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## Executive summary

This Arboricultural Method Statement has been based upon information provided within an Arboricultural Impact Assessment that was carried out by Brooks Ecological Ltd, Ref: AR-5059-02 AlA, dated August 2022. The tree survey revealed a total of sixty-one individual trees and six groups of trees/hedging were surveyed. Of these trees/groups, nineteen were identified as retention category ' $B$ ' and forty-eight as category ' $C$ '. There were no retention category ' A ' or ' U ' trees identified. It has been recommended that trees $\mathrm{T} 12, \mathrm{~T} 17, \mathrm{~T} 18, \mathrm{~T} 26$ and T 34 are monitored annually to assess if their condition is still acceptable.

The application site is mostly flat having previously been the site of a now demolished library and social club. The land lies within a suburban area, surrounded by dwellings and a large supermarket to the North. Several stands of semi mature trees and hedging surround the outline of the original buildings with a stand of more mature trees to the South east of the site.

This report should be read in conjunction with the attached Tree Constraints Plan Ref: DR-5059-01 and Tree Survey AR-505901.

A plan has been provided by the client to enable an impact assessment of the proposed works on the existing relevant trees within the Site. The proposals are for eight units and associated car parking.

To facilitate the proposals three small groups, one section of one group and twelve trees are earmarked for removal.

It should be noted that in December 2023, this AMS has been updated with regards to proposed fencing on site. This is due to tree removal (third party nothing related to this application) and ground conditions and the topography of the site. T12, T13, T14 and G15 have been removed by a neighbouring development, thus no longer need protection.

The recommendations in this Arboricultural Method Statement are of a preliminary nature and are subject to comments from Leeds City Council, in line with the current planning application.

## Introduction

Purpose of the Report

1. This report has been commissioned to provide professional independent, detailed arboricultural advice on all relevant trees present at land to the south of Seacroft Crescent, Seacroft, Leeds. This Arboricultural Method Statement has been based upon the information provided within the Arboricultural Impact Assessment carried out by Brooks Ecological Ltd, Ref: AR-5059-02, dated August 2022. This Arboricultural Method Statement aims to offer professional advice and necessary recommendations to ensure effective tree protection during the proposed development.
2. The report has been undertaken in accordance with BS 5837:2012 'Trees in relation to construction - Recommendations'.
3. The recommendations outlined within this report are based on the plans provided by the client, as well as information on trees from Tree Survey \& Arboricultural Impact Assessment.
4. A topographical plan has been supplied by the client.
5. This Method Statement should be included as part of any specifications and schedules of works supplied to all construction contractors.

Limitations
6. All findings and recommendations are based on visual observations conducted from ground level during the site visit only. No other diagnostic procedures were used to establish any extent of internal decay nor was a climbing inspection undertaken.

## Impact Schedule

7. The following schedule identifies the individual tree and its retention category with the main feature(s) of the proposed works likely to cause an impact. The tree references are shown on the tree constraints plan and the tree protection plan. Any mitigation measures are noted.

| Tree <br> ref. | Species | Retention <br> category | Proposal feature | Impact | Mitigation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| T1 | Norway Maple | C1 | Close to proposed <br> access road <br> Existing tar mac within <br> RPA | Retain | Tree protective fencing in line with <br> BS $5837: 2012$ |
| T2 | Sycamore | C1 | Close to proposed <br> access road <br> removing existing hard standing or <br> grass within the RPA. |  |  |
| Existing tar mac within |  |  |  |  |  |
| RPA |  |  |  |  |  |$\quad$| Retain |
| :--- |


| Tree ref. | Species | Retention category | Proposal feature | Impact | Mitigation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Mitigation planting on site |
| G9 | Hawthorn \& Goat Willow | C2 | Proposals | Remove | Mitigation planting on site |
| G10 | Mixed | C2 | Proposals | Remove | Mitigation planting on site |
| T11 | Cherry | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T12 | Cherry | Cl | This tree has been removed by neighbouring development - no longer on site or needing protection. | This tree has been removed by neighbouring development - no longer on site or needing protection. | This tree has been removed by neighbouring development - no longer on site or needing protection. |
| T13 | Hawthorn | Cl | This tree has been removed by neighbouring development - no longer on site or needing protection. | This tree has been removed by neighbouring development - no longer on site or needing protection. | This tree has been removed by neighbouring development - no longer on site or needing protection. |
| T14 | Cherry | Cl | This tree has been removed by neighbouring development - no longer | This tree has been removed by neighbouring development - no longer on site or needing protection. | This tree has been removed by neighbouring development - no longer on site or needing protection. |


| Tree <br> ref. | Species | Retention <br> category | Proposal feature | Impact | Mitigation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G15 | Cypress | C2 | on site or needing <br> protection. | This group has been <br> removed by <br> neighbouring <br> development - no longer <br> on site or needing <br> protection. | This group has been <br> removed by neighbouring <br> development - no longer on <br> site or needing protection. |
| T16 | Crab Apple | C1 | None <br> neighbouring development - no <br> longer on site or needing <br> protection. |  |  |
| T17 | Cherry | C1 | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has <br> been fenced off using permanent <br> metal wire and concrete posting. It <br> is now completely separate to the <br> development site. |
| I18 | Sycamore | B2 | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has <br> been fenced off using permanent <br> metal wire and concrete posting. It <br> is now completely separate to the <br> development site. |
| I19 | Sycamore | B2 | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has <br> been fenced off using permanent <br> metal wire and concrete posting. It <br> is now completely separate to the <br> development site. |


| Tree ref. | Species | Retention category | Proposal feature | Impact | Mitigation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T20 | Lime | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T21 | Rowan | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T22 | Lime | B2 | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T23 | Lime | B2 | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T24 | Lime | B2 | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T25 | Lime | B2 | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |


| Tree ref. | Species | Retention category | Proposal feature | Impact | Mitigation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T26 | Crab Apple | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T27 | Poplar | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T28 | Poplar | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T29 | Lime | B2 | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T30 | Lime | B2 | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T31 | Lime | B2 | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |


| Tree ref. | Species | Retention category | Proposal feature | Impact | Mitigation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T32 | Lime | B2 | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T33 | Norway Maples | B2 | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T34 | Norway Maple | B2 | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T35 | Norway Maple | B2 | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T36 | Crab Apple | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| G37 | Birch, Alder, Norway Maple | C2 | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |


| Tree ref. | Species | Retention category | Proposal feature | Impact | Mitigation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T38 | Cherry | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T39 | Ash | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T40 | Willow | Cl | Proposals | Remove | Mitigation planting on site |
| T41 | Willow | Cl | Proposal | Remove | Mitigation planting on site |
| T42 | Cypress | Cl | Proposals | Remove | Mitigation planting on site |
| T43 | Cypress | Cl | Proposals | Remove | Mitigation planting on site |
| T44 | Cypress | Cl | Proposals | Remove | Mitigation planting on site |
| T45 | Beech | Cl | Proposals | Remove | Mitigation planting on site |
| T46 | Beech | Cl | Proposals | Remove | Mitigation planting on site |
| T47 | Beech | Cl | Proposals | Remove | Mitigation planting on site |
| T48 | Cherry | C1 | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T49 | Hawthorn | C1 | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |


| Tree ref. | Species | Retention category | Proposal feature | Impact | Mitigation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T50 | Hawthorn | C1 | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T51 | Hawthorn | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T52 | Ash | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T53 | Ash | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T54 | Ash | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T55 | Hawthorn | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T56 | Hawthorn | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the |


| Tree ref. | Species | Retention category | Proposal feature | Impact | Mitigation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | development site. |
| T57 | Sycamore | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T58 | Sycamore | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T59 | Alder | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T60 | Sycamore | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T61 | Birch | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T62 | Birch | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T63 | Birch | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It |


| Tree ref. | Species | Retention category | Proposal feature | Impact | Mitigation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T64 | Birch | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T65 | Sycamore | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T66 | Birch | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |
| T67 | Alder | Cl | None | Retain | The area in which this tree is, has been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. It is now completely separate to the development site. |

## Site preparation prior to any construction commencing

8. Three small groups, one section of one group and twelve trees are expected to be removed to facilitate the development. It should be noted that $\mathrm{T} 12, \mathrm{~T} 13, \mathrm{~T} 14$ and G 15 have been removed by a neighbouring development, thus no longer need protection.

Tree Works
9. Prior to any development commencing on site the first operation will be to carry out the necessary tree works. All tree works should conform to BS 3998:2010 - Recommendations for tree works. All tree works should be formally approved from the local planning authority before beginning.
10. Those trees which overhang the public footpaths or public highways, shall require future maintenance to maintain clearance heights for vehicular or pedestrian traffic. These heights should be 5.6 m above a road and 2.5 m above a footpath.
11. There may be some very minor canopy lifting required to facilitate the new proposed boundary features. Care must be taken while working under any canopy.
12. It has been recommended that trees $\mathrm{T} 17, \mathrm{~T} 18, \mathrm{~T} 26$ and T 34 are monitored annually to assess if their condition is still acceptable.
13. Where pruning work is necessary and authorised to roots or branches of retained trees to enable facilitation works, it should be carried out by a competent contractor in accordance with BS 3998: 2010 Tree Works-Recommendations.

Tree protection barriers
14. Once the necessary tree works are complete, the protective barriers should be fully installed. No other work should commence until this happens - this includes movement of materials, supplies or machinery onto the site and any excavations or soil stripping. Once the barriers are properly erected in their correct positions, they should not be removed or altered in any way without prior approval from the local planning authority.
15. An alternative fencing has been proposed by the client for the protection of $T 1$ \& $T 2$, because they feel the area is too tight to install default specification fencing, in with BS 5837:2012 due to the back bracing. The method of fencing has been used by Wates previously on different sites IN Leeds. The fencing consists of 2 m tall welded mesh Heras panels on rubber heavily weighed feet on stabilizer struts. The panels are jointed together using several anti-tamper couplers installed from inside. Couplers spaced 1 m apart and uniform throughout fence. In section there is a triangular fencing adding further strength to the fencing. Vertical scaffold framework poles have also been proposed so the fencing is well braced to resist impacts.
16. The rest of the trees on site have been fenced off using permanent metal wire and concrete posting. These areas are now completely separate to the development site.
17. All tree protection barriers should be located as shown in on DR-5059-02.01 Tree Protection Plan.
18. Care should be exercised when locating the vertical poles to avoid underground services and, in the case of the bracing poles, also to avoid contact with structural roots.
19. This fencing will create construction exclusion zones in order to protect the retained trees root protection areas. No pedestrians, vehicles, materials or equipment should be allowed within these fenced areas at any time.
20. Clear notices are to be fixed on the outside of the barriers with wording such as: 'NO ACCESS PROTECTED AREA - NO STORAGE OR WORK WITHIN THIS AREA'.
21. All construction and other relevant personnel are to be informed at site induction of the role of the exclusion barriers and their importance.
22. All tree protective fencing should remain intact until ALL works within the relevant area are completed.

Site inspection
23. Once the necessary tree works have been carried out and the protection barriers are fully installed, it is recommended that no work should commence until the local planning authority and/or Brooks Ecological are invited to carry out a site visit to ensure that it meets all requirements.
24. Regular brief reports, including photos, should be submitted to Leeds City Council's Planning Officer and LCC Landscape Team within 5 working days of any inspection.

## Development phase

Ground level changes
25. We can confirm that the development proposals do not propose any level changes within proximity any of the retained trees.

Demolition
26. Demolition is not expected within the proposed Site works. Care must be taken when removing grass within the vicinity of any retained tree.

Drainage and utilities
27. Drainage and utilities are expected to be included within the proposed Site works and should not involve digging or trenching within RPA's.
28. Please refer to the Drainage Plan Ref: 5816-JPG-XX-XX-DR-D-140_ Drainage_General_Arrangement_Preliminary_PO5, for further details.

Proposed retaining walls
29. Retaining walls are not proposed within the RPA's of any retained trees on this site.
30. Please refer to Plan Ref: 5815-JPG-SW-XX-DR-S-1550_Retaining_Wall_Location_Plan_Preliminary_PO1, for further details.

## Mitigation

31. There is opportunity within the scheme to plant trees and enhance wildlife potential. A comprehensive landscaping scheme has been commissioned.
32. A compound plan has been provided and we can confirm the compound area is located away from the RPA of any retained tree on site.
33. Any cultivation operations within these RPA's should be undertaken carefully by hand with the use of no heavy mechanical machinery.
34. All works within RPA's should supervised by Brooks Ecological.
35. Regular brief reports, including photos, should be submitted to Leeds City Council's Planning Officer and LCC Landscape Team within 5 working days of any inspection.

## Post development phase

Removal of protective barriers
36. Once every aspect of the construction is complete and all machinery and materials are off site, the protective barriers can be dismantled.

Completion meeting
37. Upon completion of all the works specified, it is recommended that the local planning authority are invited to meet on site to check that all works are completed satisfactorily and to discuss any remedial works as required.

## General principles for tree protection

38. A copy of this Arboricultural Method Statement and appendices should be retained on site at all times.
39. If 360 degree excavators are to be used on this site during construction, at no time should the excavating arm encroach over the position of the protective barriers.
40. No fires at all on site.
41. A designated storage area should be created away from the root protection areas of any retained tree on site. All materials should be stored within this compound.
42. Care must be taken to avoid leakage of any noxious materials on to the soil.

## Timescale of Works

43. The timescale for arboricultural requirements are summarised below.

| Timescale | Action |
| :---: | :--- |
| Stage 1 | All requirements listed in the planning consent are approved by the Local <br> Authority planning office. |
| Stage 2 | Undertake the tree works |
| Stage 3 | Install the protective barrier (default specification) around the trees as <br> detailed on DR-5059-02 Tree Protection Plan. |
| Stage 4 | Brooks Ecological to inspect the barrier prior to any on site activity. Once <br> inspected, the protective barrier must not to be moved or breached until <br> ALL works have been completed. Regular brief reports, including photos, <br> should be submitted to Leeds City Council's Planning Officer and LCC <br> Landscape Team within 5 working days of any inspection. |
| Stage 5 | Undertake the construction of proposals. |


| Stage 6 | Following the completion of the construction phase and when all site <br> traffic and machinery has left, the protective barrier and can be <br> removed. |
| :---: | :--- |
| Stage 7 | Post construction remedial tree works to be undertaken, if required, <br> including tree planting and landscaping. |

## Relevant Contact Details
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| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## Summary Statement

The land is mostly flat having previously been the site of a now demolished library and social club. The land lies within a suburban area, surrounded by dwellings and a large supermarket to the North. Several stands of semi mature trees and hedging surround the outline of the original buildings with a stand of more mature trees to the South east of the site.

A total of sixty-one individual trees and six groups of trees/hedging were surveyed. Of these trees/groups, nineteen were identified as retention category ' $B$ ' and forty-eight as category ' $C$ '. There were no retention category ' $A$ ' or ' $U$ ' trees identified. Please refer to the retention category and definition criteria for more information.

It has been recommended that trees T 12 (now removed), $\mathrm{T} 17, \mathrm{~T} 18, \mathrm{~T} 26$ and T 34 are monitored annually to assess if their condition is still acceptable.

This report should be read in conjunction with the attached Tree Constraints Plan Ref: DR-5059-01.

## Introduction

Purpose of the report
2. This report has been commissioned to provide professional independent, detailed arboricultural advice on all relevant trees present at land to the South of Seacroft Crescent, Seacroft, Leeds.
3. This report has been undertaken in accordance with BS 5837:2012 Trees in relation to construction - Recommendations.
4. The client has provided a topographical plan.
5. All findings and recommendations are based on visual observations conducted from ground level during the Site visit only. No other diagnostic procedures were used to establish any extent of internal decay nor was a climbing inspection undertaken.
6. All measurements were obtained with the use of a clinometer and an electronic distometer. On occasion it is not viable to provide accurate measurements due to restricted access or other mitigating circumstances on site, and the data may be estimated.

Legal implications of work to trees
7. Due to the potentially large penalties for illegally carrying out work to protected trees, it is recommended that a check with the local planning authority is carried out prior to any tree works being undertaken and any required consents such as for work to trees with Tree Preservation Orders and/or Conservation Areas are obtained before work to trees on site. Additionally, work to trees at certain times of the year may contravene sections of the Wildlife and Countryside Act regarding nesting and roosting of protected species.
8. Every tree owner has a general duty of care to ensure their tree(s) does not pose an unacceptable risk to other people on or adjacent to their land. The landowner will only be liable for injury or damage caused by trees if they are found to be negligent.
9. There is no legal obligation for a tree owner to cut back growth from a neighbouring property. However, under Common law of tort of nuisance, an affected neighbour has the right to cut back roots or branches that encroach onto a neighbouring property
back to the boundary of the land owned by the person abating the nuisance without the neighbour's consent (with the exception of TPO's or CA's). The person abating the nuisance has a duty to exercise reasonable care in carrying out work as a failure to do so may lead to liability in negligence (for example where removal of roots makes a tree unstable).

Site description
10. The land is mostly flat having previously been the site of a now demolished library and social club. Seacroft Crescent borders the North of the site, Brooklands Avenue runs along the western border and The Green is to the South. Several stands of semi mature trees and hedging surround the outline of the original buildings with a stand of more mature trees to the South east of the site.
11. The land is generally flat sloping very slightly from North to South.
12. The land lies within a suburban area, surrounded by dwellings and a large supermarket to the North.

Survey conditions
13. The trees were surveyed in cool, overcast and sleeting conditions on $6^{\text {th }}$ January 2021.

## Tree data abbreviations and survey methodology

| T | Tree | GL | Ground level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G | Tree group | MS | Multi-stemmed |
| H | Hedge | AFP | Access facilitation pruning |
| OSB | Outside Site boundary | Ave | Average dimension |
| \#/est | Estimated dimension | Typ | Typical dimension |
| N | North | E | South |
| S | South | W | West |
| Min | Minimum | Lwr | Lower |
| adj | Adjacent | Ht | Height |

14. The trees were assessed visually from ground level. Where access to a tree is restricted this is noted in the schedule.
15. The tree reference numbers refer to the attached Tree Constraints Plan (TCP) references. The trees were not tagged for this survey.
16. The tree species is listed by common name in the schedules, with a key to scientific names below:

| Common | Botanical name |
| :--- | :--- |
| name |  |
| Alder (common) | Alnus glutinosa |
| Alder (grey) | Alnus incana |
| Apple | Malus domestica |
| Aspen | Populus tremula |
| Ash | Fraxinus excelsior |
| Beech | Fagus sylvatica |
| Birch (silver) | Betula pendula |
| Birch (downy) | Betula pubescens |
| Chestnut (sweet) | Castanea sativa |
| Chestnut (horse) | Aesculus hippocastanum |
| Cherry (wild) | Prunus avium |
| Cherry (bird) | Prunus padus |
| Cherry | Prunus serrulata |
| (Japanese) |  |
| Leyland Cypress | X Cupressocyparis leylandii |
| Elm (English) | Ulmus procera |
| Elm (wych) | Ulmus glabra |


| Common name | Botanical name |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Goat willow | Salix caprea |
| Hawthorn | Crataegus monogyna |
| Hazel | Corylus avellana |
| Holly | Ilex aquifolium |
| Hornbeam | Carpinus betulus |
| Larch | Larix decidua |
| Lime (common) | Tilia x europaea |
| Lime (small-leaved) | Tilia cordata |
| Maple (field) | Acer campestre |
| Maple (Norway) | Acer platanoides |
| Poplar (black) | Populus nigra |
| Oak (sessile) | Quercus petraea |
| Oak (pendunculate) | Quercus robur |
| Rowan/mountain ash | Sorbus aucuparia |
| Sycamore | Acer pseudoplatanus |
| Weeping willow | Salix chrysocoma |
| Whitebeam (Swedish) | Sorbus intermedia |

17. Measurement of the existing height above ground level of the first significant branch and the direction of growth and the height of the canopy. This informs ground clearance, crown/stem ratio and shading.
18. The stem/trunk diameter is measured with a diameter tape at 1.5 m from ground level around the stem for single stem trees and for multi-stemmed trees and other variants in accordance with Annex C of the British Standard. Where access restricts measurement of the tree, an estimate has been made, denoted by ' $\#$ '.
19. Canopy spread is measured with an electronic distometer. The close-spacing of some of the trees impeded measurements of canopy spread and height and estimates were made.
20. The age of the tree is based on the typical longevity of the particular tree species. The age classes are: young (Y), semi-mature $(S M)$, early mature (EM), mature (M), over-mature (OM) and veteran (V).
21. The physiological condition of the tree is an assessment of its likely health, vigour and stress. The classes for physiological condition are: good, fair, poor and dead.
22. Structural condition includes tree form, visible defects, irregularities and influencing factors.
23. Preliminary management recommendations note work (with prior approval where necessary) to promote the health and longevity of the tree and/or improve safety and/or increase habitat potential.
24. The life expectancy (life exp.) is the estimated remaining contribution in years, (<10, 10+, 20+, 40+).
25. The retention category (ret cat) for each tree is assessed in accordance with BS 5837: 2012 Table 1, summarised as below:

Category A Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy
(ERC) of at least 40 years. Green canopy outline on plan.
Category B Trees of moderate quality with an estimated ERC of at least 20 years.
Blue canopy outline on plan.

| Category C | Trees of low quality with an ERC of at least 10 years, OR young trees <br> with a stem diameter below 150 mm . Grey canopy outline on plan. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Category U | Trees in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as <br> living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 <br> years. Trees unsuitable for retention. Dark red canopy outline on <br> plan. |

26. Sub- categories of 1,2 or 3 are included in the tree data tables and are defined as follows:

Sub-category 1 trees are those with 'mainly arboricultural value'
Sub-category 2 trees are those with 'mainly landscape value'
Sub-category $\mathbf{3}$ trees are those with 'mainly cultural or conservation value'.
27. The root protection area (RPA) in mis for layout purposed and indicates the 'minimum area around a tree deemed to contain sufficient roots and rooting volume to maintain the tree's viability, and where the protection of the roots and soil structure is treated as a priority'. The RPA is calculated in accordance with BS 5837: 2012 Annex D. Where Site features are likely to have distorted the typical RPA, a polygon of the same area is estimated on plan to reflect a more realistic shape, in accordance with the British standard.

## Tree data

28. The following schedule contains the tree data obtained on site:

| Ref | Species | Life stage | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ht} \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | Can <br> $\mathrm{H} \dagger$ <br> (m) | Stem diam (mm) | Canopy spread (m) | Physio logical | Structural condition | Recommendations | Life exp. (yrs) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ret } \\ & \text { cat } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T1 | Norway Maple | SM | 12 | 3 | 380 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } 3.5 \\ & \text { E } 3.5 \\ & \text { S } 3.5 \\ & \text { W } 3.5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | G | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Epicormic growth at base. No major visible defects. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | C1 |
| T2 | Sycamore | SM | 10 | 2 | 458 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } 3.7 \\ & \text { E } 3.7 \\ & \text { S } 3.7 \\ & \text { W } 3.7 \end{aligned}$ | G | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Multi stemmed canopy. No major visible defects. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | Cl |
| T3 | Cherry | EM | 10 | 3 N | 305 | N 4.9 <br> E 4.1 <br> S \#4.0 <br> W 4.28 | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Twinstemmed at 1.5 m with included bark. Dead wood and stubs noted throughout. Pruning wounds throughout. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | C2 |
| T4 | Norway Maple | SM | 10 | 5 | 190 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N } 4.8 \\ & \text { E } 2 \\ & \text { S } 3 \\ & \text { W } 4.0 \end{aligned}$ | F | Single vertical stem with an unbalanced canopy. <br> Suppressed by T3, No major visible defects. <br> Overhanging road | No additional recommendations. | 10+ | C2 |
| T5 | Cherry | SM | 9 | 2 | 185 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } 4.8 \\ & \text { E } 4.8 \\ & \text { S } 4.8 \\ & \text { W } 4.8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | F | Single vertical stem with an unbalanced canopy. Suppressed by T4. No major visible defects. | Crown Clean | 10+ | C2 |
| T6 | Birch | EM | 10 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 180 \\ & 160 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } 4 \\ & \text { E } 4 \\ & \text { S } 4 \\ & \text { W } 4 \end{aligned}$ | G | Twin stemmed at ground level with a balanced canopy. Bark wounds throughout. Significant wound 0.5 m . Dead wood and stubs noted throughout. Base obscured, limited inspection. Overhanging footpath. | Crown Clean | 10+ | C2 |


| Ref | Species | Life stage | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ht} \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | Can <br> $\mathrm{H}+$ <br> (m) | Stem diam (mm) | Canopy spread (m) | Physio logical | Structural condition | Recommendations | Life exp. (yrs) | Ret cat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G7 | Scots Pine | EM | >12 | 2 | >400 | See plan | F | 5x Trees. Bases obscured by brush. Suppression of lower limb growth, typical of species. Covered in dense ivy. No major visible defects | Remove Ivy and reinspect. | 10+ | C2 |
| G8 | Ash \& Hawthorn | SM | >8 | 0 | >150 | See plan | G | Unmaintained hedge. | No additional recommendations. | 10+ | C2 |
| G9 | Hawthorn \& Goat Willow | EM | >8 | 0 | >150 | See plan | G | Unmaintained hedge. | No additional recommendations. | 10+ | C2 |
| G10 | Mixed | SM | $\begin{aligned} & \text { To } \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 150 | See plan | F | Scrappy self sown understorey. No major visible defects. | No additional recommendations. | 10+ | C2 |
| I11 | Cherry | EM | 12 | 2 E | \#300 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } 5.6 \\ & \text { E } 5.6 \\ & \text { S } 5.6 \\ & \text { W } 5.6 \end{aligned}$ | G | Within thick hedge and bramble. Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Twin stemmed at 2 m . Limited inspection due to location. | No additional recommendations. | 10+ | Cl |
| T12 | Cherry | M |  |  |  |  |  | This tree has been removed by neighbouring development - no longer on site or needing protection. | This tree has been removed by neighbouring development no longer on site or needing protection. |  |  |
| T13 | Hawthorn | M |  |  |  |  |  | This tree has been removed by | This tree has been removed |  |  |


| Ref | Species | Life stage | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ht} \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | Can <br> $\mathrm{H}+$ <br> (m) | Stem diam (mm) | Canopy spread (m) | Physio logical | Structural condition | Recommendations | Life exp. (yrs) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ret } \\ & \text { cat } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | neighbouring development - no longer on site or needing protection. | by neighbouring development no longer on site or needing protection. |  |  |
| T14 | Cherry | M |  |  |  |  |  | This tree has been removed by neighbouring development - no longer on site or needing protection. | This tree has been removed by neighbouring development no longer on site or needing protection. |  |  |
| G15 | Cypress | EM |  |  |  |  |  | This tree has been removed by neighbouring development - no longer on site or needing protection. | This tree has been removed by neighbouring development no longer on site or needing protection. |  |  |
| T16 | Crab Apple | M | 10 | 2.55 | 280 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } 0.69 \\ & \text { E } 3.4 \\ & \text { S } 3.9 \\ & \text { W } 2.6 \end{aligned}$ | F | Single leaning stem with an unbalanced canopy. Basal epicormic growth. Pruning wounds throughout. No major visible defects. Overhanging road. | No additional recommendations. | 10+ | Cl |
| T17 | Cherry | EM | 8 | 2.55 | 290 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } 1.9 \\ & \text { E } 6.7 \\ & \text { S } 5.6 \\ & \text { W } 3.8 \end{aligned}$ | F | Single leaning stem with an unbalanced canopy, biased to South. Large bark wound to the Northwest with decay. Pruning wounds | Monitor. | 10+ | Cl |


| Ref | Species | Life stage | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ht} \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | Can <br> $\mathrm{H} \dagger$ <br> (m) | Stem diam (mm) | Canopy spread (m) | Physio logical | Structural condition | Recommendations | Life exp. $\qquad$ | Ret cat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | throughout. Soil pocket at union. Dead wood and stubs throughout. |  |  |  |
| T18 | Sycamore | M | 14 | 3 E | 425 | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline \text { N } 6 \\ \text { E } 8.6 \\ \text { S } 3.5 \\ \text { W } 5.9 \end{array}$ | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Large bark wound to South at 3 m with signs of decay - torn limb. Pruning wounds throughout. Dead wood and stubs noted throughout. | Crown Clean and Monitor. | 10+ | B2 |
| I19 | Sycamore | M | 14 | 2.5 N | 390 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { N } 6.4 \\ \text { E } 4.8 \\ \text { S } 2.19 \\ \text { W } 5.26 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | G | Single vertical stem with an unbalanced multi-stemmed canopy. Pruning wounds throughout. Dead wood and stubs noted throughout. | Crown Clean. | 10+ | B2 |
| T20 | Lime | SM | 10 | 2 | 225 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } 3 \\ & \text { E } 3 \\ & \text { S } 3 \\ & \text { W } 3 \end{aligned}$ | G | Single leaning stem with an unbalanced canopy. Pruning wounds throughout. Dead wood and stubs throughout. No major visible defects. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | Cl |
| T21 | Rowan | EM | 7 | 2 | 225 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } 3.16 \\ & \text { E } 3.1 \\ & \text { S } 3.75 \\ & \text { W } 2.7 \end{aligned}$ | F | Single leaning stem with a balanced canopy. Basal epicormic growth. Pruning wounds throughout. Minor decay evident at base typical of species. | Clean base, remove epics and reinspect. | 10+ | Cl |
| T22 | Lime | EM | 10 | 2 S | 305 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N } 3.9 \\ & 33.7 \\ & \text { S } 3.5 \\ & \text { W } 3.5 \end{aligned}$ | G | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Some exposed roots with mechanical damage. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | B2 |


| Ref | Species | Life stage | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \dagger \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | Can $\mathrm{H} \dagger$ $(\mathrm{m})$ | Stem diam (mm) | Canopy spread (m) | Physio logical | Structural condition | Recommendations | Life exp. (yrs) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ret } \\ & \text { cat } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Dead wood and stubs noted throughout. |  |  |  |
| T23 | Lime | EM | 12 | 2.5 S | 290 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } 4.8 \\ & \text { E } 2.79 \\ & \text { S } 4.47 \\ & \text { W } 3.4 \end{aligned}$ | G | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Twinstemmed at 3m. Some exposed roots with mechanical damage. No major visible defects. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | B2 |
| T24 | Lime | EM | 12 | 3 | 300 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N } 4 \\ & \text { E } 2.46 \\ & \text { S } 6 \\ & \text { W } 1.58 \end{aligned}$ | G | Single vertical stem with balanced canopy. Dead wood and stubs noted throughout. No major visible defects. | Crown Clean | 10+ | B2 |
| T25 | Lime | EM | 12 | 1S | 320 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } 2.18 \\ & \text { E } 5.2 \\ & \text { S } 5.7 \\ & \text { W } 4.1 \end{aligned}$ | G | Single vertical stem with balanced canopy. Dead wood and stubs noted throughout. Pruning wounds noted throughout. Birds nesting in canopy. No major visible defects. | Crown Clean | 10+ | B2 |
| T26 | Crab Apple | EM | 4 | 2S | 160 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } 1.4 \\ & \text { E } 3.4 \\ & \text { S } 5.4 \\ & \text { W } 2.68 \end{aligned}$ | F | Single leaning stem with an unbalance canopy. Significant decay at base. Pruning wounds throughout. | Monitor | 10+ | Cl |
| T27 | Poplar | M | 14 | 10 | 320 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } 1.4 \\ & \text { E } 1.7 \\ & \text { S } 3.8 \\ & \text { W } 2.37 \end{aligned}$ | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. High canopy with significant dead wood below. Dead wood and stubs noted throughout. | Crown Clean | 10+ | Cl |


| Ref | Species | Life stage | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ht} \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | Can $\mathrm{H}+$ <br> (m) | Stem diam (mm) | Canopy spread (m) | Physio logical | Structural condition | Recommendations | Life exp. (yrs) | Ret cat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T28 | Poplar | M | 14 | 10 | 280 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } 2 \\ & \text { E } 2 \\ & \text { S } 2 \\ & \text { W } 2 \end{aligned}$ | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. High canopy with significant dead wood below. Birds nesting in canopy. Dead wood and stubs noted throughout. | Crown Clean | 10+ | C1 |
| T29 | Lime | EM | 11 | 3 | 310 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } 4.8 \\ & \text { E } 3.28 \\ & \text { S } 4.6 \\ & \text { W } 3.2 \end{aligned}$ | G | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Epicormic growth throughout, typical of species. Birds nesting in canopy. Dead wood and stubs noted throughout. No major visible defects. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | B2 |
| T30 | Lime | EM | 13 | 3 | 370 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N } 5.3 \\ & \text { E } 3.7 \\ & \text { S } 4.3 \\ & \text { W } 5.3 \end{aligned}$ | G | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Dead wood and stubs throughout. Pruning wounds throughout. No major visible defects. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | B2 |
| T31 | Lime | EM | 14 | 3 | 345 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } 5.3 \\ & \text { E } 4.9 \\ & \text { S } 3.4 \\ & \text { W } 2.4 \end{aligned}$ | G | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Dead wood and stubs throughout. Pruning wounds throughout. Birds nesting in canopy. No major visible defects. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | B2 |
| T32 | Lime | EM | 13 | 3 | 325 | N 3 <br> E 3.5 <br> S 5.3 <br> W 3.4 | G | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Dead wood and stubs throughout. Pruning wounds throughout. Birds nesting in canopy. No major visible | No additional recommendations | 10+ | B2 |


| Ref | Species | Life stage | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ht} \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | Can <br> $\mathrm{H} \dagger$ <br> (m) | Stem diam (mm) | Canopy spread (m) | Physio logical | Structural condition | Recommendations | Life exp. (yrs) | Ret cat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | defects. |  |  |  |
| T33 | Norway Maples | M | 12 | 2 | 410 | N \#6 E 5.2 S 4.4 W 3.79 | G | Single vertical stem with multi-stemmed balanced canopy. Dead wood and stubs throughout. No major visible defects. | Crown Clean | 10+ | B2 |
| T34 | Norway Maple | M | 11 | 3S | 305 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N } 0.96 \\ & \text { E } 3.26 \\ & \text { S } 5.67 \\ & \text { W } 1.68 \end{aligned}$ | F | Single leaning stem with an unbalanced canopy. <br> Several dead branches to the North. Significant damage and decay from base to 2 m North. Dead Wood and stubs throughout. | Monitor. Crown Clean | 10+ | B2 |
| T35 | Norway Maple | M | 11 | 3S | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 300 \\ & \text { inc } \\ & \text { Ivy } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N } 3.28 \\ & \text { E } 3.57 \\ & \text { S } 5.58 \\ & \text { W } 1.5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | G | Single vertical stem with an unbalanced canopy. Covered in dense Ivy. No major visible defects. | Crown Clean | 10+ | B2 |
| T36 | Crab Apple | M | 5 | 2S | 160 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { N } 1.6 \\ & \text { E } 3.5 \\ & \text { S } 3.69 \\ & \text { W } 2.86 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | G | Single leaning stem with an unbalanced canopy. Epicormic growth on stem. No major visible defects. | No additional recommendations. | 10+ | Cl |
| G37 | Birch, Alder, Norway Maple | SM | >10 | 1 | >150 | See plan | G | Tall spindly group covered in dense Ivy. Brash and bramble at base limiting inspection. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | C2 |
| T38 | Cherry | M | 10 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#100 } \\ & 100 \\ & 120 \\ & 200 \end{aligned}$ | \# <br> N 4.4 <br> E 4.4 <br> S 4.4 <br> W 4.4 | G | Multi-stemmed at ground level with a balanced canopy. Pruning wounds throughout. Located within dense brash and bramble, | No additional recommendations. | 10+ | Cl |


| Ref | Species | Life stage | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ht} \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | Can <br> $\mathrm{H} \dagger$ <br> (m) | Stem diam (mm) | Canopy spread (m) | Physio logical | Structural condition | Recommendations | Life exp. (yrs) | Ret cat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | limiting inspection. |  |  |  |
| T39 | Ash | SM | 8 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \# 100 \\ & 120 \end{aligned}$ | \# <br> N 3.4 <br> E 3.4 <br> S 3.4 <br> W 3.4 | G | Twin-stemmed at ground level with a balanced canopy. Pruning wounds throughout. Located within dense brash and bramble, limiting inspection. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | C1 |
| T40 | Willow | SM | 8 | 1.5 | \# 200 | \# <br> N 1.8 <br> E 1.8 <br> S 1.8 <br> W 1.8 | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Located within dense bramble preventing close inspection. | No additional recommendations | 106 | C1 |
| T41 | Willow | SM | 8 | 1.5 | \# 200 | \# <br> N 1.75 <br> E 1.5 <br> S 1.5 <br> W 1.5 | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Located within dense bramble preventing close inspection. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | C1 |
| T42 | Cypress | SM | 10 | 1 | \# 300 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# } \\ & \text { N } 2 \\ & \text { E } 2 \\ & \text { S } 2 \\ & \text { W } 2 \end{aligned}$ | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Located within dense bramble preventing close inspection. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | Cl |
| T43 | Cypress | SM | 10 | 1 | \# 200 | \# <br> N 1.8 <br> E 1.8 <br> S 1.8 <br> W 1.8 | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Located within dense bramble preventing close inspection. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | Cl |
| T44 | Cypress | SM | 10 | 1 | \# 300 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \# \\ & \text { N } 1.8 \\ & \text { E } 1.8 \\ & \text { S } 1.8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Located within dense bramble preventing close inspection. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | Cl |


| Ref | Species | Life stage | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \dagger \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | Can <br> $\mathrm{H} \dagger$ <br> (m) | Stem diam (mm) | Canopy spread (m) | Physio logical | Structural condition | Recommendations | Life exp. (yrs) | Ret cat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | W 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| T45 | Beech | SM | 9 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \# \\ & 150 \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | \# <br> N 1.2 <br> E 2 <br> S 1.8 <br> W 1.5 | F | Twin stemmed with a balanced canopy. Located within dense bramble forming part of an overgrown, closely planted stand. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | C1 |
| T46 | Beech | SM | 9 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \# \\ & 180 \\ & 180 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \# \\ & \text { N } 1.5 \\ & \text { E } 2.2 \\ & \text { S } 1.2 \\ & \text { W } 1.5 \end{aligned}$ | F | Twin stemmed with a balanced canopy. Located within dense bramble forming part of an overgrown, closely planted stand. | No additional recommendations | 10 | C1 |
| T47 | Beech | SM | 9 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \# \\ & 150 \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \# \\ & \text { N } 1.5 \\ & \text { E } 2.5 \\ & \text { S } 2 \\ & \text { W } 1.5 \end{aligned}$ | F | Twin stemmed with a balanced canopy. Located within dense bramble forming part of an overgrown, closely planted stand. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | C1 |
| T48 | Cherry | EM | 10 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \# \\ & 6 @ \\ & >100 \end{aligned}$ | \# <br> N 4.1 <br> E 4.1 <br> S 4.1 <br> W 4.1 | F | Multi-stemmed with a balanced canopy. Located within a dense hawthorn hedge limiting inspection. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | Cl |
| T49 | Hawthorn | SM | 5 | 0.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# } \\ & >150 \end{aligned}$ | \# <br> N 1.5 <br> E 1.5 <br> S 1.5 <br> W 1.5 | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Located within dense hedging and bramble preventing close inspection. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | C1 |


| Ref | Species | Life stage | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ht} \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | Can <br> Ht <br> (m) | Stem diam (mm) | Canopy spread (m) | Physio logical | Structural condition | Recommendations | Life exp. <br> (yrs) | Ret cat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T50 | Hawthorn | SM | 5 | 0.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \# \\ & >150 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \# \\ & \text { N } 2.65 \\ & \text { E } 2.65 \\ & \text { S } 2.65 \\ & \text { W } 2.65 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Located within dense hedging and bramble preventing close inspection. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | Cl |
| T51 | Hawthorn | SM | 5 | 0.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \# \\ & >150 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \# \\ & \# \\ & \text { N } 1.5 \\ & \text { E } 1.5 \\ & \text { S } 1.5 \\ & \text { W } 1.5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Located within dense hedging and bramble preventing close inspection. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | Cl |
| T52 | Ash | SM | 7 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \# \\ & >100 \end{aligned}$ | \# <br> N 1.2 <br> E 1.2 <br> S 1.2 <br> W 1.2 | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Located within dense hedging and bramble preventing close inspection. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | Cl |
| T53 | Ash | SM | 7 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \# \\ & >100 \end{aligned}$ | \# <br> N 1.5 <br> E 1.5 <br> S 1.5 <br> W 1.5 | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Located within dense hedging and bramble preventing close inspection. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | Cl |
| T54 | Ash | SM | 7 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \# \\ & 150 \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# } \\ & \text { N } 4 \\ & \text { E } 4 \\ & \text { S } 4 \\ & \text { W } 4 \end{aligned}$ | F | Twin stemmed with a balanced canopy. Located within dense bramble forming part of an overgrown hedge limiting inspection. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | Cl |
| T55 | Hawthorn | SM | 5 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \# \\ & 180 \end{aligned}$ | \# <br> N 1.4 <br> E 1.4 <br> S 1.4 <br> W 1.4 | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Located within dense bramble and ivy preventing close inspection. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | Cl |


| Ref | Species | Life stage | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ht} \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | Can <br> $\mathrm{H} \dagger$ <br> (m) | Stem diam (mm) | Canopy spread (m) | Physio logical | Structural condition | Recommendations | Life exp. (yrs) | Ret cat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T56 | Hawthorn | SM | 6 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \# \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | \# <br> N 1.4 <br> E 1.4 <br> S 1.4 <br> W 1.4 | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Located within dense bramble and ivy preventing close inspection. | No additional recommendations | 10+ | C1 |
| T57 | Sycamore | SM | 9 | 1.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \# \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | \# <br> N 1.6 <br> E 1.6 <br> S 1.6 <br> W 1.6 | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Located within dense bramble and ivy preventing close inspection. | Remove ivy and reinspect. | 10+ | Cl |
| T58 | Sycamore | SM | 9 | 1.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \# \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | \# <br> N 1.55 <br> E 1.55 <br> S 1.55 <br> W 1.55 | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Located within dense bramble and ivy preventing close inspection. | Remove ivy and reinspect. | 10+ | C1 |
| T59 | Alder | SM | 10 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \# \\ & 240 \end{aligned}$ | \# <br> N 2.1 <br> E 2.1 <br> S 2.1 <br> W 2.1 | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Located within dense bramble and ivy preventing close inspection. | Remove ivy and reinspect. | 10+ | Cl |
| T60 | Sycamore | SM | 10 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \# \\ & 200 \end{aligned}$ | \# <br> N 1.9 <br> E 1.9 <br> S 1.9 <br> W 1.9 | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Located within dense bramble and ivy preventing close inspection. | Remove ivy and reinspect. | 10+ | Cl |
| T61 | Birch | SM | 8 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \# \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | \# <br> N 1.5 <br> E 1.5 <br> S 1.5 <br> W 1.5 | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Located within dense bramble and ivy preventing close inspection. | Remove ivy and reinspect. | 10+ | C1 |
| T62 | Birch | SM | 8 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \# \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \# \\ \text { N } 1.7 \\ \text { E } 1.7 \\ \text { S } 1.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Located within dense bramble and ivy preventing close | Remove ivy and reinspect. | 10+ | Cl |


| Ref | Species | Life stage | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Ht} \\ & (\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | Can <br> $\mathrm{H} \dagger$ <br> (m) | Stem diam (mm) | Canopy spread (m) | Physio logical | Structural condition | Recommendations | Life exp. (yrs) | Ret cat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | W 1.7 |  | inspection. |  |  |  |
| T63 | Birch | SM | 8 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \# \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | N 1.6 <br> E 1.6 <br> S 1.6 <br> W 1.6 | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Located within dense bramble and ivy preventing close inspection. | Remove ivy and reinspect. | 10+ | Cl |
| T64 | Birch | SM | 8 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \# \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \# } \\ & \text { N } 1.75 \\ & \text { E } 1.75 \\ & \text { S } 1.75 \\ & \text { W } 1.75 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Located within dense bramble and ivy preventing close inspection. | Remove ivy and reinspect. | 10+ | Cl |
| T65 | Sycamore | SM | 9 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \# \\ & 220 \\ & 150 \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \# \\ & \text { N } 4.57 \\ & \text { E } 4.57 \\ & \text { S } 4.57 \\ & \text { W } 4.57 \end{aligned}$ | F | Multi-stemmed with a balanced canopy. Located within dense bramble and ivy limiting close inspection. | Remove ivy and reinspect. | 10+ | Cl |
| T66 | Birch | SM | 8 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \# \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# } \\ & \text { N } 3.7 \\ & \text { E } 3.7 \\ & \text { S } 3.7 \\ & \text { W } 3.7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Located within dense bramble preventing close inspection. | Remove ivy and reinspect. | 10+ | Cl |
| T67 | Alder | EM | 11 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \# \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ | \# <br> N 5.2 <br> E 5.2 <br> S 5.2 <br> W 5.2 | F | Single vertical stem with a balanced canopy. Located within dense bramble preventing close inspection. | Remove ivy and reinspect. | 10+ | Cl |

## Findings

Tree descriptions and recommendations
29. The tree survey revealed a total of sixty-one individual trees and six groups of trees. Of these, nineteen trees were identified as retention category ' $B$ ' and forty-eight trees/groups were identified as retention category ' $C$ '. There were no retention category ' $A$ ' or ' $U$ ' trees identified. Please refer above for retention category and definition criteria.
30. It should be noted that T12, T13, T14 and G15 have been removed by a neighbouring development, thus no longer need protection
31. It has been recommended that trees T 12 (now removed), $\mathrm{T} 17, \mathrm{~T} 18, \mathrm{~T} 26$ and T 34 are monitored annually to assess if their condition is still acceptable.
32. Those trees which overhang the public footpaths or public highways, shall require future maintenance to maintain clearance heights for vehicular or pedestrian traffic. These heights should be 5.6 m above a road and 2.5 m above a footpath.


## Figure 1

Looking north-west through the south eastern area with T 19 in the foreground showing a stand of mature specimens.


Figure 2
T18- Large bark wound from torn limb with decay in evidence.


## Figure 3

Looking West from the South East of the site with T27 and 28 in the centre of the image. Note birds nest and significant deadwood in lower canopy.

DR-5059-01 Tree Constraints Plan


DR-5059-02.01 Tree Protection Plan
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