

HEDGES FARM,

WORMINGHALL ROAD, OAKLEY

HP18 9QY

SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE STRATEGY

NOVEMBER 2023

Ref: MC0363 KSA Hedges Farm SWDS



CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION-UPDATE	2
2.0	POLICY COMPLIANCE	3
3.0	SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSALS	3
4.0	EXISTING DRAINAGE ARRANGEMENTS	4
5.0	GEOLOGY, INFILTRATION POTENTIAL AND GROUNDWATER	4
6.0	FLOOD RISK OVERVIEW	5
7.0	CLIMATE CHANGE ALLOWANCES	6
8.0	SUSTAINABLE URBAN DRAINAGE (SUDS) ASSESSMENT	7
9.0	SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE STRATEGY	8
10.0	WATER QUALITY	10
11.0	FOUL WATER DRAINAGE STRATEGY	10
12.0	SCHEDULE OF MAINTENANCE	10
13.0	CONCLUSION	12

APPENDICES

APPENDIX IIPublic Sewer RecordsAPPENDIX IIIBGS Borehole LogAPPENDIX IVHydraulic CalculationsAPPENDIX VSurface Water Drainage Strategy Plan	APPENDIX I	Topographic Survey and Architects Plans
APPENDIX IIIBGS Borehole LogAPPENDIX IVHydraulic CalculationsAPPENDIX VSurface Water Drainage Strategy Plan	APPENDIX II	Public Sewer Records
APPENDIX IVHydraulic CalculationsAPPENDIX VSurface Water Drainage Strategy Plan	APPENDIX III	BGS Borehole Log
APPENDIX V Surface Water Drainage Strategy Plan	APPENDIX IV	Hydraulic Calculations
	APPENDIX V	Surface Water Drainage Strategy Plan

Client : Sevenoaks Environmental

Rev	Date	Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by
-	07/11/23	BB	MN	MN

Please Note:

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of the commissioning party and may not be reproduced without prior written permission from Meridian Civil Engineering Consultancy Ltd. All work has been carried out within the terms of the brief using all reasonable skill, care and diligence. No liability is accepted by Meridian Civil Engineering Consultancy Ltd for the accuracy of data or opinions provided by others in the preparation of this report, or for any use of this report other than for the purpose for which it was produced.

contact@meridiancivils.co.uk 01273 102743 www.meridiancivils.co.uk



1.0 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Meridian Civil Engineering Consultancy LTD (MCEC) has been instructed by Kyle Seeley Associates to prepare a site-specific Surface Water Drainage Strategy for the development at Hedges Farm, Worminghall Road, Oakley HP18 9QY.
- 1.2 It is understood the proposed development is for the demolition of the existing barn and the construction of 7 new residential plots with an associated access road.
- 1.3 The Environment Agency (EA) mapping for Flood Risk, shows the site located within Flood Zone 1 (low risk of fluvial or tidal flooding). Flood zone 1 is described as land having a less than 1 in 1,000 annual probability of river or sea flooding.
- 1.4 The EA Long Term Flood risk map extract below shows the site to be in a 'very low risk' for the most parts which means it is modelled that the site The EA Long Term Flood risk map extract (figure 4) below shows the site to be in a 'very low risk' which means it is modelled that the site would be largely unaffected by the modelled pluvial events up to and including 1 in 1000 year event. Surface water runoff originating from the site is to be controlled in line with recent regulations and as described in this report.
- 1.5 Based on the desktop study in this report, it is deemed that infiltration is unlikely to be suitable for managing surface water runoff due to the mostly clayey nature of the soil and the potential high groundwater table. It is recommended that BRE 365 infiltration testing and groundwater monitoring is conducted on site prior to finalising the drainage design on site.
- 1.6 A review of Google Street View shows the existence of a ditch crossing the entrance to the site (referred as the "ditch near the entrance" further in this report). The ditch near the entrance is also visible within the topographic survey (Appendix I). It is proposed to connect the surface water runoff of the site to the ditch near the entrance. The connectivity of the ditch near the entrance and more detailed levels will be required at detailed design to confirm a suitable design of offsite surface water connection. It may be required to introduce a pump depending on more detailed investigation of the ditch and level strategy for the site. The new connection into the ditch is to be agreed with the Lead Local Flood Authority prior to construction.
- 1.7 The greenfield runoff rate for an area equivalent to the proposed 1730m² of roof and hardstanding areas is very low and as such, it is not practical to limit the peak discharge rate to a greenfield equivalent rate. As such, a practical limit of 2 l/s has been selected for the peak discharge rate from the site, which provides significant betterment over the existing runoff rates.
- 1.8 The proposals are to drain the roof runoff and the hardstanding areas around the houses (including the access road) into the sub-base of the permeable pavement access road. A detention basin in the southwest corner of the site is proposed to boost the storage of the permeable sub-base.
- 1.9 Hydraulic calculations using the FEH rainfall model, show that a total attenuation storage of 150m³ would be required to limit the peak runoff rate for the 100-year storm event + 40% climate change to a rate of 2 l/s.
- 1.10 Treatment to the surface water runoff is to be provided via the proposed permeable pavement.
- 1.11 An asset location map provided by Thames Water shows the presence of a 225mm foul sewer in Worminghall Road. The foul strategy is to utilise a gravity connection into the 225mm public sewer.
- 1.12 It is deemed that the strategy described in this report demonstrates that the proposed development can be accommodated in a sustainable manner (in relation to drainage) without increasing the flood risk on or offsite.



2.0 POLICY COMPLIANCE

- 2.1 The purpose of this assessment is to demonstrate that the development proposal outlined above can be satisfactorily accommodated without worsening flood risk for the area and without placing the development itself at risk of flooding, as per the:
 - National Planning Policy Framework
 - CIRIA report C753 The SuDS Manual
 - Sustainable Drainage Systems: Non-statutory technical standards for sustainable drainage systems

- Buckinghamshire County Council Developer Advice for Surface Water Drainage Strategies

3.0 SITE LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSALS

3.1 The proposed development location is at Hedges Farm, Worminghall Road, Oakley HP18 9QY. The approximate site location is outlined in red within Figure 1 below. The site currently consists of a barn and greenfield land. The site is on the western side of Worminghall Road, Hedges Farm is to the south of the site and there are residential houses to the north of the site.



Figure 1: Site location with approximate redline boundary

- 3.2 It is understood the proposed development is for the demolition of the existing barn and the construction of 7 new residential plots with an associated access road. Architect's plans are included in Appendix I.
- 3.3 The existing barn has a footprint of approximately 170m². The combined plan area of the buildings' footprint, access road and driveways would be approximately 1730m².



4.0 EXISTING DRAINAGE ARRANGEMENTS

- 4.1 An asset location map provided by Thames Water shows the presence of a 225mm foul sewer in Worminghall Road. The asset location report by Southern Water is provided in Appendix II.
- 4.2 The existence of any surface water drainage on site is unknown. However, review of Google Street View shows the existence of a ditch crossing the entrance to the site (referred as the "ditch near the entrance" further in this report). The ditch near the entrance is also visible within the topographic survey (Appendix I). It is proposed to connect the surface water runoff of the site to the ditch near the entrance. The connectivity of the ditch near the entrance and more detailed levels will be required at detailed design to confirm a suitable design of offsite surface water connection.



Figure 2: Extract from CCTV survey plan

5.0 GEOLOGY, INFILTRATION POTENTIAL AND GROUNDWATER

- 5.1 The British Geological Survey (BGS) Geology of Britain Viewer indicates that the bedrock underlying the site comprises limestone and mudstone. The British Geological Survey (BGS) Geology of Britain Viewer indicates that there are no superficial deposits recorded at the site.
- 5.2 A nearby borehole (BGS reference: SP61SW153), located approximately 100m to the east of the site, indicates the soil likely comprises slightly silty clay with some fine medium gravel. Additionally, water was struck at 0.9m below ground level it is not clear if this is the general groundwater table in the area or perched water. See Appendix III containing the borehole log.
- 5.3 Based on the desktop study in this report, it is deemed that infiltration is unlikely to be suitable for managing surface water runoff. It is recommended that BRE 365 infiltration testing and groundwater monitoring is conducted on site prior to finalising the drainage design on site.



6.0 FLOOD RISK OVERVIEW

6.1 Tidal and Fluvial

6.1.1 The Environment Agency (EA) mapping for Flood Risk (Figure 3), shows the site located within Flood Zone 1 (low risk of fluvial or tidal flooding). Flood zone one is described as land having a less than 1 in 1,000 annual probability of river or sea flooding.



Figure 2:EA Flood Zone 2 and 3 extents

- 6.2 EA Long Term Flood Risk Maps.
 - 6.2.1 The EA Long Term Flood risk map extract (figure 4) below shows the site to be in a 'very low risk' which means it is modelled that the site would be largely unaffected by the modelled pluvial events up to and including 1 in 1000 year event. Surface water runoff originating from the site is to be controlled in line with recent regulations and as described in this report.
 - 6.2.2 Flooding from surface water is difficult to predict as rainfall location and volume are difficult to forecast. In addition, local features can greatly affect the chance and severity of flooding.



Figure 3: Long term Risk of Flooding from Surface Water map extract



7.0 CLIMATE CHANGE ALLOWANCES

- 7.1 Making an allowance for climate change in the design of surface water drainage systems will help to minimise vulnerability and provide resilience to flooding and coastal change in the future. Climate Change allowances vary across the UK subject to catchment conditions and are based on climate change projections and different scenarios of carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions to the atmosphere.
- 7.2 Climate change allowances were recently updated by the EA and the climate change allowances are now defined by River Catchment peak rainfall allowances.
- 7.3 The data published on the DEFRA database shows the site located within the Thames and South Chilterns Management Catchment and for residential development (lifespan 100yrs) an upper end allowance of 40% should be applied to rainfall events as the climate change allowance within this region.



8.0 SUSTAINABLE URBAN DRAINAGE (SUDS) ASSESSMENT

- 8.1 In accordance with the SuDS management train approach, the use of various SuDS measures to reduce and control surface water flows have been considered in detail for the development.
- 8.2 The management of surface water has been considered in respect to the SuDS hierarchy below as detailed in the CIRIA 753 'The SUDS Manual', Section 3.2.3:

	SUDS DRAINAGE HIERARCHY					
				Suitability	Comment	
		1.	Store rainwater for later use	1	Rainwater harvesting is being considered by the developer and could be used around the wider site where feasible.	
	:	2.	Use infiltration techniques, such as porous surfaces in non-clay areas	x	The ground likely comprises clay and groundwater is likely to be close to the surface.	
	;	3.	Attenuate rainwater in ponds or open water features for gradual release	~	A detention basin is proposed to boost the storage of the permeable pavement sub-base.	
	4	4.	Attenuate rainwater by storing in tanks or sealed water features for gradual release	~	Below-ground attenuation proposed in the form of permeable pavement sub-base.	
	!	5.	Discharge rainwater direct to a watercourse	~	A ditch along Worminhall Road is proposed to be used for offsite connection	
		6.	Discharge rainwater to a surface water sewer/drain	x	Surface and combines sewers not available in	
V	/ [-	7.	Discharge rainwater to Combined Sewer	x	proximity.	

Table 2: SuDS Drainage Hierarchy

8.3 The suitability of SuDS components has been assessed in order to provide a sustainable means of providing the required attenuation volumes. The following components have been assessed as follows in Table 3, below.





SUITABILITY OF SUDS COMPONENTS					
SuDS					
Component	Comment	Suitability			
Infiltrating SuDS	Based on BGS records, the ground likely comprises clay and groundwater is likely to be close to the surface. However, it is recommended that infiltration testing and groundwater monitoring is undertaken to confirm the surface water drainage strategy.	x			
Permeable Pavement	The majority of the new hardstanding areas are proposed to be of permeable construction.	~			
Green / Blue Roofs	Green and/or blue roofs have not been deemed practical for the proposed residential roofs due to the largely pitch nature of the roofs.	x			
Rainwater Harvesting	Rainwater harvesting could be implemented on site. It is recommended that a rainwater harvesting tank is considered in order to reduce the reduce the clean water usage of the site by providing water for toilet flushes and washing machines. Alternatively water butts should be placed on site for re-use in amenity areas	~			
Swales	Not deemed necessary with the proposed strategy	x			
Rills and Channels	Such conveyancing SuDS techniques would provide little benefit overall due to the small scale of the site.	x			
Bioretention Systems	Bioretention systems could be retrofitted into the garden but would overall provide little benefit to storage volumes.	x			
Retention Ponds, Wetlands, Detention Basins	A detention basin is proposed to boost the storage of the permeable pavement sub- base.	\checkmark			
Geocellular Systems	Geocellular systems can be configured to suit almost every site/development. While they are suitable for the site, the storage within the permeable pavement sub-base and the detention basin is sufficient.	-			
Proprietary Treatment Systems	Roof runoff is considered to be largely uncontaminated. Runoff from the paved areas is to be treated via permeable pavement.	x			
Filter Drains and Filter Strips	Not deemed necessary with the proposed strategy.	x			

Table 3: Suitability of SuDS Components

9.0 SURFACE WATER DRAINAGE STRATEGY

- 9.1 In accordance with Buckinghamshire County Council Developer Advice for Surface Water Drainage Strategy (BCC guidance), developments should use SuDS to reduce both the volume and runoff rates to the drainage system.
- 9.2 BCC guidance states that the peak runoff rate from a development on previously developed land must be as close to the greenfield runoff rate from the equivalent site area.
- 9.3 The greenfield runoff rate for an area equivalent to the proposed 1730m² of roof and hardstanding areas is very low and as such, it is not practical to limit the peak discharge rate to a greenfield



equivalent rate. As such, a practical limit of 2 l/s has been selected for the peak discharge rate from the site, which provides significant betterment over the existing runoff rates.

9.4 Proposed Surface water discharge rates have been calculated below and supporting calculations are included in Appendix IV.

	SURFACE WATER DISCHARGE RATES SUMMARY					
				Discharge	e Rates (l/s)	
	Area (na)		2 year/Q _{BAR}	30 year	100 year	100 year +40%CC
Greenfield Rates	0.173 (proposed total roof and hardstanding areas)		0.73	1.68	2.33	-
Existing rates	0.017 (existing barn)		3.4	8.9	11.5	-
Proposed Rates	0.039 (proposed total roof and hardstanding area)		1.9	2.0	2.0	2.0

Table 5: Calculated Runoff rates

- 9.5 The proposals are to drain the roof runoff and the hardstanding areas around the houses (including the access road) into the sub-base of the permeable pavement access road.
- 9.6 A services strip of standard paving is shown for the access road to allow foul drainage and services to be under standard paving for easier future maintenance.
- 9.7 A detention basin in the southwest corner of the site is proposed to boost the storage of the permeable sub-base.
- 9.8 Due to the level difference between the west and east side of the site, the permeable pavement is split into two "cells" with flow control after the first cell in order to maximise the storage capacity of the system.
- 9.9 At this stage, it is not known if the proposed drainage systems would need to be tanked. This would need to be confirmed with groundwater investigations on site.
- 9.10 The current strategy assumes gravity drainage to the ditch near the entrance in Worminghall Road. It may be required to introduce a pump depending on more detailed investigation of the ditch and level strategy for the site. The new connection into the ditch is to be agreed with the Lead Local Flood Authority prior to construction.
- 9.11 The proposed drainage layout is shown in Appendix V.
- 9.12 Hydraulic calculations using the FEH rainfall model, show that a total attenuation storage of 150m³ would be required to limit the peak runoff rate for the 100-year storm event + 40% climate change to a rate of 2 l/s.
- 9.13 Proposed drainage calculations based on FEH rainfall model and 40% climate change allowance are included in Appendix IV. A proposed storm drainage strategy plan layout is included in Appendix V.



10.0 WATER QUALITY

- 10.1 Runoff from the roofs and the untrafficked paved areas is largely considered to be uncontaminated.
- 10.2 The primary risk to water quality is from the trafficked areas. All trafficked areas are proposed to be treated via permeable pavement.
- 10.3 The Pollution Hazard Indices are summarised in Table 4 Summary of Pollution Hazard Indices for different Land Use below (based on Table 26.2 of The SuDS Manual):

POLLUTION HAZARD INDICES FOR DIFFERENT LAND USE CLASSIFICATIONS					
LAND USE	Pollution	Total Suspended	Metals	Hydrocarbons	
	Hazard Level	Solids			
Residential Roofs	Very Low	0.2	0.2	0.05	
Individual property driveways, residential car parks, low traffic roads (eg cul de sacs, homezones and general access roads)	Low	0.5	0.4	0.4	

Table 6: Summary of Pollution hazard Indices for different Land Use

10.4 The Mitigation Indices of the proposed SuDS techniques are summarised in Table 6 below.

INDICATIVE SuDS MITIGATION INDICES FOR DISCHARGES TO SURFACE WATER						
SuDS Component	Total Suspended Solids	Metals	Hydrocarbons			
Permeable Paving	0.7	0.6	0.7			

Table 7: Indicative SuDS Mitigation Indices.

10.5 It can be seen that the Total SuDS Mitigation Index ≥Pollution Hazard Index therefore the water treatment provided by this SuDS train is enough to remove the potential pollutants.

11.0 FOUL WATER DRAINAGE STRATEGY

- 11.1 An asset location map provided by Thames Water shows the presence of a 225mm foul sewer in Worminghall Road.
- 11.2 It is proposed to construct a new foul connection into the public foul sewer in Worminghall Road.
- 11.3 The peak foul discharge rate based on DCG's guidance (4000 L/day/dwelling) would be 0.33 l/s.
- 11.4 The new connection is to be agreed with the sewer authority prior to construction.

12.0 SCHEDULE OF MAINTENANCE

- 12.1 All onsite SuDS and drainage systems will be privately maintained. A long-term maintenance regime should be agreed with the site owners before adoption.
- 12.2 In addition to a long-term maintenance regime, it is recommended that all drainage elements implemented on site should be inspected following the first rainfall event post-construction and monthly for the first quarter following construction.
- 12.3 The property owner will be responsible for the management and maintenance of SuDS devices.
- 12.4 General maintenance of key SuDS components are provided below.
- 12.5 Maintenance for the geocellular crates is to be in accordance with manufacturer's recommendations.



PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF MAINTENANCE FOR BELOW GROUND DRAINAGE					
Item	Visual Inspection	Cleanse / De-sludge	CCTV Survey	Comments	
Foul Drainage System (pipework, chambers etc.)	5 years	10 years	10 years	Cleansing to be carried as necessary	
Surface Water Drainage System (pipework, chambers etc.)	5 years	10 years	10 years	Cleansing to be carried as necessary	
Gullies/Channels	1 year	1 year	N/A	Cleansing to be carried as necessary	
Catchpits	1 year	1 year	N/A	Cleansing to be carried as necessary	
Hydrobrake	0.5 year	As required	N/A	Following any significant storm event, the chamber and flow control mechanism should be visually inspected to ensure no blockage has occurred.	
Detention Basin	1 month	Cleansing to be carried as necessary	N/A	Inspect inlets, outlets and overflows for blockages and clear if required; Tidy dead growth before start of growing season	
Permeable Block Paving	1 year	'Swept' clean of debris every 2 years.	N/A	Refer to block manufacturers guidance for long term maintenance requirements.	

Table 6: Schedule of maintenance for below ground drainage



13.0 CONCLUSION

- 13.1 Meridian Civil Engineering Consultancy LTD (MCEC) has been instructed by Kyle Seeley Associates to prepare a site-specific Surface Water Drainage Strategy for the development at Hedges Farm, Worminghall Road, Oakley HP18 9QY.
- 13.2 It is understood the proposed development is for the demolition of the existing barn and the construction of 7 new residential plots with an associated access road.
- 13.3 The Environment Agency (EA) mapping for Flood Risk, shows the site located within Flood Zone 1 (low risk of fluvial or tidal flooding). Flood zone 1 is described as land having a less than 1 in 1,000 annual probability of river or sea flooding.
- 13.4 The EA Long Term Flood risk map extract below shows the site to be in a 'very low risk' for the most parts which means it is modelled that the site The EA Long Term Flood risk map extract (figure 4) below shows the site to be in a 'very low risk' which means it is modelled that the site would be largely unaffected by the modelled pluvial events up to and including 1 in 1000 year event. Surface water runoff originating from the site is to be controlled in line with recent regulations and as described in this report.
- 13.5 Based on the desktop study in this report, it is deemed that infiltration is unlikely to be suitable for managing surface water runoff due to the mostly clayey nature of the soil and the potential high groundwater table. It is recommended that BRE 365 infiltration testing and groundwater monitoring is conducted on site prior to finalising the drainage design on site.
- 13.6 A review of Google Street View shows the existence of a ditch crossing the entrance to the site (referred as the "ditch near the entrance" further in this report). The ditch near the entrance is also visible within the topographic survey (Appendix I). It is proposed to connect the surface water runoff of the site to the ditch near the entrance. The connectivity of the ditch near the entrance and more detailed levels will be required at detailed design to confirm a suitable design of offsite surface water connection. It may be required to introduce a pump depending on more detailed investigation of the ditch and level strategy for the site. The new connection into the ditch is to be agreed with the Lead Local Flood Authority prior to construction.
- 13.7 The greenfield runoff rate for an area equivalent to the proposed 1730m² of roof and hardstanding areas is very low and as such, it is not practical to limit the peak discharge rate to a greenfield equivalent rate. As such, a practical limit of 2 l/s has been selected for the peak discharge rate from the site, which provides significant betterment over the existing runoff rates.
- 13.8 The proposals are to drain the roof runoff and the hardstanding areas around the houses (including the access road) into the sub-base of the permeable pavement access road. A detention basin in the southwest corner of the site is proposed to boost the storage of the permeable sub-base.
- 13.9 Hydraulic calculations using the FEH rainfall model, show that a total attenuation storage of 150m³ would be required to limit the peak runoff rate for the 100-year storm event + 40% climate change to a rate of 2 l/s.
- 13.10 Treatment to the surface water runoff is to be provided via the proposed permeable pavement.
- 13.11 An asset location map provided by Thames Water shows the presence of a 225mm foul sewer in Worminghall Road. The foul strategy is to utilise a gravity connection into the 225mm public sewer.
- 13.12 It is deemed that the strategy described in this report demonstrates that the proposed development can be accommodated in a sustainable manner (in relation to drainage) without increasing the flood risk on or offsite.



APPENDIX I Topographic Survey and Architects Plans



© KYLE SEELEY ASSOCIATES LTD This drawing is the sole copyright of kyle seeley associates and no part may be reproduced without their written consent. Only scale from this drawing for the purposes of determining a planning application. All dimensions are to be checked on site This drawing is to be read in conjunction with all relevant consultants information.









APPENDIX II Public Sewer Records

Asset location search



Meridian Civils 62

BRIGHTON BN2 6PF

Search address supplied

Hedges Farm Worminghall Road Oakley HP18 9QY

Your reference

MC0363

Our reference

ALS/ALS Standard/2023_4905093

Search date

31 October 2023

Notification of Price Changes

From 1st April 2023 Thames water Property Searches will be increasing the prices of its CON29DW, CommercialDW Drainage & Water Enquiries and Asset Location Searches. Historically costs would rise in line with RPI but as this currently sits at 14.2%, we are capping it at 10%.

Customers will be emailed with the new prices by January 1st 2023.

Any orders received with a higher payment prior to the 1st April 2023 will be non-refundable. For further details on the price increase please visit our website at <u>www.thameswater-propertysearches.co.uk</u>



Thames Water Utilities Ltd Property Searches, PO Box 3189, Slough SL1 4WW



searches@thameswater.co.uk www.thameswater-propertysearches.co.uk



0800 009 4540





Search address supplied: Hedges Farm, Worminghall Road, Oakley, HP18 9QY

Dear Sir / Madam

An Asset Location Search is recommended when undertaking a site development. It is essential to obtain information on the size and location of clean water and sewerage assets to safeguard against expensive damage and allow cost-effective service design.

The following records were searched in compiling this report: - the map of public sewers & the map of waterworks. Thames Water Utilities Ltd (TWUL) holds all of these.

This searchprovides maps showing the position, size of Thames Water assets close to the proposed development and also manhole cover and invert levels, where available.

Please note that none of the charges made for this report relate to the provision of Ordnance Survey mapping information. The replies contained in this letter are given following inspection of the public service records available to this company. No responsibility can be accepted for any error or omission in the replies.

You should be aware that the information contained on these plans is current only on the day that the plans are issued. The plans should only be used for the duration of the work that is being carried out at the present time. Under no circumstances should this data be copied or transmitted to parties other than those for whom the current work is being carried out.

Thames Water do update these service plans on a regular basis and failure to observe the above conditions could lead to damage arising to new or diverted services at a later date.

Contact Us

If you have any further queries regarding this enquiry please feel free to contact a member of the team on 0800 009 4540, or use the address below:

Thames Water Utilities Ltd Property Searches PO Box 3189 Slough SL1 4WW

Email: <u>searches@thameswater.co.uk</u> Web: <u>www.thameswater-propertysearches.co.uk</u>

Asset location search



Waste Water Services

Please provide a copy extract from the public sewer map.

Enclosed is a map showing the approximate lines of our sewers. Our plans do not show sewer connections from individual properties or any sewers not owned by Thames Water unless specifically annotated otherwise. Records such as "private" pipework are in some cases available from the Building Control Department of the relevant Local Authority.

Where the Local Authority does not hold such plans it might be advisable to consult the property deeds for the site or contact neighbouring landowners.

This report relates only to sewerage apparatus of Thames Water Utilities Ltd, it does not disclose details of cables and or communications equipment that may be running through or around such apparatus.

The sewer level information contained in this response represents all of the level data available in our existing records. Should you require any further Information, please refer to the relevant section within the 'Further Contacts' page found later in this document.

For your guidance:

- The Company is not generally responsible for rivers, watercourses, ponds, culverts or highway drains. If any of these are shown on the copy extract they are shown for information only.
- Any private sewers or lateral drains which are indicated on the extract of the public sewer map as being subject to an agreement under Section 104 of the Water Industry Act 1991 are not an 'as constructed' record. It is recommended these details be checked with the developer.

Clean Water Services

Please provide a copy extract from the public water main map.

Enclosed is a map showing the approximate positions of our water mains and associated apparatus. Please note that records are not kept of the positions of individual domestic supplies.

For your information, there will be a pressure of at least 10m head at the outside stop valve. If you would like to know the static pressure, please contact our Customer Centre on 0800 316 9800. The Customer Centre can also arrange for a full flow and pressure test to be carried out for a fee.

<u>Thames Water Utilities Ltd</u>, Property Searches, PO Box 3189, Slough SL1 4WW T 0800 009 4540 E <u>searches@thameswater.co.uk</u> I <u>www.thameswater-propertysearches.co.uk</u>





For your guidance:

- Assets other than vested water mains may be shown on the plan, for information only.
- If an extract of the public water main record is enclosed, this will show known public water mains in the vicinity of the property. It should be possible to estimate the likely length and route of any private water supply pipe connecting the property to the public water network.

Payment for this Search

A charge will be added to your suppliers account.





Further contacts:

Waste Water queries

Should you require verification of the invert levels of public sewers, by site measurement, you will need to approach the relevant Thames Water Area Network Office for permission to lift the appropriate covers. This permission will usually involve you completing a TWOSA form. For further information please contact our Customer Centre on Tel: 0845 920 0800. Alternatively, a survey can be arranged, for a fee, through our Customer Centre on the above number.

If you have any questions regarding sewer connections, budget estimates, diversions, building over issues or any other questions regarding operational issues please direct them to our service desk. Which can be contacted by writing to:

Developer Services (Waste Water) Thames Water Clearwater Court Vastern Road Reading RG1 8DB

Tel: 0800 009 3921 Email: developer.services@thameswater.co.uk

Clean Water queries

Should you require any advice concerning clean water operational issues or clean water connections, please contact:

Developer Services (Clean Water) Thames Water Clearwater Court Vastern Road Reading RG1 8DB

Tel: 0800 009 3921 Email: developer.services@thameswater.co.uk



Based on the Ordnance Survey Map (2020) with the Sanction of the controller of H.M. Stationery Office, License no. 100019345 Crown Copyright Reserved.

<u>Thames Water Utilities Ltd</u>, Property Searches, PO Box 3189, Slough SL1 4W, **T** 0800 009 4540 **E** <u>searches@thameswater.co.uk</u> **I** <u>www.thameswater-propertysearches.co.uk</u> NB. Levels quoted in metres Ordnance Newlyn Datum. The value -9999.00 indicates that no survey information is available

Manhole Reference	Manhole Cover Level	Manhole Invert Level		
7811	n/a	n/a		
771A	n/a	n/a		
781H	n/a	n/a		
781G	n/a	n/a		
781F	n/a	n/a		
781J	n/a	n/a		
771B	n/a	n/a		
8802	83.56	82.99		
9601	83.21	81.32		
9701	83.33	81.52		
9802	83.79	81.8		
The position of the experience shown on this plan is given without ablighting and warmanty and the experience second by many tool of the experience of the e				

The position of the apparatus shown on this plan is given without obligation and warranty, and the accuracy cannot be guaranteed. Service pipes are not shown but their presence should be anticipated. No liability of any kind whatsoever is accepted by Thames Water for any error or omission. The actual position of mains and services must be verified and established on site before any works are undertaken.



Asset Location Search - Sewer Key



Notes:

1) All levels associated with the plans are to Ordnance Datum Newlyn.

2) All measurements on the plan are metric.

Arrows (on gravity fed sewers) or flecks (on rising mains) indicate the direction of flow.
 Most private pipes are not shown on our plans, as in the past, this information has not been recorded.

5) 'na' or '0' on a manhole indicates that data is unavailable.

6) The text appearing alongside a sewer line indicates the internal diameter of the pipe in millimeters. Text next to a manhole indicates the manhole reference number and should not be taken as a measurement. If you are unsure about any text or symbology, please contact Property Searches on 0800 009 4540.



Based on the Ordnance Survey Map (2020) with the Sanction of the controller of H.M. Stationery Office, License no. 100019345 Crown Copyright Reserved.

Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Property Searches, PO Box 3189, Slough SL1 4W, T 0800 009 4540 E searches@thameswater.co.uk I www.thameswater-propertysearches.co.uk



Asset Location Search - Water Key





Single Hydrant

Meter

Blank Flange

Capped End

Emptying Pit Undefined End

Manifold

Customer Supply

Operational Sites



Other Symbols

Data Logger



Casement: Ducts may contain high voltage cables. Please check with Thames Water.

Other	Water Pipes (Not Operated or Maintained by Thames Water)	
-	Other Water Company Main: Occasionally other water company water pipes may overlap the border of our clean water coverage area. These mains are denoted in purple and in most cases have the owner of the pipe displayed along them.	
	 Private Main: Indiales that the water main in question is not owned by Thames Water. These mains normally have text associated with them indicating the dameter and owner of the pipe. 	

Thames Water Utilities Ltd, Property Searches, PO Box 3189, Slough SL1 4W, T 0800 009 4540 E searches@thameswater.co.uk I www.thameswater-propertysearches.co.uk

Payment Terms and Conditions

All sales are made in accordance with Thames Water Utilities Limited (TWUL) standard terms and conditions unless previously agreed in writing.

- 1. All goods remain in the property of Thames Water Utilities Ltd until full payment is received.
- 2. Provision of service will be in accordance with all legal requirements and published TWUL policies.
- 3. All invoices are strictly due for payment within 14 days of the date of the invoice. Any other terms must be accepted/agreed in writing prior to provision of goods or service or will be held to be invalid.
- 4. Penalty interest may be invoked by TWUL in the event of unjustifiable payment delay. Interest charges will be in line with UK Statute Law 'The Late Payment of Commercial Debts (Interest) Act 1998'.
- 5. Interest will be charged in line with current Court Interest Charges, if legal action is taken.
- 6. A charge may be made at the discretion of the company for increased administration costs.

A copy of Thames Water's standard terms and conditions are available from the Commercial Billing Team (cashoperations@thameswater.co.uk).

We publish several Codes of Practice including a guaranteed standards scheme. You can obtain copies of these leaflets by calling us on 0800 316 9800.

If you are unhappy with our service, you can speak to your original goods or customer service provider. If you are still not satisfied with the outcome provided, we will refer the matter to a Senior Manager for resolution who will provide you with a response.

If you are still dissatisfied with our final response, and in certain circumstances such as you are buying a residential property or commercial property within certain parameters, The Property Ombudsman will investigate your case and give an independent view. The Ombudsman can award compensation of up to $\pounds 25,000$ to you if he finds that you have suffered actual financial loss and/or aggravation, distress, or inconvenience because of your search not keeping to the Code. Further information can be obtained by visiting www.tpos.co.uk or by sending an email to admin@tpos.co.uk.

If the Goods or Services covered by this invoice falls under the regulation of the 1991 Water Industry Act, and you remain dissatisfied you can refer your complaint to Consumer Council for Water on 0300 034 2222 or write to them at Consumer Council for Water, 1st Floor, Victoria Square House, Victoria Square, Birmingham, B2 4AJ.

Ways to pay your bill

Credit Card	BACS Payment	Telephone Banking
Please Call 0800 009 4540 quoting your invoice number starting CBA or ADS	Account number 90478703 Sort code 60-00-01 A remittance advice must be sent to: Thames Water Utilities Ltd., PO Box 3189, Slough SL1 4WW. or email ps.billing@thameswater.co.uk	By calling your bank and quoting: Account number 90478703 Sort code 60-00-01 and your invoice number

Thames Water Utilities Ltd Registered in England & Wales No. 2366661 Registered Office Clearwater Court, Vastern Rd, Reading, Berks, RG1 8DB.



APPENDIX III BGS Borehole Log





	Char	nge of Str	eta	5.P.T.	Sumples		Water	
Description of Strata	Legand	Depth	Roduced Love i	C.P.T. H-value	Depth	TYPE	Level	
		n	6				n	
TOPSOIL CORALLIAN BEDS Firm light brown slightly silty CLAY with some fine medium gravel		ղերգիստեստիու			0.50	в		
 slightly sandy with occasional limestone gravel 		1.00		11	1.00	J		
in the start graver				14	1.20	U38		
					1.50	J		
- sand content increasing - limestone parting		ահագետվունույլունո			2.00	ı L		
		nhurturlandandanda		Ģ	66)			
	60	unternheinternheinternheiternte						
		5.00						







APPENDIX IV Hydraulic Calculations



Runoff estimation approach

Greenfield runoff rate estimation for sites

www.uksuds.com | Greenfield runoff tool

Calculated by:	Bojidar Boiadjiev	Site Deta	ils
Site name:	Hedges Farm	Latitude:	51.80078° N
Site location:		Longitude:	1.07499° W
This is an estimatio practice criteria in	n of the greenfield runoff rates that a line with Environment Agency guidanc	are used to meet normal best ce "Rainfall runoff management Reference:	1271204811

practice criteria in line with Environment Agency guidance "Rainfall runoff management **Refer** for developments", SC030219 (2013), the SuDS Manual C753 (Ciria, 2015) and the nonstatutory standards for SuDS (Defra, 2015). This information on greenfield runoff rates may be the basis for setting consents for the drainage of surface water runoff from **Date:** sites.

0.85

2.3

3.19

3.74

Growth curve factor 1 year.

Growth curve factor 30

Growth curve factor 100

Growth curve factor 200

years:

years:

years:

0.85

2.3

3.19

3.74

IH124

Nov 07 2023 09:37

Site characteristi	CS		Notes
Total site area (ha): 0.173			(1) Is Q _{BAR} < 2.0 l/s/ha?
Methodology			
Q _{BAR} estimation method:	Calculate from S	PR and SAAR	When Q _{BAR} is < 2.0 l/s/ha then limiting discharge rates are set at 2.0 l/s/ha.
SPR estimation method:	Calculate from S	OIL type	
Soil characteristic	CS _{Default}	Edited	(2) Are flow rates < 5.0 l/s?
SOIL type:	4	4	
HOST class:	N/A	N/A	Where flow rates are less than 5.0 l/s consent for discharge is usually set at 5.0 l/s if blockage
SPR/SPRHOST:	0.47	0.47	from vegetation and other materials is possible.
Hydrological characteristics	Default 624	Edited	Lower consent flow rates may be set where the blockage risk is addressed by using appropriate drainage elements.
Hydrological region:	6	6	(3) Is SPR/SPRHOST ≤ 0.3?

Where groundwater levels are low enough the use of soakaways to avoid discharge offsite would normally be preferred for disposal of surface water runoff.

Greenfield runoff rates Default

Q _{BAR} (I/s):	0.73	0.73
1 in 1 year (l/s):	0.62	0.62
1 in 30 years (l/s):	1.68	1.68
1 in 100 year (l/s):	2.33	2.33
1 in 200 years (l/s):	2.73	2.73

This report was produced using the greenfield runoff tool developed by HR Wallingford and available at www.uksuds.com. The use of this tool is subject to the UK SuDS terms and conditions and licence agreement , which can both be found at www.uksuds.com/terms-and-conditions.htm. The outputs from this tool are estimates of greenfield runoff rates. The use of these results is the responsibility of the users of this tool. No liability will be accepted by HR Wallingford, the Environment Agency, CEH, Hydrosolutions or any other organisation for the use of this data in the design or operational characteristics of any drainage scheme.

Edited

Meridian Civils

CAUSEWAY



1			Nodes		
Area	T of E	Cover	Diameter	Easting	

Name	Area (ha)	T of E (mins)	Cover Level (m)	Diameter (mm)	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	Depth (m)
1	0.017	4.00	10.000	450	463840.360	211757.676	1.350
4			10.000	450	463858.606	211757.805	1.531

<u>Links</u>

Name 1.000	US Node 1	DS Node 4	Length (m) 18.246	ks (mm) / n 0.600	US IL (m) 8.650	DS IL (m) 8.469	Fall (m) 0.181	Slope (1:X) 100.8	Dia (mm) 150	T of C (mins) 4.30	Rain (mm/hr) 50.0
	Nam	e Ve	l Cap	Flow U	S C	os Σ	Area	Σ Add	Pro	Pro	

	(m/s)	(I/s)	(I/s)	Depth	Depth	(ha)	Inflow	Depth	Velocity
				(m)	(m)		(I/s)	(mm)	(m/s)
1.000	1.001	17.7	3.2	1.200	1.381	0.017	0.0	43	0.760

Simulation Settings

Rainfall Methodology	FEH-22	Analysis Speed	Detailed	Additional Storage (m³/ha)	20.0
Summer CV	0.950	Skip Steady State	х	Check Discharge Rate(s)	х
Winter CV	0.950	Drain Down Time (mins)	240	Check Discharge Volume	х

Storm Durations

15 30 60

Return Period (years)	Climate Change (CC %)	Additional Area (A %)	Additional Flow (Q %)
2	0	10	0
30	0	0	0
100	0	0	0



Results for 2 year +10% A Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 100.00%

Node Event		US Node	Pe (m	eak ins)	Level (m)	Dept (m)	h Inflov (I/s)	v Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
15 minute summ	ner	1		10	8.696	0.04	6 3.	4 0.0199	0.0000	OK
15 minute summ	ner	4		10	8.513	0.04	4 3.	4 0.0000	0.0000	ОК
Link Event (Upstream Depth)	US Nod	Li le	nk	DS Node	Out e (l,	flow /s)	Velocity (m/s)	Flow/Cap	Link Vol (m³)	Discharge Vol (m ³)
15 minute summer	1	1.0	000	4		3.4	0.765	0.192	0.0811	1.3



Results for 30 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 100.00%

Node Event		US Node	Pe (m	eak iins)	Level (m)	Dep (m	th)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
15 minute sumn	ner	1		10	8.728	0.07	78	8.9	0.0322	0.0000	ОК
15 minute sumn	ner	4		10	8.544	0.07	75	8.9	0.0000	0.0000	ОК
Link Event (Upstream Depth)	US Nod	i Li le	nk	DS Node	Ou ^r e (l	tflow I/s)	Vel (n	ocity n/s)	Flow/Cap	Link Vol (m³)	Discharge Vol (m ³)
15 minute summer	1	1.(000	4		8.9	().982	0.503	0.1654	3.4



Results for 100 year Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 100.00%

Node Event		US Node	Pe (m	eak ins)	Level (m)	Dept (m)	h Infl (I/	ow ′s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
15 minute sumn	ner	1		10	8.743	0.09	3 1	1.5	0.0380	0.0000	ОК
15 minute sumn	ner	4		10	8.557	0.08	8 1	1.5	0.0000	0.0000	ОК
Link Event (Upstream Depth)	US Nod	i Li le	nk	DS Node	Ou e (tflow I/s)	Velocit (m/s)	y F	low/Cap	Link Vol (m³)	Discharge Vol (m³)
15 minute summer	1	1.0	000	4		11.5	1.04	0	0.650	0.2017	4.5





Page 1 Proposed Network



<u>Nodes</u>

Name	Area (ha)	T of E (mins)	Cover Level (m)	Diameter (mm)	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	Depth (m)
1			83.700	600	463853.729	211759.207	0.650
PP2	0.094	4.00	83.600		463857.687	211759.789	0.750
2			83.500	1200	463923.757	211768.613	0.650
3			83.500		463926.929	211766.856	0.675
PP1	0.079	4.00	83.800		463828.688	211755.286	0.750
Basin	0.007	4.00	83.900		463825.031	211748.611	0.800
4			83.800	1200	463829.084	211751.948	0.750

<u>Links</u>

Name	US	DS	Length	ks (mm) /	US IL	DS IL	Fall	Slope	Dia	T of C	Rain
	Node	Node	(m)	n	(m)	(m)	(m)	(1:X)	(mm)	(mins)	(mm/hr)
1.000	Basin	4	5.250	0.600	83.100	83.050	0.050	105.0	150	4.09	50.0
1.001	4	PP1	3.361	0.600	83.050	83.050	0.000	0.0	150	4.15	50.0
1.002	PP1	1	25.346	0.600	83.050	83.050	0.000	0.0	150	4.57	50.0
1.003	1	PP2	4.001	0.600	83.050	82.850	0.200	20.0	150	4.60	50.0
1.004	PP2	2	66.810	0.600	82.850	82.850	0.000	0.0	150	5.71	50.0
1.005	2	3	3.626	0.600	82.850	82.825	0.025	145.0	150	5.78	50.0

Na	me	Vel	Сар	Flow	US	DS	Σ Area	Σ Add	Pro	Pro
		(m/s)	(I/s)	(I/s)	Depth	Depth	(ha)	Inflow	Depth	Velocity
					(m)	(m)		(I/s)	(mm)	(m/s)
1.0	00	0.980	17.3	1.3	0.650	0.600	0.007	0.0	28	0.576
1.0	01	1.000	17.7	1.3	0.600	0.600	0.007	0.0	0	~
1.0	02	1.000	17.7	16.4	0.600	0.500	0.086	0.0	0	~
1.0	03	2.262	40.0	16.4	0.500	0.600	0.086	0.0	67	2.155
1.0	04	1.000	17.7	34.2	0.600	0.500	0.180	0.0	0	~
1.0	05	0.832	14.7	34.2	0.500	0.525	0.180	0.0	150	0.848

Simulation Settings

Rainfall Methodology	FEH-22	Analysis Speed	Detailed	Additional Storage (m³/ha)	20.0
Summer CV	0.950	Skip Steady State	х	Check Discharge Rate(s)	х
Winter CV	0.950	Drain Down Time (mins)	240	Check Discharge Volume	х

Storm Durations 15 30 60 120 180 240 360 480 600 720 960 1440

Return Period (years)	Climate Change (CC %)	Additional Area (A %)	Additional Flow (Q %)
2	0	10	0
30	0	10	0
100	40	10	0

Node 1 Online Orifice Control

Flap Valve	x	Replaces Downstream Link	\checkmark	Diameter (m)	0.020
Downstream Link	1.003	Invert Level (m)	83.050	Discharge Coefficient	0.600

CAUSEWAY 🛟	Meridian Civils	File: MC0363-Net Network: Storm BB 07/11/2023	twork.pfd	Page 2 Proposed Network
	Node 2	Online Hydro-Brake [®] Control	<u>l</u>	
F Downstr Replaces Downstr Invert Design D Design I	lap Valve x eam Link 1.005 eam Link √ Level (m) 82.850 epth (m) 0.500 Flow (I/s) 2.0	Objective Sump Available Product Number Min Outlet Diameter (m) Min Node Diameter (mm)	(HE) Minimise √ CTL-SHE-0075- 0.100 1200	upstream storage 2000-0500-2000
	Node PF	P1 Carpark Storage Structure	<u>.</u>	
Base Inf Coefficient Side Inf Coefficient Safety Pr	(m/hr) 0.00000 (m/hr) 0.00000 Factor 2.0 prosity 0.30	Invert Level (m) 8 Time to half empty (mins) Width (m) 5 Length (m) 8	83.050 S 5.000 Inf 85.000	Slope (1:X) 9999.0 Depth (m) 0.500 Depth (m)
	Node PF	P2 Carpark Storage Structure	<u>!</u>	
Base Inf Coefficient (Side Inf Coefficient (Safety Pc	m/hr) 0.00000 m/hr) 0.00000 T Factor 2.0 rosity 0.30	Invert Level (m) 8 Fime to half empty (mins) Width (m) 4 Length (m) 1	2.850 .000 Inf .14.000	Slope (1:X) 9999.0 Depth (m) 0.500 Depth (m)
	Node Basir	n Depth/Area Storage Structu	ure	
Base Inf Coefficien Side Inf Coefficien	t (m/hr) 0.00000 t (m/hr) 0.00000	Safety Factor 2.0 Porosity 1.00 Tir	Invert I me to half emp	Level (m) 83.100 ty (mins)
Depth (m) 0.000	Area Inf Area Do (m²) (m²) (15.0 0.0 0	epth Area Inf Area E (m) (m²) (m²) 0.800 70.0 0.0	Depth Area (m) (m²) 0.801 0.0	Inf Area (m²) 0.0





US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
1	570	83.179	0.129	0.3	0.0365	0.0000	ОК
PP2	224	82.953	0.103	4.5	13.1804	0.0000	ОК
2	224	82.939	0.089	1.9	0.1005	0.0000	ОК
3	1	82.825	0.000	0.6	0.0000	0.0000	ОК
PP1	570	83.179	0.129	2.1	16.1906	0.0000	ОК
Basin	555	83.179	0.079	0.3	1.4167	0.0000	ОК
4	570	83.179	0.129	0.1	0.1461	0.0000	ОК
	US Node 1 PP2 2 3 PP1 Basin 4	US Peak Node (mins) 1 570 PP2 224 2 224 3 1 PP1 570 Basin 555 4 570	US Peak Level Node (mins) (m) 1 570 83.179 PP2 224 82.953 2 224 82.939 3 1 82.825 PP1 570 83.179 Basin 555 83.179 4 570 83.179	USPeakLevelDepthNode(mins)(m)(m)157083.1790.129PP222482.9530.103222482.9390.0893182.8250.000PP157083.1790.129Basin55583.1790.079457083.1790.129	USPeakLevelDepthInflowNode(mins)(m)(m)(l/s)157083.1790.1290.3PP222482.9530.1034.5222482.9390.0891.93182.8250.0000.6PP157083.1790.1292.1Basin55583.1790.0790.3457083.1790.1290.1	USPeakLevelDepthInflowNodeNode(mins)(m)(m)(l/s)Vol (m³)157083.1790.1290.30.0365PP222482.9530.1034.513.1804222482.9390.0891.90.10053182.8250.0000.60.0000PP157083.1790.1292.116.1906Basin55583.1790.1290.11.4167457083.1790.1290.10.1461	US Peak Level Depth Inflow Node Flood Node (mins) (m) (m) (l/s) Vol (m3) (m3) 1 570 83.179 0.129 0.3 0.0365 0.0000 PP2 224 82.953 0.103 4.5 13.1804 0.0000 2 224 82.939 0.089 1.9 0.1005 0.0000 3 1 82.825 0.000 0.6 0.0000 0.0000 PP1 570 83.179 0.129 2.1 16.1906 0.0000 Basin 555 83.179 0.079 0.3 1.4167 0.0000 4 570 83.179 0.129 0.1 0.1461 0.0000

Link Event (Upstream Depth)	US Node	Link	DS Node	Outflow (I/s)	Velocity (m/s)	Flow/Cap	Link Vol (m³)	Discharge Vol (m ³)
720 minute summer	1	Orifice	PP2	0.3				
360 minute summer	PP2	1.004	2	1.9	0.169	0.105	0.7932	
360 minute summer	2	Hydro-Brake [®]	3	1.9				25.6
720 minute summer	PP1	1.002	1	0.3	0.057	0.016	0.4087	
720 minute summer	Basin	1.000	4	0.1	0.049	0.006	0.0671	
720 minute summer	4	1.001	PP1	0.1	-0.040	0.005	0.0542	



Results for 30 year +10% A Critical Storm Duration. Lowest mass balance: 99.71%

Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
600 minute winter	1	585	83.335	0.285	0.4	0.0807	0.0000	SURCHARGED
240 minute summer	PP2	192	83.098	0.248	12.9	32.9050	0.0000	SURCHARGED
240 minute summer	2	192	83.089	0.239	2.4	0.2701	0.0000	SURCHARGED
15 minute summer	3	1	82.825	0.000	2.0	0.0000	0.0000	ОК
600 minute winter	PP1	585	83.335	0.285	3.3	36.4778	0.0000	SURCHARGED
600 minute winter	Basin	585	83.335	0.235	0.5	5.4807	0.0000	SURCHARGED
600 minute winter	4	585	83.335	0.285	0.2	0.3228	0.0000	SURCHARGED

Link Event (Upstream Depth)	US Node	Link	DS Node	Outflow (I/s)	Velocity (m/s)	Flow/Cap	Link Vol (m³)	Discharge Vol (m ³)
600 minute winter	1	Orifice	PP2	0.4				
240 minute summer	PP2	1.004	2	2.4	0.183	0.137	1.1762	
240 minute summer	2	Hydro-Brake [®]	3	2.0				45.4
600 minute winter	PP1	1.002	1	0.4	0.060	0.025	0.4462	
600 minute winter	Basin	1.000	4	-0.2	0.102	-0.012	0.0924	
600 minute winter	4	1.001	PP1	-0.2	0.054	-0.013	0.0592	



Node Event	US Node	Peak (mins)	Level (m)	Depth (m)	Inflow (I/s)	Node Vol (m³)	Flood (m³)	Status
720 minute winter	1	705	83.602	0.552	0.5	0.1562	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
240 minute winter	PP2	232	83.347	0.497	15.3	67.4089	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
240 minute winter	2	232	83.338	0.488	2.3	0.5518	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
15 minute summer	3	1	82.825	0.000	2.0	0.0000	0.0000	ОК
720 minute winter	PP1	705	83.602	0.552	5.1	64.5261	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
720 minute winter	Basin	705	83.602	0.502	1.0	16.2902	0.0000	FLOOD RISK
720 minute winter	4	705	83.602	0.552	0.6	0.6243	0.0000	FLOOD RISK

Link Event (Upstream Depth)	US Node	Link	DS Node	Outflow (I/s)	Velocity (m/s)	Flow/Cap	Link Vol (m³)	Discharge Vol (m ³)
720 minute winter	1	Orifice	PP2	0.5	(, •)		,	,
240 minute winter	PP2	1.004	2	2.3	0.179	0.132	1.1762	
240 minute winter	2	Hydro-Brake [®]	3	2.0				46.6
720 minute winter	PP1	1.002	1	0.5	0.065	0.029	0.4462	
720 minute winter	Basin	1.000	4	-0.6	0.098	-0.035	0.0924	
720 minute winter	4	1.001	PP1	-0.6	-0.058	-0.037	0.0592	



APPENDIX V Surface Water Drainage Strategy Plan

