



Date: 11/11/2022

Our reference: 029555/001/RH

School Lane, Pirbright,
Woking, Surrey, GU24 0JN

01483 795449

By email: planningenquiries@guildford.gov.uk

planning@surreywt.org.uk
surreywildlifetrust.org/planning

Dear Jo,

Planning reference: 22/P/00620

Proposals: Erection of two buildings comprising 4 residential units following demolition of existing outbuilding, removal of hardstanding and associated works

Site Address: Land adjacent to, 2 Heath Barn Cottages, Send Marsh Road, Send, GU23 7DQ

Thank you for consulting Surrey Wildlife Trust Ecology Planning Advice Service with regards to the above planning application. Our advice is restricted to ecological issues, and does not prejudice further representation Surrey Wildlife Trust may make as a non-statutory organisation on related, or other, issues. We also do not comment on whether a planning application should be granted, or refused, but rather provide a technical review of the ecological information that has been submitted to ensure that all ecological aspects have been appropriately considered prior to determination or discharging of conditions.

The Local Planning Authority (LPA) has a duty to conserve biodiversity in line with the planning and legislative context. Relevant legislation and planning policies are detailed in Appendix 1. We have reviewed the relevant application documents submitted on the planning portal, and other relevant publicly available information, and assessed these against published best practice guidance to determine whether submitted information was sufficient in order for the LPA to assess the planning application. Following this, we assessed the proposals against relevant legislation and planning policy and recommended appropriate course of action to ensure the LPA is fulfilling its duty to conserve biodiversity.

This consultation response is valid for one year. Should further project information or amended designs be provided or submitted to the planning portal, then we may need to update our response accordingly.

We have reviewed the following reports:

- Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (aLyne Ecology, 2022)
- Planning Statement (and proposal drawings).

Summary of Recommendations

A summary of our advice and recommendations is provided in Table 1. The detail is provided further in this document. Please let us know if you would like to discuss any of these further.

Table 1 Summary of Recommendations Table



Planning Stage	Action Recommended (Y/N)	Recommendation
Prior to determination	Y	More detailed habitat assessment, impact assessment and mitigation proposal for reptiles and other species of principal importance,
	Y	Biodiversity Net Gain Feasibility Assessment
Prior to commencement	Y	Landscape and Ecology Management Plan
General Recommendation	Sensitive Lighting Scheme	

General

aLyne Ecology has scoped out likely adverse impacts upon protected sites, habitats, and species, so long as the recommendations provided in the report are followed. For protected sites, habitats, and some protected species such as bats and great crested newt this appears to be suitable, however, we would advise that the LPA seeks further information in relation reptiles and other potential Species of Principal Importance which may be present on-site.

Section 7.4 of the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal provides recommendations for an Ecological Clerk of Works.

Appendix 2 – Site Photographs 1 and 2 show suitable reptile habitat on the proposed development site. For example, in Photograph 2, there is suitable habitat around the base of the building and the background area to the right of the building appears to show habitat suitable for reptiles. The above referenced report proposes that avoidance of adverse impacts to reptiles will be achieved through implementation of an Ecological Clerk of Works.

In the absence of appropriate population size and diversity survey data, the applicant cannot know what mitigation is appropriate. Any mitigation plan implemented is not evidence based and cannot be demonstrated to be effective or appropriate. Section 7 of the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal does not provide the actual methodology for the clearance of habitat to reduce the likelihood of killing/injuring reptiles. It does not include recommendations for approach, timing or where reptiles will be moved to or pushed to during habitat clearance. This is the same for Stag Beetle, Reptiles, Common Toad and European Hedgehog

We would advise that prior to determination, further justification of the approach, particularly for reptiles should be provided by the Applicant. We would advise that a more detailed impact assessment for reptiles should be provided to evaluate the actual development proposals impact upon suitable reptile habitat. For example, will the scrub habitats be removed, will all baseline habitat be removed, when would removal take place, if found where will reptiles (and other species be moved to), is that habitat suitable to immediately support these species.

We strongly advise that no suitable habitat for reptile is cleared between November and March when reptiles are likely to be inactive or hibernating. An indicative programme for habitat clearance should be submitted to provide confidence that the project will be carried out in line with the advice of a suitably qualified ecologist. The clearance of suitable habitat between November and March increases the likelihood of killing/injuring reptiles (and Common Toad).

The LPA is required to know the presence/likely absence of protected species and the extent that they will be impacted by a development proposal. British Standards BS42020:2013 states

“The work involved in preparing and implementing all ecological surveys, impact assessments and measures for avoidance, mitigation, compensation and enhancement should be proportionate to the predicted degree of risk to biodiversity and to the nature and scale of the proposed development” and “the decision-maker should only request supporting information and conservation measures that are relevant, necessary and material to the application in question. Similarly, the decision-maker and their consultees should ensure that any comments and advice made over an application are also proportionate.” **However, based on the current submission, there does not appear to have been a detailed assessment of the development proposals against the potential for protected species to be present, and at least outline strategies for carrying out the project in line with legislation and planning policy.**

Biodiversity Net Gain

Section 9 of the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal states that the Baseline Biodiversity Units of the proposed development site is 0.78 Units. The Development Impact is presented as being ‘Not Known’ which is a limitation of the biodiversity net gain assessment.

The Summary table in the report has presented measures which should be implemented on site to achieve biodiversity net gain. It states that should the measures be adopted then biodiversity units would total more than 0.78. The Applicant has not submitted the biodiversity net gain metric calculation showing this scenario and we note that a species-rich hedgerow and wildflower seed mix for garden spaces has not been shown on any design drawings.

We would advise that prior to determination, the Applicant is required to at least provide a feasibility assessment, based on the proposed development design and a biodiversity metric assessment, to demonstrate to the LPA that the project has the potential to provide a net gain in biodiversity units.

Should the LPA accept this feasibility assessment, then should it be minded to grant permission for the proposal, the applicant should be required to implement the development only in accordance with an appropriately detailed Landscape and Ecology Management Plan. This document will need to be submitted to and approved by the LPA in writing, prior to the commencement of the development

This document should be submitted to and approved in writing by the LPA prior to the commencement of development. The LEMP should be based on the proposed impact avoidance, mitigation and enhancement measures specified in the above referenced report and should include, but not be limited to following:

- a) Description and evaluation of features to be managed
- b) Ecological trends and constraints on site that might influence management
- c) Aims and objectives of management
- d) Appropriate management options for achieving aims and objectives
- e) Prescriptions for management actions, together with a plan of management compartments
- f) Preparation of a work schedule (including an annual work plan capable of being rolled forward over a five-year period
- g) Details of the body or organisation responsible for implementation of the plan
- h) Ongoing monitoring and remedial measures
- i) Monitoring strategy, including details of how contingencies and/or remedial action will be identified, agreed and implemented so that the development still delivers the fully functioning biodiversity objectives of the originally approved scheme.

Sensitive Lighting

Nocturnal species including bats are likely to be active at or in close proximity to the development site. These species are sensitive to any increase in artificial lighting of their roosting and foraging places and commuting routes.

Paragraph 185 of the NPPF (2021) states that planning policies and decisions should “limit the impact of light pollution from artificial light on ... dark landscapes and nature conservation.”

The applicant should ensure that the proposed development will result in no net increase in external artificial lighting at the development site across suitable bat habitat, in order to comply with above referenced legislation and the recommendations in BCT & ILP (2018) Guidance Note 08/18. Bats and artificial lighting in the UK. Bats and the Built Environment. Bat Conservation Trust, London & Institution of Lighting Professionals, Rugby”.

I hope this information is helpful in assisting your consideration of the application. Please contact planning@surreywt.org.uk if you require any further clarifications with regards to the above.

Kind regards,

Author: Robert Hutchinson BSc (Hons) MSc MCIEEM – Manager of SWT Ecology Planning Advice Service

Appendix 1: National Planning Policy and Legislation

Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended)

Provides for the protection of Natura 2000 sites (SACs, SPAs and Ramsar sites), European Protected Species and habitats. European Protected Species are protected from:

- Deliberate capture, injury or killing.
- Deliberate disturbance of a European Protected Species, such that it impairs their ability to breed, reproduce or rear their young, hibernate or migrate or significantly affect their local distribution or abundance.
- Deliberately take or destroy effect.
- Damage or destroy a breeding site or resting place.
- Keep, transport, sell or exchange any live, dead or part of a European Protected Species.

European Protected Species include, but are not limited to:

- Great crested newt
- Natterjack toad
- Otter
- Smooth snake
- Sand lizard
- All bat species
- Hazel dormouse

The LPA should be aware of its legal duty under Regulation 9(3) of Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017, as amended, which states that “*a competent authority in exercising any of its functions, must have regard to the requirements of the Directives so far as they may be affected by the exercise of those function*”.

Also, under Regulation 55 (9b) of the above regulations, the LPA must apply the following three tests when deciding whether to grant planning permission where a Protected Species (bats) may be harmed, in line with of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017, as amended.

- The activity must be for imperative reasons of overriding public interest or for public health and safety;
- There must be no satisfactory alternative;
- Favourable conservation status of the species must be maintained.

Natural England has stated that they would expect these three tests to be adequately considered by the LPA before planning permission is granted. Natural England will require evidence from the applicant that the LPA has considered the three tests and how they were met, before a mitigation licence can be issued. Where a mitigation licence is required to avoid breach of legislation, development cannot proceed even where a valid planning permission is granted.

Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended)

Key piece of legislation consolidating existing wildlife legislation to incorporate the requirements of the Bern Convention and Birds Directive. It includes additional protection measures for species listed under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended) and includes a list of species protected under the Act. It also provides for the designation and protection of Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI).

Development which would adversely affect a SSSI is not acceptable except only in special cases, where the importance of a development outweighs the impact on the SSSI when planning conditions or obligations would be used to mitigate the impact. Developments likely to impact on a SSSI will likely require an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA).

The Impact Risk Zones (IRZs) dataset is a GIS tool which details zones around each SSSI according to the particular sensitivities of the features for which it is notified and specifies the types of development that have the potential to have adverse impacts. Natural England uses the IRZs to make an initial assessment of the likely risk of impacts on SSSIs and to quickly determine which consultations are unlikely to pose risks and which require more detailed consideration. Local Planning Authorities (LPAs) have a duty to consult Natural England before granting planning permission on any development that is in or likely to affect a SSSI.

Further information on specific legislation relating to species protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) is detailed below, under Protection of Protected Species and Habitats.

Environment Act (2021)

The Environment Act (2021) achieved Royal Assent in November 2021.

The Environment Act (2021) makes a provision for biodiversity net gain to be a condition of planning permission in England, however, it is not anticipated that a 10% biodiversity net gain will be mandatory until 2023. When it does become mandatory, planning applications will need to demonstrate a 10% biodiversity net gain can be met. A biodiversity net gain plan must be submitted and must include:

- (a) information about the steps taken or to be taken to minimise the adverse effect of the development on the biodiversity of the onsite habitat and any other habitat
- (b) the pre-development biodiversity value of the onsite habitat,
- (c) the post-development biodiversity value of the onsite habitat,
- (d) any registered offsite biodiversity gain allocated to the development and the biodiversity value of that gain in relation to the development,
- (e) any biodiversity credits purchased for the development.

It should be noted however, that the NPPF (2021) as set out below does require a project to provide a measurable net gain for biodiversity.

Countryside and Right of Way Act 2000

Amends and strengthens the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended). It also details habitats and species for which conservation measures should be promoted.

Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006

Section 40 of the Act places a duty on local planning authorities to conserve and enhance biodiversity in England whilst carrying out their normal functions. Section 41 comprises a list of Habitats of Principal Importance (HPIs) and Species of Principal Importance (SPIs) which should be considered.

The LPA will need to have particular regard to any relevant local nature recovery strategies, and any relevant species conservation strategy or protected site strategy prepared by Natural England.

Hedgerows Regulations 1997

Under these regulations it is an offence to intentionally or recklessly remove, or cause or permits another person to remove, a hedgerow. Important hedgerows are defined in Section

4 of the Regulations. This includes hedgerows that have existed for over 30 years or satisfies at least one criteria listed in Part II of Schedule 1.

Wild Mammals (Protection) Act 1996

Under this act wild mammals are protected from the intentional unnecessary suffering by crushing and asphyxiation.

ODPM Circular 06/05: Biodiversity and Geological Conservation – Statutory Obligations and Their Impact within the Planning System (2005)

The Government's Office of the Deputy Prime Minister (ODPM) Circular 06/05 (ODPM 2005) presents the legal requirement for planning authorities with regard to statutory designated sites. Planning approval should not be granted where impacts to statutory designated sites that are not connected to the site maintenance for nature conservation, or will have a significant effect on the site's conservation objectives and/or affect the site's integrity. Permission may be granted if the proposed development overrides public interest.

The presence of a protected species is a material planning consideration. The Circular clearly outlines that it is essential that the presence or otherwise of protected species, and the extent that they may be affected by the proposed development, is established before planning permission is granted. Otherwise, all relevant considerations may not have been addressed in making the decision.

Biodiversity Opportunity Areas (BOAs)

In order to assist in delivering the government's Biodiversity 2020 strategy, the Surrey Nature Partnership has identified seven BOAs where improved habitat management, habitat restoration and recreation of HPs is the key focus to enhancing the connectivity of habitats for SPIs to deliver biodiversity objectives at a landscape scale. The location of these is presented in the South East Biodiversity Strategy's website. The project promotes a collaborative approach across a number of regional and local organisations.

Developments within or adjacent to BOAs should be designed in consideration of the BOA objectives, which are provided at:

- <https://surreynaturepartnership.org.uk/our-work/>

The BOAs include:

- Thames Basin Heaths comprising Chobham Common North & Wentworth Heaths, Chobham South Heaths, Colony Bog, Bagshot Heath & Deepcut Heaths, Ash, Brookwood & Whitmoor Heaths, Woking Heaths;
- Thames Basin Lowlands comprising Wanborough & Normandy, Woods & Meadows, Clandon to Bookham Parkland, Esher & Oxshott Commons, Ashted & Epsom Wood Pasture, Princes Coverts & Horton Country Park;
- Thames Valley comprising Windsor Great Park, Runnymede Meadows & Slope, Staines Moor & Shortwood Common, Thorpe & Shepperton, Molesey & Hersham;
- North Downs comprising North Downs Scarp; The Hog's Back, North Downs Scarp and Dip; Guildford to the Mole Gap, North Downs Scarp; Mole Gap to Reigate, North Downs; Epsom Downs, North Downs; Banstead Wood & Chipstead Downs, North Downs Scarp; Caterham, North Downs Scarp; Woldingham,
- Wealden Greensands comprising Puttenham & Crooksbury, Farnham Heaths, Thursley, Hankley & Frensham Heaths, Devil's punch-bowl & Hindhead Heaths, Hascombe, Winkworth & Hydon's Heath and Woodland, Blackheath, Chilworth &

Farley Heaths, Winterfold & Hurtwood Greensand Ridge, Leith Hill, Wotton, Abinger & Holmwood Greensand Ridge, Limpsfield Heaths, Reigate Heaths, Holmthorpe & Bay Pond

- Low Weald comprising Chiddingfold & West Weald Woodlands, Cranleigh Woodlands, Wallis Wood, Vann Lake & Ockley Woodland, Glover's Wood & Edolph's Copse, Newdigate Wood, Earlswood & Redhill Commons;
- River Valleys comprising Hogsmill, Eden Brook, River Blackwater, River Wey, River Mole, River Thames,

Protection of protected species and habitats

Amphibians

Natterjack toad, pool frog and great crested newt are protected under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended). They are also afforded additional protection under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended).

Natterjack toad, common toad, great crested newt and northern pool frog are also SPIs.

Reptiles

Smooth snake and sand lizard are protected under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended). They are afforded additional protection under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended).

Adder, grass snake, common lizard and slow-worm are all protected from killing and injury under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended). All UK reptile species are SPIs.

Birds

All wild birds are protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended). This includes damage and destruction of their nests whilst in use, or construction. Species listed under Schedule 1 of the Act, such as barn owl, are afforded protection from disturbance during the nesting season.

The following 50 bird species are SPIs: lesser redpoll, aquatic warbler, marsh warbler, skylark, white-fronted goose, tree pipit, scaup, bittern, dark-bellied brent goose, stone-curlew, nightjar, hen harrier, northern harrier, hawfinch, corncrake, cuckoo, Bewick's swan, lesser spotted woodpecker, corn bunting, cirl bunting, yellowhammer, reed bunting, red grouse, herring gull, black-tailed godwit, linnet, twite, Savi's warbler, grasshopper warbler, woodlark, common scoter, yellow wagtail, spotted flycatcher, curlew, house sparrow, tree sparrow, grey partridge, wood warbler, willow tit, marsh tit, dunnoek, Balearic shearwater, bullfinch, roseate tern, turtle dove, starling, black grouse, song thrush, ring ouzel and lapwing.

Badger

Badger is protected under the Protection of Badgers Act 1992. Under this legislation it is an offence to kill or injure a badger; to damage, destroy or block access to a badger sett; or to disturb badger in its sett. The Act also states the conditions for the Protection of Badgers licence requirements.

Bats

All bat species are protected under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended), as detailed above. Bats are further protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended), making it an offence to:

- Deliberately or recklessly damage or destroy any structure or place which bat(s) use for shelter or protection.
- Disturb bat(s) while occupying a structure or place which it uses for shelter or protection.
- Obstruct access to any structure or place which they use for shelter or protection.

Furthermore, seven bat species are SPIs, covered under Section 41 of the NERC Act 2006. These include western barbastelle, Bechstein's, noctule, soprano pipistrelle, brown long-eared, lesser horseshoe and greater horseshoe.

Hazel dormouse

Hazel dormouse is protected under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended). It is afforded additional protection under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended), including obstruction to a place of shelter or rest.

Hazel dormouse is also a SPI.

Hedgerow

Under the Hedgerows Regulations 1997 it is against the law to remove or destroy certain hedgerows without permission from the LPA, which are also the enforcement body for offences created by the Regulations. LPA permission is normally required before removing hedges that are at least 20 m in length, more than 30 years old and contain certain plant species. The authority will assess the importance of the hedgerow using criteria set out in the regulations. The regulations **do not** apply to hedgerows within the curtilage of, or marking a boundary of the curtilage of, a dwelling house.

Hedgerow is a HPI.

Otter

Otter is protected under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended) and is afforded additional protection under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended). Otter is also a SPI.

Water vole

Water vole is fully protected from capture, killing or injury; damage, destruction or blocking access to a place of shelter; disturbance whilst in a place of shelter or possessing, selling any part of a water vole, dead or alive under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended).

Water vole is also a SPI.

Other mammals

West European hedgehog, brown hare, mountain hare, pine marten, harvest mouse, polecat and red squirrel are all SPIs.

The following mammals are listed under Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended): wildcat, brown hare (Schedule 5A), mountain hare (Schedule 5A), pine marten and red squirrel.

Invertebrates

Fifty-six terrestrial and freshwater invertebrate species are listed under Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended). These include Reddish buff, Norfolk hawk, Purple emperor, High brown fritillary, Northern brown argus, White-clawed crayfish, Pearl-bordered fritillary, DeFolin's lagoon snail, Chequered skipper, Fairy shrimp, Rainbow leaf beetle, New Forest cicada, Southern damselfly, Large heath, Small blue, Wartbiter, Fen raft

spider, Ivell's sea anemone, Mountain ringlet, Ladybird spider, Marsh fritillary, Spangled diving beetle, Mole cricket, Field cricket, Duke of Burgundy, Silver-spotted skipper, Medicinal leech, Lesser silver water beetle, Moccas beetle, Wood white, Violet click beetle, Large copper, Freshwater pearl mussel, heath fritillary, Glanville fritillary, Glutinous snail, Starlet sea anemone, Large tortoiseshell, Brackish hydroid, Swallowtail, Bembridge beetle, Barberry carpet, Silver-studded blue, Adonis blue, Chalk hill blue, Fiery clearwing, Sandbowl snail, Black hairstreak, White-letter hairstreak, Black-veined moth, Sussex emerald, Brown hairstreak, Northern hatchet-shell, Lulworth skipper, Tadpole shrimp, New Forest burnet.

A total of 398 invertebrates are Species of Principal Importance. These include: beetles (including stag beetle), butterflies (high brown fritillary, large heath, small blue, white-letter hairstreak, brown hairstreak, damselflies (southern damselfly), moths (marsh moth), ants, bees etc. Impacts to SPI must be considered by the LPA when assessing planning applications.

Non-native invasive plant species

Schedule 9 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) is a list of non-native plant species for which Section 14 of the Act applies. It is an offence to plant, or otherwise cause to grow in the wild species listed under Schedule 9 of the act. These include, but are not limited to:

- Himalayan balsam
- Cotoneaster sp.
- Japanese knotweed
- Giant hogweed

Habitats of Principal Importance

Section 41 of the NERC Act 2006 details 56 HPs, of which the following could be present in south-east England: Lowland calcareous grassland, Lowland dry acid grassland, Lowland meadows, Lowland Heathland, Open Mosaic Habitats on Previously Developed Land, Lowland fens, Lowland raised bog, Reedbeds, Lowland beech and yew woodland, Lowland mixed deciduous woodland and Wet woodland.

Impacts to HPI are of material planning consideration.

Ancient woodland and veteran trees

The NPPF 2021 states that 'Planning permission should be refused for development resulting in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats, including ancient woodland and the loss of aged or veteran trees found outside ancient woodland, unless the need for, and benefits of, the development in that location clearly outweigh the loss'. In addition, Natural England's standing advice for ancient woodland indicates that a 15 m buffer is retained between ancient woodland and any works or development. Ancient woodlands, and ancient and veteran trees, may also be protected by Tree Preservation Orders.

National Planning Policy Framework (2021)

Details the Government's planning policies for England and how these should be applied, particularly to contribute to the Government's commitment to halt the decline of biodiversity. When assessing planning applications, LPAs should have regard to conserving and enhancing biodiversity by applying a number of principals, including:

- Avoiding impacts to biodiversity through appropriate site selection.
- Mitigating residual impacts.

- Encouraging the preservation and enhancement of biodiversity.
- Preventing the development of protected sites, such as SSSIs.
- Refusing permission where habitats that cannot be recreated, such as ancient woodland, would be lost.
- Encouraging good design that limits light pollution.

Relevant paragraphs in the NPPF (2021) are detailed below.

Paragraph Number	Detail
174	<p>“Planning policies and decisions should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by...minimising impact on and providing net gains for biodiversity”</p> <p>Protection of sites of biological values</p> <p>Preventing new and existing development from adverse impacts to soil, air, water or noise</p> <p>Development should help improve local conditions</p>
175	<p>Maintenance and enhancement of networks of habitats and green infrastructure; plan for the enhancement of natural capital at a catchment or landscape scale</p>
179	<p>“To protect and enhance biodiversity and geodiversity, plans should:</p> <p>a) Identify, map and safeguard components of local wildlife-rich habitats and wider ecological networks, including the hierarchy of international, national and locally designated sites of importance for biodiversity; wildlife corridors and stepping stones that connect them; and areas identified by national and local partnerships for habitat management, enhancement, restoration or creation; and</p> <p>b) promote the conservation, restoration and enhancement of priority habitats, ecological networks and the protection and recovery of priority species; and identify and pursue opportunities for securing measurable net gains for biodiversity.”</p>
180	<p>“When determining planning applications, local planning authorities should apply the following principles:</p> <p>a) if significant harm to biodiversity resulting from a development cannot be avoided (through locating on an alternative site with less harmful impacts), adequately mitigated, or, as a last resort, compensated for, then planning permission should be refused;</p> <p>b) development on land within or outside a Site of Special Scientific Interest, and which is likely to have an adverse effect on it (either individually or in combination with other developments), should not normally be permitted. The only exception is where the benefits of the development in the location proposed clearly outweigh both its likely impact on the features of the site that make it of special scientific interest, and any broader impacts on the national network of Sites of Special Scientific Interest;</p> <p>c) development resulting in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats (such as ancient woodland and ancient or veteran trees) should be refused, unless there are wholly exceptional reasons and a suitable compensation strategy exists; and</p> <p>d) development whose primary objective is to conserve or enhance biodiversity should be supported; while opportunities to improve biodiversity in and around developments should be integrated as</p>

Paragraph Number	Detail
	<p>part of their design, especially where this can secure measurable net gains for biodiversity or enhance public access to nature where this is appropriate.”</p>
<p>185</p>	<p>“Planning policies and decisions should also ensure that new development is appropriate for its location taking into account the likely effects (including cumulative effects) of pollution on health, living conditions and the natural environment, as well as the potential sensitivity of the site or the wider area to impacts that could arise from the development. In doing so they should:</p> <p>...</p> <p>c) limit the impact of light pollution from artificial light on local amenity, intrinsically dark landscapes and nature conservation.”</p>