REF: 22/02785/PRE - PRE-PLANNING FEEDBACK 751 Broomfield Road, Glasgow, G21 3HQ

| | PLANNING OFFICER QUESTION | OUR RESPONSE |
|---|--|---|
| 1 | Please could the distances to local facilities be | Walking and cycle distances have been updated. |
| | reviewed as they do not appear to match distances | |
| | internally. The distance should be the shortest walk, | |
| | not from point to point. | |
| 2 | It is suggested that a privacy strip with some soft | Wooden fencing will be added to enhance privacy |
| _ | landscaping be introduced to ensure sufficient | and prevent headlights shining through to private |
| | retention of privacy for the private gardens. In | gardens. |
| | addition, re-orientation of the parking may assist to | 84.46.66 |
| | avoid headlights shining through to the private | |
| | gardens. | |
| 3 | A swept path analysis demonstrating the ability of the | Swept path analysis performed and demonstrates |
| | site to accommodate any deliveries, bin lorries, and | existing site can accommodate delivery trucks, bin |
| | fire vehicles. | lorries and fire vehicles. |
| 4 | Further details about the landscaping arrangements to | Only soft landscaping proposed to separate |
| | the south of the site, especially the area proposed to | outdoor guest area from open space/assumed |
| | be screened off. We would resist any hard landscaping | water vole habitat. |
| | and suggest soft landscaping could assist with creating | |
| | a degree of separation between the proposed hostel | |
| | and open space/assumed water vole habitat. | |
| 5 | A preliminary ecological appraisal should be included | A PEA has been conducted; evidence of voles may |
| | in the application incorporating a phase I habitat | exist but no conclusive evidence of endangered |
| | survey and a search for protected species. As the site | water volves is present on site. Blue and green |
| | is within area known for water voles, a fossorial water | areas are being preserved, proposal aims to protect |
| | vole search should be included too. | and preserve animal species living on site. |
| 6 | A tree survey and Arboricultural impact assessment | A tree survey and AIA has been conducted. No |
| | will be required as part of the application. | constraints were identified against our proposal. |
| 7 | With respect to the internal courtyards, it would be | Soft landscaping and "quiet" zones will be added to |
| | preference for soft landscaping strips to be introduced | internal courtyards and activity area will be |
| | here and the proposed outdoor playing area, picnic | relocated to larger open courtyard. |
| | and BBQ area relocated to the larger open courtyard | |
| | on the southern part of the side. | |
| 8 | The proposed siting of the bin store is not acceptable. | For fire safety and insurance purposes, the bins |
| | Is there scope to move this internally by removing a | cannot be located internally. The bins will be stored |
| | bedroom or two? Alternatively, if to be site externally, | externally in lockable outdoor sheds made of metal |
| | it should not be sited along the front elevation or | structure with wooden cladding and are |
| | where it is visible from the public road. | aesthetically pleasing to the local surroundings. |
| 9 | In terms of the cycle storage further details are | We propose significant cycle storage, no room is |
| | required about the design of this building, including | capable of holding so many cycles. The cycles will |
| | proposed materials. There is some concern about its | be stored externally in lockable outdoor sheds |
| | positioning and again it is suggested that the potential | made of metal structure with wooden cladding and |
| | to site it internally be explored. | are aesthetically pleasing to the local surroundings. |