Supporong Statement

Troed-Rhiw-Fedwen Farmhouse, Llanbister Road, Llandrindod Wells, LD1 5UP

Introduc0on

Troed-Rhiw-Fedwen Farmhouse is a detached dwelling set in an exis Θ ng farmyard on a gentle East falling sloping site. The farmyard consists of the dwelling, a garage/outbuilding associated with the dwelling and an elongated range of tradi Θ onal and modern agricultural buildings spanning to the South.

ExisOng Building

The exisong external materials consist of Render, Stonework, Zinc, Brickwork, Blockwork, Timber and Slate. Mulople repairs have taken place, leading to patches of brickwork and render in the exisong stonework. The eastern gable appears to be rebuilt out of blockwork at an unknown date.

The building was last occupied in October 2018 by a tenant who had lived in the property for 25 years. The applicant is currently living on site in a caravan due to the deficiencies of the dwelling.

Policy H9

Policy H9 States:-

Replacement Dwellings Development proposals to replace exis θ ng habitable dwellings will be permi Σ ed where they comply with the following criteria:

- 1. The exis Θ ng dwelling shall not have been abandoned and remains clearly recognisable as a permanent dwelling under Class C3 of the Use Class Order 1987 (as amended).
- 2. The proposal shall not result in the loss of a building of special architectural or historic interest or local vernacular character. Where this is the case, proposals will only be $permi\Sigma$ ed where the building is proven to be beyond realis Θ c repair.
- 3. The replacement dwelling shall: i. be located within or adjacent to the footprint of the former habitable dwelling and reflect the form, size and scale of the former habitable dwelling unless there are demonstrable planning advantages to be gained from devia ng from the former dwelling's orienta on, posion or size. ii. respect or enhance the design of the original dwelling and those of surrounding proper on the locality.

4.6.26 Policy H9 supports the replacement of exis and habitable dwellings providing they

respect the character of the area and do not result in development which is out of scale with the locality. Where the dwelling to be replaced is considered to be of architectural, historic or local vernacular interest, there is a presumpeon against the replacement of such a dwelling, unless it is demonstrated, through the submission of structural and *fi*nancial evidence that the building is beyond realisec repair.'

- 1 The exiseng building is clearly recognisable as a dwelling.
- 2 The house does hold historical interest, however the building was assessed in late 2022 and CADW 'have concluded that it does not meet the high standard required by the criteria to be listed.'

Node3 have prepared a structural survey (ref Project Ref: 2018_38) of the property and due to their findings have concluded 'the property has now reached such a state of disrepair that it is uneconomical to repair, meaning that a replacement structure is the most appropriate solu@on.'

- 3 The Proposed Dwelling:-
 - Has been sited on the original footprint of the exisong dwelling
 - Reflects the form, size and scale of the exisong dwelling
 - Has been designed to respect the design of the exisong dwelling in terms of layout and external appearance

One of the main elements that gave the building historical merit was the decoraeve gable wall on the North side, which is currently not visible as it is clad in Zinc. The exiseng decoraeve framing is in poor condieon, but will be salvaged where possible and used as a template for the replacement dwelling. The Decoraeve oak framing will be replicated on the new Southern gable protrusion above the front door. This will mean the framing is visible from the exiseng right of way which cuts through the farmyard, which was not possible before.

Economics



As such, the financial circumstances effecevely rule-out the renovaeon of the exiseng dwelling. Replacement dwelling policy H9 states that any such proposal shall not result in the loss of a building of special architectural or historic interest or local vernacular character, and that where this is the case, proposals will only be permiΣ ed where the building is proven to be beyond realisec repair. The figures above demonstrate that the exiseng dwelling is beyond realisec repair.

Furthermore, it is also vitally important to stress that the planning system does not exist merely to prevent the loss of all buildings of vernacular character. It is also equally charged, if not more nowadays, with ac Θ ng in a way that helps counter climate change. Whilst the overall impacts of the works in themselves might be broadly comparable in terms of carbon release, there can be li Σ le doubt that a new dwelling as proposed would achieve far greater improvements in carbon terms over its life Θ me, which would be significant and certainly sufficient to factor in as a clear and overriding posi Θ ve in the planning balance.

Conclusion

The applicant is a local resident who wishes to remain in the area due to local Θ es and connec Θ ons with family and friends. The Applicant runs a small farming enterprise comprising na Θ ve breed sheep and the property has around 50 acres of land with it. To find another comparable property in the area that met her needs as well as this one would be extremely challenging. This makes the exis Θ ng property a very real asset, and one which the applicant understandably, therefore, now wishes to develop in the manner now proposed.

The exisOng dwelling is recognised to have vernacular character, but not to the extent that it is of listable quality any longer. The figures speak for themselves - to renovate the property makes no financial sense. Although marginal, to re-build it, however, remains a viable proposiOn. The planning system allows for dwellings to be replaced in these circumstances, as does the policy of the LDP, which takes precedence unless material circumstances indicate otherwise. In this case, the only other meaningful circumstance relates to the needs to counter the effects of climate change, which a replacement dwelling in the manner proposed would help achieve far more efficiently and with longer-lasOng posiOve impacts than a renovaOon scheme would deliver. There are no other insurmountable planning issues to overcome. The applicaOon should, therefore, be approved on this basis.