

18a North Street, East Rainton, Houghton-le-Spring, Tyne & Wear, DH5 9QF

Title:	BS:5837 Tree Survey, Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Arboricultural Method Statement & Tree Protection Plan
Client:	Durham University
Site:	Boldon House, Pity Me, Durham, DH1 5GJ
Surveyor:	Andrew Burden, HNDip.arb.
Date:	17 April 2023

	-		
-	\mathbf{n}	ρ	 v
	•••		

www.northeasttreesurgeons.co.uk Arboriculture

Environmental

List of contents

- 1.0 Introductory details
- 2.0 Protected status of trees
- 3.0 Discussion
- 4.0 Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA)
- 5.0 Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS)
- 6.0 Conclusions
- 7.0 Appendices
- 7.1 BS:5837 (2012) Tree Survey data sheets
- 7.2 Site plan Posi**O**on of trees
- 7.3 Site plan Crown spread of trees
- 7.4 Tree Root ProtecOon Areas / RPA Plan
- 7.5 Tree Protec**O**on Plan
- 7.6 SpecificaOn For ProtecOve Fencing
- 7.7 Site plan of trees requiring removal

1.0 Introductory details

- 1.1 The site was visited following a wriΣen request from Amy Shepherdson of Iden ety Consult on behalf of Durham University, the purpose of the visit being to collect arboricultural data to provide a tree survey based upon the guidelines set out within the document BS:5837 (2012) Trees in relaeon to design, demoli@on & construc@on recommenda@ons (BSI London 2012).
- 1.2 The trees surveyed are situated within and outside the site boundary.
- 1.3 All trees were visually inspected and surveyed from ground level. Assessment of the trees condieon is based upon visual tree assessment (VTA). Tree heights were measured using a Haglof digital clinometer, other measurements taken with the use of specialist tapes.
- All trees surveyed are assessed in accordance with secOns 4.4 & 4.5 of BS:5837 (2012). Trees are allocated a retenOn category and colour code reference based upon their quality and value within the exisOng context. These are:
 - * Category A Trees of high quality with long term future poten Θ al –Green
 - * Category B Trees of moderate quality, medium term future poten eal –Blue
 - * Category C Trees of low quality, short term future poten@al –Grey
 - * Category U Trees in such condition they cannot be realisocally retained for longer than ten years - Red

- 1.5 The surveyor Mr. Andrew Burden is an arborist with thirty four years industry experience, qualified to HND level in arboriculture and woodland management (Houghall Collage, Durham 1994 - 96).
- 1.6 We have been provided with the current and proposed site drawings, the proposed development works are a fit out project of the main building, altera to car parking, new paving, hard and soO landscaping and possible resighOng of electric sub-sta**O**on.
- 1.7 All individual trees and groups of trees surveyed on site have been number ID tagged.
- 1.8 Full details relating to the trees current physiological and structural condition are contained within the a Σ ached BS:5837 Tree Survey Data Sheets (Appendix 7.1).
- 1.9 This independent report is based upon arboricultural merit alone.
- 1.10 Survey area



2.0 Protected status of trees

2.1 Desk based study carried out on 17 April 2023 of the Durham County Council Protected Trees web page shows that there are no Tree Preserva@on Orders or Conserva@on Areas within or close to the survey area.

3.0 Discussion

- 3.1 A small number of Category B and C trees will require removal to facilitate the proposed development works. Four trees require removal on arboricultural grounds. The remaining trees being retained throughout the period of proposed development will require tree protec Con fencing.
- 3.2 Central Courtyard –Six trees will require removal to facilitate the proposed new paving and allow access for replacement windows (Tree No's: T 601, T 602, T 603, T 606, T 607 & T 608). These trees are situated close to the exis Ang building with liΣle scope for safe future growth. T 604 (Wild Cherry) will require access facilita Con pruning to allow erec Con of scaffolding.
- 3.3 Shrub beds to North-eastern boundary, North East corner of main building & South of the main building The two areas of low value mixed shrub plan Ang (T 609 & T 610) and shrubs to the South of the main building will require removal to facilitate the proposed vehicular tarmac within this area and access facilita An to allow erec An of scaffolding.
- 3.4 Trees within exis@ng car park to the East of the main building Five low value trees within the car park (Tree No's: T 612, T 613, T 614, T 615 & T 616) will require

removal to facilitate proposed new paving / hard landscaping. Thirteen new trees are to be planted within this area upon comple**e** on of hard landscaping works.

- 3.5 Trees to the South of the main building adjacent to Wheatlands Way –Seven trees will require removal to facilitate the proposed new paving and shrub plan Org (Tree No's: T 618, T 619, T 620, T 621, T 622, T 623 & T 626).
- 3.6 Four trees require removal on arboricultural grounds, 2 x White Poplar within the Northern secOn of the group T 611 have shed branch ends in storms. These trees overhang the car parking bays and are likely to cause future issues. 1 x small dead Western Red Cedar is present within the central courtyard (T 605), 1 x small dead Birch tree is present at the Southern end of the group T 627 adjacent to the public highway. All three of these trees have been spray marked on site.
- 3.7 All other exisence and groups of trees are to be retained.

4.0 Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA)

- 4.1 The Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) considers the following factors in relation to the proposed development:
- * Tree loca**O**on.
- * Ground condieons
- * Likely tree root morphology.
- * Current dimensions & future growth

* The tolerance of the trees in relation to possible disturbance based on species, age and condition.

4.2 Above ground impacts

- 4.3 All trees being retained throughout the period of proposed development will require fenced protec@on to prevent any accidental interface from construc@on works or disturbance of the tree root protec@on areas (RPA's). The posi@on of the tree protec@on fencing is detailed within the Tree Protec@on Plan appendix 7.5. The required specifica@on for the tree protec@on fencing is given in appendix 7.6.
- 4.4 Care should be taken throughout the period of proposed development to prevent any spillage of liquid construction materials (fuel, cement / concrete washings etc) from entering the area of soil close to the tree protection areas. These materials can have a harmful effect upon a tree's health. Spill kits should be available on site, of a sufficient size to immediately deal with any accidental spillages. Any liquid construction materials should be stored and mixed well away from the tree protection areas.
- 4.5 The fenced tree protecon areas are a construcon works exclusion zone, the tree protecon fencing should not be moved or altered without seeking arboricultural advice. The tree protecon fencing should remain in place unor all works are completed and signed off.
- 4.6 All construction staff working on the proposed development project should be clearly briefed on these recommendations prior to commencing works on site.

4.7 Site specific Arboricultural Impacts & Solu**e**ons

4.8 **Poten@al Impact:** Damage to trees being retained throughout the period of proposed development.

Solu@on: Tree protec@on fencing will be required to prevent accidental interface from construc@on works with the Root Protec@on Areas (RPA's) of trees being retained. The Tree Protec@on Plan (appendix 7.5) shows the required posi@on of the protec@or fencing. The specifica@on for the required tree protec@on fencing is given in appendix 7.6

4.9 **Poten@al Impact:** Possible si@ng of sub-sta@on to the West of the main building close to the shelterbelt T 627. (Not confirmed)

Solueon: A site specific Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS) will be required should the proposed sub-staeon be sited in this posieon.

4.10 **Poteneal Impact:** Proposed Electric Vehicle Charging Points Eastern edge of car park adjacent to boundary group of trees T 611.

Solu@on: Although the theore@cal Tree Root Protec@on Area (RPA) extends into this area by approximately 1m the main roo@ng environment will be within the tree plot. Trail area to be excavated under arboricultural supervision at the start of these works. Should any tree roots be encountered further arboricultural supervision may be required during works within this area. Care should be taken if using any Hi-ab crane arms, telescopic jibs or other li@ing equipment during the installa@on of the

charging points to ensure there is no accidental damage to any tree canopies, a banksman will be required during any liŌing operaOons.

4.11 Underground Impacts

- 4.12 It is assumed that any services required will *e* into exiseng service runs, if any new ueity service runs are required the following shall apply.
- 4.13 The Naeonal Joint Ueies Group (NJUG) document "Guidelines for the planning, installa eon and maintenance of ueity apparatus in proximity to trees" should be strictly adhered to when considering the proposed routes of any underground service runs that may be required.
- 4.14 Service runs requiring to pass through any Root ProtecOn Areas of trees being retained, either hand dig under arboricultural supervision or no dig technology should be uGised in strict accordance with NJUG Guidelines.

5.0 General Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS)

- 5.1 The following restrictors to site operators within or close to the tree root protector areas (RPA's) should be noted.
- * Any excava the RPA should be carried out by hand under arboricultural supervision.

- * No lowering or raising of site levels within the RPA for any purpose, except removal of grass sword by hand tools.
- * No storage of plant or materials within or adjacent to the RPA.
- * No storage or handling of any chemical constructed materials including cement within or adjacent to the RPA.
- * No vehicle or construction staff access to the RPA.
- * No substances injurious to tree health including fuels, oil, bitumen, cement, builders sand, chemicals or concrete mixing shall be carried out or stored, within or adjacent to the RPA.
- * No fires should be lit on site at any **O**me.
- * All tree works and the installacon of tree proteccon fencing shall be carried out prior to construccon works commencing on site. * All tree works to be carried out in accordance with BS:3998 (2010) Bricsh Standard For Tree Work (BSI London 2010).
- 5.2 All tree works to be carried out prior to constructor in accordance with BS:3998 (2010).

Table 1 Summary of required tree works

Required Tree Works	Cat A	Cat B	Cat C	Cat U
Tree Removal On Arboricultural Grounds				4
Tree Removal To Facilitate The Development		10	7	
Shrub Bed Removal To Facilitate The Development			4	
Access Facilita Con Tree Pruning		1		

- 5.3 Prior to site set up installa@on of tree protec@on fencing as indicated within the Tree Protec@on Plan (TPP) appendix 7.5 and Specifica@on for protec@ve fencing – appendix 7.6. is required. The tree protec@on fencing shall not be moved or altered without prior consulta@on with the project arboriculturist.
- 5.4 The following ground proteccon measures should be noted for any works or access that may be required within Tree Root Proteccon Areas:
- 5.4.1 For pedestrian movement only, a single thickness of scaffold boards placed on top of a compression resistant layer – 100mm depth of woodchip laid onto a geotex e membrane.
- 5.4.2 For pedestrian operated plant up to a gross weight of 2 tonne, proprietary, interlinked ground protecOn boards placed on top of a compression resistant layer – 150mm depth of woodchip laid onto a geotexOe membrane.
- 5.4.3 Should any wheeled or tracked constructon traffic exceeding 2 tonne gross weight be required to enter the RPA of any tree being retained an alternative system (pre cast reinforced concrete slabs) to an engineering specificaton designed in conjuncton with arboricultural advice, to accommodate the likely loading to which it will be subjected will be required.

5.5 Avoiding physical damage to tree roots during any works within or close to the RPA's. To avoid damage to tree roots within the RPA exis Ong ground levels should be retained. If any tree roots are encountered during any works the following shall apply: Roots smaller than 25 mm in diameter may be pruned back, making a clean cut with a suitable sharp tool (bypass secateurs or handsaw) except where they occur in clumps or of over 25 mm in diameter. These should only be severed following consultaOon with the project arboriculturist. Prior to backfilling, retained roots should be surrounded with either topsoil, sharp sand or other loose inert granular fill. These materials should be free of contaminants and other foreign objects which may potenOally be injurious to tree roots.

Stages	Action	Arboricultural Input	
1. Approval	Tree Survey, AIA, AMS, TPP	Liaise with LPA if required.	
	submitted to and approved by		
	the LPA.		
2. Tree	Tree Works in accordance		
Works &	with BS:3998 (2010) Install		
Protection	Tree Protection Fencing.		
3. Site	To inspect Tree Protection	Inspect Tree Protection Fencing.	
Meeting	Fencing.		
4.	Undertake construction of	Arboricultural supervision of	
Construction	proposed development.	initial excavation for Electric	
		Vehicle charging points & works	
		adjacent to T 625.	
5. Site	Removal of Tree Protection		
Finishing	Fencing only when all site		
	traffic, plant & machinery has		
	left site.		

5.6 Sequence of opera**O**ons

5.9 Site Monitoring

- 5.9.1 Wherever trees on or adjacent to a site have been identified within the Tree Protection Plan for protective measures, there should be an auditable system of arboricultural site monitoring. This should extend to arboricultural supervision whenever construction and development activity is to take place within or adjacent to any Root Protection Area (RPA).
- 5.9.2 Arboricultural supervision will be required during the following phases of construction: Any construction works required within any RPA.
- 5.9.3 Start of installation of Electric Vehicle Charging Points adjacent to RPA of group T 611.

5.9.4 Erection of scaffolding within RPA of Tree No: 604

5.10 Site Contacts

Title	Name	Telephone	email
Client	Durham		
	University		
Arboriculturist	Andrew		
	Burden		
Project	Identity		
Management	Consult		
Site Manager	TBC		

6.0 Conclusions

- 6.1 The small number of trees requiring removal to facilitate the proposed development works will have low amenity value impact due to the restricted visibility of the trees par Ocularly the trees within the central courtyard and car park.
- 6.2 Replacement trees will be planted as mi Oga Opa.
- 6.3 By implementing the tree protection methods set out within this report the proposed development will have no impact upon trees and groups of trees being retained.

7.0 Appendices (a**Σ**ached)

- 7.1 BS:5837 (2012) Tree Survey Data Sheets
- 7.2 Site plan posi**O**on of trees
- 7.3 Site plan crown spread of trees
- 7.4 Tree Root Protec**O**on Areas (RPA) plan
- 7.5 Tree Protec**O**on Plan

- 7.6 SpecificaOon For ProtecOve Fencing
- 7.7 Site plan of trees requiring removal

Surveyor: Mr. Andrew Burden, HNDip.arb

Signed: A R Burden

Date: 17 April 2023 (Amended 18 December 2023)