

Background

Producing biodiversity reports that meet required professional standards reduces the risks of delay with associated planning applications through the planning process.

In our experience the quality and adequacy of biodiversity reports submitted to local planning authorities to support planning applications is – across the whole of the UK - extremely varied and inconsistent. Where reports are inadequate, this can lead to failure to achieve desired outcomes for biodiversity conservation as well as running the risk of delays, increased costs and uncertainty for applicants over whether planning consent will be granted. In the worst case, a planning consent that is granted based upon inadequate information may be open to legal challenge.

Purpose

The purpose of this form is to ensure a competent review of the biodiversity information provided to support a planning application by the applicant has been undertaken. The form is designed to encourage those responsible for providing biodiversity reports to ensure they follow good professional practice and are fit for their intended purpose, i.e. is in accordance with Clauses 6 and 8.1 of BS42020:2013 and therefore adequate to enable determination by the relevant competent authority. This is based on the Ecological Impact Assessment (EcIA) Checklist available on the Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management (CIEEM) website https://cieem.net/resource/ecological-impact-assessment-ecia-checklist/

Use

This form shall be used for all full and outline applications <u>where there are likely to be implications for</u> <u>biodiversity</u>. Consequently, the form shall be used for all types of development, whether the proposed development is listed on Schedule 2 of the EIA Regulations or not. In line with the Validation Checklist, biodiversity information would be submitted in the form of either a Preliminary Ecological Appraisal or an Ecological Impact Assessment (EcIA) Report (in accordance with CIEEM guidelines; see Endnote vii).

The Local Planning Authority will only accept biodiversity information in the form of a Preliminary Ecological Appraisal Report (PEA) Report where all 3 of the following apply:

1. No further surveys* - beyond those that are complete and reported fully in the PEA Report - are required;

*A PEA Report will normally be based on a desk study and extended Phase 1 habitat survey (or equivalent), but may also include the results of Phase 2 surveys.

2. And either:

a. The report provides an adequate assessment of biodiversity impacts; or

b. The report is able to conclude robustly that there would be no significant residual biodiversity impacts.

3. And the report provides adequate information about the biodiversity mitigation, compensation and enhancement measures proposed; and these are capable of being secured through a planning condition, obligation and/or protected species licence.



The terms 'Ecological Impact Assessment' (EcIA), 'EcIA Report', 'Preliminary Ecological Appraisal' (PEA), 'PEA Report', 'Extended Phase 1 habitat survey' and 'Phase 2 surveys' are defined by the Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management (CIEEM) in the '*Guide to Ecological Surveys and Their Purpose'* (December 2017), available at https://cieem.net/resource/guide-to-ecological-surveys-and-their-purpose/

How to complete this form

Part A of this form provides general background information and a signed declaration. It should be completed by the Ecologist representing the Applicant.

Part B of this form is a declaration that should be completed by the Applicant to demonstrate that they have read and understood the content of the biodiversity report and also agree to any recommendations that have implications for the proposed development, i.e. implementation of necessary biodiversity mitigation measures.

Part C should be completed by the Ecologist representing the Applicant (it is expected that, in most cases, this will be the lead author of the biodiversity report). Part C shall act as a checklist of the issues which should be addressed in the biodiversity report. The Ecologist should confirm that the information requested has been provided in the report and provide the appropriate paragraph reference numbers to allow the Local Planning Authority to quickly confirm that each criterion has been met.

Where the Ecologist finds that they cannot justifiably answer 'Yes' or 'Not applicable', or where they cannot cross-refer to a paragraph of the report which demonstrates that they have complied with a given criterion, they should revisit the work undertaken and revise the report accordingly, prior to its submission.

Part D of the form is to be completed by the Local Planning Authority's 'nominated person with biodiversity expertise' (i.e. a qualified ecologist or a planner with responsibility/expertise for biodiversity matters) during the Local Planning Authority's determination of the planning application.



Name of Applicant: CJ	Gibson	Site Name: Mill Farmhouse, Ewen, Glo	ouceste	ershire, GL7 6BT
Site Location (Post Cor	de/Grid Reference): GL7 2QJ / ST9	99974		
•		nsent is being sought from Cotswold Di	strict (ouncil to modif
the kitchen building	at Mill Farmhouse, Ewen, Gloud	cestershire, GL7 6BT and potentially und tly south of the kitchen. Biodiversty fea	dertake	e works to a
		with slate tiles and exposed roof timbers gr rn owls, and other breeding birds such as s		
Details of Biodiversity	Report			
Report title: Phase 1 a	& 2 Bat & Nesting Bird Survey	Name and Qualifications of Lead Author CEnv. Licences held: Natural England 11383-CLS-CLS) & Class 4 (CL20 - 201)	Class 3	(CL19 - 2015-
Date: 23/08/2023	Reference Number: RE2024- 110	Mitigation Class Licence (CL21 - RC01 Class Licence for Trees (CL40 - B40RC0 Impact Class Licence for Buildings (CL	016), H	S2 Bat Low
Type of Biodiversity Re	eport Submitted with the Planning A	pplication (see Sections 3 and 4 in Purpose	above)	
_	al Impact Assessment (EcIA) y Ecological Appraisal Report (PEAR))	Y⊟ Y⊠	N⊠ N□
Summary and Recomn Brief description of ke Small outbuilding ar	ey biodiversity features likely to be a	affected and mitigation required.		
If so, what species and	Licence from Natural England requind which type of licence?	ired?	Yロ	N⊠
Click or tap here to	enter text.			
Are planning condition If so, what for?	ns required to secure proposed miti	igation?	Y⊠	N□
Click or tap here to	enter text.			
Ecologist's Profession	al Declaration (lead author or perso	n responsible for final QA of the report).		
-		ns and experience for <u>all</u> staff involved in th		ration of this
	rovided in the EcIA / PEAR?	Y⊠	N□	
biodiversity report, pr			ork unde	ertaken.
	the information provided in this for	m is accurate and is a true record of the wo	n k unac	
	Sign	-	Date	

PART B – APPLICANT'S DECLARATION

I hereby confirm that I have read and understand the findings, implications and recommendations for impact avoidance, mitigation, compensation and enhancement set out in the report referred to in Part A above. I understand that the mitigation, compensation and enhancement measures set out in the report may be secured through a licence from the appropriate statutory conservation body and/or through condition(s) or obligations imposed by the Local Planning Authority,



or other decision making authority.		
Name of Applicant (or Agent): William Hathaway Eastabrook Architects	Signed: Willim Hathaway	Date: 24.08.23



	IODIVERSITY REPORT FORM (checklist) Checklist to ensure decisions are based on adequate information in accordance with	Y, N, N/A?	Report
	Clauses 6.2 and 8.1 of BS42020:2013		Ref para no.(s)
Pre-app	 Where pre-app advice has been received from the LPA and/or an NGO and/or statutory body (e.g. NE DAS)ⁱ, it has been fully accounted for in the report. 	□Yes□No ⊠N/A	Click o tap here to enter text.
	 The scope, structure and content of the report is in accordance with published good practice^{ii, iii and iv}. 	⊠Yes⊡No □N/A	Click o tap here to enter text.
Surveys, Species & Habitats	 Adequate^v and up-to-date^{vi}: a. Desk study has been undertaken^{vii}; b. Phase 1 habitat survey has been undertaken⁷; and c. Phase 2 surveys have been undertaken (where necessary)^{viii}. 	⊠Yes⊡No □N/A	Click o tap here to enter text.
	 All statutory and non-statutory sites likely to be significantly affected are clearly and correctly identified. 	□Yes□No ⊠N/A	Click o tap here to enter text.
	 All protected or priority species and priority habitats^{ix} likely to be significantly affected are clearly and correctly identified, and adequate surveys have been undertaken to inform the baseline. 	⊠Yes⊡No □N/A	Click o tap here to enter text.
	6. Any invasive non-native plant species present are clearly and correctly identified.	□Yes□No ⊠N/A	Click o tap here to enter text.
	 Where a separate preliminary ecological appraisal (phase 1) report states that Phase 2 surveys are required, these have been undertaken in full and results submitted with the application (or lack of such surveys is justified). 	□Yes□No ⊠N/A	Click o tap here to enter text.
Impacts & Effects	 The assessment is based on clearly defined development proposals along with relevant drawings/plans (and any plans used are the same version number as those submitted with the application); OR The biodiversity effects are considered to be not significant at any geographical scale irrespective of the detailed development proposals, and the assessment is based on a worst-case-scenario. 	⊠Yes□No □N/A □Yes□No ⊠N/A	Click o tap here to enter text. Click o tap
	 The report describes and assesses all likely significant biodiversity effects (including cumulative effects) clearly stating the geographical scale of significance (where relevant). 	⊠Yes⊡No □N/A	here to enter text. Click o tap here to



			enter text.
Mitigation, Compensation & Enhancement			Click of tap here to enter
	13. The report:a. Clearly identifies the proposed mitigation and compensation measures, and	⊠Yes□No ⊠N/A	text. Click o
	 a. Clearly identifies the proposed mitigation and compensation measures, and explains how these will adequately address all likely significant adverse effects; b. Includes, where necessary, proposals for post-construction monitoring; and c. Recommends how proposed measures may be secured through planning conditions/obligations and/or necessary licences. 		tap here to enter text.
	14. A summary table of proposed mitigation and compensation measures has been provided.	□Yes⊠No □N/A	See section 5
	15. The need for any mitigation licences identified in relation to protected species is clearly identified.	□Yes□No ⊠N/A	Click o tap here to enter text.
	16. A Biodiversity Net Gain Assessment has been provided where required	□Yes□No ⊠N/A	Click o tap here to enter text.
Competence / Good Practice	 Limitationsⁱⁱ of the biodiversity surveys and assessments have been correctly identified and the implications explained. 	⊠Yes⊡No □N/A	Click of tap here to enter text.
	18. All relevant key timing issues (e.g. site vegetation clearance or roof removal) that may constrain or adversely affect the proposed timing of development have been	□Yes□No ⊠N/A	Click o
	identified.		enter
	 identified. 19. All biodiversity surveys and mitigation measures accord with published good practice methods and guidelines OR deviation from such guidelines is made clear and fully justified, and the implications for subsequent conclusions and recommendations made explicit in the reportⁱⁱⁱ. 	⊠Yes⊡No □N/A	here to enter text. Click o tap
	19. All biodiversity surveys and mitigation measures accord with published good practice methods and guidelines OR deviation from such guidelines is made clear and fully justified, and the implications for subsequent conclusions and	⊠Yes⊡No	here to enter text. Click o tap here to enter
Conclusions	 19. All biodiversity surveys and mitigation measures accord with published good practice methods and guidelines OR deviation from such guidelines is made clear and fully justified, and the implications for subsequent conclusions and recommendations made explicit in the reportⁱⁱⁱ. 20. All ecologists and surveyors hold appropriate species licences (where relevant) 	×Yes□No □N/A ×Yes□No	here to enter text. Click of tap here to enter text. Click of tap here to enter



		tap here to enter text.
23. Justifiable conclusions ⁱ based on sound professional judgement ⁱⁱ have been drawn as to the significance of effects on any designated site, protected or priority habitat/species or other biodiversity feature, and a justified scale of significance has been stated.	⊠Yes□No □N/A	Click or tap here to enter text.

PART D – CONCLUSIONS OF THE LOCAL PLANNING AUTHORITY'S REVIEW OF THE BIODIVERSITY REPORT

The scope, structure and content of the biodiversity report submitted is fit and adequate to inform the determination of the planning application.					
	Use the table below to identify the implications for the grant or refusal of planning consent. Continue on a separate sheet if necessary.				
	nning Recommendation	Comments – including reference to any corresponding criteria from Section C Click or tap here to enter text. Where adequacy of information provided dictates what recommendation can be made below.			
1.	Approval (no biodiversity issues)	 No outstanding ecological issues And no requirement for any conditions/obligations or EPS licence 			
2.	Approval (conditional with no likely delays to commencement)	 Biodiversity report follows good practice Conditions are required to secure implementation of mitigation, etc. (i.e. no precommencement conditions) No delay to commencement of development arising from biodiversity issues 			
3.	Approval (Conditional with possible delays to commencement)	 Biodiversity report follows good practice Condition(s) are required to secure the submission of information for approval before commencement Development delayed until these conditions are discharged 			
4.	Approval (Conditional with likely significant delays to commencement)	 Biodiversity report does not meet requirements of good practice May only be approved subject to significant pre-commencement conditions (and potentially also implementation conditions) 			
5.	Deferral (pending submission of further essential information)	 Biodiversity report currently does not meet good practice requirements and is inadequate □ Further information must be submitted prior to determination □ Application cannot yet be conditioned □ Potential substantial delays and/or costs inevitable 			
6.	Refusal – insufficient information, inadequate biodiversity report	 Biodiversity report very poor and provides inadequate information to inform lawful determination of the application Not capable of being conditioned to secure necessary information (i.e. against policy) 			
7.	Refusal – other biodiversity reasons for	 Biodiversity report is sufficient, but there are other reasons for refusal based on biodiversity (e.g. objection in principle to the proposal) 			



refusal

Details of the individual reviewing the biodiversity report on behalf of the Local Planning Authority

Name: Click or tap here to enter text.

Role: Click or tap here to enter text.

Qualifications and Experience: Click or tap here to enter text.

Signature: Click or tap here to enter text.

Date: Click or tap here to enter text.



Biodiversity Report Declaration of Adequacy

ENDNOTES