Planning and Sustainable Development Service



My ref: PA21/09244 Date: 01/10/2021

Clean Earth Energy
Clean Earth Energy Investments Ltd
2A Bess Park Road
Trenant Industrial Estate
Wadebridge
Cornwall
PL27 6hb

Dear Vivek Kodige

Statutory Instruments 2017

The Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017

Regulation 6

Application Number PA21/09244

Proposal EIA screening opinion request for a single wind turbine. Location Land east of Blackpool pit at Burngallow Common PL26

Applicant Clean Earth Energy

Further to your screening request received 14 September 2021 - please find attached the Screening Opinion now adopted by Cornwall Council, as the Local Planning Authority, which concludes that:

The development proposed is not considered to be an EIA development within the meaning of the EIA Regulations. The decision is based on the information known at the time and selection criteria for screening Schedule 2 development - specifically Category 3 (i) Installations for the harnessing of wind power for energy production (wind farms) - and Planning Practice Guidance. This decision is given without prejudice to any final determination of any subsequent planning application.

The development does not require an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), as it lies outside of a sensitive area as defined and although it exceeds

Planning and Sustainable Development Service

the applicable threshold i.e. the hub height of any turbine or height of any other structure exceeds 15 metres - it is considered unlikely to have significant effects on the environment.

This decision is given without prejudice to any final determination of any planning application.

Yours faithfully

Service Director Planning and Sustainable Development

Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017

Regulation 6 - screening opinion checklist

Screening request under Regulation 6 of the Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017

Request for a formal EIA Screening Opinion

Proposal:	Screening request for an EIA screening opinion for a single wind turbine of 135metres high to tip. Imerys Land, Land at Burgullow, Cornwall PL26 7TE
Cornwall Council Ref:	PA21/09244

1 Is this a Schedule 1 development? NO
If YES - EI A development, EI A required
If NO - go to Box 2.
2 Is this a Schedule 2 development?

Planning and Sustainable Development Service

	(a)	Is it of a description mentioned in Column 1 of the table in Schedule 2;	YES
	If YES - go to 2(b) and (c);		
	If NO - not Schedule 2 development, no EIA required.		
	(b)	Is any part of the site in a 'sensitive area'? (ie SSSI, AONB, World Heritage site, SAC, scheduled monument etc);	NO
	(c)	Is any applicable threshold or criterion in the table in Schedule 2 exceeded or met in relation to the development.	YES
	If YES to either 2(b) OR (c) - Schedule 2 development - go to Box 3		
		O to both 2(b) AND (c) - not Schedule 2 development, ELA required.	
3	effec	Id the development site/proposal be likely to have significant ets on the environment because of factors such as its nature, or location? (Refer to Schedule 3 for guidance)	NO
	IfN	O – not EIA development, no EIA required.	

Screening Opinion - reason(s) for decision: Characteristics of the development The proposed development comprises the (a) The size of development erection of a single wind turbine, 3 blade rotor design with a maximum tip height of 135metres. Two switchgear housing units (approx. 4m x 4m x 3m) temporary access track, underground cabling and temporary crane hard standing (approx. 45mx35m). There are several operational wind turbines (b) The cumulation with other within 4km of the proposal, ranging from development 34metres to 77metres, and 1 consented wind turbine at 135metres Approved/Constructed within 4km: PA14/12102 1 x 77m - 0.9km North PA14/07230 1 x 66m - 1.7km East PA15/01218 1 x 77m - 05km South PA14/12172 1 x 77m - 3.5km NE PA11/08550 1 x 43m - 1.5km West PA12/12138 1x 54m - 1.8km East PA11/08457 1x34m - 3.2km South

are

Cornwall Council

Planning and Sustainable Development Service

		PA20/09318 1x135m - 1.2km North
		Medium scale (up to 77m to tip) wind development located in close proximity to the proposed turbine location.
		Consented: PA21/02845 1x135m - 2.7km SW
		There are two further consented/built turbines within 5km: PA11/01122 1x 34m - 4.2km West PA14/10878 1x36m - 4.4km West
		There would be intervisibility with the turbines and this proposal is for an additional single turbine but it not considered that the cumulative landscape effects, having regard the nature of the turbines and the characteristic of the intervening landscape (which is predominantly china clay pits and a commercial landscape) between them would be significant in EIA terms. Any submission should be supported by a
		landscape and visual impact assessment which considers cumulative impact.
(c)	The use of natural resources	Wind would be used to power the turbine. Otherwise the use of natural resources is expected to be minimal. Other resources would be used in the manufacture of the turbine but these are insignificant in EIA terms.
(d)	The production of waste	Modest amounts of waste would likely to be generated during the construction phase of development, which could be transported away from the site post-construction as necessary.
(e)	Pollution and nuisances	The main potential pollution and nuisance impacts from this type of development are expected to be a degree of noise, shadow flicker and electro-transmission impacts. This is likely to be a localised impact and capable

Planning and Sustainable Development Service

		of being mitigated through the planning application process.
(f)	The risk of accidents, having regard in particular to substances or technologies used	Provided that the wind turbine is operated in accordance with its operational specifications, there would unlikely be a risk of accidents associated with mechanical failure. The proposed siting of the turbine has an adequate distance to high risk sites such as residential dwellings should the turbine collapse.
		There is a potential risk of accidents associated with vehicle movements, particularly during the construction phase of development, as it is anticipated that at times - there would be large and abnormal vehicles exiting and entering the A30.

Location of the development

		T
(a)	The existing land use	The site is in Burngallow Common which is suitable as pasture land and bordering onto Blackpool Clay Pit, china clay works.
(b)	The relative abundance, quality and regenerative capacity of the natural resources in the area	In this context, 'natural resources' has been taken to mean those resources which exist naturally and can be used to attribute or derive value, including biodiversity interests and the natural landscape.
		The natural resources in the area have been impacted upon by the commercial mineral extraction that has taken place on the land and there is no evidence to suggest any significant impact from this proposal on the natural environment.
(c)	The absorption capacity of the natural environment	The development does not fall within an area statutorily designated for its landscape and scenic beauty.
		The site is within landscape character area CA17 – St.Austell or Hensbarrow China Clay Area which has been highlighted to have no great sensitivity to turbine development.
		Cornwall AONB – 4.7km SE
		The turbine falls within the Burngullow and Gover Valley County Wildlife Site (CWS) and is just south of Longstone Down CWS.

Planning and Sustainable Development Service

The Brenery Common and Goss and Tregoss Moors SAC & Goss and Tregoss SSSI - 4.8km north

The River Camel SAC 7.7km to the north

Crowhill Valley SSSI - 5.5km SW

Carn Grey Rock and Quarry SSSI - 5km East

Wheal Martyn SSSI- 2.3km NE

St. Austell Clay Pits SSSI - 3.9km East, 4.02km West and 4.62km North.

St. Mewan Beacon SSSI - 0.6km SW

Roche Rock SSSI - 5.6km North

Mid-Cornwall Moors SSSI - 4.9km NW

Trelavour Downs SSSI - 4.1km NW

Tregargus Quarry SSSI - 3.4km West

Goss Moor National Nature Reserve - 5.41km NW

Taking into consideration the nature of these protected areas and the proposal itself which is not within any of these areas it is considered that there is unlikely to be significant impact upon these designations due to the siting and nature of the proposal to trigger an EIA.

There are a number of listed buildings within 5km of the site.

Grade II *: Menacuddle Baptistery Church - 3km East

Grade II: Carlyon Farm China Clay Dry – 2.97km East

Grade II: Sticker Methodist Church/Sunday School & Trudgeons & Hews Inn - 3.84km SW

Grade II: Pondhu House - 3.25km SE

Grade II: White House, Tregorrick – 3.84km SE

Planning and Sustainable Development Service

Grade II: Gover Railway Viaduct - 1.82km SE

Grade II: Hembal Manor - 1.74km South

Grade II*: Parkandillack Engine House – 4.37km NW

Grade II: Coldreath Mill - 4.32km North

Grade II*: Goonvean China Clay Works Engine House with Boiler-House and detached Chimney – 3.64km NW

Grade II: Trethosa School - 4.16km West

Grade II: Railway Viaduct, Combe - 4.96km SW

Grade II*: Chapel Mill, St Stephen in Brannel 3.68km SW

Grade II: Tregarrus Mill - 3.5km West

Grade II*: Treveor Farmhouse wall and gate – 3.48km West

Grade I: Holy Trinity Church, St Austell - 3.43km SW

(also in St Austell and located fairly close to Holy Trinity:

Grade II - St Austell Branch Library; White Hart Hotel; Elm Terrace; Corn Mill. Grade II* - Market House; Trevarrick Hall; St Johns Methodist Church and School Rooms)

Grade II*: Church of St Mewan - 2.7km South

Most of the listed buildings cited above are within the Theoretical Zone of Visibility as shown on the submitted plan, however it is noted that topography, tree cover and extant buildings will screen some views of the turbine and even when it is visible the distance at more than one kilometer from the nearest listed building and existing turbines, combined with the general industrialised/China clay landscape character of the area will mitigate against any significant harm to the setting of listed buildings.

Planning and Sustainable Development Service

There are a number of Scheduled Monuments within 5km of the application site:

Wheal Martyn China Clay works SM - 2.32km NE

Hensbarrow Beacon - 3.37km North

Platform cairn 180m NW of Hensbarrow Farm - 3.38km North

Menacuddle Well SM - 2.9km East

Churchyard cross, Wayside cross St Stephens – approx. 3.96km West

St.Stephens Beacon SM & Round 310m east of Carloggas Moor Farm SM – approx. 2.9km West

Tregargus stone grinding mill no. 2 SM – 3.47km West

Sticker Camp SM - 3.62km South

South Polgooth Mine SM - 4.25km South

Due to distances, topography, foliage and trees and existing buildings visual impacts will be limited. The proposed site will not directly impact on archaeology associated with the scheduled monuments.

There is some evidence of archaeological remains near to the site including a medieval leat and prospecting pits. However this can be addressed and evaluated through standard procedures during the lifecycle of any future application and is not considered to be a significant constraint at the site

Closest registered parks and gardens:

Tregrehan Gardens - 6.7km East

Gardens of Heligan - 7.9km South

Trewithen Gardens - 8.9k SW

Due to the distance and the surrounding topography it is unlikely that any significant impact upon the setting of these Scheduled Monuments would occur.

Planning and Sustainable Development Service

		A Heritage Impact Assessment would be required with any formal application.
		The absorption capacity of the natural environment is overall considered to be moderate to good.
Chara	acteristics of potential impact	
	· · ·	
(a)	Extent of the impact	A wind turbine of the proposed scale of 135m to tip in this location would be clearly visible and would have an impact on landscape character.
		In terms of impacts related to the proposed development, these relate to visual effects on the landscape character of the area. It is considered however, that these impacts would not be likely to be significant in EIA terms due to the nature of this development, being a single turbine, and the environment that it is to be located within has a commercial/industrial character, Whilst there are listed buildings and Scheduled Monuments in the vicinity it is unlikely that the proposal would have a significant impact which would result in the application being EIA positive.
		Any future application should be supported by a full LVIA and Heritage Impact Assessment.,
		The nearest residential properties are located 0.6km East and 0.8km South of the proposal.
		Some properties are likely to experience impacts including visual, however these are not considered to be significant in EIA terms.
(b)	The transfrontier nature of the impact	Not applicable in this location.
(c)	The magnitude and complexity of the impact	The proposed wind turbine would be a reasonably tall structure with some prominence in the landscape and would be likely to be visible from far-reaching views. However the area has several existing turbines and is a long-established industrial landscape with China clay workings, spoil heaps and pits which could decrease visual impacts.

Planning and Sustainable Development Service

		As the site is not subject to any statutory landscape designations, and there is potential for ecological mitigation, archaeological recording and monitoring, and only relatively few residential properties in proximity to the site which would likely be affected by the development, the impacts are unlikely to be complex and acceptable to be addressed singularly as part of standard planning and other regulatory processes.
(d)	The probability of the impact	The development would create landscape and visual impacts. Otherwise, appropriate assessments would determine the probability of the impact upon other interests.
(e)	The duration, frequency and reversibility of the impact	Initial construction impacts would be for a period of months. Once in place, the on-site structures are likely to be operational for 35 years, after which all structures would be decommissioned and removed, with minimal restoration of the site required in restoring it to its original state.

Conclusion

The development proposed is not considered to be an EIA development within the meaning of the EIA Regulations. The decision is based on the information known at the time and selection criteria for screening Schedule 2 development - namely Category 3 (i) Installations for the harnessing of wind power for energy production (wind farms) and Planning Practice Guidance. This decision is given without prejudice to any final determination of any subsequent planning application.

The development does not require an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), as it lies outside of a sensitive area as defined and although it exceeds the applicable threshold i.e. the hub height of any turbine or height of any other structure exceeds 15 metres - it is considered unlikely to have significant effects on the environment in EIA terms.

This decision is given without prejudice to any final determination of any planning application.

Alex Lawrey Case Officer	Date: 01/10/2021

Planning and Sustainable Development Service