

Background

Producing biodiversity reports that meet required professional standards reduces the risks of delay with associated planning applications through the planning process.

In our experience the quality and adequacy of biodiversity reports submitted to local planning authorities to support planning applications is – across the whole of the UK - extremely varied and inconsistent. Where reports are inadequate, this can lead to failure to achieve desired outcomes for biodiversity conservation as well as running the risk of delays, increased costs and uncertainty for applicants over whether planning consent will be granted. In the worst case, a planning consent that is granted based upon inadequate information may be open to legal challenge.

Purpose

The purpose of this form is to ensure a competent review of the biodiversity information provided to support a planning application by the applicant has been undertaken. The form is designed to encourage those responsible for providing biodiversity reports to ensure they follow good professional practice and are fit for their intended purpose, i.e. is in accordance with Clauses 6 and 8.1 of BS42020:2013 and therefore adequate to enable determination by the relevant competent authority. This is based on the Ecological Impact Assessment (EcIA) Checklist available on the Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management (CIEEM) website https://cieem.net/resource/ecological-impact-assessment-ecia-checklist/

Use

This form shall be used for all full and outline applications <u>where there are likely to be implications for</u> <u>biodiversity</u>. Consequently, the form shall be used for all types of development, whether the proposed development is listed on Schedule 2 of the EIA Regulations or not. In line with the Validation Checklist, biodiversity information would be submitted in the form of either a Preliminary Ecological Appraisal or an Ecological Impact Assessment (EcIA) Report (in accordance with CIEEM guidelines; see Endnote vii).

The Local Planning Authority will only accept biodiversity information in the form of a Preliminary Ecological Appraisal Report (PEA) Report where all 3 of the following apply:

1. No further surveys* - beyond those that are complete and reported fully in the PEA Report - are required;

*A PEA Report will normally be based on a desk study and extended Phase 1 habitat survey (or equivalent), but may also include the results of Phase 2 surveys.

2. And either:

a. The report provides an adequate assessment of biodiversity impacts; or

b. The report is able to conclude robustly that there would be no significant residual biodiversity impacts.

3. And the report provides adequate information about the biodiversity mitigation, compensation and enhancement measures proposed; and these are capable of being secured through a planning condition, obligation and/or protected species licence.



The terms 'Ecological Impact Assessment' (EcIA), 'EcIA Report', 'Preliminary Ecological Appraisal' (PEA), 'PEA Report', 'Extended Phase 1 habitat survey' and 'Phase 2 surveys' are defined by the Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management (CIEEM) in the '*Guide to Ecological Surveys and Their Purpose'* (December 2017), available at https://cieem.net/resource/guide-to-ecological-surveys-and-their-purpose/

How to complete this form

Part A of this form provides general background information and a signed declaration. It should be completed by the Ecologist representing the Applicant.

Part B of this form is a declaration that should be completed by the Applicant to demonstrate that they have read and understood the content of the biodiversity report and also agree to any recommendations that have implications for the proposed development, i.e. implementation of necessary biodiversity mitigation measures.

Part C should be completed by the Ecologist representing the Applicant (it is expected that, in most cases, this will be the lead author of the biodiversity report). Part C shall act as a checklist of the issues which should be addressed in the biodiversity report. The Ecologist should confirm that the information requested has been provided in the report and provide the appropriate paragraph reference numbers to allow the Local Planning Authority to quickly confirm that each criterion has been met.

Where the Ecologist finds that they cannot justifiably answer 'Yes' or 'Not applicable', or where they cannot cross-refer to a paragraph of the report which demonstrates that they have complied with a given criterion, they should revisit the work undertaken and revise the report accordingly, prior to its submission.

Part D of the form is to be completed by the Local Planning Authority's 'nominated person with biodiversity expertise' (i.e. a qualified ecologist or a planner with responsibility/expertise for biodiversity matters) during the Local Planning Authority's determination of the planning application.



PART A – GENERAL INFORMATION AND ECOLOG	IST'S DECLARATION	
Name of Applicant: Click or tap here to enter text.	Site Name: Click or tap here to enter tex	t
nume of Applicant. ellek of tap here to enter text.	one numer energy of tup here to enter tex	
Site Location (Post Code/Grid Reference): Click or tap he	re to enter text.	
Brief Description of Proposed Development: Click or tap h	nere to enter text.	
For instance of the second state of the second	the state titles and successful a fitting house and	to a the same 20 and the inte
For instance: Conversion of stone built agricultural barn w Biodiversity features likely to be affected include bats, ba		
blouversity jeutures intery to be ujjetteu include buts, bu	in owis, and other breeding birds such as swe	
Details of Biodiversity Report		
Report title: Tallet Yard, Duntisbourne Leer –	Name and Qualifications of Lead Author: N	leil K Musgrave
Updating Bat Survey Report	B.Eng. Natural England bat licence No.	-
	_	
Date: 19 th December Reference Number: 40323-01		
2023 NKM Assoc		
Type of Diadivarcity Depart Submitted with the Diagning A	enligation (and Southans 2 and 4 in Dumpers and	
 Type of Biodiversity Report Submitted with the Planning Ap Full Ecological Impact Assessment (EcIA) 	oplication (see Sections 3 and 4 in Purpose ab	Y N
 A Preliminary Ecological Appraisal Report (PEAR) 		
Summary and Recommendations:		
Brief description of key biodiversity features likely to be a	ffected and mitigation required.	
Click or tap here to enter text.		
Is a Protected Species Licence from Natural England requi	red?	Y NX
If so, what species and which type of licence?		
Click or tap here to enter text.		
Are planning conditions required to secure proposed miti	gation?	Y NX
If so, what for?	Battori	
Click or tap here to enter text.		
Ecologist's Professional Declaration (lead author or perso	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Are full details of professional memberships, qualification		
biodiversity report, provided in the EcIA / PEAR?	Y⊠ I	NL
I hereby confirm that the information provided in this for	m is accurate and is a true record of the work	undertaken.
Name of Ecologist: Sign		Date:
N K Musgrave N K	Musgrave	19 th December 2023
Qualifications and Experience of the above Ecologist (if diff	erent from Lead Author identified above):	
Click or tap here to enter text.		
PART B – APPLICANT'S DECLARATION		
I hereby confirm that I have read and understand the find	lings, implications and recommendations for	impact avoidance,

mitigation, compensation and enhancement set out in the report referred to in Part A above. I understand that the mitigation, compensation and enhancement measures set out in the report may be secured through a licence from the appropriate statutory conservation body and/or through condition(s) or obligations imposed by the Local Planning Authority, or other decision making authority.

Name of Applicant (or Agent): Ian Golding Signed: Ian Golding



	Checklist to ensure decisions are based on adequate information in accordance with	Y, N, N/A?	Repo
	Clauses 6.2 and 8.1 of BS42020:2013		Ref para
			no.(s
Pre-app	1. Where pre-app advice has been received from the LPA and/or an NGO and/or	□Yes□No	Click
	statutory body (e.g. NE DAS) ⁱ , it has been fully accounted for in the report.	⊠N/A	or tap
			here
			to
			ente
			text.
	2. The scope, structure and content of the report is in accordance with published good	⊠Yes□No	Click
	practice ^{ii, iii and iv} .	□n/A	or ta
			here
			to
			ente
			text.
Surveys, Species &	3. Adequate ^v and up-to-date ^{vi} :	⊠Yes⊡No	Click
Habitats	 a. Desk study has been undertaken^{vii}; b. Phase 1 habitat survey has been undertaken⁷; and 	□n/A	or ta
	 b. Phase 1 habitat survey has been undertaken⁷; and c. Phase 2 surveys have been undertaken (where necessary)^{viii}. 		here
	c. Thuse 2 surveys have been undertaken (where helessary).		to .
			ente
	A All she to the second second state the liter back is a first first the state of second se		text.
	4. All statutory and non-statutory sites likely to be significantly affected are clearly and correctly identified.	□Yes□No ⊠N/A	Click
	correctly identified.		or ta
			here
			to ente
			text.
	5. All protected or priority species and priority habitats ^{ix} likely to be significantly	□Yes□No	Click
	affected are clearly and correctly identified, and adequate surveys have been	⊠N/A	or ta
	undertaken to inform the baseline.		here
			to
			ente
			text.
	6. Any invasive non-native plant species present are clearly and correctly identified.	□Yes□No	Click
		⊠N/A	or ta
			here
			to
			ente
			text.
	7. Where a separate preliminary ecological appraisal (phase 1) report states that Phase	□Yes□No	Click
	2 surveys are required, these have been undertaken in full and results submitted with	⊠N/A	or ta
	the application (or lack of such surveys is justified).		here
			to
			ente
			text.
	8. The assessment is based on clearly defined development proposals along with	⊠Yes□No □N/A	Click
mpacts & Effects	relevant drawings/plans (and any plans used are the same version number as those		or ta
Effects	submitted with the application): OR		
	submitted with the application); OR 9. The biodiversity effects are considered to be not significant at any geographical scale		
	 submitted with the application); OR 9. The biodiversity effects are considered to be not significant at any geographical scale irrespective of the detailed development proposals, and the assessment is based on a 		here to ente



Biodiversity Report Declaration of Adequacy

Image: Section of the sectio				□Yes□No □N/A	Click or tap
Image: Section of the sectio					
Image: Second					
Image: complete text is the proposed mitigation and compensation measures has been provided. Image: complete text is the proposed mitigation and compensation measures has been provided where required is clearly identified. Image: clearly identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: clearly identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: clearly identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: clearly identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: clearly identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: clearly identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: clearly identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: clearly identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: clearly identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: clearly identified. Image: clearly identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: clearly identified. Image: clearly identified. Image: clearly identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: clearly identified. Image: clearly identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: clearly identified. Image: clearly identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified in relation is clearly identified. Image					
11. The report describes and assesses all likely significant biodiversity effects (including cumulative effects) clearly stating the geographical scale of significance (where relevant). DYscINo Click or tap here to enter text. Mitigation, & the integration hierarchy has been clearly followed. DyscINo Dirke of text. Dirke of text. 13. The report: a. Clearly identifies the proposed mitigation and compensation measures, and explains how these will adequately aders all likely significant adverse effects; Dirke ON Click or tap here to enter text. 14. A summary table of proposed mitigation and compensation measures, and explains how proposed measures may be secured through planning conditions/obligations and/or necessary licences. Dirke ON Click or tap here to enter text. 15. The need for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Dirke ON Click Or tap here to enter text. 16. A Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment has been provided where required Dirke ON Click Or tap here to enter text. Competence/ 17. Limitations ² of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly identified and the implications explained. Dirke ON Click Or tap here to enter text. 18. All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may constrain or adversely affect the proposed timing of development have been Dirke ON Click Or tap here to enter text. 18. All relevant key timing					
Image: second		11.			
Mitigation, Compensation & 12. The mitigation hierarchy has been clearly followed. Uvs.2DNo Click or tap here to enter text. 13. The report: 13. The report: Uvs.2DNo Click or tap here to enter text. 14. A summary table of proposed mitigation and compensation measures, and explains how these will adequately address all likely significant adverse effects; b. includes, where necessary, proposals for post-construction monitoring; and conditions/obligations and/or necessary licences. Uvs.2DNo Click or tap here text. 14. A summary table of proposed mitigation and compensation measures has been provided. Uvs.2DNo Click or tap here text. 15. The need for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Uvs.2DNo Click or tap here to enter text. 16. A Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment has been provided where required Uvs.2DNo Click or tap here to enter text. 17. Limitations ¹ of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly identified and the implications explained. Uvs.2DNo Click or tap here to enter text. 18. All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may constrain or adversely affect the proposed timing of development have been WNA Vvs.2DNo 18. All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may constrain or adversely affect the proposed timing of development have been Uvs.2DNo Click or tap here to enter <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>⊠N/A</th> <th>or tap</th>				⊠N/A	or tap
Mitigation, Compensation & Enhancement 12. The mitigation hierarchy has been clearly followed'. Image: Compensation & Dick or tap here to to enter 13. The report: a. Clearly identifies the proposed mitigation and compensation measures, and explains how these will adequately address all likely significant adverse effects; b. Includes, where necessary, proposals for post-construction monitoring; and c. Recommends how proposed measures may be secured through planning conditions/obligations and/or necessary licences. Image: West Mode or tap here text. 14. A summary table of proposed mitigation and compensation measures has been provided. Image: West Mode or tap here text. Image: West Mode or tap here text. 15. The need for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: West Mode or tap here to enter Click or tap here text. 16. A Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment has been provided where required Good Practice Image: West Mode or tap here to enter Click or tap here to conter 2004 Practice 17. Umitations' of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly identified and the implications explained. Image: West Mode or tap here to conter Click or tap here to conter 2004 Practice 18. All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may constrain or adversely affect the proposed timing of development have been Image: West Mode or tap			relevant).		here
Mitigation, Reinhancement 12. The mitigation hierarchy has been clearly followed'. Image: Compensation Reinhancement					to
Mitigation, Compensation & Longensation & Longensatin Longensation & Longensation & Longensatio					enter
Compensation & SN/A or tap here to enter text. 13. The report: a. Clearly identifies the proposed mitigation and compensation measures, and explains how these will adequately address all likely significant adverse effects; SN/A or tap here to enter text. b. Includes, where necessary, proposals for post-construction monitoring; and c. Recommends how proposed measures may be secured through planning conditions/obligations and/or necessary licences. SN/A SN/A Or tap here to enter text. 14. A summary table of proposed mitigation and compensation measures has been provided. SN/A Or tap here to enter text. Click 15. The need for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. SN/A Click SN/A PressINo 16. A Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment has been provided where required SN/A Click SN/A PressINo Click SN/A Good Practice 17. Limitations ^{II} of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly identified and the implications explained. SN/A Click SN/A PressINo Click SN/A Sold Practice 18. All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may constrain or adversely affect the proposed timing of development have been SN/A Click SN/A SN/A Issue the proposed issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may constrain or advers					
A Image: Competence for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: Competence for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: Competence for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: Competence for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: Competence for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: Competence for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: Competence for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: Competence for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: Competence for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: Competence for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: Competence for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: Competence for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: Competence for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected species is click or tap here text. Competence for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected species is click or tap here text. Image: Click or tap here text. Image: Click or tap here text. Competence for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected	-	12.	The mitigation hierarchy has been clearly followed'.		
emmandement io io io enter 13. The report: a. Clearly identifies the proposed mitigation and compensation measures, and explains how these will adequately address all likely significant adverse effects; b. io Click ion ion enter io enter io enter io ion enter io ion enter io io enter io enter io enter io enter io enter io enter				⊠N/A	
Image: constraint of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly identified and the implications explained. Image: constraint or adversely affect the proposed mitig stor clear provided in the implication set	Enhancement				
Image: space spac					
13. The report: a. Clearly identifies the proposed mitigation and compensation measures, and explains how these will adequately address all likely significant adverse effects; b. includes, where necessary, proposals for post-construction monitoring; and c. Recommends how proposed measures may be secured through planning conditions/obligations and/or necessary licences. c. Recommends how proposed measures may be secured through planning conditions/obligations and/or necessary licences. c. Recommends how proposed measures may be secured through planning conditions/obligations and/or necessary licences. c. Recommends how proposed measures may be secured through planning conditions/obligations and/or necessary licences. c. Recommends how proposed measures may be secured through planning conditions/obligations and/or necessary licences. c. Recommends how proposed measures may be secured through planning conditions/obligations and/or necessary licences. c. Recommends how proposed measures may be secured through planning conditions/obligations and/or necessary licences. c. Recommends how proposed measures has been provided through planning conditions/obligations and/or necessary licences. c. Recommends how proposed measures has been provided species is clearly identified. Click Or tap here to click or tap here to click or tap here to anter text. 16. A Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment has been provided where required IYes □No Click or tap here to click or tap here to anter text. Competence/ 17. Limitations* of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly identified and the implications explained. IYes □No Click or tap here to anter text. Cl					
a. Clearly identifies the proposed mitigation and compensation measures, and explains how these will adequately address all likely significant adverse effects; or tap here to b. Includes, where necessary, proposals for post-construction monitoring; and C. Recommends how proposed measures may be secured through planning conditions/obligations and/or necessary licences. Image: Conditions/obligation and compensation measures has been provided. Ves: DNo Click or tap here text. 14. A summary table of proposed mitigation and compensation measures has been provided. Ves: DNo Click or tap here to 15. The need for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: Click or tap here to Or tap here to 16. A Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment has been provided where required Ves: DNo Click or tap here to Or tap here to Competence/ Good Practice 17. Limitations* of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly identified and the implications explained. Image: Click or tap here to Click or tap here to 18. All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may constrain or adversely affect the proposed timing of development have been Image: Click or tap here text. Image: Click or tap here text.		12	The new set.		
explains how these will adequately address all likely significant adverse effects; here b. Includes, where necessary, proposals for post-construction monitoring; and c. c. Recommends how proposed measures may be secured through planning conditions/obligations and/or necessary licences. 14. A summary table of proposed mitigation and compensation measures has been provided. Image: Conditions/obligations and/or necessary licences. 15. The need for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Image: Conditions/obligations and/or necessary licences. 16. A Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment has been provided where required Image: Click or tap here to a enter text. 17. Limitations ^{III} of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly identified and the implications explained. Image: Click or tap here to a enter text. Competence / Good Practice 17. Limitations ^{III} of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly identified and the implications explained. Image: Click or tap here to a enter text. 18. All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may constrain or adversely affect the proposed timing of development have been Image: Click or tap here to a enter text.		13.	•		
b. Includes, where necessary, proposals for post-construction monitoring; and c. Recommends how proposed measures may be secured through planning conditions/obligations and/or necessary licences. Ito enter text. 14. A summary table of proposed mitigation and compensation measures has been provided. Image: Simple of the secure of text. Image: Simple of text o					
c. Recommends how proposed measures may be secured through planning conditions/obligations and/or necessary licences. Image: conditions/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obligations/obli					
conditions/obligations and/or necessary licences. text. 14. A summary table of proposed mitigation and compensation measures has been provided. Ves_No Click or tap here to enter text. 15. The need for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified. Ves_No Click or tap here to enter text. 16. A Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment has been provided where required Ves_No Click or tap here to enter text. Competence / Good Practic 17. Limitations" of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly identified and the implications explained. Ves_No Click or tap here to enter text. 18. All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may constrain or adversely affect the proposed timing of development have been Ves_No Click or tap here to enter text.					
14. A summary table of proposed mitigation and compensation measures has been provided. Image: I			conditions/obligations and/or necessary licences.		
provided. Image: Section of the sec		14	A summary table of proposed mitigation and compensation measures has been	∏Yes∏No	
Competence/ Good Practice 17. Limitations ^{ill} of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly identified and the implications explained. 18. All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may constrain or adversely affect the proposed timing of development have been 10. or tap here to enter text.		17.			
Competence/ Good Practice 17. Limitations" of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly identified and the implications explained. 17. Limitations" of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly identified and the implications explained. 18. All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may identified and after proposed timing of development have been 18. All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may identified and after proposed timing of development have been 10. Click or tap here to enter text.					
Competence/ 17. Limitations" of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly identified and the implications explained. Implication implications implications implications explained. Implication implications impli					
Image: space spac					
clearly identified. Image: Section of the section					
clearly identified. Image: Simple state stat		15.	The need for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected species is	□Yes□No	Click
Image: Section 1.1 Image: Se			clearly identified.	⊠N/A	or tap
Image: series of the series of the series of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly identified and the implications explained. Image: series of the series of					here
Image: space spac					to
16. A Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment has been provided where required \[\] \[\] N/A \[\] Or tap here to enter text. Competence / 17. Limitations ⁱⁱ of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly identified and the implications explained. \[\] Ves_NA \[\] Ves_NA Click or tap here to enter text. 18. All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may constrain or adversely affect the proposed timing of development have been Correction \[\] N/A \[\] Ves_No Click or tap here to enter text. \] 18. All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may constrain or adversely affect the proposed timing of development have been () X/A () X/A () X/A () X/A () X/A () Xes_No Xes_No () Xes_No Xes_No () Xes_No () Xes_No Xes_No () () ()					enter
Competence / Good Practice 17. Limitations ⁱⁱ of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly identified and the implications explained. Implication Implicatio					text.
Competence / Good Practice 17. Limitations ⁱⁱ of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly identified and the implications explained. Implications Implications Implications Click or tap here to enter text. 18. All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may constrain or adversely affect the proposed timing of development have been Implications Implications Implications 18. All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may constrain or adversely affect the proposed timing of development have been Implications Implications		16.	A Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment has been provided where required		Click
Competence / Good Practice 17. Limitations ⁱⁱ of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly identified and the implications explained. Implies				⊠N/A	or tap
Competence / Good Practice 17. Limitations ⁱⁱ of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly identified and the implications explained. Implications Implication					here
Competence / Good Practice 17. Limitations ⁱⁱ of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly identified and the implications explained. Implications Implications explained. <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>					
Competence / Good Practice 17. Limitations ⁱⁱ of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly identified and the implications explained. Implications Implications explained. <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>					
Good Practice identified and the implications explained. Image: N/A or tap here to enter to enter text. 18. All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may constrain or adversely affect the proposed timing of development have been Image: N/A Click or tap here to enter text.					text.
Good Practice identified and the implications explained. Image: N/A or tap here to enter to enter text. 18. All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may constrain or adversely affect the proposed timing of development have been Image: N/A Click or tap here to enter text.	Competence /	17	Limitations ⁱⁱ of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly		Click
18. All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may constrain or adversely affect the proposed timing of development have been □Yes□No Click or tap	Good Practice	17.			
18. All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may constrain or adversely affect the proposed timing of development have been Image: Display the proposed timing of development have been Image: Display to the proposed timing of development have been Click or tap					
18. All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may constrain or adversely affect the proposed timing of development have been Image: Click or tap Image:					
18. All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may constrain or adversely affect the proposed timing of development have been Image: Click or tap identified Image: Click or tap					
18. All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may constrain or adversely affect the proposed timing of development have been \[\] Ves \[\] No Or tap					
constrain or adversely affect the proposed timing of development have been IN/A or tap		18.	All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may	□Yes□No	
: down bill on the second s				⊠N/A	
nere interesting the second seco			identified.		here
to					

Biodiversity Report Declaration of Adequacy

			enter
			text.
	19. All biodiversity surveys and mitigation measures accord with published good practice	e □Yes□No	Click
	methods and guidelines OR deviation from such guidelines is made clear and fully	⊠N/A	or tap
	justified, and the implications for subsequent conclusions and recommendations		here
	made explicit in the report ⁱ .		to
			enter
			text.
	20. All ecologists and surveyors hold appropriate species licences (where relevant)	⊠Yes□No	Click
	and/or have all necessary competencies to carry out the work undertaken.	□n/A	or tap
			here
			to
			enter
			text.
Conclusions	21. The report clearly identifies where the proposed development complies with relevan	it □Yes□No	Click
	legislation and policy, highlighting any possible non-compliant issues, and highlightin	g ⊠N/A	or tap
	circumstances where a conclusion cannot be drawn as it requires an assessment of		here
	non-biodiversity issues (such as socio-economic ones).		to
			enter
			text.
	22. The report provides a clear summary of losses and gains for biodiversity and a	□Yes□No	Click
	justifies conclusion of overall net gain for biodiversity	⊠N/A	or tap
			here
			to
			enter
			text.
	23. Justifiable conclusions ⁱⁱ based on sound professional judgement ⁱⁱⁱ have been drawn a		Click
	to the significance of effects on any designated site, protected or priority	□n/A	or tap
	habitat/species or other biodiversity feature, and a justified scale of significance has		here
	been stated.		to
			enter
			text.

RDSHIRE

DISTRICT COUNCI

TSWOLD

PART D - CONCLUSIONS OF THE LOCAL PLANNING AUTHORITY'S REVIEW OF THE BIODIVERSITY REPORT

The scope, structure and content of the biodiversity report submitted is fit and adequate to inform the determination of the planning application.					
Use	Use the table below to identify the implications for the grant or refusal of planning consent.				
Сог	ntinue on a separate sheet if	necessary.			
Planning Recommendation		Comments – including reference to any corresponding criteria from Section C			
		Click or tap here to enter text.			
		Where adequacy of information provided dictates what recommendation can be made below.			
1.	Approval (no biodiversity issues)	 No outstanding ecological issues And no requirement for any conditions/obligations or EPS licence 			
2.	Approval (conditional with no likely delays to commencement)	 Biodiversity report follows good practice Conditions are required to secure implementation of mitigation, etc. (i.e. no precommencement conditions) No delay to commencement of development arising from biodiversity issues 			



Г



3.	Approval (Conditional with possible delays to commencement)	 Biodiversity report follows good practice Condition(s) are required to secure the submission of information for approval before commencement Development delayed until these conditions are discharged
4.	Approval (Conditional with likely significant delays to commencement)	 Biodiversity report does not meet requirements of good practice May only be approved subject to significant pre-commencement conditions (and potentially also implementation conditions)
5.	Deferral (pending submission of further essential information)	 Biodiversity report currently does not meet good practice requirements and is inadequate □ Further information must be submitted prior to determination □ Application cannot yet be conditioned □ Potential substantial delays and/or costs inevitable
6.	Refusal – insufficient information, inadequate biodiversity report	 Biodiversity report very poor and provides inadequate information to inform lawful determination of the application Not capable of being conditioned to secure necessary information (i.e. against policy)
7.	Refusal – other biodiversity reasons for refusal	 Biodiversity report is sufficient, but there are other reasons for refusal based on biodiversity (e.g. objection in principle to the proposal)

Details of the individual reviewing the biodiversity report on behalf of the Local Planning Authority		
Name: Click or tap here to enter text.	Role: Click or tap here to enter text.	
Qualifications and Experience: Click or tap here to enter text.		

Signature: Click or tap here to enter text.	Date: Click or tap here to		
	enter text.		



Biodiversity Report Declaration of Adequacy

ENDNOTES