of contaminants and other foreign objects potentially injurious to tree roots. The topsoil must satisfy the requirements of BS3882:200;

No heavy machinery will be operated within the RPAs of retained trees during the installation of soft landscaping;


Unwanted vegetation shall be removed manually or by using systemic herbicide that will not damage tree roots;

No fuels or chemicals shall be used or stored within these areas; and

No irrigation or drainage pipes shall be installed within the RPAs

Appendix 5 – General precautions and further information

Figure 4: Common problems for trees on development sites
(http://www.leics.gov.uk/highway_req_development_part7_appendix_f)



5.1 Services and drainage: Surface run-off water shall be sent to existing drains and/or soakaways located outside the RPAs of retained tree(s). If trenching is required within the RPA of retained trees to provide routes for services, this work shall be undertaken using mole boring and / or hand digging (under arboricultural supervision).

5.2 Storage of materials: No materials or spoil are to be stored within areas protected by protective fencing and/or ground protection. The same applies for existing hard surfaces that are being used as ground protection.

5.3 Spillages: If any cement residues fall within root protection areas, it shall be swept up, bagged and removed from site – it shall not be washed away with water.

5.4 Demolition: Where any existing structures are to be demolished, they will be done so inwardly (away from root protection areas / retained soil).

5.5 Levels: There is to be no alteration of ground levels within the area protected by protective fencing and/or ground protection, unless previously specified and agreed upon. The same applies for existing hard surfaces that are being used as ground protection.

5.6 Fires: No fires are to be lit within 20 metres of the stems of retained trees.

5.7 Above ground damage to trees: Care must be taken in planning the location and operation of machinery to avoid above ground damage to trees. BS5837 (2012) Section 6.2.4.1 states 'Planning of site operations should take sufficient account of wide loads, tall loads and plant with booms, jibs and counterweights (including drilling rigs) in order that they can operate without contacting retained trees. Such contact can result in serious damage to trees and might make their safe retention impossible. Consequently, any transit or traverse of plant in proximity to trees should be conducted under the supervision of a banksman, to ensure that adequate clearance of trees is always maintained. Access facilitation pruning should be undertaken where necessary to maintain this clearance.


5.8 Remedial works and soil improvement: Exposed soils are easily compacted resulting in loss of water and gaseous exchange; this can lead to root death (and subsequently tree death).

5.8.1 To relieve ground compaction, which may have resulted from the use of vehicles or by the storage of materials, the soils should be broken up to allow air to penetrate and for the soil structure to be restored. There are various methods to achieve this, such as: auguring the soil by hand / fork or pneumatic excavation (e.g. with an air spade); both should be combined with soil structure improvements (see 5.8.2).

5.8.2 The soil structure can be improved by incorporating a compost or mulch within the topsoil, of 75-100mm in depth. This can be spread over the surface and gently forked into the soil. If bark chip is used as mulch, NPK fertilizer should be added to counteract the nitrogen depletion of the soil. There is also the option of adding mycorrhizal fungal which may also improve root function.

5.9 Choosing an arborist: When appointing a tree works contractor, please only use properly qualified and experienced companies who comply with current British Standards (3998) and always check that they carry Public Liability Insurance within a minimum of £2,000,000 cover, and the relevant Employers Liability Insurance. A list of contractors approved by the Arboricultural Association can be found at www.trees.org.uk or by calling 01242 522 152.

Appendix 6 - Procedure to follow in case of damage to retained trees



Appendix 7 - Induction form for all site personnel

Site name:

App. No.:

Appointed Site Supervisor:

I have had explained to me by the Site Manager the key implications of the Arboricultural Method Statement relating to the development at the above site.

I am aware that trees have shallow roots and any excavation works beneath the canopy could cause irreparable damage.

I am aware that the tree protective fencing / ground protection must remain in its original position and must not be moved without the approval of the appointed Arboricultural Consultant.

I understand that certain operations must be supervised by the appointed Arboricultural Consultant and that these must not start until the consultant is present and has given approval.

I confirm that I will bring any concerns about potential damage to trees to the attention of the Site Manager.

I am aware that I must not cause damage to any of the retained trees on or adjacent to the site. Damage may be caused by direct means (i.e. physical damage caused to roots or the trunk/branches of the tree) or by indirect means (e.g. by fire or toxic materials entering the rooting environment of the tree).

Print Name:

Sign Name:


Date:

Appendix 8 - Site inspection record













| | | | |
|---|------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Date: Time: Site: | Planning reference: | | |
| Those present in addition to project arboriculturist: | | | |
| Client / Agent: | | | |
| Project / Site manager: | | | |
| LPA arboricultural officer: | | | |
| Other (specify): | | | |
| | Yes | No | Notes |
| Tree protection measures located in accordance with TPP? | | | |
| Any disturbance within construction exclusion zone? | | | |
| Any materials stored within construction exclusion zone? | | | |
| Any evidence of damage to tree roots, stems or canopies? | | | |
| Any works programmed before next planned site visit that may affect retained trees? (if yes, provide details below) | | | |
| Additional site visit required to ensure compliance with required action? (Y / N) Proposed visit date: | | | |
| Signed: | | Date: | |


Appendix 9: Tree Protection Plan

- At this site, operations are to occur in the following sequence:
1. Carry out tree work operations highlighted yellow in the tree data schedule (Appendix 2). All tree works are to be carried out by a competent and experienced arborist to current British Standards (see Appendix 5.9 for assistance finding a suitable arborist).
 2. Erect protective fencing along the position(s) shown by the dashed red line/s on the TPP.
 3. Lay ground protection and/or retain suitably hard-wearing existing hard surfaces within the area(s) shown by the diagonal blue lines on the TPP. Retain all other existing hard surfaces as shown by the sandy coloured squares on the TPP.
 4. Provide a photographic record of all tree protection to arboricultural consultant - this will be forwarded to and approved by the Council's Arboricultural Officer and must demonstrate that all aspects of tree and ground protection measures have been implemented in accordance with this Arboricultural Report. The tree protection measures shall be retained until completion of all works hereby permitted.
 5. Working from on top of existing hard surfaces and/or suitable ground protection, excavate traditional strip foundation trenches (methodology to be followed where orange shading is shown).
 6. Commence construction.
 7. Remove tree protection when all construction activity has ended.
 8. Carry out landscaping works.



Plan Legend

-  Tree/s to be retained
-  Tree/s to be removed
- Centre colours**
-  Category A Tree
-  Category B Tree
-  Category C Tree
-  Category U Tree
-  Root Protection Area (RPA)
If amended, the original is a dotted blue circle
-  RPA Incursion. Extra care to be taken during excavations (see supporting report)
-  Protective fencing
-  Construction & storage exclusion zone
-  Ground protection or existing hard surface to remain
-  Existing hard surface


Scale: 1:200 @ A3


Site Address: Orchard Cottage
 Arkley Lane, Barnet, EN5 3JW
 Client: Mr & Mrs Panayiotis
 & Athena Mesaritis
 Drawing No: TH/A3/4412/TPP
 Job Ref: TH 4412 | Date: 19/12/2023

Trevor Heaps
 Arboricultural Consultancy Ltd



07957 763 533
 trevor@trevorheaps.co.uk
 www.trevorheaps.co.uk



Temporary ground protection should be able to support any traffic entering or using the site without being distorted or causing compaction of underlying soil and might comprise one of the following:

1. For pedestrian-movements only, a single thickness of scaffold boards placed either on top of a driven scaffold frame, to form a suspended walkway, or on top of a compression-resistant layer (e.g. 100 mm depth of woodchip), laid onto a geotextile membrane;
2. For pedestrian-operated plant up to a gross weight of 2 t, proprietary, inter-linked ground protection boards placed on top of a compression-resistant layer (e.g. 150 mm depth of woodchip), laid onto a geotextile membrane;
3. For wheeled or tracked construction traffic exceeding 2 t gross weight, an alternative system (e.g. proprietary systems or pre-cast reinforced concrete slabs) to an engineering specification designed in conjunction with arboricultural advice, to accommodate the likely loading to which it will be subjected.

NOTE: If ground protection is to be laid near areas to be excavated, sheet piling should be used to shore up the sides of the excavations prior to being used (by pedestrians or machinery)

